UCRL-17091

University of California

Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

RELATIVE ACIDITIES OF SOME HYDRIDES OF GROUPS IV AND V IN LIQUID AMMONIA. N.M.R. SPECTRA OF THE HYDRIDES AND THEIR ANIONS

Berkeley, California

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Submitted to Inorganic Chemistry

UCRL-17091
Preprint

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

AEC Contract W-7405-eng-48

RELATIVE ACIDITIES OF SOME HYDRIDES OF GROUPS IV AND V IN LIQUID AMMONIA. N.M.R. SPECTRA OF THE HYDRIDES AND THEIR ANIONS.

Thomas Birchall and William L. Jolly

July 1966

Relative Acidities of Some Hydrides of Groups IV and V in Liquid Ammonia. N.M.R. Spectra of the Hydrides and their Anions.

Thomas Birchall and William L. Jolly

Department of Chemistry of the University
of California and the
Inorganic Materials Research Division of the
Lawrence Radiation Laboratory,
Berkeley, California 94720

Abstract

Proton magnetic resonance was used to determine the relative acidities in liquid ammonia of germane, phosphine, arsine, and some of the alkyl derivatives of these hydrides. Special features of the n.m.r. spectra of the hydrides and their anions are discussed.

Introduction

Trends in acidity of the simple hydrides MH_x have been discussed in relation to the position of M in the periodic table,[1,2] but there

(1) M. C. Day, Jr. and J. Selbin, "Theoretical Inorganic Chemistry," Reinhold Publishing Corp., New York, 1962, p. 254.

(2) B. E. Douglas and D. H. McDaniel, "Concepts and Models of Inorganic Chemistry," Blaisdell Publishing Co., New York, 1965, p. 188.

are essentially no quantitative data regarding the acidities of silane, germane, stannane, arsine and stibine. Alkali metal derivatives of these hydrides are known,[3-6] and there is evidence that AsH_3, SbH_3 and

(3) S. N. Glarum and C. A. Kraus, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 72, 5398(1950).

(4) H. J. Emeléus and S. F. A. Kettle, J. Chem. Soc., 2444(1958).

(5) H. J. Emeléus and K. M. Mackay, J. Chem. Soc., 2676(1961).

(6) M. A. Ring and D. M. Ritter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83, 802(1961).

SnH_4 form disodium derivatives when they react with sodium in liquid ammonia.[4,5] There has been some recent work on the relative acidities of organo phosphines and arsines by Issleib and Kümmel.[7]

(7) K. Issleib and R. Kümmel, J. Organomet. Chem., 3, 84(1965).

We have recently determined the relative acidities of a series of substituted anilines in liquid ammonia by using proton n.m.r. to measure the relative concentrations of anilines and anilide ions in equilibrated systems.[8] In this study, we have applied the same method to some

(8) T. Birchall and W. L. Jolly, to be published.

hydrides of groups IV and V and to some of their alkyl derivatives. Not only has information been obtained regarding the relative acidities of the hydrides, but also some interesting features of the n.m.r. spectra have been observed.

Experimental

Chemicals. – The methyl and ethyl germanes were prepared from commercially available halides by reduction with sodium hydroborate in acid solution.[9] Triphenylgermane was obtained by reduction of

(9) J. E. Griffiths, Inorg. Chem., 2, 375(1964).

triphenylgermanium bromide with $LiAlH_4$ in ether solution.[10] Ethylmethyl-

(10) O. H. Johnson and D. M. Harris, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 72, 5564(1950).

germane was prepared by treatment of the sodium salt of ethylgermane with methyl chloride in liquid ammonia. Germane, arsine and stibine

were prepared according to the method of Jolly and Drake.[11]

(11) W. L. Jolly and J. E. Drake, Inorg. Syntheses, 7, 34(1963).

Phosphine was prepared by heating phosphorous acid to 200° and collecting the phosphine evolved.[12]

(12) W. L. Jolly and S. Gokhale, University of California, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, UCRL-11177 (1963).

