UCRL-16716

University of California

Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

AGE DISTRIBUTIONS IN DIVIDING POPULATIONS

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

Berkeley, California

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Submitted to Biophysical Journal

UCRL-16716

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

AGE DISTRIBUTIONS IN DIVIDING POPULATIONS

Grove C. Nooney

February 17, 1966

Age Distributions in Dividing Populations*

Grove C. Nooney

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California

February 17, 1966

ABSTRACT

We show that there exists a limiting age distribution for each population of cells that die or divide according to continuous age-dependent schedules. This limiting distribution is independent of the initial age distribution. Explicit asymptotic formulas are given for the limiting age distributions and for all stationary age distributions. Nonstationary behavior periodic in time is impossible.

INTRODUCTION

We consider from a deterministic viewpoint the growth of populations of cells that die or divide according to continuous age-dependent schedules. We show that the age distribution of any such population tends to a limit that is independent of the initial age distribution. Further, we exhibit explicit formulas for the limiting distributions and, consequently, for the stationary age distribution of each population. Convergence to a limiting age distribution differs from the periodic behavior derived by other authors (Trucco, 1966, who also gives additional references). They described periodic behavior only under the unnatural hypothesis that all cells considered divide at precisely the same age. As we show here, even slight deviations from such a rule, as certainly occur in natural populations, will destroy periodicity.

Our conclusions make more definite the usually vague invocations of "steady-state kinetics" in experimental studies of population growth or metabolism (e.g., Moses and Lonberg-Holm, 1966) and may even allow the use of naturally growing cell cultures in experiments formerly thought to require synchronization.

More precisely, we consider a continuous finite population of cells, measuring the age of each cell from its time of birth. Let $n(a, t)$ be the number of cells in the population that are of age a at time t. By continuous population, we mean that $n(a, t)$ is a continuous function of a for each $t \geq 0$. This is a departure from actual populations of cells, where for each t the $n(a, t)$ can be nonzero only at a finite number of distinct ages a. For large populations, the smoothing introduced by continuity is

thought to be negligible, particularly since the determination of age by experimental means is necessarily approximate. Let $N(a, t) = \int_0^a n(s, t)\, ds$. Then $N(a, t)$ represents the number of cells of age not exceeding a at time t. By finite population, we mean that $N(\infty, t) = \lim_{a \to \infty} N(a, t)$ exists. We assume that the partial derivatives N_t and N_{at} exist and are continuous. For each population, we define the age distribution D by $D(a, t) = N(a, t)/N(\infty, t)$, with the convention that $D(a, t) = 1$ when $N(\infty, t) = 0$.

Now suppose that $P(a)$ is the proportion of cells of age zero that would divide before age a if no deaths were to occur, and suppose that $Q(a)$ is the proportion of cells of age zero that would die before age a if no divisions were to occur. Division means replacement by two replicas of age zero; death means removal from the population. We assume that $Q(0) = P(0) = 0$, that $\lim_{a \to \infty} P(a) = \lim_{a \to \infty} Q(a) = 1$, and that P and Q are continuously differentiable. Note that discontinuous P or Q are excluded. We set $I = 2 \int_0^\infty Q(s)\, P'(s)\, ds$.

If $t = 0$ for some arbitrary initial time, it is clear that $N(a, t)$ depends on $N(a, 0)$ for all $a \geq 0$. As we shall show, however, the influence of $N(a, 0)$ is negligible for large t. The main result to be demonstrated is that $\lim_{t \to \infty} D(a, t)$ exists and is independent of $N(a, 0)$. Indeed, we shall find explicitly the asymptotic behavior of $N(a, t)$ for large t: when $I > 1$, $N(a, t)$ tends to zero for each a; when $I < 1$, $N(a, t)$ increases exponentially in t; when $I = 1$, $N(a, t)$ tends to a function of a alone. The condition $I = 1$ deserves particular and further attention for moderate values of t, but we postpone its detailed consideration.

The demonstration proceeds through three parts: the establishment of a basic equation for $N(a, t)$; the derivation of a renewal equation, the solution of which governs $N(a, t)$; and the analysis of that renewal equation

(Asymptotic Behavior). A few remarks conclude our paper.

