LBNL-51708
Preprint

ERNEST ORLANDO LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY

Model Integration for Assessing Future Hydroclimate Impacts on Water Resources, Agricultural Production and Environmental Quality in the San Joaquin Basin, California

Nigel W.T. Quinn, L.D. Brekke, N.L.Miller,
T. Heinzer, and J.A. Dracup

Earth Sciences Division

October 2002

Submitted to
Environmental Modeling and Software

REFERENCE COPY
Does Not
Circulate

Library Annex Reference
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Copy 1

LBNL-51708

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

LBNL-51708

Model Integration for Assessing Future Hydroclimate Impacts on Water Resources, Agricultural Production and Environmental Quality in the San Joaquin Basin, California

Nigel W.T. Quinn, L.D. Brekke, N.L. Miller, T. Heinzer and J.A. Dracup

HydroEcological Engineering & Decision Support
Earth Sciences Division, 70A-3317H
Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

October 1, 2002

This work was supported by the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation under US Department of Interior Interagency Agreement No. 3-AA-20-10970 through U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

Model Integration For Assessing Future Hydroclimate Impacts on Water Resources, Agricultural Production and Environmental Quality in the San Joaquin Basin, California

N.W.T. Quinn[ab], nwquinn@lbl.gov, L.D. Brekke[b],

N.L. Miller[a], T. Heinzer[c], H. Hidalgo[b] and J.A. Dracup[b]

a. *Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA 94720*
b. *University of California, Institute for Environmental Science and Engineering, 412 O'Brien Hall, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720*
c. *United States Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825*

Abstract: The US National Assessment of the Potential Consequences of Climate Variability and Change provides compelling arguments for action and adaptive measures to help mitigate water resource, agricultural production and environmental quality impacts of future climate change. National resource planning at this scale can benefit by the development of integrated impact analysis toolboxes that allow linkage and integration of hydroclimate models, surface and groundwater hydrologic models, economic and environmental impact models and techniques for social impact assessment. Simulation models used in an assessment of climate change impacts on water resources, agriculture and environmental quality in the San Joaquin Basin of California are described in this paper as well as the challenges faced in linking the component models within an impacts assessment toolbox. Results from simulations performed with several of the tools in the impacts assessment toolbox are presented and discussed. After initially attempting model integration with the public domain, GIS-based modeling framework MMS/OUI, frustration with the framework's lack of flexibility prompted development of a common geodatabase to allow linkage of model input and output for the primary simulation models. A GIS-based data browser was also developed that works with both network flow models and makes calls to a model postprocessor that shows model output for each selected node in each model network. This data and output browser system is

flexible and can readily accommodate future changes in the model network configuration and in the model database.

Keywords: Climate change; water resources; modeling; impact assessment

1. INTRODUCTION

In the past decade concerns about possible global climate change and its impacts on water resources and agricultural production has stimulated interdisciplinary research in climatology and water resource systems engineering. Water resource management agencies have been challenged as a result of this research to formulate policy and local strategies to cope with the contingency of climate change. In the arid San Joaquin Valley of California, a four billion dollar agricultural economy is dependent on irrigation for its viability. Changes in the reliability of water for irrigation in this Basin as a result of future climatic change could have serious consequences for the California economy. Contingency planning will require the development and linkage of analytical tools and simulation models for resource management under climate change. This paper describes an integrated modeling toolbox developed to evaluate water supply, agricultural production, environmental and social impacts to climate change in the SJR Basin (SJRB).

1.1 Background

The SJRB contains two million hectares of crop land, receives an average of 20 cm of precipitation annually and hence relies on both local and imported irrigation water supply to meet the needs of agriculture. The east side of the SJRB is supplied by four tributaries, the Stanislaus, Tuolumne, Merced and Upper San Joaquin Rivers that originate in the Sierra Nevada mountain range and provide high quality snowmelt water during spring months (Figure 1). During dry and critically dry years flow in the San Joaquin River (SJR) is dominated by agricultural drainage flows from the west-side of the Basin. West-side subsurface agricultural drainage and surface drainage from managed wetlands contain high concentrations of soluble salts and trace elements such as boron and selenium. In spite of constraints imposed on agricultural, wetland and municipal return flows to the SJR, the contaminant loading from these sources remains the single most important determinant of the ecological health of the Bay-Delta ecosystem, which supplies drinking water to 20 million people.