Methyl and dimethyl arsines were prepared by essentially the same method used by Burg[13] for the corresponding phosphines. Excess arsine

(13) A. B. Burg and R. I. Wagner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 75, 3869(1953).

was distilled into a solution of sodium in liquid ammonia and the reaction between the electron and arsine allowed to go to completion at -63°, as evidenced by the complete discharge of the deep blue electron color. The hydrogen, excess arsine, and ammonia were then removed under vacuum at -45°, leaving $NaAsH_2$. Excess methyl chloride was then distilled into the reaction vessel, where reaction occurred to produce methyl arsine. The product was subsequently purified by trap to trap fractionation. Dimethyl arsine was prepared in a similar way from methylarsine.

The purity of all hydrides used was checked by vapor pressure measurements, infrared spectroscopy, n.m.r. spectroscopy, and, in some cases, mass spectrometry. All volatile samples were stored in glass bulbs either as the vapor or frozen down with liquid nitrogen.

Synthetic ammonia was dried and stored over sodium in a glass vessel attached to the vacuum line until required.

Sample Preparation. – All of the hydrides were handled by standard vacuum-line techniques and distilled directly into n.m.r. tubes containing ammonia or suspensions of sodium amide in ammonia. The sodium amide suspensions were prepared by allowing the reaction of sodium (i.e. the electron) with ammonia to take place in the n.m.r. tube in the presence of trace quantities of platinum, which accelerates the reaction.

$$Na^+ + e^- + NH_3 \rightarrow NaNH_2 + 1/2\ H_2$$

The n.m.r. tube was fitted with a break-off seal, and when the above reaction was complete, the seal was broken and the hydrogen produced was collected and measured by means of a Toepler pump. In this way the amide ion concentration in the ammonia solution was accurately determined. Anion spectra were obtained by distilling into the n.m.r. tube containing the sodium amide-ammonia mixture a quantity of hydride equivalent to the amide ion. The tube was sealed, the mixture allowed to come to equilibrium at room temperature and the n.m.r. spectrum recorded.

$$HA + NaNH_2 \rightarrow A^- + NH_3 + Na^+$$

In comparing the strengths of two acids HA and HB, approximately equal amounts were distilled into the $NaNH_2$ suspension, the acids allowed to compete for the amide ion, and the spectrum recorded at equilibrium.

From the spectrum the relative extents of ionization were determined, and hence the relative acidities estimated.

The spectra were recorded on a Varian A60 n.m.r. spectrometer at 31°C. All of the solutions were approximately 0.5 M in the compounds being studied.

Results and Discussion

Acidities. – In our experiments with phosphines and arsines, whenever both a hydride and its anion were present together in appreciable concentrations, we were unable to observe an n.m.r. peak attributable to the acidic proton. Presumably proton exchange between the hydride and its anion was occurring, and the exchange rate was not fast enough to collapse the signal to a line sharp enough to be observed. It is also possible that the time-averaged line was hidden by the strong absorption of the solvent protons. The solvent proton signal (a triplet because of ^{14}N coupling) was always sharp, thus ruling out the participation of ammonia in the exchange. In the case of the germane, proton exchange between the acid and anion was slow; separate peaks for the acid and anion were visible, and it was a simple matter to determine the relative amounts by electronic integration. However we have observed a broadening of the Ge-H proton peaks in solutions containing mixtures of germane and the anions of either arsines or phosphines, indicating that the germanium protons were involved in an exchange process in these cases. In cases where the group V hydride and the germane had similar acidities so that appreciable quantities of both anions were present at equilibrium, then a combined time-averaged peak for all four species was observed. Such a situation occurred with GeH_4 and CH_3AsH_2. These two acids when added to a suspension of sodium amide in liquid ammonia showed two peaks in addition

to the solvent signal. One sharp peak was due to the CH_3-protons; the other broad peak was due to GeH_4, GeH_3^-, $-AsH_2$ and $-AsH^-$ which were exchanging their protons with one another. Knowing the initial quantities of GeH_4, CH_3AsH_2 and $NaNH_2$ and the chemical shifts of the various protons involved (Tables I and II) the extent of ionization of the two acids could be calculated and hence their relative acidities determined.

