UCRL-11460

University of California

Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

EXCITATION OF 10-MeV H^0 ATOMS
IN NEUTRAL AND CHARGED-PARTICLE COLLISIONS

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

Berkeley, California

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Submitted to Physical Review

UCRL-11460

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

EXCITATION OF 10-MeV H^0 ATOMS IN NEUTRAL AND CHARGED-PARTICLE COLLISIONS

Klaus H. Berkner, Selig N. Kaplan, George A. Paulikas, and Robert V. Pyle

October 26, 1964

Excitation of 10-MeV H^0 Atoms in Neutral and Charged-Particle Collisions*

Klaus H. Berkner, Selig N. Kaplan, George A. Paulikas, and Robert V. Pyle

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California

October 26, 1964

ABSTRACT

Ten-MeV hydrogen atoms with a distribution of excited states were produced by collisional dissociation of 20-MeV H_2^+ ions. The populations of the higher excited levels were removed by Lorentz ionization, and the levels were then repopulated by collisional excitation of the atoms in molecular or weakly ionized hydrogen targets. The populations of the levels n = 6 to 9 were determined by a second Lorentz ionization.

For collisions with electrons and ions in hydrogen-plasma targets, cross sections for transitions from the levels n = 5, 6 to n' = 6, 7, 8, 9, and upper limits for the transitions n = 1 or 4 to n' = 6 or 7 have been obtained. These are compared with calculations in the first Born, Bethe, and impact-parameter approximations; good agreement is found for all transitions, although those with $\Delta n = 2$ are somewhat larger than calculated.

For molecular-hydrogen targets, transitions are observed from the sum of all levels with $n \leq 4$, 5, 6 to levels n' = 6, 7, 8, and 9. Cross sections for the individual transitions from n = 5, 6 to the levels n' = 6, 7, 8, and 9 have been deduced from these results. These cross sections differ from those for charged-particle collisions in that they are smaller by about three orders of magnitude, and the ratios of cross sections with $\Delta n > 1$ to those of $\Delta n = 1$ are larger than in the charged-particle case.

I. INTRODUCTION

The probability of exciting a neutral hydrogen atom from principal quantum level n to n + 1 by a collision with a charged particle can be very large if n is large. For the case of hydrogen-electron collisions, Milford and co-workers[1] have calculated cross sections for n up to 10 using the first Born and Bethe approximations, and Saraph[2] has calculated up to n = 40 using Seaton's impact-parameter method. The results of the two calculations are in good agreement in the high-energy region of interest in the present work. Bouthilette, Healey, and Milford[3] have calculated excitation cross sections for neutral hydrogen atom-atom collisions with $n \leq 4$, using the first Born approximation.

In the present experiment we have determined the cross sections for excitation from some of the lower lying states into states with n = 6 to 9 when 10-MeV hydrogen atoms collide with a molecular hydrogen or with a proton-electron target. Populations of the levels n = 6 to 9 were determined by Lorentz ionization. The high energy puts the experiment well into the region of validity of the Born approximation and allows us to obtain large electric fields with dc magnetic fields (43.7 kV/cm per kG). This makes possible measurements on the nonoverlapping levels of low-lying excited states. In addition, the thermal motion of electrons and the capture of electrons in the targets can be neglected. Preliminary results for the n = 6 to 7 transition induced by collisions with H_2 have been reported previously.[4]

II. THEORY SUMMARY

A. Excitation

1. Electron-Atom Collisions

The cross-section calculations of Milford and co-workers[1] were

carried out for 0.2- to 1361-eV electrons by means of the first Born approximation and the Bethe (dipole) approximation. The form of the latter is given by

$$\sigma(n, \ell \rightarrow n', \ell') = \pi a_0^2 \frac{C(n, \ell, n', \ell')}{E} \ln D(n, \ell, n', \ell')E \qquad (1)$$

where E is the electron impact energy, D is a function of the cutoff momentum and the energy difference between the states, and C is a function of the orbital angular momentum and the dipole matrix element connecting the states. Calculations of the n = 3 to n = 4 transitions for all possible ℓ showed that transitions with $\Delta\ell = \pm 1$ predominate. One also notes that the large-angular-momenta states are most easily excited, that the excitation cross sections increase very rapidly with n (approximately as n^4), and that transitions with $\Delta n = \pm 1$ dominate by about an order of magnitude. The calculations are estimated to be accurate to about 10%.

Cross sections of interest in the present experiment are given in Table I where we have evaluated the above formula at an electron energy of 5425 eV, which corresponds to 10-MeV hydrogen atoms colliding with a cold electron gas. As we are not able to distinguish individual ℓ states experimentally, we statistically average them, i.e., weight them by $(2\ell + 1)$, to find the total cross sections for transitions between principal quantum levels (see discussion in Sec. IV).

