UCRL-17638
cy. 2

University of California

Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

MULTIPLE PRODUCTION THEORY VIA TOLLER VARIABLES

Naren F. Bali, Geoffrey F. Chew, and Alberto Pignotti

June 21, 1967

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

UCRL-17638 cy. 2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Submitted to Phys. Rev. Letters

UCRL-17638
Preprint

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

MULTIPLE PRODUCTION THEORY VIA TOLLER VARIABLES

Naren F. Bali, Geoffrey F. Chew, and Alberto Pignotti

June 21, 1967

MULTIPLE PRODUCTION THEORY VIA TOLLER VARIABLES*

Naren F. Bali,

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California

and

Geoffrey F. Chew

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory and Department of Physics
University of California, Berkeley, California

and

Alberto Pignotti†

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California

June 21, 1967

ABSTRACT

Toller's group-theoretical analysis of kinematics is exploited to define a complete set of variables for particle production of arbitrary multiplicity, the range of each variable being independent. The generalized Regge-pole hypothesis, expressed in terms of these variables, leads to a simple, unambiguous, and experimentally accessible prediction for high-energy multiple production cross sections. A flat Pomeranchuk trajectory is shown to violate the Froissart bound.

A variety of multiperipheral models for inelastic reactions at high energy has been discussed in the literature,[1-7] but the implementing variables have been incomplete or imperfectly matched to the factorizability which characterizes such models. In this paper we exploit the work of Toller[8] to define a complete set of variables for particle production of arbitrary multiplicity, the range of each variable being independent of the others. The new variable set is natural for the implementation of any multiperipheral model, leading to a phase space that factors asymptotically in the same manner as does the amplitude. We apply our variables to the (unique) generalization of the Regge pole hypothesis, achieving a simple, unambiguous, and experimentally accessible prediction for multiple production cross sections at high energy which maintains the factorization property. One important aspect of the result is the exclusion of the possibility of a flat Pomeranchuk trajectory.

For the N-particle production reaction, $a + b \to 1 + 2 + \cdots N$, we begin by selecting a particular ordering of final particles so as to define a set of $N - 1$ momentum transfers Q_{mn} according to the diagram of Fig. 1. Each different ordering leads to a different set of variables; any of these sets is complete, the choice between them being a matter of convenience usually resolved by appeal to the multiperipheral concept. That is to say, for describing a particular region of final particle momenta, one generally chooses that set of variables for which all Q_{mn}^2 in this region are small, while all $s_{mn} = (p_m + p_n)^2$ are large.

The number of variables needed to describe an amplitude with a total of $N + 2$ ingoing and outgoing particles is well known to be $3N - 4$, once Lorentz invariance is included. We divide the total variable set into three categories, a set of $N - 1$ t variables, a set of $N - 1$ ξ variables and a set of $N - 2$ ω variables. This choice is motivated in detail in Ref. 9 on the basis of Toller's group theoretical analysis.[8] The t-variables are obvious: $t_{mn} = Q_{mn}^2$. Less obvious but still recognizable are the ξ_{mn}, which are also in one-to-one correspondence with the Q_{mn}, $i\,\xi_{mn}$ being the analytic continuation of the angle in the rest system of Q_{mn} between the direction of $\vec{p}_m$ and that of $\vec{p}_n$. In the region of interest here the Q_{mn} are spacelike (the t_{mn} are negative), and Toller has shown that each ξ_{mn} is real, ranging from 0 to ∞ independently of the other variables.

The ω_n are the least familiar components of our variable set. The members of this subset are in one-to-one correspondence with the internal vertices of Fig. 1. To understand ω_n, go into the rest frame of p_n, where the spatial components of the two adjacent momentum transfers point in the same direction. Then consider the rigid rotation about this axis of all momenta standing on the <u>left</u> of the vertex n and the independent rigid rotation of all momenta standing on the <u>right</u>. The <u>difference</u> of these two rotation angles is ω_n, which thus has a range 0 to 2π.

