

UCRL-17323

University of California
Ernest O. Lawrence
Radiation Laboratory

THE INTRINSIC BASICITY OF THE HYDROXIDE ION

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545*

Berkeley, California

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Submitted to J. Chem. Education

UCRL-17323
Preprint

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

AEC Contract W-7405-eng-48

THE INTRINSIC BASICITY OF THE HYDROXIDE ION

William L. Jolly

December 1966

The Intrinsic Basicity of the Hydroxide Ion

By William L. Jolly
University of California

Liquid ammonia is a much more basic solvent than water, and therefore one expects protonic acids to be more completely ionized ("stronger") in ammonia than in water. In fact, it may be shown that normal protonic acids have ionization constants in liquid ammonia which are about 10^{10} times larger than the ionization constants in water.¹ Now water itself is not exactly a "normal" acid, and one might not expect it to obey this rule exactly. But it is rather surprising to learn the extent to which it disobeys the rule. The pK of water in liquid ammonia is 18, and the pK of water in water is 16. (1) Thus, in liquid ammonia, the ionization constant of water is 100 times smaller than it is in water. The explanation which has been offered for this behavior is that the hydroxide ion is abnormally strongly stabilized in water by hydrogen bonding, and that consequently water in water is an abnormally strong acid. (1) If it were not for the unusual stabilization of the hydroxide ion in water, the pK of water in water would be

¹ The justification for this rule is discussed in Appendix I.

expected to be about 28! It has recently been shown that the n.m.r. chemical shift for the hydroxide ion in ammonia lies 13 p.p.m. to higher field of that for the hydroxide ion in water. (2) Again the explanation was given in terms of hydrogen bonding in water. Other acids whose anions are strongly hydrogen-bonded in water show anomalous differences in their pK values in water and ammonia, but the anomalies are not as great as they are in the case of water.²

It has been shown that dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and water have about the same basicities, and so one would expect normal acids to have similar ionization constants in these two solvents. (3) However the ionization constants of carboxylic acids and the bisulfate ion are $10^6 - 10^7$ times smaller in DMSO than in water. These anomalies can be explained in terms of pronounced stabilization of the anions in water through hydrogen bonding.

It is clear that the acidities of hydroxylic acids and normal acids can be meaningfully compared only when all the acidities are those applicable to the same non-hydroxylic solvent. In most tables of acid pK values (such as Table 1) the applicable solvent is water. Thus one gets the impression that an alcohol such as ethanol (aqueous pK ≈ 17) is intrinsically more acidic than acids such as indene (aqueous pK ≈ 20) and diphenylamine (aqueous pK ≈ 23). However in a non-hydroxylic solvent such as an ether or DMSO, the reverse is true - that is, indene and



² See Appendix II.

Table 1.
 Rough pK Scale for Weak
 Acids in Aqueous Solutions

Acid	pK
H ₂ S	7
CH ₃ NO ₂	10
H ₂ O	16
C ₅ H ₆ , cyclopentadiene	16
C ₂ H ₅ OH	17
9-Phenylfluorene	19
C ₉ H ₈ , Indene	20
(C ₆ H ₅) ₂ NH	23
Fluorene	23
CH ₃ CN	25
GeH ₄	25
C ₆ H ₅ NH ₂	27
PH ₃	27
C ₂ H ₅ GeH ₃	28
(C ₆ H ₅) ₃ CH	32
NH ₃	39
C ₆ H ₅ CH ₃	40



diphenylamine are more acidic than ethanol. Steiner and Gilbert (4) have shown that it is possible to have comparable amounts of the four species, triphenylmethane ($pK \approx 32$), triphenylmethide ion, ethanol, and ethoxide ion, together in DMSO. This shows that triphenylmethane and ethanol have similar acidities in this solvent.