2. CLIMATE CHANGE STUDIES

A number of California climate change studies have been conducted that assumed doubled atmospheric carbon-dioxide and applied both General Circulation Models (GCMs) and hydrologic models (Lettenmaier and Ghan 1990; Dracup and Pelmulder 1993) to simulate impacts. The large-scale planetary signals from the Global Circulation Models (GCMs) generated weather patterns that provided data to hydrologic models to estimate mean monthly streamflow in the SJR and its major tributaries. Conclusions drawn from these studies agreed that a global warming trend in California would likely lead to more severe winter storms, earlier runoff from the Sierra snowpack, and reduced summertime flow in tributary streams. Reduced volumes of summertime flow produce less stored water in State and Federal reservoirs leading to shortages to water contractors, especially those located south of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. This situation is a result of the uneven distribution of water resources within the State where the majority of the mountain snowpack and the developed water supply is located in the north-western part of the State and is conveyed south through the State's elaborate distribution system.

Most of these studies focused on streamflow response to shifts in the timing and form of precipitation and did not address the issue of inter-annual variability. In addition they did little more than make qualitative statements about the implications for these changes for the environment in the SJRB, in particular about agricultural, water quality, fishery or socioeconomic impacts. The modeling toolbox developed in the present study addresses these deficiencies.

2.1 Weather and Climate Simulations

Weather and climate simulations for the current study were performed by downscaling large-scale data derived from General Circulation Models (GCMs) to nested limited area models (Miller and Kim 1997). Figure 2 illustrates the sequence of climate, weather and hydrology models used in this analysis. Output products include regional hydroclimate simulations (precipitation, snow budget, soil moisture, streamflow, temperature, wind, surface energy and water budgets) for short-term forecasts, seasonal-scale experimental predictions, and long-

term climate scenarios (Miller et al. 2001). A pre-processor prepares data from GCMs, global analysis, land surface geographical information, satellite and other remotely-sensed information to help automate the downscaling procedures.

In the present study, four climate simulations were performed based on the published results from two competing GCM's - the Hadley Centre Model (HadCM2) (Johns et al. 1997), which produces results for California that show relatively wet and warm climatic trends, and the National Center for Atmospheric Research Pacific Climate Model (PCM), which produces relatively cool and dry projections. These models are considered to represent the two end-members of a large number competing GCM's. For each climate simulation two scenarios were produced representing Present-day Climate (PC) and an annual transient increased Changed Climate (CC). The methodology followed for simulating climate uses two climate change (CC) projection members from separate ensemble projections (HadCM and PCM), each representing a transient one percent annual increase in average global GHG concentrations (Miller et al., 2001). The study focused on two 30-year periods (2010-2039, 2050-2079) and one 20-year period (2080-2099), regarding them as future climatological periods centered about 2025, 2065, and 2090, respectively. This resulted in six CC scenarios being developed: HadCM2025, HadCM2065, HadCM2090, PCM2025, PCM2065, and PCM2090. For each scenario, California's CC hydrological conditions were represented using rainfall-runoff simulations in six representative watersheds, five of which drain into Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins. To improve the accuracy of the snowmelt and runoff forecasts the downscaled data was organized by tributary basin. The Merced River Basin and the Kings River Basin were chosen as representative of the hydrology of the east-side tributaries of the SJR (Miller et al., 2001). The Kings River Basin, located in the southern Sierra Nevada along the south edge of the SJRB, is hydraulically connected to the SJR during high flow conditions when some of its flow is diverted north; otherwise the Kings River flows south into the Tulare Lake Basin. The Merced River Basin lies at a higher altitude basin than the Stanislaus, Tuolomne and San Joaquin Basins and therefore accumulates more precipation as snowfall than the adjacent Basins. It also requires larger temperature shifts to initiate snowmelt. Hence, the Kings River Basin was used to represent the upper Stanislaus, Tuolomne and SJR Basins.

Streamflow for each basin was simulated using an application of the National Weather Service – River Forecast System Sacramento Soil Moisture Accounting Model (Burnash et al. 1973) coupled to the snow accumulation and ablation Anderson Snow Model (Anderson 1973). Basin models were validated using streamflow and mean upper- and lower-basin area precipitation and temperature data (i.e. MAP and MAT data) archived by the National Weather Service from 1963 to 1992, a period representative of a present climate (PC) hydroclimatology in the Miller et al. study (2001) (i.e. MAP_{PC} and MAT_{PC})

MAP and MAT data for each CC-scenario rainfall-runoff simulation were developed from the GCM data using historically derived regression equations based on the PRISM technique (i.e. the Parameter-elevation Regressions on Independent Slopes Model (Daly et al. 1994)), where GCM temperature and precipitation data were first downscaled to 10-km spatial resolution, then aggregated into MAP_{CC} and MAT_{CC} data, and finally averaged within each calendar month yielding 12 climatological values for each projection period. Daily MAT_{CC} and MAP_{CC} data for each basin and each scenario were then created by first measuring MAT_{PC} and MAP_{PC} sensitivity to climate change within each calendar month. Temperature sensitivities were expressed as the mean monthly incremental difference between MAT_{CC} and MAT_{PC} while precipitation sensitivities were expressed as the ratios of MAP_{CC} to MAP_{PC}. These CC temperature sensitivities were then added to daily MAT_{PC} data to produce daily MAT_{CC} data for simulation. Likewise, daily MAP_{PC} were multiplied by CC precipitation sensitivities to create daily MAP_{CC} data for simulation (Miller et al. 2001).

