0 0 0 4 8 0 7 0 1 2

UC-34d

Submitted to Physical Review Letters

LBL-6775 c.1
SLAC-PUB-2023
Preprint

RECEIVED
JAN 3 1978
LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

INCLUSIVE BARYON PRODUCTION IN e^+e^- ANNIHILATION

M. Piccolo, I. Peruzzi, D. Lüke, V. Lüth, B. Richter,
G. S. Abrams, M. S. Alam, A. Barbaro-Galtieri,
A. M. Boyarski, M. Breidenbach, W. Chinowsky,
J. M. Dorfan, R. Ely, G. J. Feldman, J. M. Feller,
A. Fong, B. Gobbi, G. Goldhaber, G. Hanson, J. A. Jaros,
A. D. Johnson, J. A. Kadyk, B. P. Kwan, R. R. Larsen,
P. Lecomte, A. M. Litke, H. Lynch, R. J. Madaras,
J. F. Martin, D. H. Miller, H. K. Nguyen, S. I. Parker,
J. M. Paterson, M. L. Perl, T. P. Pun, P. A. Rapidis,
M. T. Ronan, R. R. Ross, D. L. Scharre, R. F. Schwitters,
W. Tanenbaum, T. G. Trippe, G. H. Trilling, V. Vuillemin,
J. E. Wiss, and D. E. Yount

October 1977

Prepared for the U. S. Department of Energy
under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

For Reference

Not to be taken from this room

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY

LBL-6775 c.1

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

0 0 0 0 4 8 0 7 8 1 3

SLAC-PUB-2023
LBL-6775
October 1977
(T/E)

INCLUSIVE BARYON PRODUCTION IN e^+e^- ANNIHILATION*

M. Piccolo,** I. Peruzzi,** D. Lüke, V. Lüth, B. Richter, G. S. Abrams, M. S. Alam, A. Barbaro-Galtieri, A. M. Boyarski, M. Breidenbach, W. Chinowsky, J. M. Dorfan, R. Ely, G. J. Feldman, J. M. Feller, A. Fong, B. Gobbi, G. Goldhaber, G. Hanson, J. A. Jaros A. D. Johnson, J. A. Kadyk‡‡B. P. Kwan, R. R. Larsen, P. LeComte, A. M. Litke, H. Lynch,‡ R. J. Madaras, J. F. Martin, D. H. Miller, H. K. Nguyen,† S. I. Parker, J. M. Paterson, M. L. Perl, T. P. Pun, P. A. Rapidis, M. T. Ronan, R. R. Ross, D. L. Scharre, R. F. Schwitters, W. Tanenbaum,††T. G. Trippe, G. H. Trilling, V. Vuillemin, J. E. Wiss and D. E. Yount

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center and Department of Physics
Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

and

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory and Department of Physics
University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

and

Department of Physics and Astronomy
Northwestern University
Evanston, IL 60201

and

Department of Physics and Astronomy
University of Hawaii
Honolulu, HI 96822

ABSTRACT

The inclusive production of antiprotons and Λ's in e^+e^- annihilation has been measured as a function of the c.m. energy in the range 3.7-7.6 GeV. We find that the baryon cross section has a behavior different from the total hadronic production. Our results show a rapid rise in the ratio $\sigma_{\bar{p}}/\sigma_{\mu\mu}$ between 4.4 and 5 GeV, consistent with what would be expected from charmed baryon production. $\bar{\Lambda}$ production is 10-15% of $\bar{p}$ production at all energies.

(Submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.)

*Work supported in part by the Department of Energy.

We report here our measurements of the inclusive antiproton, Λ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ production cross sections in e^+e^- annihilation. These measurements are important in their own right but are of particular interest now for they allow us to test the hypothesis that a new family of baryons - the charmed baryons - should be produced in e^+e^- collisions. These charmed baryons should undergo a chain of decays[1] and can have protons or Λ's among their decay products, thus giving an increase in the corresponding inclusive cross section when a threshold is passed.

The data sample used in this analysis consists of about 380,000 multihadronic events, corresponding to a total luminosity of about 37.3 pb^{-1}. These data were collected over a period of more than two and a half years using the SLAC-LBL magnetic detector at the e^+e^- storage ring SPEAR of the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center. The apparatus, trigger requirements, and event selection criteria have been described elsewhere.[2,3] A detailed description of the time-of-flight (TOF) system which provides the charged particle identification for the analysis described below can be found in Ref. 4.

To study antiproton production we have selected all multihadronic events with at least three detected charged prongs, and used TOF and momentum measurements to identify the particles. (Our analysis is restricted to antiprotons because protons have a large source of background from electroproduction on the residual gas in SPEAR.) The resolution of the TOF system is $\sigma = 0.35$ nsec, which corresponds to a K-p separation of 1σ at about 1.8 GeV/c; the proton identification

is unambiguous (i.e., > 3 σ) up to 1.0 GeV/c. To make the maximum use of the TOF information and extend the particle identification to higher momenta on a statistical basis, we use a weighing technique.[5] From TOF and momentum measurement, we assign to each track a probability to be a pion, a kaon or a proton, normalized so that the sum of the probabilities is unity. We then evaluate the total number of each kind of particle summing the respective probabilities for all tracks. For momenta up to 1 GeV/c, the number of antiprotons so obtained is the same as that obtained by a straight cut in the calculated mass of the tracks.