The relative acidities of the acids which we have studied are given in Table III. Only for four pairs of acids were we able to obtain quantitative data; the ΔpK values for these pairs are indicated in the table.

One interesting aspect of the order of acidities is the fact that germane lies between arsine and phosphine. Arsine is a much stronger acid (at least 100-fold) than germane, with no detectable overlap occurring with this pair of acids. We were also unable to obtain any quantitative data for methylarsine and phosphine, because no signal attributable to a combined arsine-phosphine proton could be detected. The relative order was established by the behavior of each acid separately with sodium hydroxide in liquid ammonia. The mixture of CH_3AsH_2 and NaOH in liquid ammonia gave an n.m.r. spectrum with a detectable peak attributable to water, whereas a corresponding solution with PH_3 and NaOH showed no such peak. The sodium hydroxide remained unreacted in the bottom of the n.m.r. tube in this latter case. Phosphine did react to some extent with the NaOH, as evidenced by the solution having a yellow color indicative of the presence of the PH_2^- ion. Furthermore the characteristic doublet of PH_3 was no longer visible in the n.m.r. spectrum. Probably CH_3AsH_2 and PH_3 differ by about one pK unit in their acidities. Arsine and germane are completely ionized to AsH_2^- and GeH_3^- by sodium hydroxide in liquid ammonia.

Table I

Chemical Shifts and Spin-Spin Coupling Constants

for Germaniumhydrides and their Anions

Compound	-δ p.p.m. from external T.M.S.			$J_{H-Ge-C-H}$ C/S	$J_{H-Ge-C-C-H}$ C/S
	Ge-H	Ge-CH_3	Ge-C_2H_5 or Ge-C_6H_5		
GeH_4	2.98	–	–	–	–
GeH_3^-	0.99	–	–	–	–
CH_3GeH_3	3.22	0.19	–	4.22	–
$CH_3GeH_2^-$	2.19	-0.23	–	5.73	–
$(CH_3)_2GeH_2$	3.43	0.12	–	3.82	–
$(CH_3)_2GeH^-$	2.98	-0.16	–	4.90	–
$(C_2H_5)(CH_3)GeH_2$	3.75	0.36	1.21,1.12	3.85*	–
$(C_2H_5)(CH_3)GeH^-$	2.40	-0.06	1.05,0.94,0.79	4.04*	–
$C_2H_5GeH_3$	3.26	–	0.93	2.8	0.5
$C_2H_5GeH_2^-$	2.33	–	1.16,1.13,1.07,0.90	4.20	0.0
$(C_2H_5)_2GeH_2$	3.47	–	0.95,0.89	2.73	~0.5
$(C_2H_5)_2GeH^-$	3.10	–	1.02,0.91,0.80	3.85	0.0
$(C_6H_5)_3GeH$	5.48	–	7.30	–	–
$(C_6H_5)_3Ge^-$	–	–	7.25,6.83	–	–

* Refers to coupling with the protons of the methyl group.

Table II

Chemical Shifts and Spin-Spin Coupling Constants for Phosphorus, Arsenic, and Antimony Hydrides and their Anions

Compound R_nXH_{3-n}	-δ p.p.m. from external T.M.S.		J_{X-H} c/s	$J_{H-X-C-H}$ c/s
	X-H	X-C-H		
PH_3	1.64	–	188.7	
PH_2^-	-1.64	–	139.3	
AsH_3	0.90*	–		–
AsH_2^-	-2.69	–	–	–
CH_3AsH_2	1.82	0.88	–	7.24
CH_3AsH^-	-1.04	0.85	–	6.15
$(CH_3)_2AsH$	2.26	0.93	–	6.89
$(CH_3)_2As^-$	–	1.20	–	–

* Obtained from the chemical shift in CCl_4 solution 1.57 p.p.m. after correction for the differences in bulk diamagnetic susceptibility of CCl_4 and NH_3 (0.67 p.p.m.).