Streamflow responses to climate change were represented in the form of climatological monthly streamflow perturbation functions (Table 1). These functions represent a monthly percent change in CC relative to PC streamflow. These functions were used in this assessment as a basis for creating reservoir inflow perturbation functions for reservoirs in the State Water Project (SWP) and Federal Central Valley Project (CVP) . Some of the function values are high for winter months using the HadCM scenarios (e.g. Merced, Kings). These high values occur because the HadCM scenario leads to a shift in the annual runoff pattern where proportionately more runoff occurs in winter relative to spring. Historically, winter

runoff has been quite small in these basins because annual runoff has been dominated by spring-summer snowmelt.

Table 1. Streamflow perturbation functions

HadCM Streamflow ratios	2010-2039	2050-2079	2080-2099
Oct - Mar	~2	~2	~5
Apr - Sept	~0.8	~0.8	~0.5
PCM Streamflow ratios	2010-2039	2050-2079	2080-2099
Oct - Mar	~1	~1	~1
Apr - Sept	~0.5	~0.5	<0.5

3. WATER RESOURCE SIMULATION MODELS

In order to simulate the impacts of potential changes in hydroclimatology on water resources, agricultural economic sustainability and environmental quality, a series of water agency-supported simulation models were employed. These models were each developed independently and as a consequence are difficult to use for interdisciplinary studies that move beyond the limited range of cause-effect relationships each of the individual models was designed to simulate. Information is processed sequentially through these models; rainfall runoff predictions from the climate models are transformed by a set of rules and system constraints to arrive at agency water allocation decision; these water allocations impact agricultural production in the watershed which in turn affects the quantity and quality of agricultural subsurface drainage; agricultural drainage combines with tributary flows in the SJR to modify instream water quality; instream water quality can have impacts on fishery and wildlife resources. Because these models are independent they have redundancies – i.e. there are certain decision variables that are estimated independently by more than one model. An example of this redundancy is the calculation of SJR electrical conductivity (EC) at the Vernalis compliance monitoring station, which is made according to a regression equation by the water allocation model CALSIM-II, and by mass balance using the hydrodynamic river model DSM2-SJR (both models are described in the next section). Feedback loops between

models are necessary to resolve these discrepancies and to avoid mass balance and accounting errors in the simulation.

3.1 Water Allocation And Streamflow Simulation (CALSIM-II)

CALSIM-II is a hybrid linear optimization model which translates the unimpaired streamflows into impaired streamflows, taking into account reservoir operating rules and water demands exerted at model nodes, modified to reflect a year 2001 level of watershed development. The model code is written in a high-level programming language called WRESL, developed by the California Department of Water Resources (DWR), and the system of WRESL equations is solved using a proprietary solver XA (Sunset Software Inc.). CALSIM-II was developed jointly by the State DWR and Federal US Bureau of Reclamation (USBR) to represent the joint Federal (CVP) –State (SWP) water supply delivery system. The model is used to simulate existing and potential water allocation and reservoir operating policies and constraints that balance water use among competing interests. CALSIM-II includes existing contract water delivery goals for Federal, State and other users of California's developed water supply and imposes constraints (weights or penalty functions) on water allocation based on existing regulations for managing SJR water quality, Delta water quality, and SJRB anadromous fish migration. The model output includes monthly reservoir releases, channel flows, reservoir storage volumes, and parameters describing SJR and Delta water quality conditions.

In a typical CALSIM-II model run, output from the regional climate simulation models provides input unimpaired streamflow data, which are processed to provide monthly flow volumes within the major east-side and west-side watersheds, that collectively drain into the SJR (Figure 2). Calibration of the model involves tuning the objective function weights to ensure adherence to water supply operations rules and in-stream flow and water quality constraints. The model has distinct advantages over previous "hard-coded" Fortran models in that it allows policy and operating rule adjustments to be made with relative ease and in an explicit, easily identifiable manner. This is of particular benefit for contingency planning under future climate change scenarios where additional storage or changes in flood storage and release policies may be explored as ways of improving the reliability of water supply

under forecasts of increased future demand. It is also the reason this model was selected as part of the climate change impacts assessment toolbox.