In Reference 9 it is shown explicitly how to pass by a succession of Lorentz transformations from the variables t_{mn}, ξ_{mn}, ω_n to the

ordinary momentum variables or to the channel invariants $s_{mn} = (p_m + p_n)^2$ and $s = (p_a + p_b)^2$. In the interest of brevity we confine ourselves here to the observation that s_{mn} is a linear function of $\cosh \xi_{mn}$, with coefficients that depend only on the t's adjacent to the m and n vertices:

$$s_{mn} = \frac{\lambda_m^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2(-t_{mn})} \cosh \xi_{mn} + \text{function of } t\text{'s}, \tag{1}$$

with $\lambda_n = \lambda(m_n^2, t_{n-1,n}, t_{n,n+1})$ (for the end vertices one of the t's should be replaced by m_a^2 or m_b^2), where

$$\lambda(t_i, t_j, t_k) = t_i^2 + t_j^2 + t_k^2 - 2t_it_j - 2t_it_k - 2t_jt_k. \tag{2}$$

Thus a large value of ξ_{mn}, with adjacent t's small, implies a large value of s. It turns out that s depends on all $3N - 4$ variables, but when <u>all</u> the $\cosh \xi_{mn}$ are large

$$s \sim \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2(-t_{12})^{\frac{1}{2}} (-t_{N-1,N})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cosh \xi_{12} \cosh \xi_{23} \cdots \cosh \xi_{N-1,N}$$

$$\times \prod_{i=2}^{N-1} (\cos \omega_i + \cosh q_i), \tag{3}$$

where

$$\cos q_n = \frac{m_n^{\,2} - t_{n-1,n} - t_{n,n+1}}{2(-t_{n-1,n})^{\frac{1}{2}}\,(-t_{n,n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \,. \tag{4}$$

The $3N - 4$ dimensional phase space,

$$d\phi_N = d^4p_1\,\delta(p_1^{\,2} - m_1^{\,2})\,d^4p_2\,\delta(p_2^{\,2} - m_2^{\,2}) \cdots d^4p_N\,\delta(p_N^{\,2} - m_N^{\,2})$$

$$\times\ \delta^4(p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_N - p_a - p_b)\,,$$

in terms of the new variables becomes

$$d\phi_N = \frac{2}{m_a^{\,2}\,m_b^{\,2}}\ \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\lambda_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdots \lambda_N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{3N}(-t_{12})(-t_{23})\cdots(-t_{N-1,N})}\ dt_{12}\,dt_{23} \cdots dt_{N-1,N}$$

$$\times\ d\cosh\xi_{12}\,d\cosh\xi_{23} \cdots d\cosh\xi_{N-1,N}\,d\omega_2\,d\omega_3 \cdots d\omega_{N-1}\,d\psi$$

$$\times\ \frac{\delta\left(\cosh\eta - \frac{p_a\cdot p_b}{m_a\,m_b}\right)}{\sinh\eta}\,, \tag{5}$$

the angle ψ describing rigid rotations of the entire final set of N momenta about the common direction of $\underline{p}_a$ and $\underline{p}_b$ in a frame where these initial momenta are parallel. Evidently the spin-averaged matrix element will not depend on ψ, but it will in general depend on all the other variables appearing in Formula (5). For a target at rest, $\cosh\eta$ is the energy of the incident particle in units of its own rest mass. In terms of s,

$$\cosh \eta = \frac{s - m_a^2 - m_b^2}{2m_a m_b} \sim \frac{s}{2m_a m_b}.$$

The single constraint interlocking our variables arises through the delta function in $\cosh \eta$. It follows, however, from Formula (3) that when all the $\cosh \xi_{mn}$ are large

$$\cosh \eta \sim (\text{factorizable function of } t_{mn} \text{ and } \omega_n) \times \cosh(\xi_{12} + \xi_{23} + \cdots \xi_{N-1,N}), \tag{3'}$$

