UCRL-11442

University of California

Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

PRODUCTION OF STRANGE-PARTICLE RESONANT STATES IN π^-+p INTERACTIONS AT 3.2 AND 4.2 GeV/c

Berkeley, California

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Proceedings for meeting of Intern. Conf. on High Energy Physics
Dubna USSR Aug. 5-15, 1964

UCRL-11442

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

PRODUCTION OF STRANGE-PARTICLE RESONANT STATES IN $\pi^- + p$ INTERACTIONS AT 3.2 AND 4.2 GeV/c

Lyndon M. Hardy, Suh Urk Chung, Orin I. Dahl,
Richard I. Hess, Janos Kirz, and Donald H. Miller

July 3, 1964

PRODUCTION OF STRANGE-PARTICLE RESONANT STATES IN $\pi^- + p$ INTERACTIONS AT 3.2 AND 4.2 GeV/c*

Lyndon M. Hardy, Suh Urk Chung, Orin I. Dahl,
Richard I. Hess, Janos Kirz, and Donald H. Miller

(Presented by D. H. Miller)

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California

July 3, 1964

The general properties of the three- and four-body final states containing a Λ or $\Sigma^{\pm,0}$ are being studied at 3.2 and 4.2 GeV/c. In this report we discuss the meson and baryon resonances that contribute most significantly to these final states. Evidence is presented for the increasing importance of peripheral collisions involving boson exchange as the incident π^- momentum is raised.

I. $Y\pi K$ FINAL STATES

Several investigations of $\pi^{\pm}p$ interactions in the 1.5 to 2.5-GeV/c region have demonstrated that most $Y\pi K$ events are produced through either

$$\pi^- + p \rightarrow Y + K^*(888) \rightarrow Y + \pi + K$$

or

$$\pi^- + p \rightarrow Y^* + K \qquad \rightarrow Y + \pi + K,$$

with Y^*'s and K^*'s produced with comparable rates in both the $\Lambda\pi K$ and $\Sigma\pi K$ final states.[1] This situation changes rapidly with increasing π^- momentum.

The effective-mass distributions for the $K\pi$ combinations are given in Fig. 1. At 3.2 GeV/c, most $\Lambda\pi^-K$ and $\Sigma^0\pi^-K^+$ events result from the YK^*

*Work sponsored by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

intermediate state. In both cases, the YK^* system can be produced in peripheral collisions involving K or K^* exchange. It may be noted that the $\Sigma^-(K\pi)^+$ final state also contains a strong K^* contribution. However, the center-of-mass angular distribution for Σ^-K^{*+} production approximates $\cos^2\theta$, in contrast to that for ΛK^{*0} or Σ^0K^{*0}, where the K^*'s are peaked sharply in the backwards direction as expected for a boson-exchange mechanism. At 4.2 GeV/c, the two peripherally produced K^{*0}'s still contribute strongly, while the relative importance of the Σ^-K^{*+} intermediate state is decreased markedly. No evidence for any resonant $K\pi$ state other than $K^*(888)$ is observed.

The effective-masses for the $Y\pi$ combinations are plotted in Fig. 2. At 3.2 GeV/c, clear contributions arise only from those low-mass baryon resonances that may be produced peripherally - - $Y_1^{*0}(1385)$, $Y_0^*(1405)$, and $Y_0^*(1520)$; little evidence for $Y_1^{*-}(1385)$ is apparent. Although either statistical or measurement accuracy may obscure the presence of higher-mass baryon resonances, they cannot contribute strongly, since most of the remaining $Y\pi$ combinations are reflections of the YK^* intermediate state. At 4.2 GeV/c, the relative contributions of even the low-mass baryon resonances are further reduced.

II. THE $Y\pi\pi K$ FINAL STATES

The effective-mass distributions for all $\Lambda\pi$ and $K\pi$ combinations from the $\Lambda\pi\pi K$ events are plotted in Figs. 3 and 4. At 3.2 GeV/c, $Y_1^*(1385)$ production is copious in all charge states, although only Y_1^{*0} and Y_1^{*+} can be produced peripherally. In addition, both K^{*0} and K^{*+} are produced strongly. Further examination of the correlations in these events indicates that essentially all Y_1^{*0}'s are produced in association with K^{*0}'s, with a production angular distribution characteristic of boson exchange. However, substantial Y_1^{*-}

production also occurs with K^{*+}, although in this case there is additional resonance production when the remaining $\Lambda\pi$ or $K\pi$ combination is nonresonant. At 4.2 GeV/c most Y_1^* production has disappeared; some K^* production is still apparent.