The calculations of Saraph,[2] who used Seaton's impact-parameter method, agree with the above results to within about 1%. Values for an electron energy of 5425 eV are shown in Table I together with extrapolations of other pertinent excitation calculations by McCarroll,[5] McCrea and McKirgan,[6] and Boyd.[7]

2. Proton-Atom Collisions

At high energies the Born approximation gives identical results for collisions of electrons or protons of the same velocity with atoms.[12]

Table. I. Cross sections, $\sigma_{n,n'}$, for collisions of 10-MeV hydrogen atoms with cold electrons (theoretical). All entries are in units of 10^{-18} cm^2. a, Ref. 1; b, Ref. 2; c, Ref. 5; d, statistical average over states of the results of Ref. 6 and Ref. 7; e, Ref. 8; f, Ref. 9; g, Ref. 10; h, Ref. 11.

n \ n'	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Continuum
1		3.1[a] 3.6[b] 3.6[c]	0.52[a] 0.63[c]	0.22[c]	0.11[c]	0.058[c]	0.035[c]	0.023[c]	0.016[c]	0.012[c]			2.8[e] 3.3[f] 2.8[h]
2	0.9		32[a] 34[b] 53[d]	4.5[a] 6[d]	3[d]	1[d]	0.6[d]	0.4[d]	0.3[d]	0.2[d]			4.8[e] 29[g] 15[h]
3	0.06	15		130[a] 134[b]	17[a]								10[e]
4	0.014	1.1	74		374[a] 374[b]	43[a]							13[e]
5	0.004	0.5	7	240		846[a]	93[a]						18[c]
6	0.002	0.1		12	588		1650[a] 1650[b]	175[a]					22[e]
7	7×10^{-3}	0.05			47	1210		3070[a]	300[a]				26[e]
8	4×10^{-4}	0.03				98	2350		4990[a] 4960[b]	481[a]			30[e]
9	2×10^{-4}	0.01					181	3930		7870[a]	749[a]		34[e]
10	1×10^{-4}	0.01						308	6370		11 900[a] 11 900[b]	1080[a]	39[e]
11									501	9830			43[e]
12										750			46[e]

3. Atom-Atom Collisions

Collisions of excited hydrogen atoms with ground-state hydrogen atoms have been investigated in the first Born approximation by Bouthilette, Healey, and Milford (BHM).[3] These calculations are for $n \leq 4$ for collisions of the type

$$H(n,\ell) + H(1s) \rightarrow H(n+1, \ell') + H(2s \text{ or } 2p).$$

Although these are only part of the processes by which an atom can be excited from n to n + 1, the BHM results illustrate two important differences between H-H and H-e collisions: The H-H collisions are smaller by at least two orders of magnitude and they have a very weak dependence on n. Their results were tabulated for energies up to about 4 MeV and indicated a 1/E dependence at high energies. Cross sections extrapolated to 10 MeV are given in Table II for the individual transitions and for the total of all transitions, $n \rightarrow n + 1$, obtained by statistically weighting the ℓ levels.

B. De-Excitation

1. Collisional

At high energies the cross sections for transitions from n to n + 1 and vice versa are related by the detailed-balance expression

$$\sigma_{n,\ n+1} = \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^2 \sigma_{n+1,\ n} \cdot \qquad (2)$$

2. Radiative

The radiative decay of a quantum level n is determined by the radiative lifetimes and the populations of the various states of the level. The radiative lifetimes (electric-dipole radiation) for excited states of the hydrogen atom have recently been calculated by Hiskes, Tarter, and Moody[13] and Hiskes and Tarter[14] in both spherical (field-free case) and parabolic (Stark

Table II. Cross sections in units of 10^{-18} cm^2 for hydrogen-hydrogen collisions in which one atom undergoes the indicated transition while the other atom is excited from n = 1 to n = 2. The theoretical results of Ref. 3 have been extrapolated to 10 MeV by the assumption of a 1/E dependence. The values in brackets are weighted averages over all ℓ values.

Transition	Cross section	Transition	Cross section
2s - 3s	0.028	3s - 4p	0.039
2s - 3p	0.043	3p - 4d	0.068
2s - 3d	0.111	3d - 4f	0.206
2p - 3s	0.006	⟨3 - 4⟩	0.141
2p - 3p	0.036	4s - 5p	0.037
2p - 3d	0.150	4f - 5g	0.234
⟨2 - 3⟩	0.190		

case) coordinates. Since the population of the states was not known, we assumed that initially all states ($n, \ell, \pm m$) in the field-free case or ($n_1, n_2, \pm m$) in the Stark case were equally populated. The time development of the number of particles in the level n is then given by

$$\frac{N_n(t)}{N_n(t=0)} = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} (2\ell + 1) \exp \left[- \frac{t}{\tau(n,\ell)} \right] \tag{3}$$

for the field-free case, and by the following equation for the Stark case:[15a]

$$\frac{N_n(t)}{N_n(t=0)} = \frac{1}{n^2} \left\{ \sum_{n_1=0}^{n-1} \exp \left[- \frac{t}{\tau(m=0,\ n_1)} \right] + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} 2(n-m) \exp \left[- \frac{t}{\tau(n,\ m)} \right] \right\} \tag{4}$$

