UCRL 137
~~cy 111/A~~
c2

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Radiation Laboratory

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

UCRL-137
c2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
RADIATION LABORATORY

Routing Sheet
Do not remove this page

INDEX NO. UCRL 137
This document contains 15 pages
and 2 plates of figures.
This is copy 77 of 93. Series A
Issued to W. C. Radiation Lab.

RESTRICTED

CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED BY AUTHORITY
OF THE DISTRICT ENGINEER
BY THE DECLASSIFICATION COMMITTEE

Each person who receives this document must sign the cover sheet in the space below. ~~Please return the document to the Information Division. Do not send it to the next person on the list.~~

Route to	Noted by	Date	Route to	Noted by	Date

UCRL 137

Physics-General

Radiation Laboratory
University of California

RESTRICTED

CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED BY AUTHORITY
OF THE DISTRICT ENGINEER
BY THE DECLASSIFICATION COMMITTEE

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

Experiments on the Effect of Atomic Electrons on the Decay Constant of Be^7.

by

E. Segrè and C. E. Wiegand

Special Review of Declassified Reports
Authorized by USDOE JK Bratton
Unclassified TWX P182206Z May 79

REPORT PROPERLY DECLASSIFIED

J. N. Green — 8-16-79
Authorized Derivative Classifier — Date

Linda Cohen — 8-20-79
By — Date

Berkeley, California

CLASSIFICATION Physics-General
RESTRICTED
BY THE DECLASSIFICATION COMMITTEE

Standard Distribution - Series A UCRL 137	No. of Copies
Argonne National Laboratory	1-8
Armed Forces Special Weapons Project	9
Atomic Energy Commission, Washington	10-11
Battelle Memorial Institute	12
Brookhaven National Laboratories	13-20
Carbide & Carbon Chemicals Corporation (K-25 Area)	21-24
Carbide & Carbon Chemicals Corporation (Y-12 Area)	25-28
Columbia University (Dunning)	29
General Electric Company	30-33
Hanford Directed Operations	34-38
Iowa State College	39
Los Alamos	40-42
Monsanto Chemical Company, Dayton	43-44
National Bureau of Standards	45-46
Naval Radiation Laboratory	47
NEPA	48
New York Directed Operations	49-50
Oak Ridge National Laboratory	51-58
Patent Advisor, Washington	59
Technical Information Division, ORDO	60-74
UCLA Medical Research Laboratory (Warren)	75
University of California Radiation Laboratory	76-80
University of Rochester	81-82
Office of Chicago Directed Operations	83
Declassification Procedure	
Declassification Officer	84-87
Publications Officer	88
Patent Dep't.	89-90
E. O. Lawrence	91
Area Manager	92
Information Division	93
Total	93

EXPERIMENTS ON THE EFFECT OF ATOMIC ELECTRONS ON THE DECAY CONSTANT OF Be^7

E. Segre and C. E. Wiegand

University of California
Department of Physics
Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California
June 22, 1948

RESTRICTED

ABSTRACT

In an attempt to detect a possible influence of the atomic electrons on the radioactive decay constant of Be^7 we have measured $\lambda_{Be} - \lambda_{BeO}$ and found $(-3.0 \pm 1.8)\ 10^{-4}\ \lambda_{Be}$. We describe also a method to measure mean lives of radioactive substances in a time short compared with the mean life.

CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED BY AUTHORITY OF THE DISTRICT ENGINEER BY THE DECLASSIFICATION COMMITTEE

RESTRICTED

To be declassified for publication at a future date.

RESTRICTED

CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED BY AUTHORITY OF THE DISTRICT ENGINEER BY THE DECLASSIFICATION COMMITTEE

Experiments on the Effect of Atomic Electrons on the Decay Constant of Be^7.

E. Segre and C. E. Wiegand

University of California

Radiation Laboratory

Department of Physics

Berkeley

In an attempt to detect a possible influence of the atomic electrons on the radioactive decay constant of Be^7 we have measured $\lambda_{Be} - \lambda_{BeO}$ and found $(-3.0 \pm 1.8)\ 10^{-4} \lambda_{Be}$. We describe also a method to measure mean lives of radioactive substances in a time short compared with the mean life.

