UCRL-16077

University of California

Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

UNPHYSICAL UNITARITY OF PARTIAL-WAVE AMPLITUDES

Berkeley, California

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

UCRL-16077

Nuovo Cimento

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

UNPHYSICAL UNITARITY OF PARTIAL-WAVE AMPLITUDES

Jerome Finkelstein

April 27, 1965

UNPHYSICAL UNITARITY OF PARTIAL-WAVE AMPLITUDES*

Jerome Finkelstein

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California

April 27, 1965

The unitarity relation for a partial-wave scattering amplitude $(A_\ell)_{ab}$ is

$$\mathrm{Im}[A_\ell(s)]_{ab} = \sum_n \frac{q_n}{s^{1/2}} [A_\ell^*(s)]_{an} [A_\ell(s)]_{nb} \theta(s-s_n) , \tag{1}$$

where a, b, and n are channel indices, and

$$A(s,t) = \sum_\ell (2\ell + 1) A_\ell(s) P_\ell(z) .$$

It is often convenient to define

$$(A_\ell)_{ab} = q_a^{\ell} q_b^{\ell} (B_\ell)_{ab}$$

and write the unitarity relation

$$\mathrm{Im}[B_\ell(s)]_{ab} = \sum_n \frac{q_n^{2\ell+1}}{s^{1/2}} [B_\ell^*(s)]_{an} [B_\ell(s)]_{nb} \theta(s-s_n) . \tag{1'}$$

Unless a and b both denote the lowest channel, it may be necessary to use the unitarity relation below the physical threshold. In this note I wish to point out that, below threshold Eqs. (1) and (1') are incompatible for odd ℓ, and that (1') is implied by unphysical unitarity of the full amplitude. In practice the amplitude B_ℓ is used;[1] however, so far as I am aware, nobody has justified its use from general S-matrix principles.

Equations (1) and (1') imply, respectively,

$$\mathrm{Im}[A_\ell^{-1}(s)] = -\rho(s) \tag{2}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Im}[B_\ell^{-1}(s)] = -\gamma(s)\,, \tag{2'}$$

where ρ and γ are both diagonal matrices. Assume they are both correct, and take $a \neq b$, with s between the thresholds for a and b. Then from (2), we have

$$0 = \mathrm{Im}(A_\ell^{-1})_{ab} = \mathrm{Im}\, q_a^{\ell}\, q_b^{\ell} (B_\ell^{-1})_{ab} = q_a^{\ell}\, q_b^{\ell}\, \mathrm{Re}(B_\ell^{-1})_{ab}$$

for odd ℓ. But from (2'), $\mathrm{Im}(B_\ell^{-1})_{ab} = 0$, and so $(B_\ell^{-1})_{ab} \equiv 0$. Hence, for odd ℓ, (1) and (1') are compatible only in the trivial case in which there is no inelastic scattering.

I wish to derive (1') when s is between the two thresholds, say $s_a < s < s_b$; the other cases can be done similarly. Neglecting spin, the unphysical unitarity relation is[2]

$$\mathrm{Im}\, A_{ab}(s,t) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_n \frac{q_n}{s^{1/2}} \int d\Omega_n\, A_{an}^*(s, z_{an})\, A_{nb}(s, z_{nb})\, \theta(s - s_n)\,, \tag{3}$$

where s is above the lowest threshold, and t is real. The left side of Eq. (3) is

$$\frac{1}{2i} \sum_\ell (2\ell+1) \left[q_a^{\ell}\, q_b^{\ell} (B_\ell(s))_{ab} P_\ell(z) - (q_a^{\ell}\, q_b^{\ell})^* (B_\ell^*(s))_{ab} P_\ell^*(z) \right]. \tag{4}$$

Since q_a is real and q_b and z are imaginary, $(q_a^{\ell}\, q_b^{\ell})^* = (-1)^\ell q_a^{\ell}\, q_b^{\ell}$ and $P_\ell^*(z) = (-1)^\ell P_\ell(z)$, and so (4) is equal to

$$\sum_{\ell} (2\ell + 1)\, q_a^{\ell}\, q_b^{\ell}\, P_{\ell}(z)\, \mathrm{Im}\,[B_{\ell}(s)]_{ab} \,. \tag{5}$$

The right side of Eq. (3) is

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_n \frac{q_n}{s^{1/2}}\, \theta(s - s_n) \sum_{\ell'\ell''} (2\ell' + 1)\,(2\ell'' + 1)\, q_a^{\ell'}\, q_n^{\ell'+\ell''}\, q_b^{\ell''}\, (B^*_{\ell'})_{an}\, (B_{\ell''})_{nb}$$

$$\times \int d\Omega_n\, P^*_{\ell'}(z_{an})\, P_{\ell''}(z_{nb}) \,. \tag{6}$$

Since channels a and n are physical, z_{an} is real, and the integral in (6) is

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_{an} \int_{-1}^{1} dz_{an}\, P_{\ell'}(z_{an})$$

$$\times\, P_{\ell''}\left[z_{ab} z_{an} - (1 - z_{ab}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\, (1 - z_{an}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos\phi_{an} \right] . \tag{7}$$

Now (7) is clearly an analytic function of z_{ab}; when z_{ab} is real (when channel b is physical), it equals $4\pi(2\ell'+1)^{-1}\, \delta_{\ell'\ell''}\, P_{\ell'}(z_{ab})$, and therefore it equals that for all values of z_{ab}. Then (6) is equal to

$$\sum_n \frac{q_n}{s^{1/2}}\, \theta(s - s_n) \sum_{\ell'} (2\ell' + 1)\, q_n^{2\ell'}\, q_a^{\ell'}\, q_b^{\ell'}\, P_{\ell'}(z_{ab}) \cdot (B^*_{\ell'})_{an} \tag{8} (B_{\ell'})_{nb}$$

Equating (8) and (5), and assuming sufficient analyticity in t to separate the partial waves, we arrive at (1').

I would like to thank Professor Geoffrey F. Chew for suggesting this problem.

FOOTNOTES AND REFERENCES

*This work was performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

1. For example, W. R. Frazer and J. R. Fulco, Phys. Rev. Letters 2, 365 (1959); F. Zachariasen and C. Zemach, Phys. Rev. 128, 849 (1962); J. R. Fulco, G. L. Shaw, and D. Y. Wong, Phys. Rev. 137, B1242 (1965).

2. D. I. Olive, Phys. Rev. 135, B745 (1964).

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.