CALSIM-II uses a 74-year time series of reservoir inflow data, which represents historically observed inflow from 1922-1995 and is intended to represent the hydroclimatology of the present climate. The 74-years of annual hydrologic year-type classifications in CALSIM-II made according to state and federal classification systems were reclassified for the climate change scenario because they affect the values used to constrain water demand, water quality, groundwater pumping, and minimum instream flow requirements. The state and federal agencies typically determine year-type classifications early in the year to set up water allocation priorities for the remainder of the year. This allows contractors subject to shortages to have sufficient time to explore alternative water supply options, such as water markets and groundwater pumping. CALSIM-II simulates water allocation using nine independent hydrologic year-type classification systems. Each one is designed for a particular CVP, SWP, or Delta water resource management objective, and has two to six year-type categories ranging from very critical (dry) to wet.

Monthly climate change reservoir inflows were calculated by simply multiplying the reservoir's monthly present climate inflows with the monthly scaling factors (perturbation functions) of that reservoir's headwater basin or an associated basin. Simulations of water supply deliveries were made using CALSIM-II for both the HadCM2 and PCM GCM downscaled hydrology.

3.2 Agricultural Production And Drainage Salinity (APSIDE)

To address issues pertaining to agricultural production response to changes in long-term water allocation, a unique Agricultural Production Salinity Irrigation Drainage Economics model (APSIDE) has been developed. APSIDE is a non-linear programming model written in the GAMS (Generalized Algebraic Modeling System) language and uses the non-linear solver CONOPT2 to obtain optimal solutions to the model objectives function (GAMS Development Corp, 2002). The objective function maximizes agricultural production and on-farm revenue subject to environmental and policy constraints on subsurface drainage to the

SJR and crop yield response to root zone salinity. APSIDE simulates agricultural yield and productivity response to reductions in water supply, irrigation water quality, root zone and groundwater salinity and to predict future agricultural drainage flows and water quality (Hatchett - 2002). Subsurface drainage flows from agricultural lands on the west side of the SJRB, because of the marine origin of the soils, have a significant impact on SJR water quality. The APSIDE model receives as input the annual water deliveries predicted by the CALSIM-II model to determine crop production, groundwater pumpage and irrigation return flows for individual water districts within the San Joaquin Basin. (Figure 2) The crop production function contained within the APSIDE objective function attempts to maximize land productivity by minimizing costs of agricultural production as well as salinity impacts of crop yield. APSIDE also performs flow and salinity mass balances between the crop root zone, the shallow unconfined aquifer, deep unconfined aquifer and confined aquifer.

3.3 River Flow And Water Quality Simulation

Drainage return flows generated by the APSIDE model must be routed to the SJR in order to determine the impact of these activities on river water quality. A one-dimensional hydrodynamic flow and salinity model, DSM2-SJR (a version of DWR's Delta Simulation Model extended and calibrated for the SJR) is used to make these computations. DSM2-SJR is written in Fortran and compiled using Lahey Fortran 90. The hydrodynamic module of DSM2-SJR (HYDRO) calculates flow in the SJR using river cross section data and estimated bed roughness along each reach. The flow model takes account of inflows to and withdrawls from the SJR as well as the processes of direct seepage and evaporation. The water quality module (QUAL) is based on the USEPA QUAL2E model and accounts for salt load along each reach of the SJR. SJR flows and water quality are calculated for every tenth of a mile. Riparian diversions are currently estimated using three types of data: acreage irrigated by each pump, cropping patterns, and crop water use. Groundwater accretions or depletions and quality are currently considered steady state and are defined by the modeler for user-specified reaches of the river. The flow and water quality computation performed by DSM2-SJR is superior to the flow-salinity static regression equation, used in CALSIM-II, to estimate salinity concentration at Vernalis and to simulate required reservoir released from New Melones Reservoir to meet Vernalis electrical conductivity (EC) objectives. One of the

primary authorized uses of New Melones storage is for the maintenance of a 30-day running EC objective at Vernalis. Hence in instances where the 30-day running average salinity objective is exceeded, CALSIM-II Stanislaus River dilution flows need to be updated, as does the storage volume in New Melones Reservoir. This requires iteration of the CALSIM-II model.

3.4 Results of model simulations

To illustrate the utility of the impact assessment toolbox developed in this project results are presented for water allocation and salinity management under climate change. Simulation results are shown for three multi-year drought periods experienced in California for the years 1928 to 1934, 1976 to 1977, and 1986 to 1991). Drought periods are instructive in that they produce the greatest stresses on the water conveyance and water allocation infrastructure of the State and expose deficiencies in water supply reliability. These drought events are simulated using a 2001 level of development. Level of development refers to the dams, irrigation canals, groundwater pumping stations, river diversion structures etc. that exist and are functional in the State at any point in time. A way to assess the impacts on SJRB west side deliveries is to consider the ratios of water delivery relative to water supply demand for all CVP agricultural users south of the Delta. This parameter is used as an index of water shortage in the SJRB and as an indicator of stress in the water supply infrastruture.