For momenta between 1 and 2 GeV/c we must correct for the resolution of the TOF system. We compute the 3 x 3 matrix of π, K and p identification probabilities as a function of momentum (the diagonal elements give the probability that a particle is correctly identified while the off diagonal elements give the misidentification probabilities). The inverse of this matrix relates the observed $\pi/K/p$ rates to the produced $\pi/K/p$ rates. From a Monte Carlo simulation we have found that this gives the correct number of antiprotons but with an error larger than the statistical one.

At momenta above 2 GeV/c the method deteriorates and the particle assignments are almost random. Hence, we use a Monte Carlo calculation to determine the fraction of antiprotons with momentum greater than 2 GeV/c. The fraction ranges from 0 at 4.2 GeV to 9% at 7.4 GeV. We assign an error to this correction equal to its value.

We next determine the efficiency for antiproton detection by a Monte Carlo calculation wherein pions, kaons, and nucleons are produced according to an isotropic phase-space model. The parameters of the model are adjusted at each energy to give the observed multiplicity and mean momentum of the data. The calculated detection efficiency for antiprotons ranges from 33% at 3.7 GeV to 50% at 7.4 GeV.

Λ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ are identified by studying the invariant mass distribution of all two-prong zero-charge combinations in an event (the electroproduction background from residual gas in the SPEAR vacuum chamber is not significant for Λ production). For a pair of tracks to be considered as a Λ or $\bar{\Lambda}$ candidate one of the prongs must have a TOF probability of > 1% of being a p or $\bar{p}$ and the pair vertex must lie between 0.6 and 16 cm from the e^+e^- collision point (the Λ identification procedure is described more fully in Ref. 4). Figure 1 shows the 2-particle mass distribution at low and high c.m. energies. The rms width of the peak is about 4 MeV as expected from our resolution. The Λ detection efficiency is also determined by a Monte Carlo calculation, and ranges from 12% at 3.7 GeV to 18% at 7.4 GeV (including the branching fraction to $p\pi$).

The proton and Λ detection efficiencies determined from the Monte Carlo calculation depend on the particle production model used in the calculation. We have used several models and estimate a systematic error of about $\pm$ 25% in the efficiency calculation for both protons and Λ's. The main effect of this systematic error is on the overall normalization of the data; there is only a small effect between points nearby in energy.

Our results are summarized in Table I where, for each c.m. energy interval, we give the number of antiprotons with momentum lower than 1.0 GeV/c, the total number of antiprotons determined by the weight method, corrected for those with p > 2 GeV/c, the number of detected Λ's, the luminosity and the cross sections (errors do not include efficiency uncertainties).

In Figure 2a we plot $R(p+\bar{p}) = 2\sigma_{\bar{p}}/\sigma_{\mu\mu}$, the ratio of the inclusive charged nucleon cross section to the μ-pair production cross section vs. c.m. energy. Figure 2b shows $R(\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda}) = \sigma(\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda})/\sigma_{\mu\mu}$ while Figure 2c shows for comparison $R_H = \sigma_{TOT}(\text{hadron})/\sigma_{\mu\mu}$ as determined previously in the same detector.[6]

$R(p+\bar{p})$ increases by about a factor of two from roughly 0.3 to 0.6 between 4.4 and 5 GeV in c.m. energy. $R(\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda})$ appears to have a similar behavior though statistical errors are much worse and preclude any precise comparison. $R(\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda})$ is about 10-15% of $R(p+\bar{p})$ at all energies. R_H, on the other hand, increases by a factor of about two around 4 GeV and has a complex structure which has been identified as corresponding to the onset of production of charmed mesons and charmed meson resonances.[5]

The fact that the increase in $R(p+\bar{p})$ occurs above 4.4 GeV while the increase in R_H occurs around 4 GeV gives us confidence that the baryon effect is not due to some subtle particle misidentification problem in the TOF system, and, therefore, that some new baryon production mechanism is coming into play above 4.4 GeV. Such a mechanism could be the production of singly charmed, strangeness 0 or 1 baryons whose thresholds are expected to lie in this range.

Indeed, a particle with the expected properties of such a charmed baryon has been observed in photoproduction[7] and neutrino experiments.[8]

The most direct evidence for the production of charmed baryons in e^+e^- annihilation would be the observation of a peak in the mass distribution of those particles which would be expected in the decays of such baryons. We have not observed such a peak. However, the acceptance of the magnetic detector for the expected decay modes is low and our inability to find a peak is not inconsistent with charmed baryon production.

