UCRL 103
cy 88/A

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Radiation Laboratory

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

UCRL-103
c.2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
RADIATION LABORATORY

Cover Sheet
Do not remove

INDEX NO. UCRL-103
This document contains ___ pages
and ___ plates of figures.
This is copy 88 of 104 Series A
Issued to: Information Division

CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED BY AUTHORITY
OF THE DIRECTOR
BY THE DECLASSIFICATION COMMITTEE

RESTRICTED

Classification

Each person who received this document must sign the cover sheet in the space below.

Route to	Noted by	Date	Route to	Noted by	Date

R-41

UCRL-103

RESTRICTED

CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED BY AUTHORITY
OF THE DISTRICT ENGINEER
BY THE DECLASSIFICATION COMMITTEE

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
Radiation Laboratory

APPLICATION OF THE HIGH TEMPERATURE CALORIMETER TO THE DETERMINATION OF THE HEATS OF FORMATION OF Na-Sn AND Li-Sn ALLOYS

by

George R. Barber, Leo Brewer, LeRoy A. Bromley, and Raleigh L. McKisson

Special Review of Declassified Reports
Authorized by USDOE JK Bratton
Unclassified TWX P182206Z May 79

July 1948

REPORT PROPERLY DECLASSIFIED

J N Green 8/16/79
Authorized Derivative Classifier Date

R 9d 8/17/79
By Date

Berkeley, California

Chemistry-General

Standard Distribution	No. of Copies
Argonne National Laboratory	1-8
Armed Forces Special Weapons Project	9
Atomic Energy Commission, Washington	10-11
Battelle Memorial Institute	12
Brookhaven National Laboratory	13-22
Carbide & Carbon Chemicals Corp. (K-25 Area)	23-26
Carbide & Carbon Chemicals Corp. (Y-12 Area)	27-30
Columbia University (Failla)	31
General Electric Company	32-35
Hanford Directed Operations	36-42
Iowa State College	43
Kellex Corporation	44-45
Los Alamos	46-48
Massachusetts Institute of T echnology	49
Monsanto Chemical Company, Dayton	50-51
National Bureau of Standards	52-53
Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory	54
NEPA	55
New York Directed Operations	56-57
Oak Ridge National Laboratory	58-69
Patent Advisor, Washington	70
Technical Information, ORDO	71-85
UCLA Medical Research Laboratory (Warren)	86
University of California, Radiation Laboratory	87-91
University of Rochester	92-93
Western Reserve University (Friedell)	94
Office of Chicago Directed Operations	95
Declassification Procedure	96-104

Information Division
Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

RESTRICTED

Table of Contents

CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED BY AUTHORITY
OF THE DISTRICT ENGINEER
BY THE DECLASSIFICATION COMMITTEE

	Page
List of Tables	4
List of Illustrations	5
Abstract	6
Part I	
Introduction to the Work on Na-Sn	7
Description of Apparatus and Operating Procedure	10
Derivation of New Method of Analysis of Temperature-Time Curves	18
Sample Calculation	31
Tabulation of Results on Na-Sn	44
Discussion of the Work on Na-Sn	46
Conclusion (Na-Sn)	50
Part II	
Introduction to the Work on Li-Sn	51
Tabulation of Results on Li-Sn	53
Discussion of the Work on Li-Sn	55
Conclusion (Li-Sn)	58
Definition of Symbols	59
Appendix I, Evaluation of D	62
Appendix II, Evaluation of F	66
Appendix III, Summary of Calculation of Probable Errors (Na-Sn)	67
Acknowledgements	68
Bibliography	69

List of Tables

Table		Page
1	Data for Ln $\Delta T'$ vs. θ Plot, Run 1, Curve 4, Mo.	31
2	Data for Ln $\Delta T'$ vs. θ Plot, Run 1, Curve 3, Na.	38
3	Data for f Curve, Run 1, Curve 4, Mo.	41
4	Summary of Runs and Results (Na-Sn)	44
5	Results of Determinations (Na-Sn)	45
6	Summary of Heat of Formation Data for NaSn	47
7	Amounts of Sodium Vaporized in Various Runs	49
8	Summary of Runs and Results (Li-Sn)	53
9	Results of Determinations (Li-Sn)	54

List of Illustrations

Figure		Page
1	The Calorimeter	11
2	The Airtight Dispenser	12
3	The Dispenser Cooler	12
4	Schematic Diagram of High-frequency Circuit, and Constant Temperature Mechanism	15
5	Typical Temperature-time Curves	19
6	Temperature-Time Curve, Run 1, Curve 2, Non-Instantaneous, Incomplete Reaction	22
7	f Curve, and f Plot	25
8	Temperature-Time Curve, Run 1, Curve 4, Mo.	32
9	Ln $\Delta T'$ vs. θ Plot, Run 1, Curve 4, Mo.	33
10	Temperature-Time Curve, Run 1, Curve 3, Na.	36
11	Ln $\Delta T'$ vs. θ Plot, Run 1, Curve 3, Na.	37
12	f Curve, Run 1, Curve 4, Mo.	39
13	f Plot, Run 1, Curve 3, Na.	40
14	f' Plot, Run 1, Curve 3, Na.	42

APPLICATION OF THE HIGH TEMPERATURE CALORIMETER TO THE DETERMINATION OF THE HEATS OF FORMATION OF Na-Sn AND Li-Sn ALLOYS

by

George R. Barber, Leo Brewer, LeRoy A. Bromley, and Raleigh L. McKisson

Abstract

A method has been devised for using the high-frequency induction coil as a high temperature calorimeter. The method enables one to determine the heat effects produced at high temperatures. The method has been applied to the determination of the heats of formation of the alloys NaSn and LiSn. The average heat of formation (ΔH) determined for NaSn is -9.63 ± 0.40 kilocalories per mole at $873^{\circ}K$. This value becomes -10.6 ± 0.6 kilocalories per mole when extrapolated to $298^{\circ}K$. The average heat of formation (ΔH) determined for LiSn is -17.57 ± 0.83 kilocalories per mole at $850^{\circ}K$. This value becomes -18.62 ± 1.50 kilocalories per mole when extrapolated to $298^{\circ}K$. These results are compared with the results of other investigators.

APPLICATION OF THE HIGH TEMPERATURE CALORIMETER TO THE DETERMINATION OF THE HEAT OF FORMATION OF Na-Sn AND Li-Sn ALLOYS.

George R. Barber, Leo Brewer, LeRoy A. Bromley, and Raleigh L. McKisson

PART I

Introduction to the Work on Na-Sn

The high frequency induction coil was first used as a calorimeter by Chipman and Grant[1]. Their equipment consisted of a large silica crucible containing the charge, which was heated in an induction coil. The cover of the crucible was provided with a small hole, so that additions and sampling could be readily performed. An inert atmosphere was maintained by bleeding argon into the cavity and letting it sweep out the hole. Iron was placed in the crucible and heated to the desired temperature, then weighed samples of silicon and iron were dropped into the melt. The iron was used to estimate the heat capacity. The heat effect was calculated from the heat capacity and the temperature difference.

The system that Chipman and Grant selected was an excellent choice as the temperatures involved were quite high and considerable electromagnetic stirring was present. This stirring made for rapid approach to thermal equilibrium. The large melt with its heat capacity prevented a great temperature rise, since a relatively small sample was added[2]. Thus the temperature remained in a small range and the heat losses could be considered quite constant during a run. The corrections for heat transfer were quite

---

[1] Chipman and Grant, "The Induction Furnace as a High Temperature Calorimeter and the Heat of Solution of Silicon in Liquid Iron." Transactions of the American Society for Metals, 31, 365, (1943).

[2] The melts consisted of from 65 to 90 pounds of molten iron, into which one pound or less of silicon was dropped per addition.

small and even though they could not be calculated with great accuracy, the effect on the result was small.

The apparatus used in the present research is similar to that of Chipman and Grant in that high-frequency induction heating is used. However the melts are much smaller[3], and consequently the temperature rises are greater, and heat losses cannot be considered constant.

The primary purpose of this research was to devise a method of analysis of the temperature-time curves obtained from the apparatus. The secondary purpose of this research was to measure the heat of formation of NaSn. The measurement was to be performed by adding weighed sodium pellets to a molten tin melt, obtaining a temperature-time curve, and analyzing this curve by the method devised.

This heat of formation has been measured by several investigators. Biltz and Holverscheit[4] in 1924 reported the heat of formation of NaSn to be eleven kilocalories per mole. This result was obtained by a differential solution method, using ferric chloride in about 6N hydrochloric acid as the solvent. In 1928, Biltz and Meyer[5] offered recalculated values of the heat of formation, using what they considered to be a better heat value for one of the intermediate reactions, and quoted a value of sixteen kilocalories per mole. Biltz[6] again gives this value in 1937.

---

[3] The melts in this research were about 100 grams of Sn, to which from 4 to 10 grams of Na were added per addition.

[4] W. Biltz and W. Holverscheit, "Uber die Bildungswarmen intermetallischen Verbindungen VI, Zinnlegierungen.", Zeitschrift fur anorganische und allgemeine Chemie, 140, 261, (1924).

[5] W. Biltz and F. Meyer, "Uber die Verwandtschaft von Quicksilber zu einiger Metallen." Zeitschrift fur anorganische und allgemeine Chemie, 176, 23, (1928).

[6] W. Biltz, "Uber die Bildungswarme intermetallischen Verbindungen.", Zeitschrift fur Metallkunde, 29, 73, (1937).

Kubaschewski[7], and Kubaschewski and Seith[8], report twelve kilocalories per mole for this reaction. Their measurement was direct, the alkali metal being melted and poured into the molten tin in an argon atmosphere, and the mixture quickly placed in a large copper-block calorimeter. They calculated their result from the temperature rise of the calorimeter and its heat capacity.

Many investigators have measured heats of formation of various intermetallic compounds, and others have derived useful facts from theoretical considerations. A few references to work of this type are listed in the bibliography, items 10 to 24, pages 69 and 70.