3.5 CALSIM-II water allocation impacts under HadCM

Under a HadCM-based climate change, inflows increase dramatically, impacting the ability of the State's reservoir system (at a 2001 level of development) to store wet-season flood flows. Under this climate change scenario the SJRB east side inflow from the Sierra Nevada mountains accounts for 25% of annual SWP and CVP inflow using 2001 data. For the 2025 period, the greatest impacts would occur during winter and spring months (i.e. Dec-May), with an 80% increase relative to 2001; the annual inflow increase would be 57%. By 2065, these percentages rise to 127% and 85%; and, by 2090 they increase to 236% and 152%. The HadCM-based results differ from the conclusions drawn by previous studies whereby climate change will result in warmer winter storms, less snowpack accumulation, and lower spring-summer streamflow and water supply (e.g., Revelle and Waggoner 1983; Dracup and

Pelmulder 1993). Rather, the HadCM-based results suggest that CVP-SWP aggregate inflow and SJRB inflow during spring-summer would remain largely unchanged through 2065 with reductions appearing at 2090 (Figure 3). Moreover, these 2090 spring-summer reductions would be more than offset by increased winter and early-spring inflow, which might allow for maintained spring-summer water deliveries relative to BST2001. The reason for the inconsistency between previous studies' results and those presented, is that previous studies did not consider a climate scenario as wet as the HadCM projection.

Given significantly increased inflow under the HadCM scenarios, instream flows and reservoir release volumes would increase and water allocation goals would be more easily achieved given the abundant water supply. The greatest opportunity for increasing SJRB reservoir storage occurs during relative *DRY* years (e.g., New Melones Reservoir). Noticeable increases were simulated for 2025 and became more pronounced by 2065. In relative *DRY* years, simulated CC-based reservoir release volumes changed little because existing reservoir storage capacities allowed for more stored water assuming 2001 conditions. In contrast, reservoir release volumes during relative *WET* years increased significantly during the winter months because the 2001 residual storage capacity is small compared to the anticipated *WET* year inflow volumes under HadCM climate change. Looking at HadCM-based impacts, water quality conditions at the SJR Vernalis compliance monitoring station experienced little effect relative to 2001 conditions.

3.6 CALSIM-II water allocation impacts under PCM

In contrast to HadCM-based assessment for reservoir inflow impacts, PCM-based results (Figure 3) are consistent with conclusions made in previous studies that climate change will result in warmer winter storms, less snowpack accumulation, and less spring/summer reservoir inflow (e.g., Lettenmaier and Gan 1990; USBR 1991; Miller et al. 1999).

Impacts to SJRB inflow illustrate the spatial signature of potential climate change impacts on California hydrology (Miller et al. 2001). For the 2025 period, virtually no impact is expected in the SJRB with spring-summer inflow decreasing by less than 1% and annual inflow *increasing* by 1%. By 2065, these percentages, decrease by 23% and 13% for the

spring-summer and annual period, respectively. By 2090 the spring-summer and annual percent decreases become 44% and 24%, respectively. Under PCM climate change, decreases in project reservoir inflow would increase competition among system users, thereby increasing the significance of water allocation priorities on distributing the deliveries impacts throughout the system. Using New Melones Reservoir as an example of SJRB east side stored water and release impacts both conditions would remain largely unchanged by 2025. However by 2065 the effects of reduced reservoir inflows would induce stored water volume decreases for all water-year types and corresponding release volume decreases, especially during *WET* years because the reduced *DRY* and *NORMAL* year stored water conditions would require less *WET* year releases to mitigate the increased susceptibility to multi-year drought events. This impact is most pronounced by 2090 when *WET* year release volumes are reduced year-round.

Given the decreases in reservoir inflow and stored water volumes, SJRB aggregate deliveries would suffer. , The SJRB east side would experience virtually no change in delivery volume as late as 2065; and, not until 2090 are the volume decreases relative to 2001 conditions very significant, and only during relative *DRY* years. By contrast, the west-side delivery reductions are significant in 2065 for relative *DRY* years and in 2090 for both relative *DRY* and *NORMAL* years.

Evaluating the ratios of PCM-based delivery relative to demand for all CVP agricultural users south of the Delta, the suggested impacts would be small by 2025. The 2001 ratios were simulated to be 33%, 74%, and 92% of demand for *DRY*, *NORMAL*, and *WET* years, respectively . By 2025, the deficits under PCM climate change worsen relative to 2001, as the ratios become 30%, 71%, and 89%; by 2065 they are 19%, 58%, and 80%; and, by 2090 they've diminished to 10%, 35%, and 67%. With decreased reservoir inflow, decreased stored water, high prioritization of water allocation for water quality management relative to agricultural production, consumptive deliveries would be expected to bear the brunt of water shortages created under PCM climate change.

