UCRL-16831

University of California
Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

A J DEPENDENCE IN THE (^{3}He, α) REACTION CONFIRMING NEW SPECTROSCOPIC ASSIGNMENTS IN ^{14}N AND ^{13}C

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Physics Letters

UCRL-16831

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

A J DEPENDENCE IN THE (^{3}He,α) REACTION CONFIRMING NEW SPECTROSCOPIC ASSIGNMENTS IN ^{14}N AND ^{13}C

Gordon C. Ball and Joseph Cerny

April 1966

A J DEPENDENCE IN THE (^{3}He,α) REACTION CONFIRMING NEW SPECTROSCOPIC ASSIGNMENTS IN ^{14}N AND ^{13}C†

Gordon C. Ball and Joseph Cerny

Department of Chemistry and
Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California

April 1966

Abstract

A J dependence has been observed in the (^{3}He,α) reaction which confirmed the $(p_{3/2},p_{1/2})^{-1}_{1+,T=1}$ state in ^{14}N at 13.72±0.04 MeV and the lowest T = 3/2 state in ^{13}C at 15.108±0.014 MeV.

In an investigation of the p shell hole states of ^{14}N and ^{13}C through the ^{15}N(^{3}He,α)^{14}N and ^{14}C(^{3}He,α)^{13}C reactions, we have found that the shapes of the angular distributions are sensitive to the J of the picked up neutron, an effect observed in other reactions[1]. States with the configurations $(p_{1/2})^{-2}$ and $(p_{3/2},p_{1/2})^{-1}$ were observed in ^{14}N and it was possible to identify all six of these states, including the $(p_{3/2},p_{1/2})^{-1}_{1+,T=1}$ state which had not been assigned previously. Of particular interest in the ^{13}C spectrum was the measurement of the lowest T = 3/2 state. An accurate measurement of the excitation of this state was necessary as one component of a rigorous test of the isobaric multiplet mass equation[2].

---

†Work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

The $^{15}N(^{3}He,\alpha)^{14}N$ and $^{14}C(^{3}He,\alpha)^{13}C$ reactions were carried out at energies of 39.8 and 44.8 MeV, respectively, using the ^{3}He beam from the Berkeley 88" cyclotron. Particles were detected using a (dE/dx)-E counter telescope which fed a particle identifier[3]. The ^{15}N gas target was 98% pure. A ^{14}C target from Brookhaven, which contained a large amount of ^{12}C and also some ^{16}O, was made by depositing ^{14}C on a 2 mg gold backing. Typical energy spectra are shown in fig. 1 where the energy resolution (FWHM) in both reactions was around 200 keV.

If we assume that ^{15}N and ^{14}C contain a closed neutron shell and then consider a pure pickup mechanism, we would only expect to populate certain p shell hole states in ^{14}N and ^{13}C. Table 1 indicates the states which should be made by pick up of either a $p_{1/2}$ or a $p_{3/2}$ neutron. It is observed experimentally that these are the only states which are made with a large cross section.

Intermediate coupling shell model calculations[4-7] have shown that the six lowest p^{-2} states in ^{14}N are those listed in table 1. Of the seven large peaks observed in the $^{15}N(^{3}He,\alpha)^{14}N$ reaction, six correspond to the first five p^{-2} configurations (see table 1), which have already been well established[8-10]. The seventh peak at 13.72±0.04 MeV has been assigned the configuration $(p_{3/2},p_{1/2})^{-1}_{1+,T=1}$. Calculations[4-7] have predicted values for the energy of this state which range from 10 to 15 MeV.

Angular distributions for the $^{15}N(^{3}He,\alpha)^{14}N$ reaction are shown in fig. 2. The two distributions which correspond to the pick up of a $p_{1/2}$ neutron are similar in shape but differ from those corresponding to the pick up of a $p_{3/2}$ neutron[11]. The main difference occurs in the angular region from $\theta_{c.m.}$ = 15-45 deg. As compared to the $p_{3/2}$ pick up shape, the cross section for $p_{1/2}$ pick up

drops off much more quickly after the first maximum and the second maximum is almost non-existent. Another difference occurs in the region $\theta_{c.m.}$ = 55-75 deg., where the $p_{1/2}$ pick up states are at a maximum while the $p_{3/2}$ pick up states all[11] reach a minimum. Artemov et al.[12] have recently studied the (^{3}He,α) reaction on ^{14}N and ^{16}O at energies from 17.4 to 36.6 MeV. Their data at 36.6 MeV seems to show some of the trends which are reported here.