Expressions (3) and (4) have been evaluated for n = 3 to 10 from the results of Hiskes and Tarter. In the Stark case the levels decay somewhat more rapidly than in the field-free case, but for the conditions of the present experiment the populations that survive the longest flight path do not differ by more than a few percent for the two cases. Because of the stray magnetic fields in the experimental area, we believe that the Stark lifetimes are appropriate. The calculated decay of the states of the n = 7 level are given in Fig. 1 and the statistically weighted decays of the various levels are shown in Fig. 2.

The theoretical cross sections shown in Table I were all calculated for the field-free case. It can be shown, however, from the results of Hiskes[15b] that at our energy the statistically averaged field-free and Stark cross sections differ at most by 5%.

C. Ionization

1. Collisional

For ionization of excited hydrogen atoms, Bethe approximation calculations for e-H collisions have been reported by Stauffer and McDowell.[3] The results obtained by extrapolation from 200- to 5425-eV electrons are given

in Table I. For low-n values these calculations are smaller than first-Born-approximation results by as much as a factor of five. Although the magnitudes may be too small, these results indicate that the ionization cross section is approximately proportional to n. Excitation and ionization cross sections are comparable for the ground state, but the cross sections for excitation of the higher levels are much greater than those for ionization.

2. Lorentz

Theoretical calculations have been reported for the ionization of hydrogen atoms in strong electric fields, and Hiskes has shown that these results apply for Lorentz ionization in a magnetic field by the equivalent electric field $\underset{\sim}{v} \times \underset{\sim}{B}$.[16]

The results of Bailey, Hiskes, and Riviere[17] (who used the Rice and Good[18] model) for the extreme Stark components of the levels n = 5 to 9 are shown in Fig. 3. Ionization of a particular level n will occur over the indicated field range due to a population distribution over all Stark states within a given level. Note that for $n \geq 7$ the threshold fields for states of neighboring levels begin to overlap. This becomes more pronounced for very large n, and the thresholds for states of as many as five different levels may overlap for n = 25. Various states (n_1, n_2, m) of each level have different lifetimes for radiative decay to lower states, and the shaded regions indicate the range of spontaneous radiative transition lifetimes for the states of each level.[13]

III. EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS AND PROCEDURE

A. Production of 10-MeV Excited Hydrogen Atoms

The over-all experimental arrangement is shown schematically in Fig. 4. The Berkeley heavy-ion linear accelerator (Hilac) produced a

beam of 20-MeV H_2^+ ions. This beam was bent 15 deg to remove possible contaminants and was partially dissociated by collisions in the first gas cell. Of the two modes of collisional dissociation,

$$H_2^+ \rightarrow 2H^+ + e^-$$

and

$$H_2^+ \rightarrow H^+ + H^0(n, \ell),$$

the second produces 10-MeV atoms with a distribution of excited levels. Since only the atoms were of interest, the charged particles H^+ and H_2^+ were swept out of the beam with magnet LM1. The first gas cell was filled with H_2 gas at a pressure of 2×10^{-2} torr; this gave a good yield of neutral atoms and made it possible for the adjacent drift section to be maintained at less than 10^{-5} torr.

The magnet LM1 not only swept out the ions but also Lorentz ionized the highly excited neutral atoms. The minimum field used to sweep out the ions was 2 kG ($\underline{F} = \underline{v}\times\underline{B}$ = 87.4 kV/cm), which is sufficient to Lorentz ionize all levels above n = 10. The field could be increased to a maximum of 22 kG (961 kV/cm), which is sufficient to Lorentz ionize most of the n = 5 level. By choice of the appropriate field strength, it was thus possible to prepare a beam of hydrogen atoms in which only the excited levels n = 1 to n^* were populated, where n^* could be varied from 4 to 10.

B. Targets

1. The Neutral Gas Target

The neutral beam passed through a 200-cm drift section and then entered the target section, where either a differentially pumped gas cell or a weakly ionized PIG discharge could be used. The gas cell [Fig. 5(a)]

consisted of a target chamber and an intermediate-pressure region backed by a 1500 liter/sec oil-diffusion pump. It was possible to maintain the pressure in the intermediate region a factor of 100 lower than the pressure in the target chamber. The pressure in the drift sections was again a factor of 100 less. For example, when the pressure in the target section was 5×10^{-2} torr, the pressure in the drift sections was approximately 5×10^{-6} torr.

