UCRL-17681

cy. 2

University of California

Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

STRONG INTERACTIONS AS POSSIBLE MEDIATOR OF WEAK INTERACTIONS

M. B. Halpern

July 19, 1967

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
RADIATION LABORATORY
AUG 16 1967
LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

UCRL-17681
cy. 2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Submitted to Physical Review

UCRL-17681
Preprint

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

STRONG INTERACTIONS AS POSSIBLE MEDIATOR OF WEAK INTERACTIONS

M. B. Halpern

July 19, 1967

STRONG INTERACTIONS AS POSSIBLE MEDIATOR OF WEAK INTERACTIONS*

M. B. Halpern

Department of Physics
University of California
Berkeley, California

and

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Univeristy of California
Berkeley, California

July 19, 1967

ABSTRACT

Assuming current algebra and using Bjorken's methods, it is argued that inclusion of the strong interactions to all orders will almost certainly not remove the non-renormalizability of the weak interactions.

I. INTRODUCTION

It has long been a tacit hope that the non-renormalizability of the weak interactions[1] might go away if, at each order in the weak coupling, the strong interactions are introduced to all orders - that is, strong "form factors" may damp the singular weak forces. Such an idea is extremely attractive because, if all the higher order weak corrections can be made finite in this way, one might have justification for neglecting them as small. Our purpose here is to argue that, if the (pure) strong interactions are described by current algebra, this hope is almost certainly unfounded.[2]

Our order of presentation is as follows. In Section II, we use Bjorken's[3] methods to examine the exchange of two W mesons between hadrons, to all orders in the strong interactions. The process is still quadratically divergent. In general, using a crude power-counting argument, the exchange of N W mesons between hadrons (to all orders in the strong interactions) is divergent like Λ^{2N-2} (Λ an invariant cutoff mass); that is, the degree of divergence of these "ladder" graphs with all strong "form factors" is still just that of a ladder of bare nucleons. This is, in a sense, not surprising because, after all, the current algebra needed is true in a theory with free elementary nucleons. In Section III, we study the sum of all graphs to fourth order in the weak coupling, i.e. vertex corrections and nucleon and W meson self-energy corrections, in addition to the "box" graphs of Section II. Here we note that not all these graphs can be written in terms of currents with closed W-loops, which keeps us from making universal statements: For some processes, such as elastic proton-proton

scattering, it is clear that the quadratic divergence cannot cancel. For others, such as charge-exchange neutron-proton scattering, we cannot prove that the divergence does not cancel, although some simple arguments make a cancellation implausible. We do not attempt vertex function (etc.) analysis for higher than fourth order. At the end of this Section, we mention that the non-renormalizability persists for semi-leptonic processes (e.g., higher order correction to β-decay etc.), and purely leptonic processes.

II. "LADDER" GRAPHS WITH ALL STRONG INTERACTIONS

We begin with the expression for the exchange of two W mesons between hadronic systems to all orders in the strong interactions (see Fig. 1),

$$M = \delta^{(4)}(p + q - p' - q')g^4 \int d^4k \int d^4x\, e^{-ik\cdot x} \langle p',c|T\{j_\mu(x),j_\nu^\dagger(0)\}|p,a\rangle$$

$$\times \Delta_F^{\mu\mu'}(k)\Delta_F^{\nu\nu'}(k+p-p') \int d^4x'\, e^{ik\cdot x'} \langle q',d|T\{j_\mu^\dagger(x'),j_\nu(0)\}|q,b\rangle \qquad (1)$$

where g is the weak coupling in the W theory, j_μ is the purely hadronic weak interaction current[4]

$$j_\mu = \cos\theta\, F_\mu^{1+i2} + \sin\theta\, F_\mu^{4+i5}\ ,\ F_\mu = V_\mu - A_\mu \qquad (2)$$

θ is Cabibbo's angle, and $\Delta_F^{\mu\mu'}(k)$ is the usual W meson propagator. Note that hadronic system "a" is not necessarily the same as "c", nor are they necessarily single hadron states. Assuming the absence of operator Schwinger terms, a time-ordered product between hadron states is covariant. This structure includes, of course, both direct and crossed "box" graphs whenever such are compatible with the external quantum numbers. It is important to realize that Eq. 1 contains many more graphs than, say, just the box graph for nucleons with a strong form factor at each vertex. Such a particular subset of graphs might well be convergent, but, in such an approximation, the time-ordered products would not satisfy the correct divergence condition etc. - i.e. the current algebra is not represented by such a subset of graphs.