Table III

Relative Acidities of Hydrides in Liquid Ammonia

Acids	ΔpK, when known
AsH_3	
>	
GeH_4	
>	0.7
CH_3AsH_2	
>	
PH_3	
>	0.3
$(C_6H_5)_3GeH$	
>	0.7
$C_2H_5GeH_3$	
>	0.45
CH_3GeH_3	
>	
$(C_2H_5)_2GeH_2$	
>	
$(CH_3)_2GeH_2$	
>	
CH_3PH_2	

Substitution of hydrogen by an alkyl group has the expected effect of reducing the acidity, though the magnitude of the change might not have been predicted. An ethyl group appears to increase the pK value by about 3 units and a methyl group is slightly more effective. Dialkyl hydrides are weaker acids still. Triphenylgermane might have been expected to be a stronger acid than germane because of the ability of the phenyl group to delocalize the charge in the anion (as in structure I).

I II

Indeed, the n.m.r. spectrum of sodium triphenylgermane indicates that this delocalization is appreciable; the ortho and para proton peaks are shifted to higher field. However, triphenylgermane is found to be a much weaker acid than germane. One possible explanation of this unusual behavior is that there is interaction of the π electrons of the aromatic systems with the empty d orbitals of the germanium atom, as in structure II. Such interaction would place a partial negative charge on the germanium, making the proton more difficult to remove. Electron spin resonance data of Carrington and coworkers[14] support the idea of interaction

(14) J. A. Bedford, J. R. Bolton and A. Carrington, Trans. Faraday Soc., 59, 53(1963).

between aromatic π systems and the d orbitals of germanium.

On the other hand, Issleib and Kümmel have found that phenyl substitution increases the acidity of phosphine and arsine. We have no explanation for the different behavior.

N.M.R. Data. — The results of the n.m.r. measurements are given in Tables I and II, and the data for the parent hydrides agree well with the literature values that are available.[15-17] There are some differences in

(15) G. P. Van der Kelen, L. Verdonck, and D. Van der Vondel, Bull. Soc. Chim. Belges., 73, 733(1964).

(16) H. S. Gutowsky, D. W. McCall, and C. P. Slichter, J. Chem. Phys., 21, 279(1953).

(17) H. S. Gutowsky and C. J. Hoffman, J. Chem. Phys., 19, 1259(1951).

the chemical shifts, but these undoubtedly arise from differences in bulk diamagnetic susceptibility of the various solvents used. The ethyl portions of the ethylgermane spectra are A_3B_2 type spectra, with the added complication of spin-spin coupling with the Ge-H protons. We were unable to analyze these spectra, and the chemical shift data in Table I refer to the most prominant peaks. We were unable to observe any ^{13}C-H coupling in the spectra of solutions of the alkyl compounds because of the low concentrations used and because some parts of the spectra were obscured by the ammonia solvent peaks.

The coupling constants for the ethylgermanes appear to be new, as are the data for the anions of these hydrides. Solutions of the hydride anions in liquid ammonia were reasonably stable at room temperature, but on standing for several weeks, ammonolysis was appreciable.

This was especially true of the anions of the weaker acids such as dimethylgermane and methylphosphine. The anion of this latter compound showed so much ammonolysis that we were prevented from obtaining its n.m.r. spectrum. Burg and Wagner[13] have observed that ammonolysis of the PH bonds occurs in the preparation of alkyl substituted phosphines in liquid ammonia.

