LBL-36832
UC-413
Preprint

# Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

To be submitted for publication

## Crystalline Structure of the Mixed Confined-Deconfined Phase in Neutron stars

N.K. Glendenning and S. Pei

March 1995

REFERENCE COPY
Does Not
Circulate
Bldg. 50 Library.
LBL-36832
Copy 1

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Number DE-AC03-76SF00098

## DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

LBL-36832

# Crystalline Structure of the Mixed Confined-Deconfined Phase in Neutron stars†

N. K. Glendenning

*Nuclear Science Division*
*Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory*
*University of California*
*One Cyclotron Road*
*Berkeley, California 94720*

S. Pei

*Department of Physics*
*Beijing Normal University*
*Beijing 100875*
*P. R. China*

March 29, 1995

**PACS** 97.60Lf, 97.60.Gb, 97.10.Cv

†This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Division of Nuclear Physics, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.

# Crystalline Structure of the Mixed Confined-Deconfined Phase in Neutron stars†

N. K. Glendenning

*Nuclear Science Division*
*Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory*
*University of California*
*One Cyclotron Road*
*Berkeley, California 94720*

S. Pei

*Department of Physics*
*Beijing Normal University*
*Beijing 100875*
*P. R. China*

March 29, 1995

ABSTRACT

We calculate that the geometrical structure of the crystalline solid mixed phase of confined-deconfined hadronic matter in neutron stars, – its form, size, spacing and location in the star – is highly individualistic according to small changes in the stellar mass. This suggests a possible connection with the wide range of glitch behavior observed in different pulsars, since glitches are almost certainly associated with solid regions.

†This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Division of Nuclear Physics, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.

# Crystalline Structure of the Mixed Confined-Deconfined Phase in Neutron stars

N. K. Glendenning and S. Pei

**Introduction.** Quark matter in the dense, high-pressure cores of neutron stars has been an intriguing subject of investigation since pioneering work in 1976 [1, 2, 3]. It was assumed, and is here also, that in cold matter the transition from quarks confined in hadrons to the deconfined phase of quark matter is first order. However, there has been a new development in our understanding of this transition that may eventually provide a link between the *mixed* phase region of a compact star and observable pulsar phenomena. Although stars must be electrically neutral they do not need to be *locally* neutral, as was previously assumed. It is now realized that in the mixed confined-deconfined hadronic phase, the electric charge on regions of hadronic and quark matter may not vanish in each region, but simply annul each other over an appropriate one [4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. Nature will choose such a distribution of charges as minimizes the energy at *each* proportion of the phases in equilibrium, thus causing the pressure to *vary* with the proportion [4, 5]. Imposing the *constraint* of identically vanishing charge may foreclose the possibility of finding a more energetically favorable distribution and renders the transition as a *constant* pressure one in which case the mixed phase could not occur in the monotonically varying pressure environment of a star.

More generally, a substance with $n$ conserved charges (independent components) has $n-1$ degrees of freedom to rearrange charges between the phases in equilibrium so as to lower the energy. Consequently the nature of a first order phase transition is fundamentally different between one-component substances such as water, and those with more than one [5]. This is the case with a neutron star, which, because of $\beta$-equilibrium, is not composed solely of neutrons but also contains protons, electrons, probably hyperons and in the situation under study here, quarks. So there are *two* conserved charges, baryon and electric.

The internal force that favors a redistribution of charge is the isospin restoring force experienced by the confined phase [5, 6]. It is embodied in the isospin symmetry energy in the empirical nuclear mass formula. Charge neutral neutron star matter in the pure phase is highly isospin asymmetric, being composed mostly of neutrons. However, in the mixed phase, hadronic regions can relieve the asymmetry to the degree allowed by overall charge neutrality by transferring charge to the quark phase

in equilibrium with it. The bulk energy will be lowered by reducing the symmetry energy of neutron star matter at only a small cost in rearranging the quark Fermi surfaces. Thus the mixed phase region of the star will have positively charged regions of nuclear matter and negatively charged regions of quark matter arranged so as to minimize the sum of surface and Coulomb energies by forming a crystalline lattice. The dimensions involved will turn out to be on the nuclear scale.