A BASIC EQUATION

With $p(s) = P'(s)[1 - P(s)]^{-1}$ and $q(s) = Q'(s)[1 - Q(s)]^{-1}$, our stated assumptions lead to the equation

$$N(a, t+\delta t) = \int_{s=\delta t}^{a} N_a(s-\delta t, t)[1-p(s)\delta t][1-q(s)\delta t]\, ds + 2\int_{s=0}^{\infty} N_a(s, t)p(s)\delta t\, ds + o(\delta t), \tag{1}$$

where o generically denotes a function for which $\lim_{x\to 0} o(x)/x = 0$. The integrals on the right side of Eq. (1) represent the respective contributions to $N(a, t + \delta t)$ of cells that neither die nor divide in the time interval $(t, t+\delta t)$ and of cells that do divide in that interval. Rearranging Eq. (1) and integrating N_a, we find

$$[N(a, t+\delta t) - N(a-\delta t, t)](\delta t)^{-1} = -\int_{s=\delta t}^{a} N_a(s-t, t)[p(s) + q(s)]\, ds + 2\int_{s=0}^{\infty} N_a(s, t)\, p(s)\, ds + \frac{o(\delta t)}{\delta t}.$$

Letting δt tend to zero, we obtain the sought equation

$$\frac{dN(a, t)}{dt} = 2\int_{s=0}^{\infty} N_a(s, t)\, p(s)\, ds - \int_{s=0}^{a} N_a(s, t)[p(s)+q(s)]\, ds. \tag{2}$$

As we shall show in the following section, the function $N(a, t)$ is uniquely determined by Eq. (2) and the function $N(a, 0)$, with $a \geq 0$.

A RENEWAL EQUATION

By differentiation of Eq. (2) with respect to a, we obtain the partial differential equation mentioned, but only trivially exploited by several authors:

$$\frac{dn(a,t)}{dt} = -[p(a) + q(a)]\ n(a,t). \tag{3}$$

[Trucco, 1965 and 1966 (who give additional references)]. As indicated elsewhere (Trucco, 1965), this equation, together with $N(a, 0)$ or $n(a, 0)$ for $a \geq 0$, is not sufficient to uniquely determine $n(a, t)$ or $N(a, t)$.

By virtue of our knowledge of the general solution of Eq. (3), satisfied by $n(a, t) = N_a(a, t)$, we know that $N(a, t)$ must have the form

$$N(a, t) = \int_{s=0}^{a} N_a(s-t, 0) \exp[R(s-t) - R(s)]\ ds, \tag{4}$$

where

$$R(a) = \int_{s=0}^{a} [p(s) + q(s)]\ ds, \tag{5}$$

and where $N_a(s-t, 0)$ is given only for $s \geq t$ by $N(a, 0)$ with $a \geq 0$. The relation (5) may be written more explicitly as

$$R(a) = -\log \left\{[1 - P(a)]\ [1 - Q(a)]\right\}. \tag{6}$$

If we now set $y(-s)$ equal to $N_a(s, 0) \exp R(s)$, we may write Eq. (4) as

$$N(a, t) = \int_{s=0}^{a} y(t-s)\ [1 - P(s)]\ [1 - Q(s)]\ ds. \tag{7}$$

The replacement of $N(a, t)$ in Eq. (2) by this expression and an integration by parts lead to the integral equation for y,

$$y(t) = 2 \int_{s=0}^{\infty} y(t-s)\ [1 - Q(s)]\ P'(s)\ ds. \tag{8}$$

Specification of $N(a, 0)$, with $a \geq 0$, determines $y(t-s)$ for $t \leq s$, and we may therefore write Eq. (8) in the form of a classical renewal equation,

$$y(t) = 2 \int_{s=0}^{t} y(t-s)[1-Q(s)]\ P'(s)\ ds + f(t), \tag{9}$$

where

$$f(t) = 2 \int_{s=t}^{\infty} N_a(s-t, 0) \exp[R(s-t) - R(s)]\ p(s)\ ds \tag{10}$$

is a known function, given $N_a(a, 0)$, $P(a)$, and $Q(a)$ for $a \geqslant 0$.