The intrinsic basicity of the hydroxide ion is much greater than that which can be achieved in a solvent such as water or alcohol. Unfortunately, hydroxides are not appreciably soluble in non-hydroxylic solvents. This difficulty has been overcome in many cases by the use of potassium t-butoxide, which has a greater solubility in solvents such as DMSO. (5) It has been shown that synthetically important base-catalyzed reactions such as the Wolff-Kishner reduction and Cope elimination proceed much more rapidly in DMSO-butoxide solutions than in hydroxylic solvents. (6) However, it has not been generally recognized that alkali metal hydroxides need not be dissolved to bring about the ionization of weak acids. Solid sodium hydroxide and potassium hydroxide are very effective in the preparation of salts. From readily available thermodynamic data³ we calculate the following equilibrium constants.





³ Most of the data were taken from the N.B.S. Circular 500 (7), but it was necessary to estimate the entropies of KOH and KOH·H₂O using the methods outlined by Latimer. (8)

We see that any acid with an aqueous pK less than 31 should react with potassium hydroxide to form the potassium salt, and any acid with an aqueous pK less than 23 should react similarly with sodium hydroxide. Of course these reactions only have meaning for nonaqueous solvents, because it is not possible, for example, to have both KOH and KOH·H₂O in equilibrium with a solution which in the usual sense is aqueous. The free energy of transfer of a salt or a neutral species from water to another solvent is rather small, and so we can consider these reactions and their calculated equilibrium constants to be valid for any solvent



The aqueous pK values for a few acids are presented in Table 1. From this table we can get an idea as to the kinds of acids which will react with KOH and NaOH. We have found several of these reactions to be synthetically useful. By simply stirring powdered reagent grade KOH with solutions of the appropriate acids in 1,2-dimethoxyethane, we have prepared, in quantitative yield, solutions of the potassium salts of germane, ethylgermane, cyclopentadiene, indene, and fluorene. The reactions are much easier and safer to carry out than the usual reactions of dispersed metal suspensions with weak acids. Using the solutions prepared from KOH as intermediates, a variety of derivatives,

such as CH_3GeH_3 , $\text{CH}_3\text{GeH}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_5$, ferrocene, nickelocene, and bis-indenyliron(II), have been prepared. (2)




Undoubtedly many more applications of the reactions of potassium hydroxide with weak acids in non-hydroxylic solvents can be found.

Appendix I. -


From a comparison of the free energies of transferring various salts and acids from water to ammonia, it was concluded that acid pK values generally should be 12 units greater in water than in ammonia.

(1) There are very few acids (whose anions do not strongly hydrogen bond with water) for which acidity data in both solvents are available. However, the data for four different acids are discussed in the following paragraphs, and it can be seen that these data indicate $\Delta\text{pK} \approx 10$ rather than $\Delta\text{pK} \approx 12$.

By convention, the ammonium ion has a pK value of 0 in liquid ammonia.




In water, the pK value is 9.3 (8), corresponding to $\Delta pK = 9.3$.



Wilmarth et al (10) measured the rate constant for the aqueous reaction



in the temperature range 80-110°. From their data, we calculate $k = 2.8 \times 10^{-6} \text{ l. mole}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ at 25°. Bar-Eli and Klein (11) measured the rate constant for the liquid ammonia reaction




in the temperature range -50 to -70°. From their data, we calculate $k = 6.1 \times 10^3 \text{ l. mole}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ at 25°. By assuming that the rate constants for the reverse reactions are equal (diffusion controlled), we calculate, for the ratio of the ionization constants for hydrogen, $6.1 \times 10^3 / (2.8 \times 10^{-6}) = 2.2 \times 10^9$, or $\Delta pK \approx 9.3$.

From the conductivity data of Smith (12), it is clear that cyanamide is on the borderline between strong and weak acids in liquid

ammonia. We shall assume $pK \approx 0$ in ammonia. In water, the pK is 10.4 (13), yielding $\Delta pK \approx 10.4$.

Birchall and Jolly (14) reported that phosphine reacts with solid NaOH and ammonia to give a very pale yellow solution.