An initial study of the lattice structure that was predicted in [4, 5] was carried out by Heiselberg et al [7] who investigated several important issues and suggested the possible relevance of the structure to pulsar glitches. Our purpose is to explore the radial extent and variation of the lattice structure in the *environment* of neutron star models to demonstrate the extreme sensitivity of the crystalline region on the stellar mass to which the great individuality [9, 10] of the glitch behavior of different pulsars may be related in part. It seems plausible that all solid regions will be involved in the phenomenon of glitches, – unpredictable small discontinuous decreases in pulsar rotational period, occurring on a time-scale of days, months or years, that are observed in many pulsars. A purely liquid or gaseous star is mechanically unable to generate sudden changes in angular momentum or moment of inertia by any conceivable mechanism.

**Structure of the Crystalline Mixed Phase.** Although the above physics responsible for structure in the confined-deconfined mixed phase is quite different from that causing a nuclear lattice in the stellar crust [11, 12], the interplay of surface and Coulomb energies is identical. The problem is to find the particular geometry and size of the regions of rarer phase immersed in the other that minimizes the sum of the above energies as a function of the proportion of phases in equilibrium. The pressure *varies* as a function of the proportion since the partition of charge is energetically optimized at *each* proportion [5]. And because the pressure monotonically decreases with radial distance from the center of the star, the geometry and its size and spacing will vary with the Schwarzschild radial coordinate. One may subdivide any locally inertial region of mixed phase into (Wigner-Seitz) cells containing one of the structures and a surrounding region of the other phase so that the total charge content of the cell is neutral. Adjacent cells therefore do not interact. We adapt the results of Ravenhall et al [11] who treat three discrete geometric forms in such cells to write for the radius of the rare phase immersed in the other and the minimum of the sum of Coulomb and surface energies,

$$\frac{1}{r^3} = \frac{4\pi[q_H(\chi) - q_Q(\chi)]^2 e^2 f_d(x)}{\sigma d}, \qquad d = 1,2,3, \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{E_C + E_S}{V} = 6\pi x\Big(\frac{[\sigma d(q_H(\chi) - q_Q(\chi))e]^2 f_d(x)}{16\pi^2}\Big)^{1/3}, \tag{2}$$

where, $q_H$, $q_Q$ are the charge densities of hadronic and quark matter (in units of $e$) at volume proportion of quark matter $\chi = V_Q/V$ and $\sigma$ is the surface interface energy. The ratio of droplet (rod, slab) volume to cell volume is denoted by $x = (r/R)^d$. When quark matter is the rare phase $x = \chi$ and otherwise $x = 1-\chi$. In the case of drops or rods, $r$ is their radius and $R$ the half distance between centers while for slabs, $r$ is the half thickness. The geometric forms are denoted by their dimensionality, $d = 1,2,3$

corresponding to slabs, rods and drops, respectively.

The function $f_d(x)$ is given in all three cases by,

$$f_d(x) = \frac{1}{d+2}\Big[\frac{1}{(d-2)}(2 - dx^{1-2/d}) + x\Big], \tag{3}$$

where the apparent singularity for $d = 2$ has the correct limit for $d \to 2$, namely, $f_2(x) = (x-1-\ln x)/4$. We have supposed that the electrons are uniformly distributed throughout the mixed phase. In fact, we find that electrons are almost totally absent from the mixed phase as is generally the case when charge neutrality can be realized by baryon charge carrying particles [5].

Surface tension is a very difficult problem because it should be self-consistent with the two phases of matter, quark and hadronic, in equilibrium. Lattice gauge simulations have not provided an answer for cold isospin asymmetric matter. Gibbs studied the problem of surface energies, and as a gross approximation it is given by the difference in energy densities of the substances in contact times a length scale typical of the surface thickness [13], in this case of the order of the strong interaction range, $L = 1$ fm. The surface interface energy should depend on the proportion of phases in phase equilibrium, just as everything else does,

$$\sigma = \text{const} \times [\epsilon_Q(\chi) - \epsilon_H(\chi)] \times L\,. \tag{4}$$

The constant should be chosen so that the structured phase lies below the unstructured one because of the additional degree of freedom that is exploited in the latter case. Heiselberg et al [7] found a value of combined surface and Coulomb energy that satisfies this condition to be about 10 MeV. We choose the constant accordingly. It will be understood from the formulae written above that the structure size and the sum of surface and Coulomb energies scale with the surface energy coefficient as $\sigma^{1/3}$ independent of geometry. Therefore the *location* in the star where the geometry changes from one form to another is independent of $\sigma$.