The "loop" in Fig. 1 is really only a function of one 4-vector k, so we can use Bjorken's methods directly to isolate the most divergent term. In a frame with $\underset{\sim}{k} = 0$, each of the time-ordered products go, for large k_0, as $(k_0)^{-1}$; explicitly for the left-most, we have

$$-i\int d^4x\, e^{-ik\cdot x}\, \langle p',c|T\{j_\mu(x),\, j_\nu^\dagger(0)\}\, |p,a\rangle$$
$$\longrightarrow \frac{1}{k_0}\int d\underset{\sim}{x}\, \langle p',c|[j_\mu(\underset{\sim}{x},0),\, j_\nu^\dagger(0)]\, |p,a\rangle \tag{3}$$

As a model, we work out Eq. 3 using the recently proposed gauge-field algebra of currents[5] in the case of strangeness conservation. Any other reasonable model[6] gives the same degree of divergence with different coefficients. Doing the commutation, and going to the covariant form, we obtain

$$-i\int d^4x\, e^{-ik\cdot x}\, \langle p',c|T\{j_\mu(x),\, j_\nu^\dagger(0)\}\, |p,a\rangle$$
$$\longrightarrow \frac{1}{k^2}\, \langle p',c|\left[k_\mu \mathcal{J}_\nu(0) - k_\nu \mathcal{J}_\mu(0) + \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2}\, k\cdot\mathcal{J}(0)\right] |p,a\rangle \tag{4}$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}_\mu = 2\cos^2\theta\; F_\mu^{\,3} + \sin^2\theta\, (F_\mu^{\,3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} F_\mu^{\,8})\ . \tag{5}$$

With this in hand, we can isolate the most singular part of Eq. 1, keeping only the $k_\mu k_\nu$ term in the W propagators,

$$M_{\text{singular}} = \frac{g^4}{M^4}\int \frac{d^4k}{(k^2-M^2)}\; \frac{\langle p',c|k\cdot\mathcal{J}|p,a\rangle\, \langle q',d|k\cdot\mathcal{J}|q,b\rangle}{(k+p-p')^2 - M^2} \tag{6}$$

where M is the W meson mass, and we have suppressed the energy-momentum

conserving δ-function. We see that the two-meson exchange is quadratically divergent. For exmaple, if all the hadrons are identical and spinless, this reduces, with all the hadrons at rest, to

$$M_{singular} = \frac{g^4 \mu^2}{M^4} \left[Q\ (2\cos^2\theta + \sin^2\theta) + \frac{Y}{2} \sin^2\theta \right]^2 \int \frac{d^4k}{k^2} \tag{7}$$

where μ, Q, Y are, respectively, the mass, charge and hypercharge of the hadron.

As mentioned in the introduction, the quadratic divergence is not surprising really, because, in general, current algebras are true in free theories: Certainly the box graphs for bare nucleons are quadratically divergent. For pions, the same is true, remembering that the time-ordered product now also contains contact graphs where the W mesons meet on the pion line.

For multiple W exchange between hadrons, we content ourselves with a rough power counting argument, just as we would for the bare ladder graphs. Graphs analogous to Fig. 1, but with N mesons exchanged, involve time-ordered products of N currents between hadron states. We can exhibit the large-momentum dependence of such structures in analogy with the two-current form, e. g.,[7] by replacing each e^{ikx} by $(ik_0)^{-1}\ \partial_0 e^{ikx}$ and integrating by parts to generate a power series in $(k_0)^{-1}$. In the case of three currents,

$$\iint d^4x_1\, d^4x_2\, e^{ik_1x_1}\, e^{ik_2x_2} \langle B|T(j_{\mu_1}(x_1)\, j_{\mu_2}(x_2)\, j_{\mu_3}(0))|A\rangle$$

$$\longrightarrow -\frac{1}{k_{01}\, k_{02}} \int d\underset{\sim}{x}_1 \int d\underset{\sim}{x}_2\; e^{-i\underset{\sim}{k}_1\cdot\underset{\sim}{x}_1}\; e^{-i\underset{\sim}{k}_2\cdot\underset{\sim}{x}_2}$$