If we assume $(\text{PH}_2^-) = (\text{Na}^+) = (\text{H}_2\text{O}) \approx 10^{-2}$ and $(\text{PH}_3) \approx 0.5$, and estimate $\gamma_{\pm} = 0.4$, we calculate $K = 3 \times 10^{-7}$. By combining this result with available thermodynamic data (1, 7, 15), we calculate, for PH_3 in ammonia, $pK = 16$. From the kinetic data of Weston and Bigeleisen on the exchange of hydrogen between phosphine and water (16), one calculates, using the usual standard states for water and phosphine, a value of 27 for the pK of phosphine. Thus we find that the data are consistent with $\Delta pK \approx 11$.

Appendix II. -

The pK of p-nitroacetanilide in liquid ammonia at -55.6° is 5.1. (17) Using an estimated ΔS° of ionization of -60 e.u., this is corrected to $pK = 7.2$ at 25° . The pK of p-nitroacetanilide in water is unknown, but from data of Bowden and Stewart (18) and the application of the Hammett $\sigma\rho$ function, we estimate 12.5 for the aqueous pK . Thus we obtain $\Delta pK \approx 5$.

From kinetic data of Kelley et al (19) we conclude that the rate constant for the ionization of ethanol in liquid ammonia at -33.4° is equal to or less than $\sim 0.01 \text{ sec}^{-1}$. The value is only an upper limit

because it is possible that, to a certain extent, the rate measured was that for a faster reaction such as



If we assume that the reverse reaction is diffusion controlled ($k = 10^{11}$ l. mole⁻¹ sec⁻¹), we calculate $\text{pK} \geq 13$ for EtOH in liquid ammonia at -33.4° . By using a ΔS° of ionization of -60 e.u., this value remains unchanged at 25° . From the aqueous pK of 17, we then obtain $\Delta\text{pK} \leq 4$.

The ΔpK values for the above two acids are considerably less than 10, the average value found in Appendix I. The discrepancies may be accounted for in terms of the hydrogen bonding of the anions in water.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Inorganic Materials Research Division of the Lawrence Radiation Laboratory under the auspices of the United States Atomic Energy Commission.

Literature Cited

- (1) Jolly, W. L., J. Phys. Chem., 58, 250^o (1954).
- (2) Sheldrick, G. M., Chem. Comm., 1966, 673.
- (3) Kolthoff, I. M. and Reddy, T. B., Inorg. Chem., 1, 189 (1962).
- (4) Steiner, E. C. and Gilbert, J. M., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 3054 (1963).
- (5) Cram, D. J., Rickborn, B., and Knox, G. R., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 82, 6412 (1960).
- (6) Cram, D. J., Sahyun, M. R. V., and Knox, G. R., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 84, 1734 (1962).
- (7) U. S. National Bureau of Standards Circular 500, "Selected Values of Chemical Thermodynamic Properties," Washington, D.C.
- (8) Latimer, W. M., "Oxidation Potentials," 2nd ed., Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1952.
- (9) Jolly, W. L., Birchall, T., Rustad, D. S., and Chazan, D. J., unpublished observations.
- (10) Wilmarth, W. K., Dayton, J. C., and Flournoy, J. M., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 75, 4549 (1953).
- (11) Bar-Eli, K. and Klein, F. S., J. Chem. Soc., 1962, 1378.
- (12) Smith, F. A., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 49, 2162 (1927).
- (13) Branch, G. E. K. and Calvin, M., "The Theory of Organic Chemistry," Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1941, p. 267.
- (14) Birchall, T. and Jolly, W. L., Inorg. Chem., 5, 2177 (1966).

- (15) Latimer, W. M. and Jolly, W. L., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 75, 4147 (1953).
- (16) Weston, R. E., Jr., and Bigeleisen, J., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 76, 3078 (1954).
- (17) Cuthrell, R. E., Fohn, E. C., and Lagowski, J. J., Inorg. Chem., 5, 111 (1966).
- (18) K. Bowden and R. Stewart, unpublished data.
- (19) Kelley, E. J., Secor, H. V., Keenan, C. W. and Eastham, J. F., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 84, 3611 (1962).

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