I) Introduction.*

---

* A preliminary account of this work and of the results obtained was given at the 276th and 380th meeting of the Am. Phys. Soc. Phys. Rev 71, 274 (1947); 73, 743, (1947) and MDDC 1098. Work on the same subject has also been reported by R. Bouchez, R. Daudel, P. Daudel, R. Muxart Journ. de Physique 8, 336, 1947.

The decay constant of a radioactive substance undergoing orbital electron capture is proportional, according to current beta decay theories, to the electron density at the nucleus $|\psi(0)|^2$. This fact seems to afford a possibility of altering the nuclear decay constant λ by acting on the atomic electrons, e. g., if it were possible to completely strip a nucleus of all its electrons it clearly could not decay by orbital electron capture and such a radioactive nucleus, as long as it stays stripped, is stable.

In a light element it does not seem impossible to achieve by chemical means an alteration of $\psi(0)$ sufficiently large to affect in a measurable way the decay constant. The most promising nucleus with which to ob-

serve this effect is Be^7 which decays by orbital electron capture with a half-period of 52.9 days, the decay being followed by the emission of a 455 Kev gamma-ray.(1) In this atom the contribution to $/\psi(0)/^2$ due to the two 1s

1) For the half-life see J. E. Hill Phys. Rev. 57, 567 (1940) and this paper; for the gamma-ray: K. Siegbahn Arkiv. Mat., Astr. Fysik 34B, 6, (1946)

electrons according to Hartree(2) is, in certain units, 106.62, the contribu-

(2) Dr. Hartree and W. Hartree, Proc. Roy. Soc., 149, 210 (1935). See also Hartree, Ibid. 150, 9, (1935). For a second calculation taking into account the exchange effect. We have used the first numbers because the comparison for the Be^{++} is given only in the earlier paper.

tion due to the 2s electrons is 4.25, making a total of 110.87. For the ion Be^{++} $/\psi(0)/^2$ according to the same author is 107.96. From these data one would expect that the decay constant of the atom would be 1.027 times greater than that of the ion. A 2.7 percent variation of the decay constant would be easily observable, but, of course, it is not very practical to keep Be^7 in a doubly ionized state for months. Another possibility that we have considered is to have the Be in a metallic state at high temperature and rely on the thermal expansion of the metal to diminish $/\psi(0)/^2$; the effect achievable in this way is, however, too small to be measured.

We finally decided to compare the decay constant of Be^7 with that of Be^7O hoping that the change in $/\psi(0)/^2$ induced by the different chemical structure might affect λ in a detectable way. Unfortunately a precise estimate of the variation of $\psi(0)$ in passing from beryllium metal to beryllium oxide is not available. Professor F. Seitz has kindly pointed out to us the following crude estimates: "Consider first an atom of Be

gas; according to Hartree's results the ratio of the density of 2s electrons to 1s electrons near the nucleus of Be in free space is 1.86/55.9 = 0.033. Now the radius of the sphere having the same volume as the beryllium atom in the metal is $2.37a_0$ (a_0 is the Bohr radius = 0.531A). The charge outside this radius in the free atom is 1.04e, all of which is associated with the 2s wave functions. This charge must be packed inside the sphere in going to the metal so that ψ^2 near the nucleus for the 2s electron would just about double. Thus the electron density near the nucleus should be raised by about 3 percent. The more accurate work of Herring and Hill[3] gives closely the same

(3) C. Herring, A. C. Hill, Phys. Rev. 58, 132 (1940).

value.

The spacing between O and Be in BeO is 1.64A = $3.09a_0$. The accepted "radius" of the oxygen ion (O^{--}) is 1.32A = $2.49a_0$. Now Hartree's treatment of the neutral oxygen atom gives about 0.2e outside the sphere having the radius $3.09a_0$ and about 0.4e outside the sphere of radius $2.49a_0$. Hartree's results are notable in not drawing the electrons sufficiently close to the nucleus, so the true values for the neutral atom are somewhat smaller. However, this decrease is compensated by the fact that the oxygen in BeO is regarded as the doubly charged negative ion for which the charge outside any given sphere is certain to be larger than for the neutral atom, even if treated on the basis of Hartree's theory.