---

[7] O. Kubaschewski, "Die Energetik der Legierungsbildung.", Zeitschrift fur Elektrochemie, 48, 646, (1942).

[8] O. Kubaschewski und W. Seith, "Bildungswärmen von Nichteisenmetall Legierung.", Zeitschrift für Metallkunde, 30, 7, (1938).

DESCRIPTION OF APPARATUS AND OPERATING PROCEDURE

The apparatus consists of a twenty kilowatt spark-gap converter[9] and a calorimeter which is surrounded by one of four inductance coils (the heating coil). The other three coils are used to adjust the power dissipated in the heating coil.

The calorimeter (see figure 1, page 11) consists of a cylindrical pyrex tube which is surrounded by a water jacket; a water cooled copper base which supports the zircon stand upon which the crucible rests; and a 'head' which supports the various devices which pass through packing glands into the interior. These devices are the thermocouples, the dispenser, the dispenser-cooler, the operating rod, and the flexible drive for the stirrer. Two thermocouples are used; one, sheathed in a molybdenum tube, dips into the melt; the other passes through a copper well to a position near the bottom of the dispenser.

The dispenser (see figure 2, page 12) was designed to be an air-tight compartmented container which could be loaded in a dry-box and transferred to the calorimeter; thus preventing, to a great extent, any contact of the sodium with oxygen or water vapor. The division of the dispenser into four compartments is effected by three pivoted plates which extend across the opening and are held in position by cams on the thermocouple well. These cams are arranged so that rotating the thermocouple well in increments of 90° causes a section to dump its contents onto the trap door forming the bottom of the dispenser. This trap door, which is shielded from the radiation of the hot melt by three molybdenum plates attached to the bottom, is actuated by a rod passing through the dispenser cavity. The rod then

---

[9] Ajax 20 kw. Converter, Ajax Electrothermic Corporation, Trenton, New Jersey.



Figure 1.
CALORIMETER



Figure 2.
AIR-TIGHT DISPENSER

1 Packing Gland

2 Spring-loaded trap door opening mechanism

3 Thermocouple well

4 Plate-tripping cam

5 Plate

6 Molybdenum radiation shield



Figure 3.
DISPENSER COOLER

1 Water inlet

2 Water exit

Scale: 4" = 7"

passes through a packing gland in the top, and ends in an eye. Between the top of the dispenser and the rod-eye, there is a spring under tension which keeps the trap door closed tightly. When it is desired to open the door; the operating rod, whose end is hooked; can be inserted in the eye and a downward pressure applied. The operating rod is also used to rotate the thermocouple well by means of an eye in the top of the well.

The dispenser is enclosed and supported by the dispenser-cooler (see figure 3, page 12). This consists of two concentric copper tubes with the annular space closed at each end and supported by a copper tube leading through the head. Concentric to, and inside of this tube is a second tube which passes into the annular space to the bottom and serves as the water inlet. Thus, cold water is introduced at the bottom, swirls up to the exit tube at the top, and thence up inside the supporting tube to a drain. The dispenser-cooler can be raised or lowered by sliding through the packing gland so that when an actual addition is to be made the dispenser will be near the crucible and after the addition is completed it can be raised away from the hot crucible and thereby kept cooler.

The stirring device (see figure 1, page 11, items 3, 12, 20, 24) consists of a 28 volt, D.C., 250 RPM. motor; coupled by means of a sleeved flexible shaft to the stirrer. The lower end of the sleeve is clamped securely to the thermocouple support and provides a sort of bearing for the shaft. The flexible shaft is welded to a molybdenum rod which is guided by a molybdenum sleeve-bearing supported by the thermocouple well. The end of the rod is slotted and fitted with the stirrer blades.

The crucible is surrounded with two 0.001 inch thick molybdenum shields to minimize radiation losses. This thickness of molybdenum heats but very little in the high-frequency field[10] and the outside shield never reaches

[10] The frequency is about 20,000 cycles per second.

dull red heat even though the inside of the crucible be at bright red heat.

Any desired atmosphere may be used in the calorimeter by using tanked gas. In addition, the piping system permits flow of gas into either the top or bottom of the calorimeter. Any desired pressure may be used as the gas exit line has a solenoid valve which is operated by a mercury-level contact device. By adjusting this contact device, the pressure at which the solenoid valve releases is selected. This system cannot be used at present when the stirrer is used as there is too great a gas leak between the flexible shaft and its sleeve. In this case the valve at the tank is set so that the gas flow out of the shaft is sufficient to prevent any air's diffusing into the apparatus.

The electrical system is indicated on figure 4, page 15. The large variable inductance consists of a solenoid two feet in diameter made of copper tubing, with shorting switches arranged as indicated. Power dissipation in the heating coil can be increased by shorting out turns of this coil and thus decreasing the total inductance of the circuit.

The heating coil consists of a twenty-eight turn solenoid, six and one-half inches in diameter. It is arranged on a support so that the coil may be raised or lowered to center the crucible in the coil.

The other two coils make up a temperature control device. The smaller coil, twenty turns on a three and one-half inch diameter, surrounds a water jacketed iron vessel in which there is a few milliliters of water. The only opening in the vessel is in the bottom at which point a tube filled with mercury leads to a pyrex tube fitted with two electrodes. The pressure above the mercury in this tube can be controlled by a mercury-bulb and reservoir as shown on the diagram. The two electrodes are part of an electrical control system which actuates a reversible motor. The motor, controlled in part by a time-delay relay, is coupled to the controlled variable inductance



Figure 4.
SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF HIGH-FREQUENCY CIRCUIT,
AND CONSTANT TEMPERATURE MECHANISM.

coil (twenty turns on an eight inch diameter) such that the length of the coil is changed to respond to a change in pressure in the iron vessel.

With this arrangement of coils and contacts, a small increase of power increases the heat generated in the iron vessel and consequently increases its temperature. This increase in temperature causes an increase in the vapor pressure of the water in the iron vessel and raises the mercury in the contact chamber. When the contact circuit is completed the motor, which normally decreases the inductance of the controlled variable inductance coil, reverses and increases its inductance. This increases slightly the power dissipated in this inductance and consequently reduces the power dissipated in the other coils in the system. This decrease in power lowers the temperature and vapor pressure of the water and ultimately causes the mercury circuit to break. At this time the motor reverses and the controlled variable inductance decreases. This decrease results in an increase in power, increases the vapor pressure of the water in the iron vessel, and closes the mercury contact. Then the cycle repeats. The entire cycle causes a temperature variation in the melt of about 1°C.

The time-delay relay on the motor was necessary because the system's reaction time was too slow. If the motor ran continuously it would cause the coil to over-correct and no control would be effected. Satisfactory operation is obtained with a relay setting to give a fifteen second 'on' period and a five second 'off' period.

Thus, this system controls the power dissipation in the entire high-frequency circuit, and various levels of power can be maintained merely by adjusting the height of the mercury bulb.

To operate the apparatus, one loads the dispenser with weighed quantities of sodium, assembles the calorimeter, and starts the converter. When the tin has melted, the stirrer and thermocouple are set in place. Tem-

peratures are recorded on the micromax[11] and when the system is near the desired temperature, the power setting and incremental inductance are adjusted so that the system approaches equilibrium. Then the control device is set in operation. When the temperature of the melt remains constant for a few minutes, the temperature of the dispenser is taken and the sodium addition made. Before and as soon as possible after the addition, millivolt readings are taken on the potentiometer[12] to check the values recorded by the micromax.

When the temperature has reached its new equilibrium, the power may be adjusted to yield the desired temperature for the next addition. This procedure is repeated for all additions. When the run is completed, the calorimeter is opened and the dispenser examined to see if all the pellets were added. The melt is removed, ground in the dry box and analyzed. From the analysis, the amount of sodium vaporized is determined.

---

[11] Micromax, Leeds and Northrup Company, Philadelphia.

[12] Precision potentiometer, Leeds and Northrup Company, Philadelphia.

DERIVATION OF NEW METHOD OF ANALYSIS OF TEMPERATURE-TIME CURVES

The principal data received from a run consist of a temperature-time curve traced by the Micromax, and, in addition, a few points taken on the potentiometer as checks. It is therefore necessary to devise a method of interpretation of these curves. Typical curves are shown in figure 5, page 19.

The rate of heat loss at temperature, t, can be expressed by the following equation[13]:

$$-q_{1t} = \sigma A_1 \epsilon \ (t^4 - T_r^{\ 4}) + A_2 h \ (t - T_r), \qquad (1)$$

in which the coefficient for heat transfer by conduction is combined with that for convection. When the system reaches a steady-state temperature, t, the thermal energy lost to the surroundings is equal to the thermal energy gained from the high-frequency heating coil.

The rate of heat loss or gain at any temperature, T, is,

$$-q_{1T} = \sigma A_1 \epsilon \ (T^4 - T_r^{\ 4}) + A_2 h \ (T - T_r). \qquad (2)$$

The difference in rates of heat loss at T and t is the net rate of heat transfer at T, and is represented by,

$$-(q_{1T} - q_{1t}) = \sigma A_1 \epsilon \ (T^4 - t^4) + A_2 (T - t). \qquad (3)$$

$(T^4 - t^4)$ may be expanded in powers of $(T - t)$, thus:

$$(T^4 - t^4) = 4t^3(T - t) + 6t^2(T - t)^2 + 4t(T - t)^3 + (T - t)^4. \qquad (4)$$

For the case in which t equals 873°K., and $(T - t)$ is 125°K., the contributions to the total of the various terms in the expansion are: 80.9%, 17.4%, 1.66%, and 0.04%. For the case in which t equals 873°K., and $(T - t)$ is 50°K., the contributions to the total of the various terms in the expan-

---

[13] A table of symbols appears on p. 59.



Figure 5.