The geometrical structure of the mixed phase occurs against the background of the bulk structure to good approximation. The energy and pressure are of course dominated by the bulk properties of matter. The equation of state of the confined hadronic phase is calculated as in ref. [14, 15]. The coupling constants of the theory are determined by the bulk nuclear properties, binding energy, $B/A = -16.3$ MeV, saturation density, $\rho_0 = 0.153$ fm$^{-3}$, symmetry energy coefficient, $a_{\rm sym} = 32.5$ MeV, compression modulus $K = 300$ MeV and effective nucleon mass at saturation, $m^\star/m = 0.7$. The ratio of hyperon coupling to mesons as compared to nucleon couplings is chosen in accord with ref. [15] to be $x_\sigma = 0.6$, $x_\omega = 0.653$. We compute the pure quark matter phase as in [5] using a bag constant $B^{1/4} = 180$ MeV and the quark masses written there. The method of finding phase equilibrium in multi-component systems is also described there.

To demonstrate the extreme dependence on stellar mass of the crystalline structure we compare two stars differing by only $0.02M_\odot$. In Fig. 1 we show the diameter of the geometrical objects, identify their forms and plot the spacing between centers of the rare phase objects immersed in the other phase. The mass of the star is

Figure 1: Crystalline mixed region in a neutron star showing the diameter D (lower curves) and spacing S (upper curves) of indicated geometric structures as a function of radial Schwarzschild coordinate. Region internal to 4.6 km is pure quark matter. Notation 'h drops' denotes hadronic drops immersed in quark matter, and so on. Stellar radius is 10.7 km.




$M = 1.42M_\odot$ and is at the mass limit. The pressure at the center and extending to 4.6 km is sufficiently high that this region is occupied by pure quark matter. The region between 4.6 and 7.8 km is in the crystalline confined-deconfined phase. Since the phase transition is completed before the center of the star is reached, the geometrical structures span the full range of forms. At the outer edge of the mixed phase region, quark drops of *finite* diameter are spaced, in the limit of $\chi = 0$, at infinite distance. At greater depth and therefore proportion of quark matter the drops are more closely spaced and slightly larger in size. Deeper in the star, drops are no longer the favored configuration and merge to from rods of varying diameter and spacing. At still greater depth, the rods give way to slabs, then the role of quark and hadronic matter interchange and the forms are repeated in reverse order until at the inner edge of the mixed phase, hadronic drops of finite size but spaced far apart are immersed in quark matter. In all cases the diameters of the geometric forms lie between about 10 and 25 fm. Of course were it not for the restriction to three discrete geometries, the discontinuities in the diameters and spacings shown in the Figures would be interpolated by intermediate forms.

For lower mass stars, the central density and pressure may be insufficient to fully convert quark matter to hadronic matter. This is the case for the neighboring mass star, $M = 1.40M_\odot$, for which the geometries of the crystalline mixed phase are shown in Fig. 2. The crystalline phase extends to the center of the star and the central geometry consists of rods of hadronic matter immersed in quark matter. For a mere $0.02M_\odot$ change in mass the situation has changed radically from a star having a 4.6 km quark gas core enclosed by a 3 km thick solid shell, to a star having no gas

Figure 2: Similar to previous figure but for slightly less massive star. Mixed crystalline phase now extends to star's center. Radius is 12.3 km.




interior, but an 8 km solid sphere. For a star of mass $1.2M_\odot$ we find the quark rods occupying the region from the center to 2 km and quark drops from there to 7 km. For $1M_\odot$ star, quark drops extend from the center to only 3.6 km. All of the above configurations are of course surrounded by neutron star liquid and finally a thin solid crust of nuclear forms embedded in an electron gas. Stars of somewhat lower mass than the above have no quark matter whatever.

