UCRL-16896

University of California
Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

OBSERVATIONS OF TWO-LEAVED SPIRALS IN RUTHENIUM

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Submitted to Philosophical Magazine

UCRL-16896
Preprint

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

OBSERVATIONS OF TWO-LEAVED SPIRALS IN RUTHENIUM

S. Ranganathan and A. J. Melmed

May 1966

OBSERVATIONS OF TWO-LEAVED SPIRALS IN RUTHENIUM

S. Ranganathan
Inorganic Materials Research Division
Lawrence Radiation Laboratory,
Berkeley, California
and
A. J. Melmed
National Bureau of Standards
Institute for Materials Research
Metallurgy Division
Washington, D.C.

ABSTRACT

Dislocations in a field-ion specimen under specified conditions give rise to a spiral contrast in field ion-micrographs. The nature of the spirals can be used to draw information concerning the Burgers vector of the dislocation. Such an analysis is applied here to the case of the two-leaved spirals from hexagonal close packed structures.

In a recent paper, Melmed and Klein (1966) have presented the first field-ion micrographs from ruthenium, establishing that this h.c.p. metal along with rhenium is amenable for field-ion microscopic observations at 77°K. A number of spiral structures have been observed in some of these micrographs and they are analyzed here following a method due to Ranganathan (1966).

The contrast for the case of a dislocation running at an angle to a plane, i.e., $\bar{n} \cdot \bar{g} \neq 0$ where $\bar{n}$ is the direction of the dislocation and $\bar{g}$ is the direction normal to the plane is considered. $\bar{b}$ is the Burgers vector of the dislocation. Then the dot product $\bar{g} \cdot \bar{b}$ determines the nature of contrast (Ranganathan, 1966). If $\bar{g} \cdot \bar{b} = 0$, the dislocation is effectively invisible. The net plane rings then remain closed and the displacements are confined to a plane. In close packed planes where good

resolution is available only near the edges, such displacements may be difficult to discern. If $\bar{g} \cdot \bar{b} = N$, an integer, the net plane rings do not close on themselves but form a continuous spiral. The spiral is due to the component of the Burgers vector normal to the plane. When $N = 1$, a simple spiral arises. If $N = 2$, a two-leaved spiral will occur and so on. The nature of the spiral allows the vertical component of the Burgers vector to be determined. Further characterization can be done only in cases where the direction of the dislocation line is such that it intersects different crystal planes on field evaporation.

In the cubic system the dot product for the plane $(hk\ell)$ and the Burgers vector $[uvw]$ is given by $\bar{g} \cdot \bar{b} = hu + kv + \ell w = N$. This formula can be extended to the hexagonal case. For $\bar{g} = [hki\ell]$ and $\bar{b} = [HKIL]$,

$$\bar{g} \cdot \bar{b} = hH + kK + iI + \ell L$$

In the hexagonal close packed structure this will not necessarily be equal to the number of leaves in the spiral. In the hcp metals there are two classes of atoms. Therefore there are two possible surfaces (J. F. Nicholas, 1965) whenever $2h + 4k + 3\ell$ is not a multiple of 6. For these planes and perfect dislocations the dot product must be multiplied by 2. It follows that for a <u>perfect</u> dislocation intersecting such planes, only even-leaved spirals are possible (i.e., 2,4,6, leaved).

Figure 1 shows a two-leaved spiral occurring on $(10\bar{1}0)$ in ruthenium. This is caused by a dislocation forming part of a low angle boundary. On field evaporation the intersection of the boundary moved and the dislocation was seen to intersect $(10\bar{1}2)$ where again a two-leaved spiral was observed. This fact can be used to rule out a number of possible Burgers vectors. From the information given below in tabular form, it can be seen that $\frac{1}{3}[2\bar{1}\bar{1}0]$, $\frac{1}{3}[\bar{1}\bar{1}20]$, $\frac{1}{3}[\bar{1}\bar{1}23]$, and $\frac{1}{3}[\bar{2}113]$ are possible Burgers vectors.

Nature and Expectancy of Spiral in h.c.p.

Type	$\vec{b}$	$N_{10\bar{1}0}$	$N_{10\bar{1}2}$
a	$\frac{1}{3}[2\bar{1}\bar{1}0]$	2	2
	$\frac{1}{3}[\bar{1}2\bar{1}0]$	0	0
	$\frac{1}{3}[\bar{1}\bar{1}20]$	2	2
c	$[0001]$	0	4
c + a	$\frac{1}{3}[11\bar{2}3]$	2	6
	$\frac{1}{3}[\bar{1}\bar{1}23]$	2	2
	$\frac{1}{3}[2\bar{1}\bar{1}3]$	2	6
	$\frac{1}{3}[\bar{2}113]$	2	2
	$\frac{1}{3}[1\bar{2}13]$	0	4
	$\frac{1}{3}[\bar{1}2\bar{1}3]$	0	4

References

A. J. Melmed and R. Klein, 1966, J. Less Common Metals, 10, 225.

J. F. Nicholas, 1965, "An Atlas of Models of Crystal Surfaces", Gordon and Breach, Science Publishers, Inc., New York.

S. Ranganathan, 1966, submitted for publication.

Figure Caption:

Fig. 1. Two-leaved spiral structure on central $(10\bar{1}0)$ plane of Ruthenium. Helium field-ion micrograph at 77°K and 10.6 KV.



ZN-5522

Fig. 1

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.