TYPICAL TEMPERATURE-TIME CURVES

FIG. 5

sion are: 91.8%, 7.9%, 0.3%, and less than 0.1%. From the above, it is evident that if one limits $(T - t)$ to less than $125^{\circ}K.$, neglecting the last two terms in the expansion will introduce less than 2% error. Therefore, an allowable substitution for $(T^4 - t^4)$ in equation (3) is $4t^3(T - t) + 6t^2(T - t)^2$. Rewriting equation (3),

$$-(q_{1T} - q_{1t}) = \sigma A_1 \epsilon \left(4t^3(T - t) + 6t^2(T - t)^2\right) + A_2 h(T - t). \qquad (5)$$

The corresponding equation written for any temperature, T, and the final equilibrium temperature, t', is:

$$-(q_{1T} - q_{1t'}) = \sigma A_1 \epsilon \left(4t'^3(T - t') + 6t'^2(T - t')^2\right) + A_2 h\,(T - t'). \qquad (6)$$

Letting $\sigma A_1 \epsilon = B$, $A_2 h = D$, $-(q_{1T} - q_{1t'}) = f$, and $\Delta T' = (T - t')$, equation (6) becomes, upon rearranging,

$$f = (4Bt'^3 + D)\Delta T' + 6Bt'^2(\Delta T')^2. \qquad (7)$$

Now let $(4Bt'^3 + D) = P$, and let $6Bt'^2 = R$, then,

$$f = P\Delta T' + R(\Delta T')^2. \qquad (8)$$

If we can evaluate P and R, then f can be calculated for a number of $(\Delta T')$'s and an f curve plotted from which one can read values for the rate of heat transfer for the system at any temperature difference.

The change in convection characteristics and the change in radiation characteristics are considered small for any run, therefore values of P, or P', and R, or R', calculated for a portion of the run are representative of the entire run. Thus f values relative to any steady-state temperature may be read from the f curve mentioned above when temperature differences are used as the basis of comparison[14].

By plotting f (cal./min.) against Θ (min.), one obtains areas directly representing quantities of heat. This fact will be used later in the discussion.

---

[14] For the case of $t_1 = 873^{\circ}K.$ and $t_2 = 890^{\circ}K.$, a comparison of (f)'s for equal values of B, D, and T', is: for t_1, 214; for t_2, 220. The difference is less than 3%. B was taken as $2(10^{-9})$, D as 3.0; and the temperature difference as $25^{\circ}K.$

To evaluate P and R, one can use the cooling portion of the temperature-time curve beyond point 'a', figure 6, page 22, if one assumes this curve to approach a theoretical cooling curve-type. Then,

$$f = P\Delta T' + R(\Delta T')^2; \tag{8}$$

$$dQ = -f\, d\Theta; \tag{9}$$

$$dQ = \Sigma w\, c_p\, dT = C_p\, dT; \tag{10}$$

$$f = -dQ/d\Theta = -C_p\, dT/d\Theta; \tag{11}$$

$$\text{and } dT/d\Theta = -f/C_p = -(1/C_p)(P\Delta T' + R(\Delta T')^2). \tag{12}$$

Rearranging for integration,

$$-\int \frac{dT}{R(\Delta T')^2 + P(\Delta T')} = \int \frac{d\Theta}{C_p} \quad . \tag{13}$$

Since $\Delta T' = (T - t')$, $d(\Delta T') = dT$. Substituting and integrating,

$$-\left(-\frac{1}{P} \ln \left(\frac{P + R(\Delta T')}{(\Delta T')}\right)\right) = \Theta/C_p + C. \tag{14}$$

Then, solving for Θ, and rearranging,

$$\Theta = -C_p/P \ln \left[(\Delta T')/(1 + (R/P)\Delta T')\right] - \ln P + C, \tag{15}$$

or,

$$-P\Theta/C_p = \ln \left[(\Delta T')/(1 + (R/P)\Delta T')\right] + C'. \tag{16}$$

If the region of the cooling curve does approach a theoretical cooling curve, one obtains a straight line whose slope is $(-P/C_p)$ by plotting $\ln \left[(\Delta T')/(1 + (R/P)\Delta T')\right]$ against Θ. Since R and P are both unknown, this plot cannot be made directly. However, as a first approximation, one can plot $\ln \Delta T'$ vs. Θ and obtain a value from the slope, from which P can be calculated when C_p is known. This value should be quite close to the correct value of P, if R is small relative to P[15], as can be seen in equation (16).

Using this value of P, one can solve for B from the definition $P = 4B(t')^3 + D$, knowing D (Appendix I). With B, R can be calculated from

[15]The calculations show P to be about 10, and R to be about (10^{-3}).



Figure 6.
TEMPERATURE-TIME CURVE, Run 1, Curve 2, Na.

the relation $R = 6B(t')^2$. These approximate values of P and R now permit calculation and plotting of $\ln \left[(\Delta T')/(1 + (R/P)\Delta T')\right]$ vs. Θ. From the slope of the smoothed curve, new values P' and R' can be calculated. This procedure may be repeated until sufficient accuracy is obtained. The values of P and R, necessary for plotting the f curve, are now found.

It is desirable to be able to extrapolate the cooling curve obtained from the experimental data to such time that the (ΔT) can be evaluated as if the reaction had proceeded instantaneously. This is most easily accomplished by making use of the final $\ln \left[(\Delta T')/(1 + (R/P)\Delta T')\right]$ vs. Θ curve obtained above. Select values of $\ln \left[(\Delta T'')/(1 + (R'/P')\Delta T'')\right]$ from the straight line determined by values of the similar expression in $(\Delta T')$. Solve for values of $(\Delta T'')$ and plot the corresponding extrapolated (T)'s on the T vs. Θ graph, as indicated on figure 6, page 22. If one can obtain the Θ_i corresponding to an instantaneous reaction, then one can also obtain the accompanying (ΔT) from the extrapolated curve above.

Superposing an ideal, instantaneous T vs. Θ curve on a typical T vs. Θ curve defines areas which represent relative heat gains and losses by the system. (Areas I, II, and III on figure 6, page 22.) Those areas in which the ideal curve lies above the actual are 'heat gain' areas since the system is losing heat at a lower rate than it gains heat ($T < t$, area I); or the system, although losing heat at a higher rate than it gains heat, is losing heat at a lower rate than the ideal system would, resulting in a net equivalent gain of energy (area III). Those areas in which the ideal curve lies below the actual curve are 'heat loss' regions, because in this case (area II) the system loses heat at a higher rate than it gains heat, resulting in a net loss of energy. The proper Θ, (Θ_i), for the instantaneous reaction is the one for which the heat losses exactly equal the heat gains. This Θ is obtained by trial-and-error balancing of Area I plus Area III against Area II on an

f plot.

In the construction of the f plot, an f curve should be used which was calculated for the system in a completely non-reactive state, for any other f, such as one determined from values of P' and R' for a sodium addition, is a function of the rate of reaction[16]. The only f that is not a function of the rate of reaction is the one determined from the molybdenum addition.

The f plot is constructed by reading (T)'s for values of Θ on the T vs. Θ curve, calculating the corresponding (T - t), reading the values for f on the f curve, and plotting the value of f, at the proper Θ, on the f vs. Θ plot, (f plot) figure 7, page 25.

Since the units of f are calories per minute, an area produced by plotting f vs. Θ in minutes represents a quantity of heat. In the ideal reaction, there would be no loss or gain of heat by heat transfer because of its instantaneous character. It is therefore necessary to choose the Θ_i as the Θ for which the net heat transferred in the actual case is zero. The physical significance of the ideal plot is, for convenience in graphical calculation, to combine an instantaneous reaction in which equilibrium is partially reached with a subsequent reaction period during which equilibrium is quantitatively approached.

While Area I is bounded, so that it represents a definite amount of heat absorbed, Area II is not bounded on the right. The aim is to locate the bound of Area II such that the effective net heat transferred from the system (represented by Areas I, II, and III) is zero.

---

[16] The rate of heat transfer, f; at any time, Θ; is the sum of two rates, thus: $f_{obs.} = f_{react.} + f_{heat\ trans.}$. Since we wish to balance heat losses and gains due to heat transfer, we must not use an f which may have a $f_{react.}$ included, therefore, we must use the f determined from the characteristics of a molybdenum addition.



Figure 7.
f Curve, and f Plot.

Now let us consider the temperature-time curve of figure 6, page 22. If the curve beyond point 'a' is a typical cooling curve-type, which can be determined by plotting on a $\ln\Delta T'$ vs. Θ plot, then it is also a part of the ideal temperature curve, and, as such, can be extrapolated to the left[17]. Since we are transferring the actual T vs. Θ curve to an ideal curve, there is a point on the extrapolated curve which represents the highest temperature that the system would attain in the ideal reaction. This temperature is defined by the condition that net heat transfer, at the corresponding time is zero.

The extrapolated T vs. Θ curve and the actual temperature-time curve partially define a third area, Area III, which, when plotted[18] on an f plot, represents a quantity of heat gained by the system. Area III, like Area II, is unbounded. When these two areas are plotted at their respective times, as shown on figure 7, page 25, a unique bounding vertical line can be found such that the sum of Area I plus Area III equals Area II. This is exactly the condition placed on the Θ_i, and, since the line is unique, it determines Θ_i. Then the point of intersection of the vertical Θ_i line with the extrapolated temperature curve defines the temperature which an ideal reaction would have attained. The temperature difference between this derived temperature and the initial steady-state temperature defines a quantity of heat, Q_I, from the relation,

$$Q_I = C_p \Delta T. \tag{17}$$

---

[17] The method can be modified to apply where the ideal curve is not approximated.

[18] The ordinates of this area are plotted as the difference between the f for the temperature on the extrapolated curve and the f for the temperature on the actual curve.

The C_p that should be used in equation (17) is the C_p of the system after the sodium has been added. For runs in which multiple additions are made, values of C_p must be calculated for all sodium additions but the last. This is done by assuming that the C_p for the system at any time is equal to ΣC_p less a factor, F, times the C_p of all the sodium added after the addition in question. The evaluation of F is presented in Appendix II.

In the event that the reaction is not complete at this point, and heat is being liberated throughout the 'cooling' part of the T vs. Θ curve, an estimation of this added heat must be made.