Reduced reservoir inflow, stored water conditions, and water supply available for all uses under PCM climate change would intuitively lead to more constraints on managing salinity conditions at the SJR Vernalis compliance monitoring station (Figure 4). However, given CALSIM-II's flexibility to make allocation adjustments within the system, results show that adverse impacts at Vernalis would not be expected until 2065, and only for the most severe simulated drought event (i.e. the 2065-perturbed "1928-1934" event) where a sharp increase in summer salinity conditions occurs near the end of the multi-year event. The 2090-perturbed "1928-1934" event presents a greater challenge as salinity spikes occur by simulation year 1930 (Figure 4); a salinity spike also occurs near the end of the "1986-1991" event.

3.7 APSIDE agricultural production impacts under PCM

Output from the APSIDE model is shown in Figure 5. Only the PCM output is reported since APSIDE is not expected to deviate from the base condition under the surplus water supply conditions produced under the wet HadCM scenario. For the 2025 PCM climate scenario, the linked downscaling, rainfall runoff, basin hydrology and CALSIM-II models produce a reduction in mean monthly precipitation and a decline in reservoir storage. These impacts suggest an average annual reduction in water deliveries of about 50% to west-side federal water districts such as the Broadview Water District for normal to dry years (50% obtained by averaging dry and normal year results) on the west side of the San Joaquin Basin. Although these reductions in deliveries do not produce a significant change in drainage return flows from the water districts in the simulation – water quality shows a significant response at the end of a 20 year simulation, leading to an increase in drainage salt concentration during summer months (Figure 5), as farmers pump more groundwater and recirculate more of their drainage water. This increase is typically matched by an increase in residual salinity within the crop root zone. Drainage volumes predicted by the APSIDE model are dynamic, since they are the result of potential changes in agricultural land use, irrigation and drainage technology adoption and land retirement decisions over time. The static return flow estimates calculated by CALSIM-II must therefore be updated with these newly calculated estimates. Calibration of the basin scale APSIDE model that updates the estimates of west-side drainage flow and salt loads is the subject of ongoing research.

3.8 DSM2-SJR flow and water quality under PCM

As previously described, the regression relationship, developed to relate flow and Vernalis electrical conductivity in the CALSIM-II model breaks down under a PCM climate change scenario, where responses to water shortages in the Basin result in greater groundwater pumping and subsurface drainage recycling (Figure 6). Basin level drainage flow and salt load estimates, obtained from APSIDE, will be provided as input to DSM2-SJR, once the Basin-scale APSIDE model is calibrated, to obtain a more accurate estimate of Vernalis water quality. CALSIM-II will be rerun iteratively with the new estimate of Vernalis EC which will in turn change releases from reservoir storage to meet the water quality objective. A closure criterion has been set to limit the number of model iterations

4. MODEL INTEGRATION

One of the difficulties in linking mathematical models that were not designed to work together is resolving data inconsistencies and making provision for model feedback where one model such as DSM2-SJR is capable of producing a superior estimate of an important state variable, such as Vernalis EC. It is this aspect of model integration that is the most tedious and which works against the principles of modularity and object oriented model construction and use. Model integration was considered of highest priority for the impacts models, CALSIM-II, APSIDE and DSM2-SJR. These models collectively contain hundreds of decision variables many of which will need to revised based on applicable policy and level of development assumptions. The first step in integration was to design a common database format that the models could each read from and write to. It was fortuitous that the authors of both the DSM2-SJR and CALSIM codes chose the Hydrologic Engineering Center's Data Storage System (HEC-DSS) as their database of choice. HEC-DSS is a non-relational, efficient database for time-series data, is in the public domain and has been used for two decades as the data engine for a suite of HEC water resources models. The Generalized Algebraic Modeling System (GAMS) data structures for the APSIDE model have been translated through the use of simple Java-Python scripts to HEC-DSS file format. The second step was to georeference all three models within a common Geographical Information System (GIS). The CALSIM-II model nodes were not spatially defined, hence it was

impossible to resolve the stream gains, losses and diversions between network nodes for the two models. Since the level of disaggregration in DSM-SJR is much greater than CALSIM-II this has required GIS mapping of basin catchments and comparing stream reach gains, losses and return flow estimates with independent estimates from a groundwater-surface water model for the San Joaquin Basin. A map-based, graphical user interface (GUI) was concieved that would allow the data to be retrieved through interrogation of the base map and allows graphical output to be displayed at each element/node for each model.

