CBP Tech. Note 395
April 6, 2007

Frequency Scaling VHF Photoinjector Cavity*

John Staples

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
1 Cyclotron Rd., Berkeley CA 94720, USA

Disclaimer: This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

*This work was supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

CBP Tech Note 395
6 April 2007

Frequency Scaling VHF Photoinjector Cavity

John, Staples, LBNL

How does the power and power density of the VHF cavity scale with frequency if the gap voltage is held constant?

The wavelength scales directly with geometry. The rf surface resistance scales as:

$$R_{square} \propto \sqrt{f}$$

and the surface area of the cavity scales as

$$Area \propto f^{-2}$$

Combining these, for a constant gap voltage, the required power goes as $\sqrt{f}$ and the wall power density goes as $\sqrt{f^5}$ for exact geometry scaling.

To validate this, several cavity configurations, based on the original 65 MHz structure, were simulated with Superfish. Variants were included, such as varying the gap length and the ratio of the diameter of the inner line to the outer diameter for the otherwise half-scale cavity.

The following table lists some significant parameters with a constant 750 kV across the gap. The original cavity with 4 cm gap is duplicated at half scale with 2 cm gap, and 3 and 4 cm gap versions and a 4 cm gap version with larger center conductor are calculated.

Cavity	Frequency	Gap	Total Power	Peak E-field	Peak P_{wall}
	(MHz)	(cm)	(kW)	(MV/m)	(W/cm^2)
Original	65.5	4	62.6	27.6	6.95
half-scale	131.1	2	88.0	55.8	39.4
half, 3 cm gap	136.8	3	83.6	44.3	38.7
half, 4 cm gap	141.9	4	80.5	38.9	38.4
half, larger inner conductor	141.5	4	95.2	37.7	32.1

Caveats: the cavity has no beam tube, and the power is calculated for perfect copper with no additional losses. The real total power is expected to be 10-30% higher. This exercise is meant to show how some significant parameters scale with frequency and gap length for a constant gap voltage.

The peak wall power density becomes very large for the half-scale cavity and its variations.