So far, we have proceeded without regard for differentiability and integrability requirements. If $y(t)$ is to be continuous at $t = 0$, then the definition, $y(-s) = N_a(s, 0) \exp R(s)$, together with Eqs. (9) and (10), yields the compatibility condition $N_a(0, 0) = f(0)$ or

$$N_a(0, 0) = 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} N_a(s, 0)\ p(s)\ ds. \tag{11}$$

Let us assume for the moment that this compatibility condition holds. If Eq. (2) is to have meaning for $t = 0$, we must assume the existence of

$$\int_{s=0}^{\infty} N_a(s, 0)\ p(s)\ ds \text{ and } \int_{s=0}^{\infty} N_a(s, 0)\ q(s)\ ds.$$

It is sufficient to require further the existence and continuity of $N_{aa}(s, 0)$ for $s \geqslant 0$, and the existence of $f(0)$ and $f'(0)$, in addition to the restrictions already placed on P and Q. For then the function f is continuous and bounded, entailing the existence of a unique, continuous solution y of the renewal equation (9) (Bellman and Cooke, 1963). Since, further, f is continuously differentiable and all other functions appearing in Eq. (9) are continuous, it follows that y is continuously differentiable. Therefore, the function N defined by Eq. (7) is differentiable in each of its variables, and the operations required for the formation of Eq. (2), as well as those

performed in the derivation of Eq. (9), are all permitted. Equations (7) and (9) allow the calculation of $N(a, t)$ for any desired (a, t), but let us turn to the behavior of $N(a, t)$ for large t.

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR

The conduct of $N(a, t)$ for large t is determined through Eq. (7) by the asymptotic behavior of y. The latter is greatly influenced by the kernel of the renewal equation (9). We may distinguish three cases, according as

$$2\int_0^\infty [1 - Q(s)]\ P'(s)\, ds$$

is (i) less than, (ii) greater than, or (iii) equal to unity or equivalently, according as $I = 2\int_0^\infty Q(s)\, P'(s)\, ds$ is (i) greater than, (ii) less than, or (iii) equal to unity. In case (ii), there exists a unique constant $c > 0$ such that

$$2\int_0^\infty e^{-cs}[1 - Q(s)]\ P'(s)\, ds = 1, \tag{12}$$

for, considered as a function of c, the left side of this equation is continuous and monotonically decreasing in c, exceeds unity for $c = 0$, and is arbitrarily small for c sufficiently large. We set

$$F(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} f(s)\, ds \quad , \quad D(x) = \int_0^\infty \left|\frac{d}{ds} e^{xs} f(s)\right| ds$$

and

$$m(x) = 2\int_0^\infty s e^{-xs}\, [1-Q(s)]\, P'(s)\, ds$$

whenever these integrals exist.

The following behavior of y may now be established for the three cases: (i) $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$, (ii) $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t)\, e^{-ct} = F(c)/m(c)$, (iii) $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = F(0)/m(0)$. Proof of this behavior is in several theorems which we cite by number from Bellman and Cooke (1963). Convenient additional assumptions for this proof for the respective cases are the existence of: (i) $D(0)$; (ii) $D(0)$, $F(c)$ and $m(c)$, where c is given by Eq. (12); (iii) $F(0)$, $m(0)$ and a $b > 0$ for which $\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{bt} f(t) = 0$ and for which $D(b)$ exists. First, we may represent y in the form (Theorem 7.6)

$$y(t) = f(0)\, u(t) + \int_0^t u(t-s)\, f'(s)\, ds, \tag{13}$$

where u is the continuous solution of the auxiliary renewal equation

$$u(t) = 2 \int_0^t u(t-s)[1-Q(s)]\, P'(s)\, ds + 1.$$

Applied to this auxiliary equation, Theorems 7.14 and 7.11 yield the results: $\lim_{t\to\infty} u(t) = 1/(I-1)$ in case (i), and $\lim_{t\to\infty} u(t)\, e^{-ct} = 1/cm(c)$ in case (ii). Equation (13) then permits the stated conclusions for y in cases (i) and (ii).

Case (iii) can be reduced to case (ii) by considering $z(t) = e^{bt}\, y(t)$, where $b > 0$ is any number satisfying our assumptions for case (iii). We easily obtain the renewal equation

$$z(t) = \int_0^t z(t-s)\, e^{bs}\, [1-Q(s)]\, P'(s)\, ds + e^{bt} f(t),$$

which possesses a case (ii) kernel. Since $D(b)$ exists, $z(t)$ has a representation of the form of Eq. (3), and we conclude from the behavior of the solution of its auxiliary equation that $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = \lim_{t\to\infty} e^{-bt}\, z(t) = F(0)/m(0)$.

