Lighting Energy Savings Opportunities in Hotel Guestrooms

Results from a Research Study
at the Redondo Beach Crowne Plaza

Prepared by
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

for

U.S. Department of Energy



OFFICE OF
BUILDING TECHNOLOGY,
STATE AND COMMUNITY PROGRAMS

October 1999

REFERENCE COPY
Does Not
Circulate
Bldg. 50 Library - Ref.
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
Copy 1

LBNL-44448

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

LBNL-44448
L-217

Lighting Energy Savings Opportunities in Hotel Guestrooms

Results from a Research Study at the Redondo Beach Crowne Plaza

Erik Page and Michael Siminovitch
Lighting Research Group
Building Technologies Department
Environmental Energy Technologies Division
Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
University of California
One Cyclotron Road
Berkeley, CA 94720

October 1999

This work was prepared for the Assistant Secretary for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Office of Building Technology, State and Community Programs, Office of Building Equipment of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

Lighting Energy Savings Opportunities in Hotel Guestrooms

Results from a Research Study at the Redondo Beach Crowne Plaza

Erik Page and Michael Siminovitch
Lighting Research Group
Building Technologies Department
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Abstract

A study was conducted by LBNL/DOE in order to better understand the lighting usage and energy consumption patterns in typical hotel guestrooms. This involved a multi-phase research, development and demonstration program. This program started with the identification and characterization of common lighting technologies in hotel guestrooms and ended in the measurement and monitoring of newly developed and existing technologies at a hotel test site. Emphasis was placed on determining where lighting energy was being used by guests and identifying the savings potentials that energy efficient technologies could present. Initial data indicates that compact fluorescent lamps (CFLs) and occupancy sensors offer significant energy savings potentials in hotel guestrooms.

Project Objective

The objective of this research project was to gather information on how lighting is used in typical hotel guestrooms, and determine the subsequent energy implications this information suggests. Specific questions that were addressed included:

- How much energy is used in lighting a guestroom?
- Where is the lighting being used the most?
- What potential savings do CFLs offer?
- What potential savings do occupancy sensors offer?
- Are there trends in room occupancy that affect the energy use patterns?

By answering these questions we can identify the most appropriate energy efficient lighting technologies and approaches in order to assist the lighting and hospitality industries in developing and implementing these solutions.

Research Plan

Ten similar guestrooms on the same wing and floor of the hotel were used for the study. Data loggers were placed on all fixtures in each room in order to record the guests lighting usage patterns. The data loggers were installed for three months in order to gather nearly 1000 "user-days" from these ten rooms. The rooms were both single and double occupancy and had the following lighting fixtures: an entryway downlight, a bathroom light, one or two bed-end table lamps (depending on room type), a table lamp on the desk, and a floor lamp. (See Figures 1 and 2.)



Figure 1: Layout of typical guestroom with fixture types and locations

Figure 2: A typical "single" guestroom with a table lamp on either side of the bed.

Three of the ten rooms were used as a "baseline" and included all incandescent light sources. The seven remaining rooms were retrofitted with a wide variety of energy efficient lighting technologies including novel prototype CFL fixtures and lighting controls developed by LBNL and our industry partners. Many of the table lamps were "dedicated fixtures" which were optimized specifically for CFLs based on photometric research at LBNL. With the exception of several rooms in which CFL torchieres were used in place of the floor lamps, all fixture styles remained consistent, regardless of the source technology used inside them.

Specially designed and prototyped lighting controls were installed on several of the bathrooms. These controls were wall-pack occupancy sensors that included an additional "night light" feature (see Figure 3). The occupancy sensor was set on an extra-long one-hour timeout so that it would not turn the light off if a guest was in the shower or bath for an extended period and was not detected by the occupancy sensor. The special "night light" feature enabled the bathroom light to be operated at 10% of normal light output. This was designed as an energy efficient option for people who may want a low-level night light in their bathroom during the night. The light would stay in the "night light" mode for ten hours or until the on/off switch was pressed again.



Figure 3: Bathroom controls were co-designed by LBNL and Wattstopper that utilized an occupancy sensor and a low-level "night light" feature.

For three months in the summer and fall of 1998, lighting use profile data from the ten guestrooms was collected. All lighting fixtures in the guestrooms were monitored with *Hobo Light State* loggers made by Onset Computer or *Intellitimers* manufactured by Wattstopper. The *Hobos* are small loggers that were placed near the light source, which record the time at which the light is turned on or off (see Figure 4). In addition to the information gathered by the *Hobos*, the *Intellitimers* also record when they detect occupancy in the room with their built-in motion sensors. Occupants were unaware that their usage patterns were being monitored, unless they discovered one of the matchbox-sized loggers. As shown in Figure 4, a brief note indicated these devices were lighting loggers and provided a hotel contact number for the guests who might have questions.



Figure 4: Lighting loggers were connected to all lighting fixtures in the experimental guestroom, including this table lamp.

Results

After the experimental test period, the data loggers were retrieved and brought back to LBNL for data reduction. Unfortunately nearly 20% of the lighting loggers were lost, stolen, or tampered with during the study which left some gaps in the data set.