The criterion for determining whether the reaction was complete at 'a' is whether the final slope of the $\ln \left[(\Delta T')/(1 + (R/P)\Delta T')\right]$ vs. Θ plot for a sodium addition is less than the corresponding slope for the molybdenum addition at the end of the run. If the slope is materially less, the reaction was not complete at 'a'. Any unreacted sodium present at point 'a' will liberate its heat of reaction before the system reaches its final equilibrium temperature.

At this point in the development, the T vs. Θ curve obtained experimentally has been transformed to an equivalent theoretical instantaneous reaction T vs. Θ curve, and we have accounted for some fraction of the added sodium which can be assumed to have reacted instantaneously to arrive at point C, figure 6, page 22. The characteristics of a cooling curve of a completely reacted system can be approximated from the molybdenum addition, in which addition no reactive material is introduced. By passing such a curve through point 'C' so that its equilibrium temperature at Θ equals infinity, is t'; an area is defined (Area IV) which represents the quantity of heat liberated by the remaining fraction of the sodium before the system reaches t'. This quantity of heat may be determined by plotting the area on an f' plot, on

which, areas are proportional to heat. Any ordinate of the corresponding area on the f' curve is found by subtracting the f determined for temperatures on the lower (molybdenum-type) curve, from the f determined for temperatures on the upper (actual) curve, both temperatures being taken at the same Θ.

Since it is impossible to measure an area out to infinite time, the area can be broken up into two parts for actual measurement. The direct measurement of area on the f' plot should be extended to some practical limit at which time it may be safely assumed that the reaction is complete, and that the T vs. Θ curve is an exact cooling curve beyond this limit. The heat represented by this measurable area is designated by the symbol Q_{II}. The heat represented by the area out to infinite time can be calculated analytically, thus:

$$dQ = -f\, d\Theta, \tag{9}$$

and,

$$f = P(\Delta T') + R(\Delta T')^2. \tag{8}$$

For small $(\Delta T')$,

$$f = P(\Delta T'), \tag{18}$$

and,

$$dQ = -P(\Delta T')\, d\Theta. \tag{19}$$

From equation (12), when $(\Delta T')$ is small,

$$-(dT/d\Theta) = (1/C_p)(P)(\Delta T'), \tag{20}$$

and,

$$(d(\Delta T')/d\Theta) = -(P/C_p)(\Delta T'). \tag{21}$$

Transforming, and integrating,

$$\Big[\ln(\Delta T')\Big]_{(\Delta T')_o}^{(\Delta T')_\Theta} = \Big[-(P/C_p)\,\Theta\Big]_{\Theta_o}^{\Theta} \tag{22}$$

When Θ_o is taken to be zero, and $(\Delta T')$ at Θ_o equals $(\Delta T')_o$,

$$\ln (\Delta T')_\theta / (\Delta T')_o = -(P/C_p)\theta, \tag{23}$$

and

$$(\Delta T')_\theta = (\Delta T')_o (e)^{-(P/C_p)\theta}. \tag{24}$$

Let Q_{III} be the heat in question, then,

$$dQ_{III} = (dQ_{\text{actual curve}} - dQ_{\text{theo. curve}}), \tag{25}$$

then, using equation (19),

$$dQ_{III} = (-P(\Delta T')_{\text{actual curve}} + P(\Delta T')_{\text{theo. curve}})d\theta. \tag{26}$$

Substituting equation (24) in equation (26) and integrating,

$$\int_o^{Q_{III}} dQ_{III} = -\int_o^\infty P\,((\Delta T')_o)_{\text{actual}} (e)^{-(P/C_p)\theta}\, d\theta$$

$$+ \int_o^\infty P\,((\Delta T')_o)_{\text{theo.}} (e)^{-(P/C_p)\theta}\, d\theta, \tag{27}$$

or,

$$Q_{III} = C_p \left[((\Delta T')_o)_{\text{actual}} - ((\Delta T')_o)_{\text{theo.}} \right], \tag{28}$$

which, by definition, is set equal to,

$$Q_{III} = C_p (\overline{\Delta T}). \tag{29}$$

This simple expression, then, gives a means of measurement of the area from some point of small $(\Delta T')$'s to infinite time.

To account for the heat lost from the system due to vaporization of sodium, one must first determine the amount of vaporization occurring during the particular addition. The total vaporization can be calculated from an analysis of the final melt since the extremely low vapor pressure of tin prevents its vaporization. The problem then becomes one of determining the fraction of the total sodium vaporized, that was vaporized in the particular addition.

It is assumed that since sodium has a much lower density than tin, a phase of molten sodium tends to cover the tin phase until the sodium reacts.

Therefore the ratio of duration of a particular addition to the total for all additions of a run is used to proportion the amount of sodium vaporized during the addition. The quantity of heat lost by vaporization is designated by the symbol Q_0, and can be calculated from the known heat required to heat solid sodium at the temperature of the dispenser to sodium vapor at the temperature of the melt.

The total amount of heat liberated in any addition is the sum of the various Q's as calculated above. The ΔH for the reaction, $Na_{cold}(s) + Sn_{hot}(l) \longrightarrow NaSn_{hot}(l)$, is this summation of Q's (ΣQ) divided by the moles of sodium added. Then the ΔH of the reaction, $Na_{hot}(l) + Sn_{hot}(l) \longrightarrow NaSn_{hot}(l)$, can be calculated by adding to the ΔH above the heat required to raise the sodium from the dispenser temperature to the temperature of the melt, t.

SAMPLE CALCULATION:

The sample calculation presented is of the third sodium addition of the first run. The molybdenum addition is calculated first, to find the C_p of the system.

Determination of the ΣC_p:

From the values in table 1, which are plotted on a ln $\Delta T'$ vs. Θ plot, figure 9, page 33, the slope is -0.291.

Table 1, Data for Ln $\Delta T'$ vs. Θ Plot, Run 1, Curve 4, Mo.

Θ	$\Delta T'$	$\Delta T'$*	$\frac{\Delta T'}{1 + (R/P)\Delta T'}$
9	2.3	-	2.3
8	3.2	-	3.2
6	6.1	6.1	6.1
4	11.0	11.0	10.9
2	19.6	19.9	19.2
1.5	23.3	-	22.8
1	27.8	26.8	27.1
0.5	-	31.0	-
0	-	36.0	-

*These values are read from the line drawn through the ($\Delta T'$)'s to extrapolate T's to the Θ's indicated.

In the calculation of ΣC_p, the interpolated and extrapolated values of T'* above, which were read from the line on the ln $\Delta T'$ vs. Θ plot, figure 9, page 33, are plotted on the T vs. Θ plot, figure 8, page 32, and a smooth curve is drawn through these points. By balancing the areas on the T vs. Θ plot as if they were on an f plot, the approximate time Θ_i and the corresponding ΔT can be found. This value of ΔT permits calculation of a ΣC_p. It was found that Θ_i was 0.27 min. and ΔT was



Figure 8.

TEMPERATURE-TIME CURVE, Run 1, Curve 4, Mo.



Figure 9.

Ln ΔT' vs. θ Plot, Run 1, Curve 4, Mo.

(614 - 575) or 39.0°C. From heat capacity data given by K. K. Kelley[19], the ΔH for molybdenum is calculated:

$$\Delta H = 5.69(848 - 318) + 0.94(10^{-3})(848^2 - 318^2) + (5.03)(10^4)/848 - (5.03)(10^4)/318;$$

$$= 3020 + 581 + 59.4 - 158 = 3502.4 \text{ or } 3.50 \text{ kilocal./mole.}$$

The weight of molybdenum was 25.85 grams or 0.269 moles. Then $\Sigma C_p = (0.269)(3.50)/(39.0) = 0.02414$ or 24.14 cal./°C. Using this value for ΣC_p, P can be calculated; P = (0.291)(24.14) or 7.03. Using the value for D, 2.62, as calculated in Appendix I, page 62, and the value for P, B is found from the equation, $B = (P-D)/4(t')^3 = (7.03 - 2.62)/4(881)^3$, or $B = 1.61(10^{-9})$. Then, since $R = 6B(t')^2$, $R = 6(1.61)(10^{-9})(881)^2$ or $R = 0.0075$.

These values of P and R allow the calculation of the $(\Delta T')/(1+(R/P)\Delta T')$-column of table 1, page 31. These points are plotted as shown on figure 9, page 33. The slope of the line does not change, and the values of P and R were used to calculate an f curve and make an f plot, but since the Θ_i was not changed from its original value, these graphs are not shown. This effect is due to the fact that the areas are small, and the $(\Delta T')$'s are small.

Calculation of sodium vaporized in Run 1:

The molal ratio of tin to sodium from amounts added for the run is equal to (22.997)(95.8)/(118.7)(17.97) or 1.033. The molal ratio of tin to sodium from analysis is 1.060. The amount of sodium in the final melt, assuming that no tin is vaporized during the run, is (1.033)(17.97)/(1.060) or 17.51 grams. Therefore the sodium vaporized is (17.97 - 17.51) or 0.46

[19] K. K. Kelley, Contributions to the Data on Theoretical Metallurgy, U. S. Department of Interior, Bulletin #371, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., (1934).

gram. This total amount of vaporization is pro-rated in proportion to the time of an addition, thus:

Time,	Curve 1	(20.0 - 0.8) =	19.2 min.
	Curve 2	(23.1 - 0.6) =	22.5 min.
	Curve 3	(25.0 - 0.1) =	24.9 min.
	Total		66.6 min.

Amounts vaporized in each addition are:

Curve 1, (19.2)(0.46)/(66.6) = 0.133 gram.
Curve 2, (22.5)(0.46)/(66.6) = 0.155 gram.
Curve 3, (24.9)(0.46)/(66.6) = 0.172 gram.

Actual amounts of sodium added per addition:

Curve 1, (8.27 - 0.133) = 8.137 grams,
Curve 2, (5.20 - 0.155) = 5.045 grams,
Curve 3, (4.50 - 0.172) = 4.328 grams.

Calculation of the heat of reaction from Curve 3, Run 1:

The weight of sodium added in this addition is 4.328 grams. The C_p for the system for this addition is 24.14 cal./°C. The equilibrium temperature for this run was found to be 613°C., (t'), and D was 2.62.