A base pressure of 1×10^{-6} torr could be achieved in the gas cell. During operation, hydrogen gas was continuously bled through the chamber. The gas was obtained from a cylinder of commercial high-purity gas and no further purification was attempted. Typical operating pressures ranged from 1×10^{-3} to 5×10^{-2} torr.

A linear pressure drop in the connecting tubes was assumed, and the effective length of the target chamber was taken to be 24 ± 1 cm, the distance between the midpoints of the connecting tubes. The pressure in the target chamber was monitored by a Westinghouse Type 7676 high-pressure ionization gauge (Schulz-Phelps gauge). This was cross calibrated with three liquid-nitrogen or acetone-dry-ice trapped McLeod gauges. At best, the McLeod gauge readings agreed within 5%. This uncertainty of the absolute accuracy of the McLeod gauges and fluctuations in the calibration from day to day indicate an uncertainty of ± 10% in the target-cell-pressure measurement.

2. <u>The Weakly Ionized Target</u>

For the study of collisions with charged particles, the gas target was replaced by a PIG discharge. A diagram of the discharge chamber is shown in Fig. 5(b). The field coils provided an axial magnetic field of the order of 200 gauss. A brass central chamber, maintained at ground potential, served as the anode. Two oxidized aluminum disks, insulated from the anode by 5-cm glass sections, served as cold emission cathodes.

The beam pulses from the Berkeley Hilac are 3 msec long, with a 12 to 20 per second repetition rate. The discharge was operated on a pulsed basis; a negative potential, typically 500 volts with respect to the anode, was applied 1 msec before the beam pulse arrived and was maintained for 5 msec. The discharge current during this time was approximately 1 ampere. The PIG operated in hydrogen over a pressure range from 2×10^{-3} to 2×10^{-2} torr, and the gas was continuously bled through the chamber. Both the applied voltage and the pressure were varied to achieve a range of electron densities. A maximum of 1% ionization could be attained.

During data runs the plasma density was monitored by a radial Langmuir probe 1.25 cm from the beam axis, and by phase-shift analysis of radially propagated 8-mm microwaves. Integrated results of a complete radial density profile were typically 30% lower than the microwave values, so the two values were averaged. The results were multiplied by 2 to give the total charged-particle density (electrons and ions). The total number of particles along the axis of the target chamber was determined from the above measurements and from measurements with an axially movable Langmuir probe with a 90-deg bend 3.5 cm from the tip so that the probe shaft would not perturb the discharge [Fig. 5(b)]. The net uncertainty in the number of charged particles "seen" by the beam is estimated to be ± 25%.

C. Measurement of the Population of the Excited Levels

A 225-cm drift section, maintained at a pressure of 10^{-5} torr or less, connected the target with the analyzing section. In this region, a sweeping magnet (1.4 kG) removed the protons produced by ionizing collisions in the target. Magnets LM2 and A, together with the detectors NC and CC, were used to measure the populations of the excited levels of the 5-mm-diameter

beam of neutral atoms. Magnet LM2, which has tapered pole faces, produced a nonuniform field profile so that the Lorentz ionization of successively lower levels occurred sequentially in space as the beam passed through this nonuniform field. Consequently, the protons resulting from Lorentz ionization of levels of high n underwent larger deflections in the field of LM2 than those from lower levels. This process is illustrated in the inset at the bottom of Fig. 4. The maximum field strength of LM2 is 18 kG, equivalent to an electric field of 787 kV/cm in the rest frame of the 10-MeV H^0. This field is sufficient to Lorentz ionize some of the states of the $n = 5$ level and all states of the levels with $n > 5$ in the time that the beam spends in the field region. While the unstripped neutrals were monitored by the neutral counter NC, the protons were steered to the charged-particle counter CC by the analyzing magnet A. The particles left the vacuum region through 125-micron-thick Al windows and struck the detectors, each of which was a 5-cm-diameter plastic scintillator connected by a 28-cm Lucite light pipe to a RCA type-6810A photomultiplier tube. The outputs of the photomultipliers were recorded on scalers. A profile of the spatial distributions of the protons resulting from Lorentz ionization of various levels in LM2 was obtained by varying the field of A. Good resolution in these profiles was obtained by using a 3-mm-wide by 40-mm-high collimating slit in front of the detector CC. An example is shown in Fig. 6.

To permit integration of the counts in each peak, the resolution of the system was measured by stripping the neutral beam with a 6-micron-thick Al foil placed in various positions in the field of LM2 and sweeping the resultant proton beam with magnet A across two 3-mm-wide collimator slits, 22 mm apart, in front of CC. The dispersion, approximately 45 mm/kG, varied by 15% over the range of magnetic field used in LM2. From a comparison

of these trajectories with measured Lorentz profiles, it was estimated that the levels undergoing Lorentz ionization had an average lifetime in the field of approximately 2×10^{-10} sec. The data-collection rate was limited by the response time of the NC scaler to the very large neutral-beam intensity. To reduce the counting time, a 0.27-mm-thick perforated nickel plate was placed in front of the neutral-atom detector NC to attenuate the neutral-beam counts. This plate allowed 1 out of 63 incident atoms to reach the detector, thus allowing the beam level to be raised by this factor.