so that for a fixed set of t's and ω's the constraint is only on the <u>sum</u> of the ξ's. It is typical of multiperipheral models that when η is large most of the production occurs in regions where every ξ_{mn} is large. Thus the approximation (3') can be used to simplify the phase space:

$$d\phi_N \approx \frac{(\text{factorizable function of } t\text{'s and } \omega\text{'s})}{\sinh \eta} \delta\left(\xi_{12} + \xi_{23} + \cdots \xi_{N-1,N} - \xi^{(+)}\right)$$

$$\times\, dt_{12} \cdots dt_{N-1,N}\, d\xi_{12}\, d\xi_{23} \cdots d\xi_{N-1,N}\, d\omega_2\, d\omega_3 \cdots d\omega_{N-1}\, d\psi\,, \tag{6}$$

where, from Formula (3),

$$\cosh \xi^{(+)} \approx s \frac{2(-t_{12})^{\frac{1}{2}}(-t_{N-1,N})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\, \lambda_N^{\frac{1}{2}}}\, 2^{N-2} \prod_{i=2}^{N-1} (\cos \omega_i + \cos q_i)^{-1}. \tag{7}$$

We are now in a position to write down a cross section formula. Suppose, for example, that the Regge pole hypothesis is adopted for the absolute square of the amplitude, summed over final spins and averaged over initial spins:[3-7,9]

$$\overline{|A(t_{mn}, \xi_{mn}, \omega_n)|^2} \sim f_1(t_{12})\, f_2(t_{12}, \omega_2, t_{23}) \cdots f_N(t_{N-1,N})$$
$$\times \left(\cosh \xi_{12}\right)^{2\alpha_{12}(t_{12})} \left(\cosh \xi_{23}\right)^{2\alpha_{23}(t_{23})} \cdots \quad (8)$$

The internal "vertex functions" f_n describe the coupling of two Regge trajectories to a physical particle, while f_1 and f_N couple two physical particles to a single Regge trajectory. Taken together with Formula (6) and the flux factor, and integrating over $d\psi$, the behavior (8) leads to

$$s^2\, d\sigma_N \sim F_1(t_{12})\, F_N(t_{N-1,N}) \prod_{i=2}^{N-2} F_i(t_{i-1,i}, \omega_i, t_{i,i+1}) \exp[2\alpha_{12}(t_{12})\xi_{12}]$$
$$\times \exp[2\alpha_{23}(t_{23})\xi_{23}] \cdots dt_{12} dt_{23} \cdots d\xi_{12} d\xi_{23} \cdots d\omega_2 \cdots d\omega_{N-1}$$
$$\times\, \delta\left(\xi_{12} + \xi_{23} + \cdots - \xi^{(+)}\right), \quad (9)$$

a result containing a wealth of physically interesting predictions, especially if one exploits the correlations between different reactions flowing from the universality of the vertex functions F_n.

We make no attempt here to exhaust the content of Formula (9), but three of the most obvious features are:

(a) Consider a reaction in which all leading trajectories are the Pomeranchuk and suppose this trajectory to be perfectly flat (a fixed pole) at $\alpha = 1$. Then

$$s^2\, d\sigma_N \sim \prod_{i=1}^{N} F_i\, e^{2\xi^{(+)}} \times dt_{12}\, dt_{23} \cdots d\xi_{12} d\xi_{23} \cdots d\omega_2 \cdots d\omega_{N-1}\, \delta(\xi_{12} + \xi_{23} \cdots - \xi^{(+)}),$$

or, integrating over the $d\xi$'s and remembering (7),

$$d\sigma_N \sim (\text{function of } t\text{'s and } \omega\text{'s})\, (\log s)^{N-2}\, dt_{12} dt_{23} \cdots dt_{N-1,N}\, d\omega_2 \cdots d\omega_{N-1}. \tag{10}$$

The limits on the t and ω intervals become independent of s for large s, so there is a conflict with the Froissart limit[10] for $N > 4$, showing that peak shrinkage, such as that associated with a moving pole, is essential to the consistency of the model.