The values of data given in table 2, page 38, for this addition give a slope on the ln $\Delta T'$ vs. θ plot of -0.108. Using this slope, and the value for the C_p of the system, P is (0.108)(24.14) or 2.61. Then B is $(P - D)/4(t')^3$, or when the values are substituted, $(2.61 - 2.62)/4(886)^3$ or $-3.60(10^{-12})$. R is $6B(t')^2 = 6(-3.60)(10^{-12})(886)^2$ or $-1.70(10^{-5})$[20].

[20] It is possible for R and B to be negative for a sodium addition when there is a great deal of sodium reacting in the latter part of the addition. The negative value occurs because the slope of the T vs. θ curve is quite flat in cases of this type.



Figure 10.

TEMPERATURE-TIME CURVE, Run 1, Curve 3, Na.



Figure 11.

Ln ΔT' vs. θ Plot, Run 1, Curve 3, Na.

Table 2, Data for $\ln\Delta T'$ vs. Θ Plot, Run 1, Curve 3, Na.

Θ	$\Delta T'$	$\frac{\Delta T'}{1 + (R/P)\Delta T'}$	$\frac{\Delta T''}{1 + (R'/P')\Delta T''}$	$\Delta T''$
27	0.9	0.9	-	-
23	2.1	2.1	-	-
20	3.4	3.4	-	-
17	5.3	5.3	-	-
15.5	6.4	6.4	-	-
14	7.6	7.6	7.5	7.5
12.5	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8
11	10.1	10.0	10.3	10.3
10	-	-	11.6	11.6
9	-	-	12.8	12.8

Since R is very small, the values of $(\Delta T')/(1 + (R/P)\Delta T')$ are practically the same as the corresponding values of $\Delta T'$. The slope remains the same, and $P = P'$, $B = B'$, and $R = R'$. Values of $(\Delta T'')/(1 + (R'/P')\Delta T'')$ read from the plot, and the corresponding $(\Delta T'')$'s, are tabulated in table 2 above. The T's calculated from the $(\Delta T'')$'s are plotted on the T vs. Θ plot, figure 10, page 36. An f curve must now be plotted from the relation, $f = P'\Delta T' + R'(\Delta T')^2$, where P' and R' are the values from the molybdenum addition. Data appears in table 3, page 41. The f plot is constructed using values of $(T - t)$ read from the T vs. Θ curve to find the correct value of f from the f curve, and then plotting the values of f against the proper Θ. This plot appears in figure 13, page 40. Areas are balanced on this f plot as indicated, and the Θ_i is found. At this Θ_i, the ΔT is read, from the T vs. Θ curve, for the ideal reaction, and is 11.6°C. at $\Theta_i = 9.85$ min.; figure 10, page 36.

The value of Q_I can now be calculated. Q_I is equal to $C_p(\Delta T) = (24.14)(11.6)$



Figure 12.

f Curve, Run 1, Curve 4, Mo.



Figure 13.
f Plot, Run 1, Curve 3, Na.

Table 3, Data for f Curve, Run 1, Curve 4, Mo.

ΔT'	f	ΔT'	f
50	370.0	0	0
30	217.5	-15	-104.1
15	107.1	-30	-204.5

or 280 calories.

Using data from K. K. Kelley[21], Q_0 can be calculated.

$$Q_0 = (0.172/22.997)\left[5.01(371 - 318) + (5.36/2)(10^{-3})(371^2 - 318^2) + 630 + 7.50(886 - 371) + 23890^{22}\right].$$

$$= (0.0075)(266 + 98 + 630 + 3863 + 23890) \text{ or } 0.216 \text{ kilocal.}$$

The calculation of Q_{II} involves plotting an f' plot. This involves plotting (f)'s from the table above, as determined from the (ΔT')'s of area IV, figure 10, page 36, against the corresponding (θ)'s. The resulting f' plot is shown in figure 14, page 42. The area was measured and found to be 1004 units, at 5/16 cal./unit, which equals 314 calories.

The calculation of Q_{III} consists of calculating the quantity $(\overline{\Delta T})$ from the T vs. θ plot, and substituting in the equation, $Q_{III} = C_p(\overline{\Delta T})$. Q_{III} is therefore equal to (24.14)(1.2) or 29 calories.

$Q_0 + Q_I + Q_{II} + Q_{III} = (0.216 + 0.280 + 0.314 + 0.029)$ or 0.839 kilocalories for 4.367 grams of sodium.

$(Q_0 + Q_I + Q_{II} + Q_{III}) = (0.839)(22.997)/(4.328)$ or 4.458 kilocalories per mole.

$Q = (266 + 98 + 3863 + 630)$ or 4.857 kilocalories per mole.

---

[21] K. K. Kelley, op. cit., n. 19.

[22] L. Brewer et al, Declassified Atomic Energy Commission Papers, MDDC-438-D (1945).



Figure 14.

f' Plot, Run 1, Curve 3, Na.

$-\Sigma Q$ is equal to the ΔH for the reaction,

$$Na_{886} + Sn_{886} \longrightarrow NaSn_{886},$$

and this ΔH is $-(4.458 + 4.857)$ or -9.315 kilocalories per mole.

For the reaction,

$$Na_{873} + Sn_{873} \longrightarrow NaSn_{873},$$

the ΔH from the third sodium addition is:

$-9.315 - (1 - 0.8)(7.5)(886 - 873)(10^{-3})$, or -9.33 kilocalories per mole.

TABULATION OF RESULTS ON Na-Sn

Table 4, Summary of Runs and Results

Run	No. Na Additions	Heat of Reaction Kcal./mole	Comments
1	3	1st - 9.77 2nd - 10.76 3rd - 9.33	No stirrer. " " " "
2	3	-	Dispenser failed
3	3	-	Vaporization losses excessively high.
4	-	-	Dispenser failed.
5	3	-	Third addition incomplete.
6	-	-	Deflecting shield failed.
7	-	-	Crucible leaked.
8	2	-	Vaporization losses excessively high.
9	2	-	Deflecting shield failed,
10	1	- 8.87	With stirrer.
11	2	1st - 9.73 2nd - 9.30	With stirrer. " "

In calculating the final result, the three additions of Run 1 were averaged, the three additions of Run 10 and Run 11 combined were averaged, and the average of these two averages taken as the result. This is indicated on table 5, page 45.

Errors were calculated[23] for each type of run and it was assumed that the same error was present in all runs of the same type.

[23] Errors were calculated on Run 1, addition 2; and on Run 11, addition 1.

Table 5

Results of Determinations, NaSn.

Run	Addition	Result Kcal./mole at 873°K.
1	1	-9.77 ± 0.77
	2	-10.76 ± 0.77
	3	-9.33 ± 0.77
	Average	-9.95 ± 0.45
10	1	-8.87 ± 1.14
11	1	-9.73 ± 1.14
	2	-9.30 ± 1.14
	Average	-9.30 ± 0.66
	Overall Average	-9.63 ± 0.40

An estimation of the heat of formation at 298°K. can be made on the basis of the assumption that the change in heat content of the NaSn is equal to the change in heat content of the tin plus 0.8 times the change in heat content of the sodium. Values for heat capacities are taken from K. K. Kelley[24]. The ΔH so calculated is -10.6 Kcal./mole.

[24] K. K. Kelley, op. cit., n. 19.

DISCUSSION OF THE WORK ON Na-Sn

Although a conclusive proof of the method of analysis cannot be claimed, the fact that an average result from the unstirred run is not far different from the average result from stirred runs, and the fact that the final average of the two sets of additions includes two of three individual results of each type in its probable error range indicate that the method has merit. This number of coincidences is quite improbable.

A second indication is that the estimated heat of formation for the temperatures at which other investigators have quoted data, is in the same range. Table 6, page 47, lists the results of the other investigators. The value of -16 kilocalories given by Biltz[25] is the result of a recalculation of old data using a corrected heats for some of the intermediate reactions. One reaction in particular,

$$FeCl_3 + 1/2\ H_2 \longrightarrow FeCl_2 + HCl + \Delta H,$$

was changed by a very large factor. In 1924, Biltz and Holverscheit[26] used the value 10.3 kilocalories for the above reaction in 6.3N HCl, and calculated -10.7 for the heat of formation of NaSn. In 1928, Biltz and Meyer[27] recalculated the old data using a value of 16.0 kilocalories for the above reaction. They reported -16.0 kilocalories for the corrected value. No late data is available for this reaction, so that a recalculation is not possible.

In the solution methods, small errors in either of the heats of solution whose difference is the desired value, cause a relatively large error

---

[25] Biltz, op. cit. n. 6.
[26] Biltz and Holverscheit, op. cit. n. 4.
[27] Biltz and Meyer, op. cit. n. 5.

in the result. In Kubaschewski's[36] experiments a source of error is that the paraffin coating the pure sodium would tend to evaporate at the high temperature of molten NaSn. This would leave the highly reactive surface of the melt unprotected. Any oxidation of this surface would directly affect the result, tending to increase the heat of formation.

Table 6, Summary of Heat of Formation Data for NaSn.

Heat Form. Kcal./mole.	Prob. Error Kcal.	Method	Temp. °K.	Observer
-11	0.5[28]	Diff. Sol'n.	298	Biltz and Holverscheit[29]
-12.0	0.7[30]	Cal.	298	Kubaschewski[31] Kubaschewski and Seith[32]
-16	?	Diff. Sol'n.	298	Biltz[33], and Biltz and Meyer[34]
-9.63	0.40	Cal.	873	This research
-10.6[35]	0.6	Cal.	298	This research

Kubaschewski[36] describes a source of error in his procedure, namely, that the heat content of the crucible above the temperature of the calorimeter is only approximately known. In addition, the hot crucible will lose an almost indeterminate amount of heat while it is outside the calorimeter.