A pulse-height analysis of the photomultiplier signals was also made. This showed a clean signal at 10 MeV, with some very low-energy noise. Discriminators were adjusted to remove this noise. With no perforated nickel plate, it was found that $\leq 0.2\%$ of the pulses in the neutral detector corresponded to an energy transfer of 20 MeV; this sets an upper limit of 0.2% on the $2H^0$ or $H_2{}^0$ contamination of the H^0 beam.

Since the PIG target was weakly ionized, it was necessary to separate out the effects of collisions with neutrals. This was accomplished by pulsing the PIG on only during every second beam pulse. Two sets of scalers were used with each detector, and these were electronically gated so that one set recorded the data for the beam pulse when the PIG was on, the other when the PIG was off. The difference in these two readings was then due to collisions with electrons and protons.

An alternate method for determining the Lorentz-ionization profile for the neutral gas target was to use a nuclear emulsion instead of the counter CC. For a fixed field in magnet A, the protons were recorded on an Ilford K-2 nuclear emulsion placed in the vacuum system. During the exposures the neutral beam was monitored with NC.

When the target was evacuated, positive identification of the level n corresponding to each peak was obtained by observing the disappearance of individual peaks caused by Lorentz ionization as the magnetic field in LM1 was increased from zero. The disappearance of a particular peak was correlated with the theoretical predictions for strong field ionization (Fig. 3) to determine the corresponding level.

To determine changes in the population of the excited levels due to collisional excitation, LM1 was set to remove all incident atoms in excited levels above a predetermined value n^*. The repopulation of these levels was then observed as a function of the target thickness. Figure 7 is an example obtained with a nuclear emulsion for the case for which all levels with $n>5$ had been removed by Lorentz ionization, and then repopulated by collisions with H_2 molecules.

Population measurements for the levels $n = 6, 7$ were reproducible within 15% when measured with counters and within 20% when measured with nuclear emulsions. However, the emulsion results averaged about 30% lower than the counter measurements, presumably because of a systematic error in the spatial resolution of the charged-particle counter, and/or the scanning efficiency of the nuclear emulsions.

Further experimental details can be found in Ref. 19.

IV. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

In the analysis the measured populations were corrected for radiative decay with the lifetimes calculated by Hiskes, Tarter, and Moody (Fig. 2). To make corrections for radiative decay we assume that there is a statistical-population distribution among the states of a given level, but it must be

emphasized that we do not in fact know the population distribution. Although, in the initial process of formation, the neutral atoms are primarily in low-angular-momentum states,[20] a redistribution toward higher angular-momentum states with the same principal quantum number will be caused by further collisions in the gas cell.[21] Decay measurements by Riviere on low-energy atoms in the $n = 11$ level indicated rates intermediate between those for $\ell = 1$ and a statistical distribution.[22] Similar decay measurements on the $n = 6$ and 7 levels during the present experiment, although not very accurate, are consistent with a statistical distribution.

The total neutral beam incident on the target cell was obtained by correcting the observed neutral counts for ionization in the targets. For the neutral targets, the previously measured ionization-cross-section value of $\sigma_C = 2.2 \times 10^{-18}$ cm^2 per H_2 molecule was used,[23] and for the ionized targets we extrapolated the experimental values of Fite and Brackmann[9] and obtained $\sigma_C = 3.3 \times 10^{-18}$ cm^2 per electron or proton.

The collision-induced change in the population of the level n is described by the equation

$$\frac{dN_n}{d\pi} = -N_n(\pi)\left[\sigma_{n,C} + \sum_{n' \neq n} \sigma_{n,n'}\right] + \sum_{n' \neq n} N_{n'}(\pi)\sigma_{n',n} \quad , \tag{5}$$

where π is the number of electrons per cm^2 traversed by the beam (distance times target density), and $N_n(\pi)$ is the number of atoms in the level n after passing through an element of target thickness π. The first term on the right-hand side describes the losses from the level n due to ionization, de-excitation, and excitation of that level. The second term describes gains resulting from excitation and de-excitation of the neighboring levels.

A. Lorentz Ionization

Attenuation curves for the levels 5 through 9 have been investigated previously[4] and found to be in good agreement with the model of Rice and Good.

B. The Populations of Excited Levels of H^0 Atoms from Collisional Dissociation of H_2^+

Neutrals produced by dissociation of H_2^+ ions are expected to be excited in the proportion A/n^3, where A can range from 2 to 8 depending on the populations of the H_2^+ vibrational levels.[24] Our results, corrected for radiative decay, are consistent with $A \approx 2$.