Now in a very rudimentary theory, the charge outside either one of the two radii mentioned in the preceding paragraph would become associated with the Be^{++} ion. This charge would enter the 2s state and would be contained inside the ionic radius, which is taken as approximately $0.6a_0$ (i. e., the difference between the radius of the oxygen ion, namely $2.49a_0$ and the Be-O spacing in the oxide, namely $3.09a_0$). Now in the free beryllium

atom the amount of 2s electron inside this radius is only 0.032e. This is obtained from Hartree's wave functions. Hence according to the rudimentary picture, the amount of 2s electron inside the "ionic radius" is increased from 0.032e to a value between from 0.2e to 0.4e in going from the gas to the oxide, that is by a much larger factor than in going from the gas to the metal.

This rudimentary picture can be looked upon in other ways. The fact that the oxygen atom contains so much charge outside the ionic radius, or outside the radius corresponding to the Be-O distance for that matter, means that the outer shell electrons on the oxygen ion in the BeO crystal also belong to the Be atom. In other words the binding is a mixture of ionic and homopolar electron structures. Thus one can say that the result of binding is to add 4 electrons to the beryllium atom in the shell of total quantum number 2. This could increase the density of ψ^2 for the 2s electrons in the vicinity of the nucleus. It will be highly accidental if this increase is the same to within ten percent as that incurred in the metal.

In view of the admitted uncertainty of these estimates we decided to perform the experiment pushing the precision as much as reasonably feasible with the sources at our disposal.

The final result obtained is

$$\lambda_{Be} - \lambda_{BeO} = (-3.0 \pm 1.8)10^{-4}\lambda_{Be}$$

It is remarkable that the change in λ is so small and whether this result is caused by an accidental compensation of various effects or has some other reason can be shown only by further experiments using different pairs of compounds or other ways of affecting $\psi(0)$. We plan to perform some of these experiments.

II Experimental

a) Preparation of the sources.

The Be^7 was prepared by proton bombardment of lithium with the Crocker Cyclotron. It is obviously of vital importance that the substance used be radioactively pure because otherwise the results may be falsified by different amounts of radioactive impurities, with half-lives different from Be^7, going into the metal and oxide. Accordingly, the following procedure was adopted: the lithium target was dissolved in dilute hydrochloric acid, 0.1 g of beryllium, and 10 mg each of Cu, Co, and Zn added. Sulphides were precipitated in 0.3 molar hydrochloric acid and discarded. The solution was evaporated and the residue dissolved in 12 molar sodium hydroxide. Hydrogen sulphide was added and the precipitate discarded. The solution was then acidified and the beryllium was precipitated from it by ammonium hydroxide. This operation was repeated several times. Subsequently the beryllium hydroxide was converted to the basic acetate, dissolved in chloroform, the chloroform solution extracted repeatedly with water and finally the beryllium in the chloroform layer was reconverted to hydroxide.* This hydroxide showed

---

* These operations were performed by Dr. R. F. Leininger and Mr. G. Johnson.

---

only gamma-ray activity.

The hydroxide was further diluted with normal beryllium and converted to oxide. A fraction of this oxide was converted to a button of solid beryllium metal by members of the Atomic Research Institute of the Iowa State College to whom we are greatly indebted for their kind cooperation.

This button was broken into pieces with a hammer and half of it, in the metallic state, made our sample M, the other half, reconverted to oxide, was our sample C2. Sample C1 was obtained from the part of the oxide that

had not been converted to metal.

The comparisons occurred among C1, C2 and M. The initial gamma-ray activity of the sources was approximately equivalent to that of 45 micrograms of radium.

b) Radioactivity Measurements:

For a comparison of λ_C and λ_M, the decay constants of the oxide and the metal, we have used a differential method. The advantages over a separate measurement of λ_C and λ_M are several: it is possible to measure the samples at the same time and by interchanging them minimize systematic errors; the readings on the difference of the activities are always small and with ionization chambers are much more convenient to take than the readings on the activities; the time of observation is used more efficiently and it is practical to use considerably stronger samples than for single readings.

If two samples of metal and oxide have decay constants λ_M and λ_C and their initial activities M(0) and C(0) are approximately equal, we have

$$(1)\quad \delta = M(t) - C(t) = [M(0) - C(0)]e^{-\lambda t} + C(0)t\Delta\lambda e^{-\lambda t}$$

where $\Delta\lambda = \lambda - \lambda$

and $\lambda = \lambda_M$.

We can rewrite (1)

$$(2)\quad \delta e^{\lambda t} = M(0) - C(0) + C(0)\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda}\frac{t}{\tau}$$

where $\tau = 1/\lambda$ is the mean life of Be^7.