The results of the present research would tend to be low, as all of the

[28] Estimated from data given by Biltz and Holverscheit.
[29] Biltz and Holverscheit, op. cit., n. 4.
[30] Calculated from value of ~6% given by Kubaschewski and Seith.
[31] O. Kubaschewski, op. cit., n. 7.
[32] O. Kubaschewski and W. Seith, op. cit., n. 8.
[33] W. Biltz, op. cit., n. 6.
[34] W. Biltz and F. Meyer, op. cit., n. 5.
[35] Estimated value at this temperature, see page 45.
[36] O. Kubaschewski, op. cit., n. 7.

approximations made in the development result in the neglect of small factors whose inclusion would tend to increase the measured heat effect. Any increase would be small, probably not over 3% of the calculated value or about 300 calories.

No definite conclusions regarding the accuracy of the result obtained by comparison with other values is possible except that the results obtained in this research tend to be lower than the other results quoted.

Runs were first made with no stirrer as it was thought that the stirring caused by the electromagnetic field would be sufficient to keep the melt mixed. After several runs were completed, it was evident from the reaction rate that stirring would be necessary. It was also hoped that stirring would minimize vaporization losses as these were so large in Run 3 and Run 8[37] that the runs could not be used. (A contributory fact to the high vaporization losses was that the temperatures in Run 3 and Run 8 were higher than in Run 1.)

That stirring did increase the reaction rate is evident when results of Run 10 and Run 11, Table 7, page 49, are compared with Runs 1, 3, and 8; and in addition, vaporization was reduced markedly.

One aspect of the stirred runs that was not anticipated was that local heating was apparently present. The location of the stirrer just below the thermocouple well is presumed to have caused a flow of reacting melt to pass over the thermocouple and raise its temperature above the actual average temperature of the melt. No attempts to avoid this type of irregularity have been made, as the dimensions of the present calorimeter are such that

---

[37] Comparison of Runs 1, 3, and 8, shows the following:

Run	Av. T.	%Na Vaporized
1	615°C.	2.42
3	650	22.4
8	625	11.6

any other configuration of apparatus would necessitate major changes in design.

Table 7, Amounts of Sodium Vaporized in Various Runs.

Run	Approx. Av. Temp.	% Na Vaporized	Av. Time For Addition
1	615°C.	2.42	24 Min.
3	660	22.4	22
8	630	11.6	18
10	660	0.4	11
11	640	0	13

The dispenser was quite erratic in its operation. This was attributed to the fact that the sodium pellets were so light that the plates did not always operate properly. In addition the sodium metal is quite soft and almost any small force is sufficient to warp the pellets out of shape so they would wedge themselves in the constrictions in the dispenser. This wedging caused several runs to be worthless.

A minor difficulty encountered was that the converter was, at times, difficult to control, and seemed to operate in a stable manner at only a few power settings. This made it quite difficult to operate at any temperature other than the equilibrium temperature for the stable settings. The spark-gap was cleaned twice and appeared to be in good condition each time so the peculiarities were attributed to a worn control mechanism.

CONCLUSION (Na-Sn)

A new method of analysis of the temperature-time curve has been devised. Various types of curves have been obtained for the same reaction and the application of the method has given results which are consistent within the limits of probable error.

The heat of formation of NaSn was measured and found to be -9.63 ± 0.40 kilocalories per mole for the reaction at $873^{\circ}K$. On the basis of an assumption, the heat of reaction was extrapolated to $298^{\circ}K$., at which temperature it is estimated to be -10.6 ± 0.6 kilocalories per mole.

Part II

Introduction to the Work on Li-Sn

As brought out in the introduction to the work on the determination of the heat of formation of Na-Sn alloys, the high-frequency induction coil was first used as a calorimeter by Chipman and Grant[38] about 1943. LeRoy A. Bromley[39] patterned such a calorimeter along the same lines in 1946, including suitable control features which are described in the section on "Description of Apparatus and Operating Procedure".

Where similar experimental methods have been employed, the reference data now available largely fail to present the equations and theoretical bases whereby the final results tabulated were obtained. In the work on Na-Sn, a mathematical method of analysis is derived enabling one to interpret the data collected using the high-frequency calorimeter. The analytical procedure evolved and the basic assumptions stated will be found in the "Derivation of New Method of Analysis of Temperature-Time Curves" and other sections of this report. This derivation was used in calculating the final results tabulated in the summary.

The method does possess definite shortcomings. This can be seen from the sample calculations included for the Na-Sn determinations. The calculations themselves are long and laborious, especially so until one becomes familiar with the method of attack. On the other hand, shortening the method would of necessity cut down one's accuracy of analysis.

---

[38] Chipman and Grant, op. cit. n. 1.

[39] Unpublished work of LeRoy A. Bromley, Ph.D., Instructor in Chemical Engineering, University of California.

Kubaschewski and Seith[40] list a value for the heat of formation of Li-Sn and, in the absence of any further data, values determined using the high-frequency calorimeter will be compared with theirs.

The real test of the method lies in the consistency of results obtainable. Barring experimental error, if consistent values can be obtained from different runs in which the reaction exhibited varying rates of reaction, then a real contribution has been made in providing a means of analysis for such measurements. This research endeavors to put the equations to a further test.

---

[40] Kubaschewski and Seith, op. cit. n. 8.

TABULATION OF RESULTS ON Li-Sn

Table 8, Summary of Runs and Results

Run	No. of Li Additions	Heat of Reaction Kcal./mole[41]	Comments
1	2	-	Initial height of melt in crucible too low. Temperatures obtained were not dependable. Stirrer was used.
2	2	-	Frothing occurred when Li was added. Dispenser failed. Stirrer was used.
3	2	-	Stirrer stopped when Mo was added, changing the steady-state temperature of the system.
4	2	-	Lithium pellet fell on conical shield and failed to get into melt. Stirrer was used.
5	3	-	ΔH values calculated were not consistent.[42] Stirrer was not used.
6	3	1st -17.83	Instantaneous reaction
		2nd -17.36	Non-instantaneous reaction
		3rd -18.21	Non-instantaneous reaction (The stirrer was not used in run 6).
7	3	-	Power could not be maintained steady. Oxidation of reactants occurred. Stirrer was not used.
8	3	-	Oxidation of reactants occurred. Stirrer was not used.
9	3	1st -17.09	Instantaneous reaction
		2nd -17.14	Instantaneous reaction
		3rd -17.75	Non-instantaneous reaction (The stirrer was not used in run 9.

[41] Values corrected to ΔH 850°K.
[42] See "Discussion".

As shown in Table 9, the three additions for Run 6 were averaged and similarly for the three additions made in Run 9. The final result tabulated is the average of these two averages.

One probable error calculation was made. This calculation was based on addition 2 of Run 6 which was a non-instantaneous addition. This probable error was assigned to the calculated heats of reaction for all the additions made. A summary of the factors considered in such a calculation and their relative magnitudes can be found in the work on Na-Sn.

Table 9
Results of Determinations, LiSn.

Run	Addition	Kcal./Mole at 850°K	
6	1	-17.83 ± 2.03	
	2	-17.36 ± 2.03	
	3	-18.21 ± 2.03	
		-17.80 ± 1.17	Average
9	1	-17.09 ± 2.03	
	2	-17.14 ± 2.03	
	3	-17.75 ± 2.03	
		-17.33 ± 1.17	Average
		-17.57 ± 0.83	Overall Average

It is of interest to speculate as to the heat of reaction at 298°K. Assuming that the change in heat content of LiSn is equal to the change in heat content of tin plus eight-tenths of the change in heat content of the lithium, one calculates:

$$-\Delta H_{298} = 17.57 + 0.2\ (5.23)^{43} = 18.62 \text{ Kcal./mole.}$$

The total probable error of this value is of the order of magnitude of 1.5 Kcal.

[43] L. Brewer et al., op. cit., n. 22.

DISCUSSION OF THE WORK ON Li-Sn

It was stated in the introduction to this work on Li-Sn that the purpose of the research was to put the mathematical means of analysis previously derived to a real test. The fact that nine runs were made before two good ones were obtained is sufficient evidence that there are many obstacles to be surmounted before one can obtain good data worthy of mathematical analysis. Extensive preliminary precautions must be taken to insure good runs, and even so, experimental errors oftentimes occur.

Heats of oxidation of the reactants are very large (e.g., 150 K cal./mole) compared to the heats one expects in these runs and hence one must strive to remove all traces of oxygen during an experiment. Runs 7 and 8 were accompanied by oxidation as evidenced by the frothing of the melt following lithium additions. Deterioration of the upper portion of the reaction crucible and its surrounding shield provided confirmation. Such oxidations can be partially avoided by cleaning all metal and other surfaces to remove oxide coatings prior to the time the apparatus is assembled. Following the assembly, the reaction crucible can be brought to red heat while the calorimeter is under vacuum. Adsorbed oxygen and moisture are thus removed, the little remaining being in an unreactive state. Argon can then be bled in, the vacuum removed and the experiment continued as described under the operating procedure.

In the final runs, the stirrer was not employed. Although a more uniform temperature throughout the melt might be expected with the use of a stirrer (e.g. localized heating partially eliminated), the geometry of the apparatus was such that best results were obtained when the stirrer was not used. The comments listed in Table 8 will bear this out. To obtain reliable temperatures in the reaction crucible, a sufficient height of melt

must cover the thermocouple. On the other hand, the quantities of reactants must be such that the ΔT following a lithium addition must not exceed the limits set in the derivation. Using the calorimeter and dispenser employed previously certain restrictions were placed on the size of reaction crucible as can be seen from the above considerations. The resulting size actually required was such that the stirrer was stopped following a Mo addition because of insufficient clearance. This produced a new steady-state temperature and made the entire run incapable of calculation.

In run 5, three additions of lithium were made and calculated. The first two additions gave quite low results and the last addition gave a very high result compared to the final average value tabulated. Such calculated values lead one to surmise that a fraction of the lithium pellets were held up in the first two additions and that the "hold-ups" all went in with the last addition. Such an occurrence is quite possible.

In general, the reaction rates in this research were more rapid than in the case of the NaSn preparations. Also the dispenser operation was much better. One would expect this to be the case since lithium, though less dense, has a higher melting point and is less subject to deformation at low temperatures than is sodium. Consequently, pellets are not as prone to get "hung-up" in the compartments of the dispenser.