5. DATA INTEGRATION ARCHITECTURE

The Modular Modeling System/Object User Interface - MMS/OUI (Leavesley et al. , 1996) was initially selected as a framework for model integration. The OUI modeling framework contains pre-processing, model run, post-processing and visualization libraries that allow individual models or modules of an existing model to be "shrink wrapped" and hence treated as objects within a GIS-based Decision Support System. The model component includes tools written in Java and C programming languages to selectively link process modules to perform the variety of simulation tasks called for within the DSS and delegated to each model. The GIS layers for each model were successfully loaded into the OUI however problems were encountered in developing the Java code for the data management interface (DMI) between HEC-DSS and OUI. The MMS/OUI developers have recently produced a DMI for HEC-DSS but only for models operating on a daily timestep. All the models in our system operate on a monthly timestep. MMS/OUI was therefore rejected for our application. It may be unsuitable for projects where databases and model timesteps are not actively supported by the developers.

A more generic approach to integration and visulaization of data was conceived using new features available in the Environmental Research Systems Institute's (ESRI's) COM based object model. A collaborative development effort was initiated involving the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) and the Bureau of Reclamation Mid-Pacific Region to develop an ArcObjects based GIS interface for the CALSIM II, DSM-2 and APSIDE models. A primary motivating factor for this effort was to georeference each of the models

to allow construction of a geodatabase. The nodal networks for the CALSIM-II or DSM2-SJR models were previously only partially tied to geographical features – in most cases only at the major river tributaries and bifurcations. In order to properly georeference each model another project was initiated to resolve the watershed hydrology at each model node. A GIS "robot" was created for this purpose which mapped points on a one mile square grid mesh, superimposed upon water district boundary maps, to model nodes on the SJR and its major west-side tributaries. The robot searches the digital elevation map data to define a locus of low points along which surface runoff generated on irrigated land can flow to the river. The GIS provides information on interceptor ditches and other barriers to overland flow which can alter the routing of surface water return flows to CALSIM-II and DSM2-SJR model nodes.

A screenshot of the ArcObjects based tool is shown in Figure 7. The tool was developed using Visual Basic to build the georeferenced models in the GIS using a CAD flowchart representation of the CALSIM-II and DSM2-SJR models. APSIDE is not a network flow model but rather produces output on drainage flows and drainage salinity loads that are associated with individual water districts. The different model objects for CALSIM-II and DSM2-SJR (channel reaches, nodes, diversions, etc.) were created as separate feature classes within a feature database residing in the geodatabase. The tools enable the addition, removal, moving, and attribution of the objects.

Rather than build a new database browser the Department of Water Resources' VISTA software package was utilized which enables the graphing and tabular viewing of DSS data in a Java environment. VISTA was embedded in the interface code so that it can be invoked directly from either CALSIM-II or DSM2-SJR GIS-based GUI. Procedurally, one can select a number of network elements, and view the associated hydrographic data (Figure 7). The geodatabase format allows selection of the network elements into a 'geometric network', which provides for relationship assignments between the different objects. For example, if one moves a network node, the other reaches 'rubber band' with it. Custom logical network tracing may also be performed. Users make queries based on date/time fields and extract hourly or monthly data. A statistical analysis tool is used to view results in the form pie

charts, stacked area charts, bar charts or line charts that can be either two dimensional for maximum readability or three dimensional for maximum effect. Users have the option to save this information in Excel compatible Comma Separated Values (CSV) format for further processing in a spreadsheet such as Excel.

6. SUMMARY

The modeling toolbox described in this paper involves the integration of state-of-the-art resource management models newly developed within the State and Federal water agencies in California. The toolbox minimizes the time required for file manipulation and to formulate impact response scenarios allowing the analyst to simulate the impacts of global climate change on important California resources such as water supply, water quality, agricultural production and economic activity. These factors are key to the development of secondary and tertiary impact assessments dealing with issues such as the California fisheries, endangered species issues and socioeconomic welfare. Integration using a public domain toolbox MMS/OUI failed owing to a lack of conformity to a database engine and model timestep that are supported by the application. Instead a less elegant but more robust web-based data and analysis browsing system has been developed that satisfies the project goals.

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge project funding from the US EPA STAR Program (Science to Achieve Results) under grant R827448-01-0. We also wish to thank the Hadley Centre in Great Britain and the National Center for Atmospheric Research in the United States for providing the HadCM and PCM output.

8. REFERENCES

Anderson, E.A., 1973. National Weather Service River Forecast System: SnowAccumulation and Ablation Model. Technical Memorandum NWS NES HYDRO-17, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Silver Spring, MD.

Brekke L.D, N.L. Miller, K.E. Bashford, N.W.T. Quinn, J.A. Dracup. 2002. Climate Change Impacts Uncertainty for San Joaquin River Basin Water Resources. . Submitted to the *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, July 2002.

Burnash, R.J.C., R.L. Ferral, and R.A. McQuire, et al. 1973. A Generalized Streamflow Simulation System. *In*: Conceptual Modeling for Digital Computers, U.S. National Weather Service, Silver Spring, MD. 204pp.

Daly, C., R.P. Neilson, and D.L. Phillips, 1994. A Statistical-Topographic Model for Mapping Climatological Precipitation over Mountainous Terrain. Journal of Applied Meteorology 33:140-158.