Experimentally we measure $\delta(t)$ and using (2) we obtain from it $\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda}$. In the ideal case that $M(0) - C(0) = 0$, the maximum of δ is obtained at a time $t = \tau$ and the best time for measuring is $t = 2\tau$, i.e. the time at which the ratio between δ and its fluctuation due to the statistical nature of the radioactive decay is maximum.

The apparatus used is schematically shown in Fig. 1. It consists of two argon filled ionization chambers made as nearly equal as possible. The pressure in the chambers was 135.6 cm. of Hg at 23°C and the density of the

gas was kept constant to one part in a thousand. The two chambers were interconnected by a small copper tube to keep their pressures equal. The room in which the apparatus was located, although not temperature controlled, was well shielded thermally. The collecting electrodes of the two chambers were connected together and to the input of a conventional electrometer circuit using a General Electric type FP-54 plyotron. The circuit was operated by the rate of drift method. The collecting potential for the chambers was taken from a dry cell battery pack delivering potentials of +400 volts and -400 volts. The polarities of the collection voltages were chosen so that the ionization current in one chamber opposed the ionization current in the other chamber. Thus, equal samples placed in the chambers would cause no drift of the galvanometer of the electrometer circuit. The samples were rigidly mounted in aluminum holders that fitted snugly into the central cavity of the ionization chambers, and we checked that possible small accidental differences in the geometry of the arrangement would not affect the results. This was done by verifying that the influence of artificial changes of geometry much larger than the changes to be expected in various runs was negligible. With this differential arrangement very small differences in the activities of two large samples can be measured. Our measuring procedure was as follows: we placed the M sample in chamber 1 and the C sample in chamber 2; the rate of drift of the galvanometer is then

(3) $$p = MS_1 - CS_2$$

where S_1, S_2 are the sensitivities of chambers 1 and 2 respectively and are very nearly equal. If we now interchange the samples we have a rate of drift q

(4) $$q = CS_1 - MS_2$$

from which

(5) $$p + q = (M + C)\ (S_1 - S_2)$$

(6) $$p - q = (M - C)\ (S_1 + S_2) \cong 2\delta S_1$$

If the apparatus has a spontaneous rate of drift, which in practice however was extremely small, it cancels out in forming the expression (6) from the observations.

In our experiment initially the sample of beryllium metal put in chamber 1 gave 270 mm sec^{-1} drift of the galvanometer, i. e. M (0) S_1 = 270 mm sec^{-1}; when balanced with a sample of oxide in chamber 2 we obtained p - q = 0.5 mm sec^{-1}.

As an example we report from an actual run of comparisons between M and C the observations listed in Table I. Calculations were made by the standard least square procedure from the complete set of observations of that day. We obtained

$$2(M - C1) = 0.463 \pm 0.038 \text{ mm sec}^{-1}$$

$$2(M - C2) = -0.299 \pm 0.066 \text{ mm sec}^{-1}$$

(the errors are standard deviations).

Observations extending over 120 days were finally calculated and plotted in Fig. 2. The value of λ adopted in the calculation is 1.309×10^{-2} d^{-1} corresponding to a half-life of 52.93 d. (For this value see section IV.) We have also indicated the standard deviations of some of the points to show a comparison between internal and external consistency of the measurements.

From the plot of Fig. 2 (all observations have approximately equal precision) we find $\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} = (-1.38 \pm 2.5)10^{-4}$ for the comparison M, C1; $\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} = (-4.76 \pm 3.0)\ 10^{-4}$ for the comparison M, C2.

If we use all the observations trusting that C1 and C2 are both free of radioactive impurities we obtain

$$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} = (-3.0 \pm 1.8)10^{-4}$$

We must conclude that the $\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda}$ observed is comparable with the error of observation and small compared with what one would expect barring accidental

compensations in the change of $\lambda(0)$ between oxide and metal.