Since some of the calculations for a given addition are subject to varying interpretation, pains were taken to put the probable error on the "safe" side. From the tabulated final results in Table 9 it is evident that such is the case since the actual results calculated are better than the listed probable error justifies.

Of the six additions listed in the final tabulation of results, there

is no discernable trend of values for either instantaneous or non-instantaneous type reactions. This in itself lends strong support to the mathematical procedure derived which appears to work equally well for either reaction type.

CONCLUSION (Li-Sn)

The new method of analysis of temperature-time curves has been given another test. The results for both instantaneous and non-instantaneous type reactions are well within the probable error assigned.

The heat of formation of LiSn was measured and found to be 17.57 $\pm$0.83 kilocalories per mole for the reaction at 850°K. The heat of formation was estimated as 18.62 kilocalories per mole at 298°K. The probable error is of the order of 1.5 kilocalories at this temperature. Kubaschewski and Seith[44] give a value of 16.8 $\pm$1.0 kilocalories at this same temperature. No other reference values are listed.

The probable error of this research is sufficiently large to make the reference value and the value obtained from this work consistent if the former value is low, and the latter, high.

---

[44] Kubaschewski and Seith, op. cit. n. 8.

DEFINITION OF SYMBOLS

Symbol	Definition
A	Area, $cm.^2$
a	A point on the curve of Fig. 6.
B	Defined as radiation heat transfer coefficient. A_1 is used as the first approximation to that quantity.
B'	Corrected value of B.
C	Integration constant; point on curve of Fig. 6.
Cp	Heat capacity of system, cal./°K.
ΣCp	Final heat capacity of system, cal./°K.
c_p	General heat capacity, cal./°K mole.
D	Convection heat transfer coefficient, hA, (kA/L), cal./min./°K.
d	Prefix denoting a differential.
F	Factor defined by: $Cp_{alloy} = Cp_{Sn} + FCp_{Na}$.
f	Net heat transfer rate, $-(q_{1T} - q_{1t})$, cal./min.
f' plot	The plot on which the heat Q_{II} is found.
g	Acceleration of gravity.
h	Convection heat transfer coefficient, cal./°C. min. cm^2.
h_c	Natural convection heat transfer coefficient; in McAdams formulae,, BTU/hr. ft^2 °F.
ΔH	Heat of Reaction; heat of formation, cal./mole or kilocalories/mole.
k	Conduction heat transfer coefficient, cal./°C. $cm.^2$ min./cm.
L	Length, feet, in McAdams formulae.
ln	Natural logarithm.
P	Defined as $(4B(t')^3 + D)$; used as first approximation to this quantity.
P'	Corrected value of P.
Q	Heat; or heat required to raise alkali from the dispenser temperature to the temperature t., cal.

Symbol	Definition
Q_o	Heat equivalent to the lithium vaporized, cal.
Q_I	Heat liberated by part of the non-instantaneous reaction that can be transformed to ideal instantaneous reaction and is equal to $Cp(\Delta T)$, cal.
Q_{II}	Heat liberated by reaction after "instantaneous" portion. Found from area on f' plot out to practical time limit, cal.
Q_{III}	Heat liberated after practical time limit of Q_{II}. This quantity equals $Cp(\overline{\Delta T})$.
q	Rate of heat transfer, cal/min.
q_{lt}	Rate of heat loss at temperature t, cal/min.
q_{lT}	Rate of heat loss at temperature T, cal/min.
R	Defined as $(6B(t')^2)$; used as first approximation to this quantity.
R'	Corrected value of R.
T	Any temperature, ^oC, ^oK.
T_r	Room temperature, ^oK.
T_1	Temperature of inside turn on molybdenum shield, ^oK.
T_2	Temperature of outsideturn on molybdenum shield, ^oK.
t	Steady-state temperature before addition, ^oC, ^oK.
t'	Equilibrium temperature after addition, ^oC, ^oK.
ΔT	Temperature difference which would have been produced if the reaction had been instantaneous; or, in the Appendices, any temperature difference, ^oC, ^oK.
$\Delta T'$	$(T - t')$, ^oC, ^oK.
$\Delta T''$	Smoothed temperature differences obtained from the $\ln[(\Delta T')/(1 + (R/P)\Delta T')]$ vs. θ plots, ^oC, ^oK.
$(\Delta T')_o$	Temperature difference, $(T - t')$ at the time corresponding to θ_o, ^oK.
$(\Delta T')_\theta$	Temperature difference $(T - t')$ at the time corresponding to θ, ^oK.
$(\overline{\Delta T})$	$((\Delta T')_o)_{actual\ curve} - ((\Delta T')_o)_{theoretical\ curve}$, ^oK.

Symbol	Definition
w	Weight in moles.
β	Coefficient of volumetric expansion.
ϵ	Emissivity of a given surface, no dimensions.
μ	Viscosity, in McAdams general equation, lb./hr. ft.
ρ	Density; in McAdams general equation, lb./ft.^{3}
σ	Stefan-Boltzmann radiation constant.
θ	Time, minutes.
θ_i	Time for the instantaneous reaction, minutes.
θ_o	Reference time in the calculation of Q_{III}.

APPENDIX I

EVALUATION OF "D".

The "D" for the system as used in equation (7) can be represented by an equation such as this:

$$D = \sum hA + \sum kA/L.$$

Thus evaluation of all the contributing factors allows estimation of D.

Assume that:

1. Except for radiation, natural convection is the principle factor for heat loss from the sides and top of the crucible.

2. An argon atmosphere is sufficiently like one of air to justify the use of empirical equations for heat transfer in air to calculate natural convection losses.

3. Heat losses through the thermocouple well and the stirrer are by conduction only.

4. Heat losses through the zircon stand are by conduction only.

5. Natural convection heat losses are small compared to radiation losses so that temperatures of the outside shield and base of the zircon stand can be estimated using radiation alone.

The calculation of D, using the above assumptions, follows.

Calculation of temperature of the outside shield:

The emissivity, ϵ', for an oxidized molybdenum surface is 0.7.

$$\sigma \epsilon A(t^4 - T_1^4) = \sigma \epsilon A(T_1^4 - T_2^4)$$

$$\sigma \epsilon A(T_1^4 - T_2^4) = \sigma \epsilon' A(T_2^4 - T_r^4)$$

Calculating ϵ,

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{(1/\epsilon') + (A_1/A_2)((1/\epsilon') - 1)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(1/0.7) + ((1/0.7) - 1)} = 0.538.$$

Solving, using T_r as 300°K., t as 875°K., and cancelling areas, T_1 = 780°K., and T_2 = 630°K.. Using the latter temperature, and an empirical equation of McAdams[45],

$$h_c = 0.28(\Delta T/L)^{0.25},$$

we find: ΔT = (630 - 300) = 330°C. = (9/5)(330) = 594°F.; L = 8.8/(12)(2.54) = 0.289 ft.; and $h_c = 0.28(594/0.289)^{0.25}$ or h_c = 1.89 B.T.U./hr. ft.^{2} °F.. This value is for natural convection from the sides of the outside shield, and expressed in our units, is 0.0154 gm. cal./min. cm.^{2} °C..

Calculation of natural convection from the top of the crucible:

Assume this to be equivalent to a horizontal plate at the temperature of the melt. McAdams[46] gives an approximate equation for air for this type of system,

$$h_c = 0.38(\Delta T)^{0.25}.$$

ΔT = (875 - 300) = 575°C.; ΔT = (9/5)(575) = 1035°F..

$h_c = 0.38(1035)^{0.25}$ = 2.17 B.T.U./hr. ft.^{2} °F. or 0.0176 gram-cal./min. cm.^{2} °C..

Calculation of T_i, the temperature of the inner horizontal face of the zircon stand:

The k for zircon is 0.005 gm.cal./sec. cm.^{2} (°C/cm.), or 0.30 gm.cal./min. cm.^{2} (°C/cm.).

Assume conduction through the zircon wafer (0.3 cm. thick) to inner horizontal face and then heat is transferred by radiation from this face to the copper stand. Take the temperature of the top of wafer as 875°K., then,

---

[45] W. H. McAdams, Heat Transmission, Second Edition, (New York), p. 241.

[46] Ibid., p. 240.

$$q = (kA/L)(875 - T_i) = \sigma\epsilon' A(T_1^4 - 300^4);$$

$L = 0.3$ cm.; $\epsilon' = 0.7$; substituting and solving, $T_i = 840^\circ K$..

Calculation of conduction across gap inside stand:

$k = 0.035$ B.T.U./hr. ft.^{2} ($^\circ$F/ft.) = 0.035(60)(0.00413)

= 0.0087 gm. cal./min. cm.^{2} ($^\circ$C/cm.)

Using the value for k, $kA/L = (0.3)(0.3x\pi x5)/2.8$, or

$kA/L = 0.504$ gm. cal./min. $^\circ$C. Since there is a very poor thermal contact where the stand meets the copper block, it is estimated that half of the above value for kA/L is effective, or $kA/L = 0.252$ gm. cal./min. $^\circ$C..

Calculation of loss out thermocouple well:

The cross-sectional area of the molybdenum well is 0.95 cm.^{2}. The length to the junction with the copper tube is 15 cm.. The area of the copper tube is 0.30 cm.^{2}, and its length is 25 cm.. The temperature of the end of the thermocouple in the melt is 875°K., the temperature of the end of the copper tube is 300°K.. The k for molybdenum is 19 gm.cal./min.cm.^{2} ($^\circ$C./cm.), and the k for copper is 50 gm. cal./min. cm.^{2} ($^\circ$C/cm.). Now the ratio of the (ΔT)'s of molybdenum to copper is;

$$\Delta T_{Mo}/\Delta T_{Cu} = k_{Cu} A_{Cu} L_{Mo}/k_{Mo} A_{Mo} L_{Cu} = 50(0.30)15/19(0.95)25 = 0.50.$$

The overall ΔT is (875 - 300) = 575°C.. We want the equivalent length of molybdenum which would have a ΔT of 575°C. under the same conditions. This equivalent length is 3(15.0) or 45 cm.. Using this equivalent length, $kA/L = 19(0.95)/45 = 0.401$ gm. cal. per (min. $^\circ$C.).

