



# Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

## EARTH SCIENCES DIVISION

To be presented at the Twelfth Symposium on Thermophysical Properties, Boulder, CO, June 19-24, 1994, and to be published in the Proceedings

### $\text{CaCl}_2\text{-H}_2\text{O}$ in the Supercritical and Two-Phase Ranges

C.S. Oakes, R.J. Bodnar, J.M. Simonson, and K.S. Pitzer

April 1994



LOAN COPY  
Circulates  
for 4 weeks  
Bldg. 50 Library.  
Copy 2

LBL-35515

## **DISCLAIMER**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

## CaCl<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O in the Supercritical and Two-Phase Ranges<sup>1</sup>

Charles S. Oakes<sup>2,5</sup>, Robert J. Bodnar<sup>3</sup>, John M. Simonson<sup>4</sup>, and Kenneth S. Pitzer<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Paper presented at the Twelfth Symposium on Thermophysical Properties, June 19-24, 1994, Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A.

<sup>2</sup> Dept. of Chemistry and Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720

<sup>3</sup> Dept. of Geol. Sciences, Virginia Polytechnic Inst. & State Univ., Blacksburg, VA 24061

<sup>4</sup> Chemistry Div., MS 6110, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831

<sup>5</sup> Author to whom correspondence should be addressed

---

This research was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Engineering and Geosciences, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

## ABSTRACT

Critical temperatures ( $T_c$ ) and densities ( $\rho_c$ ) for aqueous  $\text{CaCl}_2$  solutions were measured using two different optical techniques. Measurements of  $T_c$  were made using sealed silica capillaries containing 0.3, 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0 molal  $\text{CaCl}_2(\text{aq})$  solutions.  $T_c$ 's from these measurements are 661, 666, 678, and 738 K, respectively. Critical temperatures were also determined from measured homogenization temperatures and the observed mode of homogenization (i.e., to the liquid or vapor phase or by fading of the meniscus) in synthetic fluid inclusions. Critical temperatures determined by this method for 1.0, 2.0, and 3.0 molal  $\text{CaCl}_2(\text{aq})$  solutions are 680, 739, and 838 K, respectively.  $T_c$  for 4.0 molal  $\text{CaCl}_2(\text{aq})$  was found to be in excess of 933 K. Critical pressures ( $P_c$ ) could not be accurately determined from these measurements but were estimated from another source. Our data are in fair agreement with previously published data at and below  $1.0 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ . Above  $1 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ , data from the two published sources of  $\text{CaCl}_2(\text{aq})$  show substantially different trends, with the data from this study agreeing with the more recent of the two reports.

Estimated values of  $\rho_c$  are given for the solutions examined in the capillary tube experiments. These measurements are based on estimated tube volumes, measured liquid volumes, and solution densities at ambient temperature.

**KEY WORDS:** calcium chloride; aqueous solution; critical line; supercritical properties

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The critical properties of aqueous salt solutions are important because they provide information on the pressure and temperature limits of the region of immiscibility and because they are the thermodynamic anchor points at which equations of state must meet certain constraints (e.g. the compressibility becomes infinite etc.). The system  $\text{CaCl}_2\text{-H}_2\text{O}$  is of interest practically and geologically and intrinsically as a 2-1 type electrolyte. The presently available sources of critical properties of  $\text{CaCl}_2(\text{aq})$  are Marshall and Jones [1], Tkachenko and Schmulovich [2], and Tkachenko [3]. Marshall and Jones [1] present critical temperature data for 0.1 to 1.8 molal  $\text{CaCl}_2(\text{aq})$  while Tkachenko and Schmulovich [2] graphically present liquid-vapor equilibrium data at 673, 773, and 873 K from which the respective critical pressures and molalities may be interpolated. In this paper we present new measurements of the critical temperatures for aqueous  $\text{CaCl}_2$  solutions of up to 3.0 molal and estimates of the critical densities and pressures for solutions up to 2.0 and 3.0 molal, respectively.

## 2. MEASUREMENTS

### 2.1. Capillary tube method

The equipment used was nearly identical to that described by Marshall et al. [4] although the method of sample preparation was modified so that more information could be obtained from the experiment. Note that the apparatus used for this study was not the same as that used by Marshall and Jones [1].