<u>If the increase in the inclusive cross sections is due to charmed baryon production</u>, our measurements indicate that the ratio of charmed baryon to charmed meson production is about the same as the ratio of uncharmed baryon to uncharmed meson production. Further, with the same assumption, the small value of $\Delta R(\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda})/\ \Delta R(p+\bar{p})$ indicates that the weak decays of charmed baryons must go preferentially to particle combinations containing p, n or $\Sigma^{\pm}$ and not to Λ or Σ^0. Since it is very difficult to conceive of a mechanism which would make charmed baryons decay preferentially to $\Sigma^{\pm}$ and not to Σ^0, it is likely that the preferred decay mode is nucleon plus strange meson and pions.

We acknowledge the support of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for D.L., the Laboratori Nazionali de Frascati dell INFN for I.P. and M.P., and the Swiss National Science Foundation for V.V.

*Work supported in part by the Department of Energy.

**Present address: Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati dell' INFN, Rome Italy

† Present address: Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et Hautes Energies, Université Paris VI, Paris, France

††Present address: Physics Department, Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass.

‡ Present address: DESY, Notkestieg 1, Hamburg, Germany

‡‡Present address: CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

REFERENCES

1. See for example A. De Rujula et al., Phys. Rev. D12, 147 (1975) and B. W. Lee et al., Phys. Rev. D15, 157 (1977).

2. J. E. Augustin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 233 (1975), F. Vannucci et al., Phys. Rev. D15, 1814 (1977).

3. A. Barbaro-Galtieri et al., SLAC-PUB-1976, Submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.

4. I. Peruzzi et al., to be submitted to Phys. Rev.

5. G. Goldhaber et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 419 (1975); I. Peruzzi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 37, 569 (1976); G. J. Feldman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 1313 (1977); G. Goldhaber et al., Phys. Lett. 69B, 503 (1977).

6. J. Siegrist et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 36, 526 (1976); R. F. Schwitters, Proceedings of the 1975 International Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions, Stanford (1975). P. A. Rapidis et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 39 526 (1977).

7. B. Knapp et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 37, 882 (1976).

8. E. G. Cazzoli et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 1125 (1975).

TABLE I

$\bar{p}$ and Λ data summary. The third and sixth columns show numbers of observed events. The fourth column shows the number of observed antiprotons corrected for TOF misidentifications but not for detection efficiency. Systematic errors are not included.

E_{cm} (GeV)	$\int \mathcal{L}\, dt$ (nb^{-1})	$N(\bar{p})$ $p < 1$ GeV/c	$N(\bar{p})$ Weighted and corrected	$\sigma(\bar{p})$ (nb)	$N(\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda})$	$\sigma(\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda})$ (nb)
3.82	2650	424	707 ± 28	0.81 ± 0.03	61 ± 13	0.20 ± 0.04
4.03	2120	335	492 ± 25	0.66 ± 0.03	45 ± 10	0.18 ± 0.04
4.16	1670	266	442 ± 23	0.73 ± 0.04	28 ± 11	0.14 ± 0.06
4.28	850	112	222 ± 16	0.71 ± 0.05	24 ± 7	0.22 ± 0.06
4.41	2510	338	609 ± 28	0.64 ± 0.03	59 ± 13	0.19 ± 0.04
4.72	2210	376	733 ± 31	0.83 ± 0.04	57 ± 15	0.20 ± 0.05
5.32	1200	211	475 ± 27	0.92 ± 0.05	33 ± 10	0.20 ± 0.06
5.86	1970	275	619 ± 46	0.67 ± 0.05	58 ± 10	0.18 ± 0.03
6.23	3440	417	1114 ± 89	0.66 ± 0.05	78 ± 15	0.14 ± 0.03
6.59	4100	431	1224 ± 102	0.58 ± 0.05	114 ± 18	0.16 ± 0.02
7.04	5520	646	1715 ± 155	0.57 ± 0.05	120 ± 19	0.12 ± 0.02
7.36	8790	835	2425 ± 239	0.49 ± 0.05	250 ± 26	0.16 ± 0.02

FIGURE CAPTIONS

1. $\bar{p}\pi^-$ and $\bar{p}\pi^+$ mass distribution for two c.m. energy ranges.

2. a) $R(p+\bar{p}) = 2\sigma(\bar{p})/\sigma_{\mu\mu}$ vs. c.m. energy.

   b) $R(\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda}) = \sigma(\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda})/\sigma_{\mu\mu}$ vs. c.m. energy.

   c) $R_H = \sigma_{HAD}/\sigma_{\mu\mu}$ vs. c.m. energy from previous work.

   Radiative corrections have been made and the large peaks due to the ψ and ψ' have been omitted.


$p\pi^- + \bar{p}\pi^+$
(a)
$E_{c.m.} > 5.0$ GeV
(b)
$E_{c.m.} \leq 5.0$ GeV
COMBINATIONS/(2.5 MeV)
400
320
240
160
80
0
160
120
80
40
0
1.10
1.15
INVARIANT MASS (GeV/c^2)
9-77
3282B1

Fig. 1


(a)
(b)
(c)
$R_{p+\bar{p}}$
$R_{\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda}}$
R
0.6
0.4
0.2
0
0.10
0.05
0
6
4
2
0
3
4
5
6
7
8
$E_{c.m.}$ (GeV)
9-77
3282A2

Fig. 2

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720