In ^{14}N the 9.17 and 10.43 MeV levels are populated almost equally, in good agreement with the calculations by Rose et al.[10] who found that the contributions from the p^{-2} and (s,d) configurations to the 9.17 and 10.43 MeV levels must be approximately equal. On the basis of a simple j-j coupling model, one would expect that the cross sections of the $(p_{3/2},p_{1/2})^{-1}_{2+,T=0}$ and the $(p_{3/2},p_{1/2})^{-1}_{1+,T=0}$ states should be equal to the cross sections of the corresponding T = 1 states. This is roughly observed experimentally; therefore, both the magnitude and the shape of the angular distribution of the 13.72 MeV level help to confirm its assignment.

In ^{13}C three levels were populated as expected (see table 1). A sharp state at 15.108±0.014 MeV was attributed to the T = 3/2 ground state analog. An accurate determination of the energy of this level, in good agreement with previously reported results[2,13,14], was possible because of the large amount of ^{12}C impurity in the ^{14}C target.

The angular distributions for the ^{14}C(^{3}He,α)^{13}C reaction are shown in fig. 3. A similar J dependence is observed in this reaction in the region $\theta_{c.m.}$ = 15-45 deg., where the 1/2- ground state has a very deep minimum which is not observed in the 3/2- states. The distribution of the 15.108 MeV T = 3/2 state is similar to that of the 3.68 MeV level in agreement with its assignment.

For additional comparison the $^{12}C(^{3}He,\alpha)^{11}C$ ground state transition is shown in fig. 3. Its angular distribution shows the expected J dependence corresponding to $p_{3/2}$ pick up[15].

We have seen that the J dependence observed in the 1p shell is consistent for all nuclei studied so far and has been useful as a spectroscopic tool. DWBA calculations which include spin-orbit terms have met with some success in predicting J-dependent effects[16,17]; however, no attempt has yet been made to fit these data.

We would like to thank D. L. Hendrie for several valuable discussions.

References

1) L. L. Lee, Jr., and J. P. Schiffer, Phys. Rev. Letters 12 (1964) 108; L. L. Lee, Jr., and J. P. Schiffer, Phys. Rev. 136 (1964) B405.

2) J. Cerny, R. H. Pehl, G. Butler, D. G. Fleming, C. Maples, and C. Detraz; Phys. Letters 20 (1966) 35.

3) F. S. Goulding, D. A. Landis, J. Cerny, and R. H. Pehl, Nucl. Instr. Methods 31 (1964) 1.

4) D. R. Inglis, Rev. Mod. Phys. 25 (1953) 390.

5) J. P. Elliott, Phil. Mag. 1 (1956) 503.

6) W. M. Visscher and R. A. Ferrell, Phys. Rev. 107 (1957) 781.

7) A. N. Boyarkina, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Ser. Fiz., 28 (1964) 337.

8) E. K. Warburton and W. T. Pinkston, Phys. Rev. 118 (1960) 733.

9) H. J. Rose, Nucl. Phys. 19 (1960) 113.

10) H. J. Rose, F. Riess, and W. Trost, Nucl. Phys. 52 (1964) 481.

11) The transitions to the 3.95 MeV level and, to a much lesser extent, to the ground state do show an intermediate character which can be attributed to the known[4-7)] mixing between the dominant configurations of these states.

12) K. P. Artemov, V. Z. Gol'dberg, B. I. Islamov, V. P. Rudakov, and I. N. Serikov, Soviet J. N. P. 1 (1965) 726.

13) J. Cerny, R. H. Pehl, D. G. Fleming, and C. C. Maples, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 9 (1964) 704.

14) D.C. Hensley and C. A. Barnes, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 10 (1965) 1194; Richard G. Miller and G. W. Kavanagh, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 11 (1966) 315.

15) The population of other low-lying levels in ^{11}C is considerably smaller than that of the ground state. These transitions are more complex and cannot be interpreted on the basis of a simple pick up mechanism.

16) R. Sherr, E. Rost, and M. E. Rickey, Phys. Rev. Letters 12 (1964) 420.