Dracup, J.A. and Pelmulder S.D, 1993. Estimation of Monthly Average Streamflow for the Sacramento-San Joaquin River System under Normal, Drought, and Climate Change Conditions. *In*: Integrated Modeling of Drought and Global Warming: Impacts on Selected California Resources. Report by the National Institute for Global Environmental Change, University of California, Davis, CA. 112pp.

DWR 2002. San Joaquin River Real-time Water Quality Management Program internet site. Division of Planning and Local Assistance. California Department of Water Resources Available online at http://wwwdpla.water. ca.gov/sjd/waterquality/realtime/ Cited June 2002.

Hatchett S.A. 2001. Western Resource Economics. Report on APSIDE model results. University of California, Berkeley, July 2001.

Johns, T.E., Carnell, R.E., Crossley, J.F., Gregory, J.M., Mitchell, J.F.B., Senior, C.A., Tet, S.F.B., and Wood, R.A. (1997). "The second Hadley Centre coupled ocean-atmosphere GCM: model description, spinup, and validation." Climate Dynamics, 13(2), 103-134.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Data Distribution Centre: Gateway. (2001). http://ipcc-ddc.cru.uea.ac.uk/ (June 7, 2001)

Leavesley, G.H., P.J. Restrepo, S.L. Markstrom, M. Dixon, and L.G. Stannard, 1996. The Modular Modeling System (MMS): User's Manual. U.S. Geological Survey, Open File Report 96-151, Denver, CO 1996.

Lettenmaier, D.P. and Gan, T.Y., 1990. Hydrologic Sensitivities of the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Basin, California, to Global Warming. Water Resources Research 26(1):69-86.

Miller, N.L., J. Kim, R.K. Hartman, and J. Farrara, et al., 1999. Downscaled Climate and Streamflow Study of the Southwestern United States. Journal of American Water Resources Association 35:1525-1537.

Miller, N.L., W.J. Gutowski., J. Kim and E. Strem, 2001. Assessing California streamflow for present day and 2040 to 2049 climate scenarios. Submitted to *Journal of Hydrometeorology* in May, 2001.

Miller, N. and J. Kim, 1997. The Regional Climate System Model. In Mission Earth: Modeling and Simulation for a Sustainable Global System. Soc. for Computer Simulation International, Ed. M. Clymer and C. Mechoso, 55-60.

Quinn N.W.T., N.L. Miller, J.A. Dracup, L.D. Brekke and L.F. Grober, 2000. An integrated modeling system for environmental impact analysis of climate variability and extreme weather events in California Proc. Workshop Symposium on Integration in Environmental Information Systems, Grand Hotel Zell am See, Austria

Revelle R.R., and P.E. Waggoner, 1983. Effects of a Carbon Dioxide induced Climatic Change on Water Supplies in the Western United States. *In*: Changing Climate, National Academy of Sciences Press, Washington, D.C. 496pp.

USBR (United States Bureau of Reclamation), 1991. Evaluation of Central Valley Project Water Supply and Delivery Systems. Technical Report by the USBR Mid-Pacific Regional Office, Sacramento, CA.



Figure 1. Map of the San Joaquin River Basin (SJRB) showing major east-side tributaries and the water quality compliance monitoring station at Vernalis.



Figure 2. Sequential linkage of models to (a) generate climate change hydrology from existing HadCM2 and PRM Global Circulation Model (GCM) outputs and (b) simulate impacts of climate change on SJRB water resources, agricultural productivity and water quality.



Figure 3. Simulated mean-monthly delivery level (as a percentage of demand) among CVP agricultural users south of the Delta (including the SJRB): (a) 2025, (b) 2065, and (c) 2090.



Figure 4. Simulated electrical conductivity (S m^{-1}) at Vernalis during historically significant drought events: (a) 2025, (b) 2065, and (c) 2090.



Figure 5. Effect of a 50% reduction in available water supply in subsurface drainage salinity from a typical water district within the Grasslands sub-basin on the west-side of the San Joaquin Valley. Graph illustrates an increase in summer drainage concentrations largely as a result of increased groundwater pumping and drainage recycling.



Figure 6. Comparison between measurements and CALSIM II estimates of a) streamflow and b) electrical conductivity at Vernalis. The diagonal line represents the 1:1 slope (perfect agreement), values above (under) this line were over (under) estimated by CALSIM II. Historical data from 1973 to 1995.



Figure 7. A screenshot of the GIS ArcObjects based data and results browser. The tool was developed using Visual Basic to build the georeferenced models in the GIS using a CAD flowchart representation of the CALSIM-II and DSM2-SJR models.

ERNEST ORLANDO LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY
ONE CYCLOTRON ROAD | BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098