C. H^0-Charged-Particle Collisions

The experimental results for excitation by charged particles in a proton-electron gas, analyzed in the thin-target approximation to Eq. (5), are given in Table III. The observed population of $n = 6$ was consistent with $2/n^3$ to within 10%. The populations of levels with $n < 6$ could not be determined experimentally, and we assumed that the $2/n^3$ distribution holds for $n = 4$ and 5 and corrected them for radiative decay. The $n = 2$ and 3 levels were almost completely depopulated by radiative decay in the 2-meter drift section. However, the $n = 4$ level did not decay completely and could not be removed by LM1. Therefore, only upper limits could be derived for excitation from $n = 1$ and $n = 4$. In the case of excitation from $n = 5$ it was not possible to separate the $n = 8$ and 9 populations, which have therefore been lumped together in the table.

Theoretically, the ionization cross sections are predicted to be at least an order of magnitude smaller than the excitation cross sections

Table III. Cross sections $\sigma_{n,n'}$ for excitation of 10-MeV H atoms by collisions with charged particles in a hydrogen plasma, in units of 10^{-18} cm^2/charged particle. The theoretical values are from Table I. The estimated relative uncertainties in the experimental values are ± 25% and the absolute uncertainties are ± 40%.

	Experimental					Theoretical			
n \ n' =	6	7	8	9		6	7	8	9
1	< 1.3	< 0.5				0.058	0.035	0.023	0.016
4	< 148	<57				43			
5	945	210		135		846	93		
6		1700	510		190		1650	175	

(Table I). We were not able to measure the ionization cross sections but we do observe that the amount of depletion of a quantum level can be accounted for by increased populations of the other levels. This observation is consistent with ionizations being small.

D. H^0-H_2 Collisions

In this case contributions from $\Delta n > 1$ transitions are comparable to those of $\Delta n = 1$, as can be seen from Fig. 7. (From 0- to 50-mtorr the approximately linear growth with pressure of the populations of $n = 6$ to 9 confirms that these are thin-target measurements.) Consequently, the determination of cross sections for transitions between two levels is difficult. The difficulty is compounded by the fact that the ionization cross sections for highly excited atoms cannot be ignored. At this energy the ground-state ionization cross section has been measured[23] to be 2.2×10^{-18} cm^2/molecule. From the variation with pressure of data of the kind shown in Table IV we conclude that ionization cross sections for $n = 6$ to 9 are comparable to, but perhaps as much as a factor of two larger than, that for the ground state.[25]

Table IV gives values directly deducible from experimental data, namely the observed probability per target H_2 molecule that collisions of neutrals having quantum numbers less than or equal to a specified value n^* will populate a level with principal quantum number n. These results were obtained with a pressure of 50 mtorr in the second gas cell.

Table V shows cross sections for specific transitions obtained from the data of Table IV and based on the following assumptions: Levels are initially populated as $2/n^3$; states of a given level are statistically populated and decay with Stark lifetimes; and the ionization cross sections of excited

Table IV. The probability of excitation to levels n = 6 to 9 from the sum of all levels $n \leq n^*$, in units of 10^{-20} cm^2/molecule. The stated errors represent the estimated uncertainties in the unfolding of the emulsion data into the various n levels.

n^* \ n	6	7	8	9
4	1.03 ± 0.09	0.77 ± 0.05	0.72 ± 0.08	0.53 ± 0.05
5	1.46 ± 0.09	1.00 ± 0.04	0.77 ± 0.06	0.55 ± 0.04
6		1.44 ± 0.05	1.00 ± 0.06	0.66 ± 0.04

Table V. Cross sections $\sigma_{n,n'}$ for excitation by collisions with H_2 in units of 10^{-18} cm^2/molecule. The errors reflect only the experimental uncertainties of Table IV.

n \ n'	6	7	8	9
1	< 0.014	< 0.009	< 0.008	< 0.006
4	< 1.9	< 1.3	< 1.1	< 0.8
5	0.67 ± 0.24	0.32 ± 0.10	0.065 ± 0.15	0.025 ± 0.08
6		0.81 ± 0.13	0.40 ± 0.20	0.16 ± 0.16

levels are the same as for the ground state (2.2×10^{-18} cm^2/molecule). Ionization cross sections twice this size would increase the tabulated values by about 13%.

V. DISCUSSION

The observed threshold fields for Lorentz ionization of the levels $n = 5$ to 9 are in good agreement with theoretical calculations for electric-field ionization. For collisions with electrons and protons in a hydrogen plasma, the observed $\Delta n = \pm 1$ cross sections are in good agreement with the results of calculations in which the first Born, Bethe, and impact-parameter approximations are used. For transitions with $\Delta n = \pm 2$, the experimental results appear to be somewhat larger than those theoretically predicted.