Several 50 mm segments of fused silica tubing (3 mm OD, 1 or 1.5 mm ID) were cleaned and fused at one end. A measured volume of a given solution was injected into one of the tubes with a 100  $\mu\text{l}$  syringe and then centrifuged to drive any solution which had wicked up the capillary tube down to the sealed end of the tube. During injection solution tended to wick up between the syringe needle and the inner wall of the capillary, but this effect was minimized by withdrawing the syringe tip ahead of the meniscus as solution was

injected. The open end of the capillary was then closed by fusing with an oxy-acetylene torch. Boiling off of solution was prevented by wrapping all but the end to be sealed with a moistened paper towel. The newly sealed end was then oxy-acetylene welded to a silica rod (approximately 12 mm by 3 mm). The rod extended out of the tube furnace during the experiment and was connected to the tip of an engraving tool via a flexible rubber sleeve. The solution in the capillary could then be mixed to minimize density gradients by periodically switching on the engraving tool. Before inserting the tube assembly into the furnace the total enclosed length of the capillary and the length of the solution filled portion were measured. From these measurements and the density of the solution at 298 K, an estimate of the solution's critical density ( $\rho_c$ ) could also be made. The inside diameters of each of the capillaries were assumed to be constant along their entire length. The interior length was measured from the tip of the terminal cone of one end to the base of the terminal cone of the opposite end. The length of the liquid filled portion was measured from the base of the meniscus to a point approximately half the distance between the base and the tip of the terminal cone. Solution densities at 298 K were taken from Oakes et al. [5].

An aluminum tube furnace (~10 inches in length) wound externally with heating coils and sheathed both internally and externally with fused silica tubing was used to heat the capillaries. Once inserted into the furnace, with the long dimension perpendicular to the direction of gravity, the capillary could be observed with a low-power microscope through an elongated port machined through the aluminum tube. Heating of the sample was accomplished by radiative and conductive heat transfer. Temperature was measured with an iron-constantan thermocouple which rested on the capillary and could be moved freely along the length of the capillary to determine the magnitude of the thermal gradients in the furnace. The temperature variation along the capillary varied by as much as 3 K, which is taken as the precision of these measurements. The thermocouple was calibrated at 647 K using a capillary containing a critical volume of distilled, deionized water.

Because the capillary inner diameters were small and the solutions' viscosity

sufficiently high, the meniscus remained ~parallel to the direction of gravity to temperatures nearing the critical temperature. For capillary fillings at or very near  $\rho_c$  the strength of the meniscus and viscosity decreased as  $T_h$  was approached so that the liquid phase pooled in the bottom of the horizontally mounted capillary. In addition, the *apparent* contact angle between the meniscus and the tube wall approached  $90^\circ$ . Filling densities greater than  $\rho_c$  homogenized to the liquid phase and filling densities less than  $\rho_c$  homogenized to the vapor phase. Though the length of the sample made it difficult to determine whether or not there was a 1:1 volume ratio of liquid to vapor at homogenization, *we estimate that the accuracy and precision of measurements made via this method are equivalent to those made using the fluid inclusion technique.*

## 2.2. Synthetic fluid inclusion method

Measurements for  $\text{CaCl}_2(\text{aq})$  were also made by the synthetic fluid inclusion method described by Bodnar and Sterner [6] and Knight and Bodnar [7]. This method provides information at other densities as well as the critical density. The results of both types are described in another paper, Oakes et al. [8]; hence further details are omitted here.

## 3. RESULTS

$T_c$  for 0.3, 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0  $\text{mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$   $\text{CaCl}_2(\text{aq})$  solutions were measured using the capillary tube technique, while  $T_c$  for solutions of 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, and 3.0  $\text{mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  were measured using the synthetic fluid inclusion technique. Attempts at measuring  $T_c$  for 2.6 and 4.0  $\text{mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  solutions were made using the capillary and synthetic fluid inclusion techniques, respectively. In the former experiments the capillary tubes could not withstand the internal pressures, and in the latter case  $T_c$  exceeded the upper operating limit of the fluid inclusion heating stage (i.e.  $T_c > 973 \text{ K}$ ).

$T_c$  measurements made using the capillary technique are shown in Table 1 along with the relevant tube and liquid lengths, solution densities at 298 K ( $\rho^\circ$ ), and  $\rho_c$  estimates based

on these measurements. The accuracy of  $\rho_c$  is estimated to be no better than  $\pm 5\%$ . Estimates of  $T_c$  and the associated uncertainties based on the synthetic fluid inclusion measurements are shown in Table 2.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows the  $T_c$  data from this study, values interpolated from the liquid-vapor equilibrium data of Tkachenko and Schmulovich [2] and Tkachenko [3] (reproduced in Table 3) and the smoothed data of Marshall and Jones [1] plotted against molality. Marshall and Jones's data show a relatively rapid increase in  $T_c$  in the dilute range below  $0.5 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  followed by a much less pronounced increase to 676 K at their highest molality of 1.8. In contrast, the  $T_c$  data from this study and those extrapolated from Tkachenko and Schmulovich [2] and Tkachenko [3] define a sigmoidal curve which increases rapidly over the entire molality range to  $3.0 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ . The critical temperatures from this study and those extrapolated from Tkachenko [3] are always higher than the results from Marshall and Jones. At less than  $\sim 0.75 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  the difference appears to be insignificant but the difference in  $T_c$  between the value of Marshall and Jones at  $1.8 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  and our curve is greater than 40 K.