Figure 5 shows the average use data (or "on-time") for each type of guestroom fixture. This data is based on the average of the ten guestrooms during every occupied day during the study (except for the data from bathroom lights that used the occupancy controllers). This data indicates quite clearly that bathroom lights experience particularly heavy usage at nearly 8 hours of operation a day. Since some hotel bathrooms use incandescent vanity fixtures, this can lead to significant energy loads. At this level of daily usage, a bathroom vanity fixture with four 60W bulbs (the fixtures currently used by the Redondo Beach Crowne Plaza) consumes over 600 kilowatt-hours a year, or almost $60 per year in each guestroom for bathroom lighting alone. The next most used fixtures were the table lamps on the bed end tables that were on for and an average of almost five hours per day. With 100W bulbs, this represents nearly 180 kilowatt-hours per year, or over $12 per table lamp per year to operate.



Figure 5: Average hours of operation per day for various fixture types.

Figure 6 plots the probability of operation for the five different fixture types as a function of time of day. In general, during the early morning hours (midnight to 5 AM) only a small fraction (less that 15%) of the lights are turned on. Alternatively, all fixture types experience peak usages in the morning (6 AM – 10 AM) and in the evenings (after 5 PM). It is interesting to note that some of these fixtures, most notably the high use bathroom and bed fixtures, do not experience a significant "dip" during typically unoccupied daytime periods between 11 AM and 5 PM. These lights are on 20% to 25% of the time during this period. Significant energy savings could potentially be achieved if hotel policy encouraged housekeepers to turn all room lights off when they leave.



Figure 6: The percentage of lamps on at any given hour for each lamp type. For example, at 10 AM, 38% of all bathroom lights are on.

The bathroom fixtures present a significant opportunity for the use of an occupancy sensor because the room is separated from the general guest room area and thus will not be falsely triggered by movement there. Additionally, the long burning hours of the bathroom luminaire make this area particularly suitable for these energy saving sensors. Figures 7 and 8 present a look at the use patterns in this area and can help identify the energy savings potential that occupancy sensors offer in these bathrooms. Figure 7 shows how long these lights are generally left on during each use. This chart shows "twin peaks", one around 1-2 minutes and another around 16-32 minutes, implying that occupants are generally using the bathroom either relatively briefly or for longer time periods, such as for bathing. Rarely is the light turned on and then off in less than 30 seconds or left on longer than two hours at a time.



Figure 7: Guests bathroom lights were rarely left on for more than 2 hours per use

Figure 8 presents the same data in a different manner, plotting a normalized cumulative energy usage as a function of time. This plot is significantly shifted to the right, or towards the longer duration on cycles, indicating that most of the energy is consumed during long periods of operation. In fact the bathroom lights are left on for periods longer than two hours only 10% of the time, but these longer burning periods account for over 75% of this fixtures energy consumption. This statistic leads to a very strong case for an occupancy sensor. If the two-hour and greater cycles were eliminated by an occupancy sensor, significant energy savings could be achieved.

Figure 8: Most of the bathroom fixture's energy was consumed



when the light was left on for 2 hours or longer

Conclusion

A significant finding in this study is the relative usage and energy impact of the bathroom lighting. While many bathroom fixtures are already fluorescent, significant energy savings could be achieved through the integration of occupancy sensors in bathrooms due to the substantial burn hours of these fixtures. Because of their high wattage, incandescent bathroom fixtures offer extraordinary energy savings for occupancy sensors at nearly $40 per fixture per year. But even fluorescent bathroom fixtures could save nearly $10 a year with the addition on an occupancy sensor. Integration of a bathroom lighting controller/occupancy sensor can present energy savings that rival those achieved by retrofitting all table and floor lamps with CFLs, but a much lower initial investment. Assuming that 90% of the 15 million U.S. hotel rooms already have fluorescent bathroom fixtures, an additional three billion kilowatt-hours annually can be saved with occupancy sensors that simply cut off the "on periods" greater than two hours.

In most cases a simple payback of less than two years can be achieved by replacing incandescent lamps with CFLs in table and floor lamps. Many hotels have recognized the energy saving potential of CFLs and mandated their use in all their facilities. But as many as half of the 15 million hotel rooms in the U.S. still use incandescent lamps in the table and floor lamps. If these remaining potable fixtures were relamped with CFLs, the annual energy savings would be three to five billion kilowatt-hours.

Additional research should be conducted on a larger scale and in hotel environments different from Redondo Beach in order to verify these findings. Also, it is critical to obtain user survey information in order to determine the acceptance of these energy savings technologies by the hotel guests. Hotel managers are understandably very reluctant to accept new technologies that they perceive will sacrifice the quality of the guestroom environment—even if the new technology promises to save them money. While more information needs to be gathered, it appears that there are significant energy savings opportunities in hotel guestroom lighting.

Acknowledgments

This work was prepared for the Assistant Secretary for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Office of Building Technology, State and Community Programs, Office of Building Equipment of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

The authors would like to thank Marc LaFrance for his assistance and guidance during this project. We would also like to thank our industrial partners which include The Wattstopper, Advance Transformer, Emess Contract Lighting, Onset Computer Company, Solium, Philips Lighting, and Prolight. We would also like to extend out gratitude to Brad Hollowmon at the PNNL along with Nelson Zager and Ken Gillespie of the Crowne Plaza Redondo Beach for their assistance and cooperation during this research project.

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California.

Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
is an equal opportunity employer.



U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

DA34732-01LAC998/26079