Cross sections for excitation by collisions with neutral hydrogen molecules are smaller than for collisions with charged particles by about three orders of magnitude, and the ratios of cross sections with $\Delta n > 1$ to those with $\Delta n = 1$ are larger than in the charged-particle case.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Dr. C. M. Van Atta for supporting and encouraging this research. One of us (SNK) thanks Dr. Burton J. Moyer for the support and interest that enabled him to participate. We also thank Dr. John R. Hiskes for many helpful discussions. The help of J. Warren Stearns and Vincent J. Honey is greatly appreciated. Mrs. Aneta E. Wharry did much of the emulsion scanning.

FOOTNOTES AND REFERENCES

* Work done under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

1. G. C. McCoyd and S. N. Milford, Phys. Rev. 130, 206 (1963).
2. H. E. Saraph, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 83, 763 (1964).
3. D. B. Bouthilette, J. A. Healey, and S. N. Milford, in Atomic Collision Processes (Proceedings of the Third International Conference on the Physics of Electronic and Atomic Collisions), M. R. C. McDowell, Ed. (North-Holland Press, Amsterdam, 1964), p. 1081.
4. Klaus H. Berkner, John R. Hiskes, Selig N. Kaplan, George A. Paulikas, and Robert V. Pyle, in Atomic Collision Processes (Proceedings of the Third International Conference on the Physics of Electronic and Atomic Collisions), M. R. C. McDowell, Ed. (North-Holland Press, Amsterdam, 1964), p. 726.
5. R. McCarroll, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) A70, 460 (1957).
6. D. McCrea and T. V. M. McKirgan, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 75, 235 (1960).
7. T. J. M. Boyd, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 72, 523 (1958).
8. A. D. Stauffer and M. R. C. McDowell, in VIe Conference Internationale sur les Phenomenes d'Ionisation dans les Gas (Paris, SERMA, 1963), Vol. I, p. 9.
9. Wade L. Fite, in Atomic and Molecular Processes, D. R. Bates, Ed. (Academic Press, New York and London, 1962), Ch. 12.
10. P. Swan, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) A68, 1157 (1955).
11. F. Omidvar and E. Sullivan, in VIe Conference Internationale sur les Phenomenes d'Ionisation dans les Gaz (Paris, SERMA, 1963), Vol. I, p. 15.

12. D. R. Bates, in Atomic and Molecular Processes, D. R. Bates, Ed. (Academic Press, New York and London, 1962), p. 551.

13. John R. Hiskes, C. Bruce Tarter, and D. A. Moody, Phys. Rev. 133, A424 (1964).

14. John R. Hiskes and C. Bruce Tarter, Stark Lifetimes for the Hydrogen Atom, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-7088 and UCRL-7088 (Rev. 1), July 1963 (unpublished).

15.a. (a) The weak dependence of $\tau(n_1, n_2, m)$ on n_1 and n_2 for $m > 0$ makes it permissible to use $\tau(n, m)$ for $m > 0$. See the discussion in Ref. 13.

b. (b) J. R. Hiskes, in VIe Conference Internationale sur les Phenomenes d'Ionisation dans les Gaz (Paris, SERMA, 1963), Vol. I, p. 99.

16. J. R. Hiskes, Nucl. Fusion 2, 38 (1962).

17. D. S. Bailey, J. R. Hiskes, and A. C. Riviere, Electric Field Ionization Probabilities for the Hydrogen Atom, U. K. A. E. A. Culham Laboratory Report CLM-P 50, July 1964 (unpublished).

18. M. H. Rice and R. H. Good, Jr., J. Opt. Soc. Am. 52, 239 (1962).

19. Klaus H. Berkner, Collisional Excitation and Lorentz Ionization of 10-MeV Hydrogen Atoms (Ph. D. Thesis), Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-11249, March 1964 (unpublished).

20. D. R. Bates, in Atomic and Molecular Processes, D. R. Bates, Ed. (Academic Press, New York and London, 1962), p. 575.

21. This is commonly believed, but we know of no theoretical calculation for these collisions.

22. A. C. Riviere and H. Wind, U. K. A. E. A. Culham Laboratory Report CLM-PR 7, May 1964, p. 48 (unpublished).

23. Klaus H. Berkner, Selig N. Kaplan, and Robert V. Pyle, Phys. Rev. 134, A1461 (1964).

24. John R. Hiskes, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc., Ser. II 7, 486 (1962).

25. A similarly weak n-dependence of the ionization cross section can be deduced for 100-keV H^0 atoms by comparing the n = 14 measurements of Riviere and Sweetman (Ref. 26) with the measurements of Stier and Barnett (Ref. 27).