(b) Assuming all poles to move, if Formula (9) is integrated over the $d\xi$'s we find

$$s^2\, d\sigma_N \sim \frac{\prod_i F_i}{2^{N-2}} \left\{ \frac{\exp[2\alpha_{12}\, \xi^{(+)}]}{(\alpha_{12} - \alpha_{23})\,(\alpha_{12} - \alpha_{34}) \cdots (\alpha_{12} - \alpha_{N-1,N})} + \frac{\exp[2\alpha_{23}\, \xi^{(+)}]}{(\alpha_{23} - \alpha_{12})\,(\alpha_{23} - \alpha_{34}) \cdots} + \cdots \frac{\exp[2\alpha_{N-1,N}\, \xi^{(+)}]}{(\alpha_{N-1,N} - \alpha_{12}) \cdots} \right\}$$

$$\times\, dt_{12} dt_{23} \cdots d\omega_2 d\omega_3 \cdots. \tag{11}$$

The energy dependence of this differential cross section is

$$\propto s^{2[\alpha_{max}-1]} ,$$

where α_{max} is the highest trajectory in the chain. Such a dependence was conjectured by Zachariasen and Zweig.[7]

(c) For processes in which one trajectory in the chain lies well below the others, the differential final-particle spectrum will favor low subenergies for the particle pair corresponding to the low-lying trajectory. That is to say, even at a fixed incident energy it is possible to investigate the characteristic Regge structure by studying ratios of final subenergies. The logarithmic distribution in the ratio of two subenergies, keeping all other ratios fixed, is predicted by Formula (9) to be a straight line whose slope is determined by the <u>difference</u> of the corresponding trajectory heights. In particular, for $N = 3$ after integrating over $d\omega_2$,

$$d\sigma_3 \sim (\text{function of t's})\ s^{\alpha_{12}(t_{12})+\alpha_{23}(t_{23})-2} \left(\frac{s_{12}}{s_{23}}\right)^{\alpha_{12}(t_{12})-\alpha_{23}(t_{23})} \times dt_{12}\, dt_{23}\, d\ln\left(\frac{s_{12}}{s_{23}}\right) . \tag{13}$$

A concluding remark is that clusters of final particles with low total mass can replace any or all of the single outgoing particles in Fig. 1, so long as the experimenter sums over degrees of freedom

within a given cluster--except for the cluster mass m_n. The general problem is analyzed in detail in Ref. (9). It turns out that all formulas given in this paper continue to hold, with single-particle masses replaced by cluster masses.

Questions involving total cross sections and the overall multiplicity of production require integration over the dt's and $d\omega$'s as well as a summation over final-particle combinations. These matters will be considered in another paper.

* This work was supported in part under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

† On leave from Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas.

1. D. Amati, S. Fubini, A. Stanghellini, and M. Tonin, Nuovo Cimento 22, 569 (1961).
2. S. Frautschi, Nuovo Cimento 28, 409 (1963).
3. K. A. Ter-Martirosyan, Zhur. Eksp. i Teoret. Fiz. 44, (341) (1963); Nuc. Phys. 68, 591 (1965).
4. T. W. B. Kibble, Phys. Rev. 131, 2282 (1963).
5. M. S. K. Razmi, Nuovo Cimento 31, 615 (1964).
6. Chan Hong Mo, K. Kajantie, and G. Ranft, CERN preprint TH.719 (1966), to be published in Nuovo Cimento.
7. F. Zachariasen and G. Zweig, Cal Tech preprints 68-116 and 117 (1967).
8. M. Toller, Nuovo Cim. 37, 631 (1965).
9. N. F. Bali, G. F. Chew, and A. Pignotti, Lawrence Rad. Lab. Report UCRL-17530, May 1967, (preprint), submitted to the Physical Review.
10. M. Froissart, Phys. Rev. 123, 1053 (1961).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Diagram defining the momentum transfers Q_{nm} .



XBL676-3384

Fig. 1

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.