17) L. L. Lee, Jr., A. Marinov, C. Mayer-Boricke, J. P. Schiffer, R. H. Bassel, R. M. Drisko, and G. R. Satchler, Phys. Rev. Letters 14 (1965) 261.

Table 1

Levels of ^{14}N and ^{13}C Strongly Populated in the ($^{3}He,\alpha$) Reaction

Reaction	Neutron picked up	Level	Jπ	T	Dominant Shell Model Configuration[a]
$^{15}N(^{3}He,\alpha)^{14}N$	$p_{1/2}$	G.S.	1+	0	(1,0); $(p_{1/2})^{-2}$
	$p_{1/2}$	2.31	0+	1	(0,1); $(p_{1/2})^{-2}$
	$p_{3/2}$	3.95	1+	0	(1,0); $(p_{3/2},p_{1/2})^{-1}$
	$p_{3/2}$	7.03	2+	0	(2,0); $(p_{3/2},p_{1/2})^{-1}$
	$p_{3/2}$	9.17	2+	1	(2,1); (s,d) + $(p_{3/2},p_{1/2})^{-1}$
	$p_{3/2}$	10.43	2+	1	(2,1); (s,d) + $(p_{3/2},p_{1/2})^{-1}$
	$p_{3/2}$	13.72	1+	1	(1,1); $(p_{3/2},p_{1/2})^{-1}$ [b]
$^{14}C(^{3}He,\alpha)^{13}C$	$p_{1/2}$	G.S.	1/2-	1/2	
	$p_{3/2}$	3.68	3/2-	1/2	
	$p_{3/2}$	15.108	3/2-	3/2[b]	

[a] Assignments made in references 8-10.

[b] New assignments made in this letter.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Energy spectra of the $^{15}N(^{3}He,\alpha)^{14}N$ and $^{14}C(^{3}He,\alpha)^{13}C$ reactions. The ^{197}Au elastic peak represents a ^{3}He contamination in the α spectra of 0.1%.

Fig. 2. Angular distributions from the $^{15}N(^{3}He,\alpha)^{14}N$ reaction. $p_{1/2}$ pick up states are indicated with broken lines. $p_{3/2}$ pick up states are shown as solid lines.

Fig. 3. Angular distributions from the $^{14}C(^{3}He,\alpha)^{13}C$ and $^{12}C(^{3}He,\alpha)^{11}C$ reactions. $p_{1/2}$ pick up states are indicated with broken lines. $p_{3/2}$ pick up states are shown as solid lines.


$^{15}N(^3He, \alpha)^{14}N$
$E_{^3He} = 39.8$ MeV
$\theta_L = 23°$
13.72
10.43
9.17
8.5
8.06
7.03
(To 739)
6.22
5.83
5.1
3.95
2.31
G.s.
$^{14}C(^3He, \alpha)^{13}C$
$E_{^3He} = 44.8$ MeV
$\theta_L = 42°$
^{11}C 6.49
^{11}C 4.81
^{11}C 4.32
^{13}C 15.108 T=3/2
^{11}C 2.00
^{15}O 6.18
(To 692)
^{11}C g.s.
^{13}C 7.55
^{15}O g.s.
^{197}Au (elastic)
^{13}C 3.68
^{13}C g.s.
Count
Channel
0
100
200
300
400
500
600
700
800
MUB-9892

Fig. 1


$^{15}N(^{3}He, \alpha)^{14}N$
$E_{^3He}$ = 39.8 MeV
7.03 MeV
G.s.
2.31 MeV
3.95 MeV
9.17 MeV
10.43 MeV
13.72 MeV
$d\sigma/d\Omega$ (mb/sr)
$\theta_{c.m.}$ (deg)
MUB-9891

Fig. 2


$^{12}C(^3He, \alpha)^{11}C$
$E_{^3He}$ = 44.8 MeV
G.s.
$^{14}C(^3He, \alpha)^{13}C$
$E_{^3He}$ = 44.8 MeV
T = 3/2
15.108 MeV
3.68 MeV
G.s.
$d\sigma/d\Omega$ (arbitrary units)
$\theta_{c.m.}$ (deg)
10
5
1.0
0.5
0.1
10
30
50
70
90
MUB-9890

Fig. 3

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.