The consequences for $N(a, t)$ of the various asymptotic behaviors of y are easily assessed through Eq. (7). Indeed, we find

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} N(a, t) = 0 \qquad \text{for } I > 1$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} N(a, t)\, e^{-ct} = \frac{F(c)}{m(c)} \int_{s=0}^{a} e^{-cs} [1-P(s)][1-Q(s)]\, ds \qquad \text{for } I < 1$$

and

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} N(a, t) = \frac{F(0)}{m(0)} \int_{s=0}^{a} [1-P(s)][1-Q(s)]\, ds \qquad \text{for } I = 1,$$

where the constant $c > 0$ is given implicitly by Eq. (12). It follows that $D(a, t) = N(a, t)/N(\infty, t)$ tends to a limiting distribution in each case, and that the limiting distribution is independent of the initial distribution.

If $N(a, 0)$ is taken as proportional to the appropriate limiting distribution, then $N(a, t)$ will be stationary or independent of t. That these are the only stationary $N(a, t)$ [except for $N(a, t)$ identically zero] follows from the necessary convergence to a limiting distribution.

REMARKS

Relaxation of our assumptions is possible under the condition of a finite life span for the cells considered. Namely, if there is a number A such that $P(a) = Q(a) = 1$ when $a \geqslant A$, then we need not assume the existence of $F(0)$, $F(c)$, $m(0)$, $m(c)$, or $D(0)$.

Regarding P and Q as being fixed functions, we have imposed several conditions on $N(a, 0)$ and its derivatives, usually indirectly by way of restrictions on the function f defined by Eq. (10). Apart from the smoothness required by our formal manipulations, these restrictions ensure only that $n(a, t) = N_a(a, 0)$ tends rapidly enough to zero as $P(a)$ or $Q(a)$ tends to unity. Thus, we may say that we require a sufficiently youthful population given by $N(a, 0)$. It is easily seen that naturally growing

populations have precisely this quality: few cells survive past an age a at which $P(a)$ or $Q(a)$ is almost unity.

The compatibility condition (13) is also a restriction to a natural initial population, ensuring that the initial population arose from the process defined by P and Q. It is not an essential condition, however, If the compatibility condition does not hold, we determine y from the renewal equation (9) and then redefine $y(0) = N_a(0, 0)$. The resulting $N(a, t)$, given by Eq. (7), then has a saltus at $a = t$. Nevertheless, our conclusions for $N(a, t)$ remain valid for $a < t$. Under the condition of a finite life span, the limiting age distributions shown are valid also.

Our results can be extended slightly to include the case in which each dividing cell gives rise to k daughters. Our conclusions remain valid if we replace I by $kI/2(k-1)$ and correspondingly modify the definitions of c, m and f.

The stochastic treatment of questions of age distributions has received attention in the case of age-dependent birth and death probabilities (Kendall, 1949), but most questions remain open. Our work may be interpreted as a contribution to a stochastic theory, for if P and Q are regarded as distribution functions of random variables, then our results pertain to the mean value of the random variable $N(a, t)$. Our assertions about convergence to limiting distributions are less meaningful without the evaluation of other statistics such as the variance of $N(a, t)$. Since actual populations of cells exhibit stochastic behavior, practical applications make this difficult evaluation of immediate import.

FOOTNOTE AND BIBLIOGRAPHY

*This work was supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

1. Bellman, Richard and Cooke, Kenneth L., Differential-Difference Equations, New York, Academic Press Inc., 1963, Chapter 7.
2. Kendall, David G., J. Royal Stat. Soc. 11B, 230, 1949.
3. Moses, V. and Lonberg-Holm, K. K., The study of metabolic compartmentalization, J. Theoret. Biol., 1966 (in press).
4. Trucco, E., Bull. Math. Biophys. 27, 285, 1965.
5. Trucco, E., Mathematical models for cellular systems. The Von Foerster equation. Part II, Bull. Math. Biophys., 1966 (in press).

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.