Also shown on Fig. 1, as a dashed curve, is the critical line for  $\text{NaCl}(\text{aq})$ . While both critical lines have monotonically positive slopes and qualitative curvature patterns, the detailed forms differ considerably and the slope above  $2.0 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  is much greater for  $\text{CaCl}_2(\text{aq})$ . Figure 2 shows the critical pressures of  $\text{CaCl}_2(\text{aq})$  and  $\text{NaCl}(\text{aq})$ . The points for  $\text{CaCl}_2(\text{aq})$  are based on extrapolations of the data of Tkachenko [3] as reproduced in Table 3 while the curve for  $\text{NaCl}(\text{aq})$  is based on the graphs and tables of Anderko and Pitzer [9]. As for the temperature, we find a much greater slope for  $\text{CaCl}_2(\text{aq})$  at the higher molalities. The fluid inclusion measurements reported elsewhere [8] also provide information concerning the critical pressure, but it is less precise and will not be discussed here. Figure 3 shows the vapor-liquid phase behavior at 673 K for both  $\text{CaCl}_2(\text{aq})$  and

NaCl(aq). The critical mole fraction and pressure is considerably greater for CaCl<sub>2</sub>(aq) but the shapes of the curves are very similar. The dependency of the difference in mole fraction on pressure can be expressed:

$$(X'' - X') = \text{const.}(P_c - P)^\beta \quad (1)$$

For neutral-molecule fluids both theory and experiment indicate that  $\beta$  is near 1/3 whereas fluids dominated by ionic forces show a parabolic shape corresponding to  $\beta=1/2$  (Pitzer [10]). Bischoff and Rosenbauer [11] showed that NaCl(aq) conformed to Eq. (1) with  $\beta=1/2$  at 673 K and higher temperature. As the critical point of pure water, 647 K, is approached the pattern shifts; this was discussed by Harvey and Levelt Sengers [12] and by Pitzer and Tanger [13].

Figure 4 tests the conformity of CaCl<sub>2</sub>(aq) as well as NaCl(aq) to Eq. (1) with  $\beta=1/2$  at 673 K and 773 K. While the measurements for CaCl<sub>2</sub>(aq) are less precise than those for NaCl(aq), they are equally consistent with  $\beta=1/2$ .

Comparison of CaCl<sub>2</sub>(aq) with NaCl(aq) on a molal or mole fraction basis is appropriate since there is little ionization due to the small dielectric constant of the solvent at the critical density of the solution. The obvious difference is that of the multipolar moments. NaCl has a large dipole moment whereas CaCl<sub>2</sub>, a linear Cl-Ca-Cl molecule, has no dipole but a large quadrupole moment. The interactions of these with the smaller dipole of H<sub>2</sub>O are undoubtedly important. The comprehensive equation of state for NaCl-H<sub>2</sub>O of Anderko and Pitzer [9] was based on the theory for a mixed fluid of dipolar molecules, and a similar study is being initiated for CaCl<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O as a mixture of quadrupoles with dipoles. This study may explain the differences shown on Fig. 1. Another possible complication is hydrolysis which is known to be significant but not a major factor for NaCl(aq); see Armelli and Tester [14]. Much less is known for CaCl<sub>2</sub>(aq) but the report of Tkachenko and Schmulovich [2] indicates some hydrolysis with extra Cl in the vapor phase but not a

major effect. Further investigation of hydrolysis in  $\text{CaCl}_2(\text{aq})$  solutions at near critical conditions is needed.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Funding for work done at VPI and ORNL was provided by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Grant DE-FG05-89ER14065 (to RJB). Work done at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Engineering and Geosciences of the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098 (to KSP).

## REFERENCES

1. W.L. Marshall and E.V. Jones *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **36**, 2313 (1974) .
2. S.I. Tkachenko and K.I. Schmulovich *Doklady Akademii nauk SSSR* **326**, 1055 (1992).
3. S.I. Tkachenko personal communication (1993).
4. W.L. Marshall, H.W. Wright, and C.H. Secoy *J. Chem. Ed.* **31**, 34 (1954).
5. C.S. Oakes, J.M. Simonson, and R.J. Bodnar *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **35**, 304 (1990).
6. R.J. Bodnar and S.M. Sterner *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **49**, 1855 (1985).
7. C.L. Knight and R.J. Bodnar *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **53**, 3 (1989).
8. C.S. Oakes, R.J. Bodnar, J.M. Simonson, and K.S. Pitzer, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* in press.
9. A. Anderko and K.S. Pitzer *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **57**, 1657 (1993).
10. K.S. Pitzer, *Accounts Chem. Res.* **23**, 333 (1990).
11. J.L. Bischoff and R.J. Rosenbauer, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **52**, 2121 (1988).
12. A.H. Harvey and J.M.H. Levelt Sengers, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **156**, 415 (1989).
13. K.S. Pitzer and J.C. Tanger IV, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **156**, 418 (1989).
14. F.J. Armelli and J.W. Tester *Fluid Phase Equil.* **84**, 123 (1993).