Calculation of the loss out the stirrer:

As an approximate value, use an equivalent length of molybdenum shaft of 45 cm.; k is 19 gm. cal./min. cm.^{2} ($^\circ$C/cm.); and A is 0.495 cm.^{2}. Then kA/L is 19(0.495)/45 = 0.210 gm. cal./min. $^\circ$C..

Now we have the quantities necessary to evaluate D. For the runs without the stirrer,

$$D = (0.0154)(110.5) + (0.0176)(12.6) + 0.044 + 0.252 + 0.401$$

$$= 1.702 + 0.222 + 0.044 + 0.252 + 0.401 = 2.621 \text{ gm. cal./min.}^{\circ}\text{C..}$$

For runs with the stirrer,

$$D = 2.62 + 0.21 = 2.83 \text{ gm. cal./min. }^{\circ}\text{C..}$$

Check of assumption (2),

McAdams[47] gives an expression from which an h_c can be found for any gaseous medium,

$$h_c L/k = 0.548\ (C_p\ \mu/k)\left(\frac{L^3 \rho^2 \beta g(\Delta T)}{\mu^2}\right)^{0.25}.$$

For air, $C_p\ \mu/k$ is 0.74; for argon, 0.69. For air, ρ is α 29; for argon, α 40. Fir air, β is 1/T; for argon it is 1/T also. For air, μ is 0.034; for argon, 0.042. Then the ratio of the above equation for air to argon is, assuming k's are equal,

$$\frac{\text{air}}{\text{argon}} = \frac{(0.74)(29)^2(0.042)^2}{(0.69)(40)^2(0.034)^2} = (1.07)(0.725)^2(1.233)^2$$

$$= (0.86)^{0.25} = 0.963.$$

The value of 0.963 for this ratio justifies the assumption made, as the approximate equations are probably no more exact than 0.96.

---

[47] Ibid., p. 242.

APPENDIX II

EVALUATION OF 'F'

Comparison of the heat capacities of binary alloys with their elements indicates that the molal heat capacity of the alloy is usually less than the total molal heat capacities of its constituents. Using an empirical expression for the heat capacity of an alloy,

$$C_{p_{alloy}} = C_{p_{noble\ metal}} + FC_{p_{base\ metal}},$$

and solving for the factor F, one ordinarily finds values ranging from 0.75 to 0.9. Since, in this research, a value for F is necessary to enable one to estimate the C_p of the system at various points during a run; a value of 0.80 was chosen. Although this value is below the average of the calculated values, one feels justified in its use, at least qualitatively, as sodium is more electropositive than any of the other base metals investigated. Furthermore, since the proper value for F in this case is doubtful, a large probable error is assigned[48]. Thus, compensation for this value of F is adequate.

---

[48] The value of F used in computing probable error is 0.8 ± 0.1.

APPENDIX III

SUMMARY OF CALCULATION OF PROBABLE ERRORS (Na-Sn)

RUN 1, ADDITION 2.

Value of ΣC_p[49]	24.14 ±3.18 cal./°C.
Amount of sodium vaporized[50]	0.46 ±0.10 gram
Value of F[51]	0.80 ±0.10
Value of C_p at beginning of addition 2	23.01 ±3.20 cal./°C.
Value of ΔT for addition 2[52]	19.8 ±2.85°C.
Value of Q_I $(C_p(\Delta T))$	455. ±128. cal.
Value of Q_0[53]	192. ±55. cal.
Value of Q_{II}[54]	624 ±61. cal.
Value of Q_{III}[55]	30. ±0. cal.
(Value of $Q_0 + Q_I + Q_{II} + Q_{III}$)	5.93 ±0.74 kilocal./mole
Value of Q[56]	4.81 ±0.19 kilocal./mole
Value of ΔH[57]	-10.74 ±0.77 kilocal./mole
Value of ΔH_{873}	-10.76 ±0.77 kilocal./mole

---

[49] This value was obtained by taking maximum and minimum reasonable values for the slope on the $\ln\Delta T'$ vs. Θ plot, and calculating the possible variation in ΣC_p. This variation was averaged to give the probable error.

[50] This value was obtained from a consideration of the errors in weighings and in the analysis.

[51] For a discussion of evaluation of F, see Appendix II, p. 66.

[52] This value was calculated by taking the maximum possible deviations of the slope on the $\ln\Delta T'$ vs. Θ plot and following the procedure outlined on p. 35 to give two extreme values of ΔT. The average deviation of these (ΔT)'s from the quoted ΔT was used as the probable error.

[53] This is calculated from the probable error in vaporized sodium, and the probable error in heat content values.

[54] Using the slopes mentioned in n. 52 above, the f plot calculation was carried out. The probable error reported is the average of the deviations from the quoted value.

[55] The error in Q_{III} was estimated to be negligible, since Q_{III} was calculated from an exact theoretical expression.

[56] This value was calculated from heat capacity data given by K.K.Kelley, op. cit., n. 19.

[57] This value represents the combination of $(Q_0 + Q_I + Q_{II} + Q_{III})$ and Q.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge a debt of gratitude to the following for their many contributions toward the ultimate completion of this research:

David H. Templeton, Ph.D., Instructor in Chemistry, and his associates, who did x-ray diffraction work on the alloys prepared and attempted to establish cell, lattice constants for the crystal structures resulting;

Theodore Vermeulen, Ph.D., Associate Professor of Chemical Engineering, whose assistance in refining the derivation is greatly appreciated;

Russell K. Edwards, B. S., graduate student in the College of Chemistry, who modified the temperature controlling device to insure better control and ease of operation.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1) W. Biltz, "Über die Bildungswärme intermetallischen Verbindungen.", Zeitschrift fur Metallkunde, 29, 73, (1937).

2) W. Biltz und W. Holverscheit, "Über die Bildungswärme intermetallischen Verbindungen VI, Zinnlegierungen.", Zeitschrift für anorganische und allgemeine Chemie, 140, 261, (1924).

3) W. Biltz und F. Meyer, "Über die Verwandtschaft von Quicksilber zu einiger Metallen.", Zeitschrift für anorganische und allgemeine Chemie, 176, 23, (1928).

4) L. Brewer et. al., Declassified Atomic Energy Commission Papers, Paper MDDC 438-D, (1945).

5) Chipman and Grant, "The Induction Furnace as a High-Temperature Calorimeter and the Heat of Solution of Silicon in Liquid Iron.", Transactions of the American Society for Metals, 31, 365, (1943).

6) K. K. Kelley, Contributions to the Data on Theoretical Metallurgy, U.S. Department of Interior, Bulletin #371, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., (1934).

7) O. Kubaschewski, "Die Energetik der Legierungsbildung.", Zeitschrift für Elektrochemie, 48, 646, (1942).

8) O. Kubaschewski und W. Seith, "Bildungswärmen von nichteisenmetall Legierung.", Zeitschrift für Metallkunde, 30, 7, (1938).

9) W. H. McAdams, Heat Transmission, Second Edition, (New York).

10) G. Grube und E. Meyer, "Elektrische Leitfähigkeit und Zustandsdiagramm bei Binären Legierungen.", Zeitschrift für Elektrochemie, 40, 771, (1934).

11) G. Grube und G. Schaufler, "Elektrische Leitfähigkeit und Zustandsdiagramm bei Binären Legierungen.", Zeitschrift für Electrochemie, 40, 593, (1934).

12) F. Haber und M. Sack, "Kathodenauflockerung und Kathodenzerstäubung als folge der Bildung von Alkalilegierungen des Kathodenmaterials.", Zeitschrift für Elektrochemie, 8, 245, (1902).

13) O. Kubaschewski, "Die Energetik der Legierungsbildung.", Zeitschrift für Elektrochemie, 48, 559, (1942).

14) O. Kubaschewski und A. Walter-Stuttgart, "Erfahrungen und Ergebnisse bei der Hochtemperaturcalorimetrie der Legierungen.", Zeitschrift für Elektrochemie, 45, 732, (1939).

15) G. Masig und G. Tammann, "Über das Verhalten von Lithium zu Natrium, Kalium, Zinn, Cadmium, und Magnesium.", Zeitschrift für anorganische Chemie, 67, 183, (1910).

16) C. H. Mathewson, "Über die Verbindungen von Natrium mit Zinn.", Zeitschrift für anorganische Chemie, 46, 94, (1905).

17) J. H. McAteer and H. Seltz, "Thermodynamic Properties of the Tellurides of Zinc, Cadmium, Tin, and Lead.", Journal of the American Chemical Society, 58, 2081, (1936).

18) A. Ölander, "An Electrochemical Investigation of Solid Cadmium-Gold Alloys.", Journal of the American Chemical Society, 54, 3819, (1932).

19) J. N. Pearce and J. F. Eversole, "A Study of the Potentials and Activities of the Metals in Zinc Amalgam Cells.", Journal of Physical Chemistry, 32, 209, (1928).

20) F. E. Poindexter, "The Vapor Pressure of Solid Sodium and Solid Potassium Amalgams.", Physical Reviews, 28, 208, (1926).

21) M. Sack, "Über die Entstehung und Bedeutung von Natriumlegierungen bei der kathodischen Polarisation.", Zeitschrift für anorganische Chemie, 34, 286, (1903).

22) H. Seltz, "The Application of Electromotive Force Measurements to Binary Metal Systems.", Transactions of the Electrochemical Society, 77, 233, (1940).

23) H. Seltz and B. J. DeWitt, "A Thermodynamic Study of the Cadmium-Antimony System.", Journal of the American Chemical Society, 60, 1305, (1938).

24) W. P. White, "Calorimetry in Furnaces.", Journal of Physical Chemistry, 34, 1121, (1930).

CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED BY AUTHORITY
OF THE DISTRICT ENGINEER
BY THE DECLASSIFICATION COMMITTEE