III Radioactive fluctuations

It is interesting to check the fludtuations on the balance of the instrument over a certain time T. Suppose the instrument were exactly balanced with 2 sources each giving A mm sec^{-1} rate of drift and call $\langle v^2 \rangle$ the average of the square of the deflections obtained in many measurements each extending over a time T. Call d the deflection of the galvanometer produced by an elementary ionization process; then $A/d = \nu$ is equal to the number of elementary ionization processes produced by one source per second. We have then according to the laws of fluctuations of radioactive decay:

$$(7) \quad \langle v^2 \rangle_{av} = 2\nu T d^2 = 2 A t d$$

These quantities are all directly measurable with the exception of d. However, d can be estimated from the capacity of the chambers and the roughly calculated ionization produced by a 455 Kev gamma-ray in our chamber, if all the energy of the gamma-ray is spent in the chamber we have d = 0.015 mm and this is clearly an upper limit. In a series of measurements we had: A = 270 mm sec^{-1}, $\langle v^2 \rangle_{av}$ = 1800 mm^2, T = 600 sec, from which we obtain using (7) d = 0.008 in reasonable agreement with the estimate, if one takes into account that only a small fraction of the gamma-ray energy of each quantum is transformed into ionization in the chamber.

IV A rapid way of measuring long half lives.

Another application of our differential ionization chamber arrangement is the rapid measurement of radioactive periods, provided one has rather strong and radioactively pure sources.

If we balanced at time 0 the substance to be measured with a practically constant source (radium), the unbalance δ at time t is in good approximation for $\lambda t \ll 1$:

$$(8) \quad \delta = A \lambda t$$

where A is the initial activity of the source.

If we can measure A and δ with comparable relative precision, equation (8) gives λ.

In the case of Be^7 if $\lambda t = 5 \times 10^{-3}$ and A is equivalent to the activity of 100 micrograms of radium, it is easy to determine λ to $\pm$ 10 percent with our apparatus, and this result could be bettered for longer life substances. Thus, for example, we have measured $T_{1/2}$ of Be^7 taking observations intermittently over a period of about 5 hours and found 51.3 $\pm$ 11 days.

Using the data obtained by intermittent observations over a period of 28 hours we find 52.4 $\pm$ 1.3 d. Our best value for $T_{1/2}$ of Be^7 52.93 $\pm$0.22 days was obtained by comparison with a constant radium source extending over a period of 127 days. The standard error is obtained from the consistency of the measurements, but it must be borne in mind that it is possible that the measurement was affected by some slight systematic error, although we have looked for the most obvious ones such as lack of saturation of the ionization current in the chambers.

Similarly the half-life of Y^{90} was determined in 3 days and gave 109 days. Co^{60} was also measured intermittently (2 hours total measuring time, 0.6 mg Ra equivalent source) for 8 days and gave 5.08 years. This method could certainly be used also for much longer half-lives, such as radium itself if one used as balancing substance uranium in equilibrium with its products. It is, however, essential that the substance to be measured be radioactively pure.

This work was done under the auspices of the Atomic Energy Commission.

Information Division
MR

Table I

Mar. 21, '47 Time	Duration Seconds	Sample in		p mm sec^{-1}	q mm sec^{-1}
		Ch 1	Ch 2		
11.50	600	—	—	BBackground	+0.118
12.02	600	M	C1	+0.367	-
12.12	600	C1	M		-0.133
12.23	600	M	C2	+0.047	
12.34	600	C2	M		+0.175
12.44	600	M	C1	+0.323	
12.55	600	C1	M		-0.158
13.06	600	M	C2	+0.033	
13.16	600	C2	M		+0.237
.....	.....	...	...	.....	.....
.....	.....	...	...	.....	.....

Captions for Figures

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of balanced ionization chambers.

Figure 2. Observed difference of activities of Be and BeO corrected for decay.

$\delta t^{\lambda t} = M - C + M\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda}\frac{t}{\tau}$ in mm sec^{-1}

(1) Open circles: Be Metal - BeO No 1

(2) Open double circles: Be Metal - BeO No 2

(3) Solid circles: averages of (1) and (2).

$M(0) \simeq C(0) = 270$ mm sec^{-1}

Solid lines are best fits to experimental points.

$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} = 10^{-3}$ would give dotted straight line.


2" Pb
1/32" BRASS
BeO
POLYSTYRENE
SOURCE HOLDER
LUCITE
1/64" ALUMINUM
BeO CERAMIC OR
CRUSHED Be METAL
COVERED WITH WAX
400V.
400V.
FP54
ELECTROMETER
CIRCUIT
GALV.

FIG. 1


1.0
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0
-0.2
-0.4
-0.6
$\delta_e^{\lambda t}$ IN MM SEC^{-1}
10
20
30
40
50
60
70
80
90
100
110
120 DAYS

RESTRICTED

CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED BY AUTHORITY
OF THE ENGINEER
BY THE DECLASSIFICATION COMMITTEE