Chemical Shifts. — Substitution of alkyl groups for hydrogen in these hydrides causes a deshielding at the hydrogens remaining, ethyl groups being slightly more effective in this respect than methyl groups. The Ge-H proton of triphenylgermane is at lowest field because of the large ring-current effects of the phenyl groups. Removal of a proton to give the anion results in a shielding of the remaining protons and a shift to higher magnetic field. This is most pronounced for the unsubstituted hydrides, where shifts of 1.99, 3.28, and 3.59 p.p.m. are observed in GeH_4, PH_3 and AsH_3, respectively, in going from the acid to the anion. It seems likely that the neighbor-anisotropy effect would increase on going from the parent acid to the anion, the result being a greater diamagnetic susceptibility along the X-H bond than perpendicular to it and hence a greater shielding at the proton.[18] There would also be an

(18) J. A. Pople, W. G. Schneider, and H. J. Bernstein, High Resolution Nuclear Magnetic Resonance, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 1959.

increased paramagnetic contribution, and hence increased shielding, as the hybridization changes from sp^3 in germane to something close to p^3 in GeH_3^-.

One would expect that the various contributions to chemical shift in isoelectronic species would be similar, and it is found that the chemical shifts of GeH_3^- and AsH_3 are almost equal.

It is interesting to compare the effect of substitution of methyl groups upon the shift of the X-H protons as the structure of the molecule changes. On going from germane to methylgermane, the germanium hydrogens are deshielded by 0.24 p.p.m.; for arsine the deshielding is 0.92 p.p.m.; for the germyl ion 1.20 p.p.m. and for AsH_2^- 1.65 p.p.m. Substitution of a second methyl group has a similar though smaller effect. The values are 0.21, 0.44 and 0.79 p.p.m. for CH_3GeH_3, CH_3AsH_2 and $CH_3GeH_2^-$ respectively. Thus the more crowded the X-H protons, the greater the effect of methyl substitution in shifting the X-H resonance to lower field. This crowding is also apparent in the shifts of the alkyl protons. The methyl resonance of the methylgermanes shifts ~ 0.3 - 0.4 p.p.m. to higher field upon ionization, the negative charge on the anion causing an increased shielding. Little change in chemical shift in the CH_3 protons occurs upon ionization of methylarsine, while for dimethylarsine the methyl protons actually experience a lower shielding in the anion. The effect of the negative charge is completely counteracted by an anisotropic contribution arising from the crowding together of the methyl groups. Harvey and Wilson[19] have recently shown that in CH_3AsH_2 the C-As-H angle is 94°.

---

(19) A. B. Harvey and M. K. Wilson, J. Chem. Phys., 44, 3535(1966).

Spin-Spin Coupling. — The effects upon spin-spin coupling in silanes, germanes and stannanes produced by substitution of hydrogen atoms by methyl groups have been discussed.[15,20,21] The more methyl-

(20) H. Schmidbaur, Chem. Ber., 97, 1639(1964).

(21) N. Flitcroft and H. D. Kaesz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 1377(1963).

substituted a hydride is, the less s character is diverted to the M-orbitals in the M-CH_3 bonds, and the lower the H-M-C-H coupling constant is. We find that substitution by ethyl groups also reduces $J_{H-Ge-C-H}$, although the effect is much smaller. This same effect is observed in the anions of the germanes, as shown in Table I. While most of the data fit in with the concept of a decrease in coupling following the decrease in s character of the M-H bond, the large increase in coupling H-Ge-C-H on going from a methyl-substituted germane to the corresponding anion does not. In the germanes ionization results in a large change in hybridization of the bonding germanium orbitals, going from sp^3 to something approaching p^3. This should result in a reduction in $J_{H-Ge-C-H}$ rather than the observed increase. Apparently factors other than s character are playing a considerable part in the coupling, as pointed out by Grant and Litchman.[22] It is interesting to note that

(22) D. M. Grant and W. M. Litchman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87, 3994(1965).

while $J_{H-Ge-C-H}$ increases upon ionization, $J_{H-Ge-C-C-H}$ decreases.

This is consistent with the three-bond coupling constant having a sign opposite to that of the four-bond coupling constant. Coupling constants J_{AX} and J_{BX} in $X(B_2A_3)_n$ compounds have been shown to have opposite signs.[23]

---

(23) P. T. Narasimhan and M. T. Rogers, J. Chem. Phys., 34, 1049(1961).

Acknowledgment. — This work was supported by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.