The great sensitivity to stellar mass is due to the high compression in neutron stars so that the density is a rather flat function of radial coordinate except near the edge of the star, and a small change in central value and therefore mass has a large effect on where in the star a particular density occurs. Naturally, the details illustrated above pertain to the particular parameters chosen. The pattern of the results is however general.

Early work, by inadvertently closing off a degree of freedom, rendered the transition as one of *constant* pressure for all proportions in the mixed phase [1, 2, 3, 16]. In such a case the mixed phase cannot exist in the gravitational field in a star. The *pure* quark phase was found to occur only at high density or pressure and therefore concentrated near the center. That is true here also. *However* the *mixed* phase extends to a rather low density of only several times nuclear saturation density, as has been found by several authors when the star is allowed to exploit the degree of freedom opened when charge neutrality is imposed in the global rather than the local sense [4, 5, 7, 8].

**Possible Implication.** It is almost certain that solid regions in a pulsar will play a role in the period glitch phenomenon, whether associated with cracking of the solid

as in star quakes, or with unpinning of superfluid vortices from solid regions. Glitch behavior is highly individualistic from one pulsar to another [10]. We tentatively suggest that this may be due to the extreme sensitivity on stellar mass of the radial extent of the solid crystal region and the forms that occupy it as well as the moments of inertia associated with different gaseous (quark), liquid and solid regions. The interior solid region of the mixed phase and the crustal solid, separated by a nuclear liquid, offer interesting possibilities for interaction or stimulated response at the time of a glitch originating in one of them and in post-glitch recovery.

The crystalline form cannot fit uniformly into the axial symmetry of a rotating star without there being lattice imperfections. As the star cools we envision that the crystalline structure will develop with relatively few imperfections but over time and successive glitches their number will grow, creating eventually a granular region. Thus we may also expect a long-term change in behavior with pulsar age.

**Acknowledgements**: This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Division of Nuclear Physics, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098..

# References

[1] G. Baym and S. Chin, Phys. Lett. **62B** (1976) 241.

[2] G. Chapline and M. Nauenberg, Nature **264**.

[3] B. D. Keister and L. S. Kisslinger, Phys. Lett. **64B** (1976) 117.

[4] N. K. Glendenning, Nuclear Physics B (Proc. Suppl.) **24B** (1991) 110.

[5] N. K. Glendenning, Phys. Rev. D, **46** (1992) 1274.

[6] N. K. Glendenning, *A Crystalline Quark-Hadron Mixed Phase in Neutron Stars*, to appear in Physics Reports.

[7] H. Heiselberg, C. J. Pethick, and E. F. Staubo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** (1993) 1355.

[8] V. R. Pandharipande and E. F. Staubo, in *International Conference on Astrophysics*, Calcutta, 1993, ed. by B. Sinha, (World Scientific, Singapore).

[9] J. McKenna and A. G. Lyne, Nature, **343** (1990) 349.

[10] R. N. Manchester, in *The Structure and Evolution of Neutron Stars*, ed. by Pines, Tamagaki and Tsurata, (Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, Calif., 1992).

[11] D. G. Ravenhall, C. J. Pethick and J. R. Wilson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50** (1983) 2066.

[12] R. D. Williams and S. E. Koonin, Nucl. Phys. **A435** (1985) 844.

[13] W. D. Myers, W. J. Swiatecki and C. S. Wang, Nucl. Phys. **A436** (1985) 185.

[14] N. K. Glendenning, Astrophys. J. **293** (1985) 470.

[15] N. K. Glendenning and S. A. Moszkowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67** (1991) 2414.

[16] W. B. Fechner and P. C. Joss, Nature **274** (1978) 347;
Nucl. Phys. **A462** (1987) 791;
B. D. Serot and H. Uechi, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) **179** (1987) 272;
N. K. Glendenning, in *Proceedings of the 1989 International Nuclear Physics Conference*, August 1989, Sao Paulo, Brasil, Vol. 2, p. 711, ed. by M. S. Hussein et al., (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990);
J. Ellis, J. Kapusta and K. A. Olive, Nucl. Phys. **B348** (1991) 345;
A. Rosenhauer, E. F. Staubo, L. P. Csernai, T. Overgard, and E. Ostgaard, Nucl. Phys. **540** (1992) 630.

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
TECHNICAL AND ELECTRONIC
INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720