The $\Sigma\pi$ and $K\pi$ effective masses for the $\Sigma\pi\pi K$ events at 3.2 GeV/c are plotted in Figs. 5 and 6. The distributions again show that resonance production occurs predominantly in those states accessible through boson exchange, K^*Y^* (1405 or 1520), and $K^{*0}Y_1^{*0}$ (1660). The $(\Sigma\pi)^0$ distribution is remarkably similar to that for the $(\Sigma\pi)^0K^0$ final state studied from 2.0 to 2.4 GeV/c, where the same resonant states are strongly excited.[1]

All three-body effective-mass combinations from these states have been examined for evidence of $Y^*\pi$ of $K^*\pi$ resonances. Unfortunately, the complexity of the final states makes interpretation of possible enhancements ambiguous. However, in searching for new boson resonances in the $\Lambda\pi\pi K$ final state, it is not practical to eliminate all Y_1^* events in the same manner that N^* events are suppressed in the analogous $p\pi^-\pi^+\pi^+$ final state, since the Λ resonates equally strongly with pions of all charges. Nevertheless, it is of interest to compare the $K\pi\pi$ distributions with those observed in other experiments. Thus far, two $K\pi\pi$ enhancements have been reported in the mass interval accessible in the present experiment. The first, at 1230 MeV with full-width $\Gamma \approx 60$ MeV, was observed by Armenteros et al. in a study of $\bar{p}p$ annihilations at rest;[2] the second at 1175 MeV with $\Gamma \lesssim 50$ MeV, was observed by Wangler et al. in π^-p interactions at 3.0 GeV/c.[3]

In Fig. 7 we have plotted our data separately for the three momenta near 3.2 GeV/c, at which measurements were made (these were grouped together in the previous discussion). The solid curves represent phase space when modified for Y^* production. No systematic enhancement is apparent in the

distributions. Only at 3.01 GeV/c is there any evidence for an enhancement, with a peak at ~ 1230 MeV and $\Gamma \simeq 80$ MeV. Since this peak is not observed at the other momenta shown, we cannot conclude that it represents a valid $K\pi\pi$ effect; although it might correspond to the enhancement reported by Armenteros et al.[2] In this case, the data would suggest a surprising energy dependence for the peak. No evidence is observed for a $K\pi\pi$ peak at 1175 MeV as reported by Wangler et al.[3]

We conclude that the contributions from baryon resonances to three- and four-body final states decrease rapidly with increasing π^- momentum; the K^* contribution decreases considerably more slowly. Only the low-mass baryon resonances are observed. At increasing π^- momentum, resonance production occurs predominantly in charge configurations accessible through boson exchange.

REFERENCES

1. See for example the data of G. Alexander, O. Dahl, L. Jacobs, G. Kalbfleisch, D. Miller, J. Schwarz, and G. Smith, (Talk presented by G. Smith) Proceedings of the Athens Topical Conference on Recently Discovered Resonant Particles, (Ohio University, Athens, Ohio, 1963).

2. R. Armenteros, D. N. Edwards, T. Jacobsen, L. Montanet, A. Shapira, J. Vandermeulen, Ch. D'Andlau, A. Astier, P. Baillon, J. Cohen-Ganouna, C. Defoix, J. Siaud, C. Ghesquiére, and P. Rivet, Phys. Letters 9, 207 (1964).

3. T. P. Wangler, A. R. Erwin, and W. D. Walker, Phys. Letters 9, 71 (1964).

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. Effective-mass distributions for $K\pi$ systems in $Y\pi K$ final states: a, b, c are for 3.2 GeV/c ; d, e, f, are for 4.2 GeV/c.

Fig. 2. Effective-mass distributions for $Y\pi$ combinations in $Y\pi K$ final states: a, b, c, d are for 3.2 GeV/c; e, f, g, h are for 4.2 GeV/c.

Fig. 3. Effective-mass distributions for $\Lambda\pi$ systems in $\Lambda\pi\pi K$ final states: a, b, c are for 3.2 GeV/c; d, e, f are for 4.2 GeV/c.

Fig. 4. Effective-mass distributions for $K\pi$ systems in $\Lambda\pi\pi K$ final states: a, b, c are for 3.2 GeV/c; d, e, f are for 4.2 GeV/c.

Fig. 5. Effective-mass distributions for $\Sigma\pi$ combinations in $\Sigma\pi\pi K$ final states at 3.2 GeV/c.

Fig. 6. Effective-mass distributions for $K\pi$ systems in $\Sigma\pi\pi K$ final states at 3.2 GeV/c.

Fig. 7. Effective-mass distributions for positive and neutral $K\pi\pi$ combinations.



Fig. 1

MUB-3197



Fig. 2

MUB-3192



Fig. 3

MUB-3220



Fig. 4

MUB-3221


Events
30
20
10
0
1405
1520
1660
□ $\Sigma^-\pi^+$; $\Sigma^+\pi^-$
(373)
1400
1600
1800
$M_{\Sigma\pi}$ (MeV)
□ $\Sigma^0\pi^-$; $\Sigma^-\pi^0$
(153)
1660
□ $\Sigma^+\pi^0$ (149)
MUB-3195

Fig. 5



MUB-3200

Fig. 6



Fig. 7

MUB-3198

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.