Table I. Critical properties of  $\text{CaCl}_2(\text{aq})$  obtained from the capillary-tube method.

$m$ ( $\text{mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ )	cap. length (mm)	liq. length (mm)	$\rho^{\circ}$ * ( $\text{g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$ )	$T_c$ (K)	$\rho_c$ ** ( $\text{g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$ )
0.3	39.5	18.5	1.026	661	.48 <sub>1</sub>
0.5	41.0	20.5	1.040	666	.52 <sub>0</sub>
1.0	38.5	21.5	1.082	678	.60 <sub>4</sub>
2.0	43.5	26.5	1.156	738	.70 <sub>4</sub>

\* Solution density at 298 K.

\*\* Critical density (uncertainties are  $\geq 5\%$ ).

Table II.  $T_c$  estimates based on the synthetic fluid inclusion data.

$m \text{ CaCl}_2$ ( $\text{mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ )	$T_c$ (K)
0.5	$668 \pm 10$
1.0	$679 \pm 3$
2.0	$739 \pm 7$
3.0	$838 \pm 20$
4.0	$>935$

Table III. Experimental liquid-vapor equilibrium pressures from Tkachenko and Schmulovich [2]; Composition data are from Tkachenko [3]; they are reproduced here with his permission and have been converted from the original wt% to molality.

673 K			773 K			873 K		
P/bars	$m \text{ CaCl}_2/\text{mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$		P/bars	$m \text{ CaCl}_2/\text{mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$		P/bars	$m \text{ CaCl}_2/\text{mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$	
	$m_{\text{vap}}$	$m_{\text{liq}}$		$m_{\text{vap}}$	$m_{\text{liq}}$		$m_{\text{vap}}$	$m_{\text{liq}}$
311.3	0.364		800.0		3.100	1324.1	2.216	
311.1		1.238	794.4	1.304		1323.9		3.975
308.8		1.529	787.6	1.194		1313.5	1.717	
308.7	0.200		782.8		3.830	1307.0		4.940
307.3	0.156		770.8		4.188	1298.7	1.383	
305.6		1.725	769.9	1.092		1297.3		5.146
304.9	0.121		760.6	0.948		1288.1	1.217	
303.4		1.915	751.5		4.698	1285.9		5.804
302.5	0.091		747.4		4.752	1277.3	1.105	
300.4	0.070		741.1	0.798		1262.0	1.049	
300.0		2.113	732.8		5.130	1260.0		6.994
297.2	0.057		723.9	0.667		1252.7	0.909	
295.3	0.051		712.0	0.585		1235.9	0.760	7.292
288.9		2.774				1216.7	0.620	
288.5	0.043							
284.8		3.008						
282.4		3.095						
278.9	0.035							
271.0	0.032							

## FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Experimentally determined critical temperatures of  $\text{CaCl}_2(\text{aq})$  plotted versus molality. Vertical error bars are used where the precision of  $T_c$  is in excess of the symbol heights. The data of Tkachenko and Schmulovich [2] were collected at constant temperature so the temperature error is probably within the size of the symbols; the molalities however were determined by graphical interpolation of the vapor-liquid equilibria data [3] and may be in error by as much as  $0.4 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  at 773 and 873 K. The dark solid curve is drawn to aid the eye and its placement is a compromise between the data from this paper and those extrapolated from Tkachenko [3]. The dashed curve shows  $T_c$  for  $\text{NaCl}(\text{aq})$  as given by the equation of Knight and Bodnar [7].

Fig. 2.  $P_c$  plotted against molality for  $\text{CaCl}_2(\text{aq})$  and  $\text{NaCl}(\text{aq})$ . The squares represent pressures at corresponding molalities interpolated from the 673, 773, and 873 K data of Tkachenko [3] while the curve for  $\text{NaCl}(\text{aq})$  was taken from Anderko and Pitzer [9].

Fig. 3. Vapor-liquid equilibrium compositions at 673 K for  $\text{CaCl}_2(\text{aq})$ , solid line, and  $\text{NaCl}(\text{aq})$ , dashed line, at 673 K. The points for  $\text{CaCl}_2(\text{aq})$  are taken from Tkachenko [3].

Fig. 4. Tests of Eq. 1; see text for details.

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3





Figure 4



LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT  
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