26. A. C. Riviere and D. R. Sweetman, in Atomic Collision Processes (Proceedings of the Third International Conference on the Physics of Electronic and Atomic Collisions, London, 1963) M. R. C. McDowell, Ed. (North-Holland Press, Amsterdam, 1964) p. 734.

27. P. M. Stier and C. F. Barnett, Phys. Rev. 103, 896 (1956).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1 Radiative decay of the populations of the individual states of the level n = 7 for the Stark case. The dashed line is the statistically averaged decay rate for that level. The curves are labeled by the parabolic quantum numbers n_1, n_2, and m (Ref. 15a).

Fig. 2 Radiative decay (statistically averaged over states) of the population of the levels n = 3 to 10 for the Stark case.

Fig. 3 Detachment lifetimes vs field strength for the extreme Stark components of the n = 5 to 10 levels, using the Rice and Good model (from Ref. 17). The shaded region indicates the range of lifetimes for spontaneous radiative transitions to lower levels for the various Stark states of each level (Ref. 13). The dashed line is the mean lifetime in the field profile used in this experiment. To achieve this detachment lifetime for the n = 4 level, 1300 to 1600 kV/cm would be required.

Fig. 4 Diagram of the experimental arrangement.

Fig. 5 (a) Diagram of the gas target (above). (b) Diagram of the PIG discharge-target chamber (drawn to scale). The dashed line indicates the shape of the right-angle probe used for longitudinal density measurements. The radial probe is indicated by o midway between the microwave horns (below).

Fig. 6 Lorentz-ionization profile, measured with counters, for the excited levels n = 6 to 9. The peak labeled "Background" is due to ionization by collisions with the background gas in the drift section between the sweeping magnet and LM2. The pressure in the first

gas cell was 24×10^{-3} torr (1.9×10^{16} H_2 molecules per cm^2), and in the second gas cell $< 10^{-6}$ torr. The magnetic fields were LM1, 0; LM2, 13.85 kG (607 kV/cm); sweeping magnet, 1.6 kG (70kV/cm), sufficient to Lorentz ionize levels with $n \geq 10$.

Fig. 7 Change in population of the levels $n = 6$ to 9 due to collisions with H_2. All levels above $n = 5$ of the incident beam were depopulated by Lorentz ionization of LM1. O, evacuated target; ●, 49×10^{-3} torr (5.7×10^{16} molecules/cm^2).


Distance traveled by 10-MeV H° (cm)
0
100
300
500
700
900
1100
1300
Normalized population
1.0
0.9
0.8
0.7
0.6
0.5
0.4
0.3
0.2
0.1
0
(n1, n2, 6)
(n1, n2, 5)
(n1, n2, 4)
(n1, n2, 3)
(3, 3, 0)
(2, 4, 0)
(4, 2, 0)
N7
(n1, n2, 2)
(1, 5, 0)
(5, 1, 0)
(n1, n2, 1)
(0, 6, 0)
(6, 0, 0)
0
20
60
100
140
180
220
260
300
Time (10^-9 sec)

MUB-4402.


Distance traveled by 10 MeV H⁰ (cm)
0
100
300
500
700
900
1100
1300
1.0
0.9
0.7
0.5
0.3
0.1
0
Normalized population of level n
n=10
n=9
n=8
n=7
n=6
n=5
n=4
n=3
0
20
60
100
140
180
220
260
300
Time (10^{-9} sec)

MUB-2518


10^{-6}
10^{-7}
10^{-8}
10^{-9}
10^{-10}
10^{-11}
Lifetime (sec)
10
9
8
7
6
5
60
80
100
150
200
300
400
600
800
1000
1250
Electric field (kV/cm)

MUB-4403


First gas cell (GC1)
First Lorentz magnet (LM1)
Sweeping magnet
Second Lorentz magnet (LM2)
Analyzing magnet (A)
Counters
20 MeV H_2^+
15°
H_2^+, H^+
Target
H^*
H^+
H^*
H^+
H^o
CC
NC
24 cm
200 cm
24 cm
225 cm
n=7
n=6
n=5
H^+
H^o
PM
CC
PM
NC
14 cm
LM2
A

MU-30687-A


Ion gauge
Valve
Ion gauge
Gas
6mm i.d.
Beam line
Pump
4 cm
20 cm
4 cm
40 cm
1784
K
A
A
K
Beam
Probe
line
33 cm

MUB-4500


n=6
n=7
n=8
n=9
Background
0.12
0.08
0.04
0
2.0
2.5
3.0
3.5
4.0
4.5
CC counts (% of incident H°)
Analyzer field (kg)

MU-30685


200
160
120
80
40
0
Counts per 8.45 × 10⁻⁴ cm²
n = 6
n = 7
n = 8
n = 9
2
4
6
8
10
Displacement on emulsion (cm)

MUB-4401

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.