$$\langle B|\left\{\left[\left[j_{\mu_1}(\underset{\sim}{x}_1), j_{\mu_2}(\underset{\sim}{x}_2)\right], j_{\mu_3}(0)\right] + \left[j_{\mu_2}(\underset{\sim}{x}_2), \left[j_{\mu_1}(\underset{\sim}{x}_1), j_{\mu_3}(0)\right]\right]\right\}|A\rangle \qquad (8)$$

and, in general for N currents, we find the time-ordered product goes down like $(k_0)^{-N+1}$. Thus, counting momenta, the exchange of N W mesons between hadrons is divergent like Λ^{2N-2} where Λ is an invariant cutoff mass. As above, we note that this degree of divergence is exactly that of, say, a ladder of bare nucleons. Inclusion of the strong interactions doesn't seem to help at all.

III. NUCLEON-NUCLEON SCATTERING TO FOURTH ORDER

In Section II, our discussion was limited to ladder-type graphs to all orders in both the weak and the strong interactions. At each order in the weak interactions, there are however, other graphs, namely vertex and self-energy corrections, which could conceivably cancel the ladder graph divergences. In this Section, we want to study these other graphs, for definiteness, in the case of nucleon-nucleon scattering to fourth order. We note that, in general, the cancellation is not possible.

The processes that need to be considered in addition to the ladder graphs are shown in Fig. 2. All blobs are time-ordered products of purely hadronic currents. Because the usual theory[4] has only charged W mesons, we have no 3-W vertices. Moreover, the 4-W vertex that might contribute to the W renormalization is absent by normal ordering. Note that we cannot write all the graphs as currents with closed W-loops, so, at least in the case of Fig. 2.d, we cannot make a definite statement about divergence.

It is best to consider separate cases. For proton-proton scattering, none of the graphs of Fig. 2 are present (by quantum numbers), so the quadratic divergence of the box graphs persists. In the case of charge-exchange neutron-proton scattering, on the other hand, all the graphs of Fig. 2 contribute (along with the graphs of Fig. 1), so we proceed to discuss them. Fig. 2a is quadratically divergent by our previous methods. After the vertex renormalization however, the divergence is only logarithmic and cannot cancel the box-graph divergence.

A similar statement applies to the W-renormalization graphs of Fig. 2c. The graphs of Fig. 2b are quadratically divergent with a coefficient depending on the hadronic states H, but again, after nucleon renormalization, the divergence is only logarithmic. The graphs of Fig. 2d are not obviously divergent at all (because our methods only work for closed W loops). In perturbation theory, with say, a nucleon-anti-nucleon loop, the process would again be logarithmically divergent after renormalization. We consider it highly unlikely, although not inconceivable, that the graphs of Fig. 2d can cancel the divergence of Fig. 1 for n-p scattering; most probably, the quadratic divergence persists, just as for p-p scattering.

In conclusion, we have argued that the non-renormalizability of the weak interactions persists for non-leptonic processes, even with all strong "form factors." The arguments clearly go through as well for semi-leptonic processes; e.g., in the case of β-decay, the N-W exchange process is also Λ^{2N-2} divergent. In the case of fourth order purely leptonic processes our reasoning also applies, with the observation that there are no strong form factors in any graphs except Fig. 2c,d.

We would like to thank K. Bardakci, L. Brown, H. Pagels, G. Segrè and W. Weisberger for helpful conversations.

FOOTNOTES AND REFERENCES

* This work was supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

1. Representative references in the history of non-renormalizable field theory can be found in M. B. Halpern Phys. Rev. 140, B1570 (1965), J. Math. Phys., 7, 1226 (1966), Ann. Phys., 39, 351 (1966). See also K. Bardakci and B. Schroer, J. Math. Phys., 7, 10, 16 (1966), W. Guttinger and E. Pfaffelhuber (to be published), and A. Jaffe, Phys. Rev. Letters, 17, 663 (1966), and to be published.

2. A start in this direction was made by M. B. Halpern and G. Segrè, University of California, Berkeley preprint (to be published), in which it is noted that, even with strong corrections, all second order weak non-leptonic decays are quadratically divergent in the W theory.

3. J. D. Bjorken, Phys. Rev. 148, 1467 (1966).

4. We are assuming the usual theory with only charged W mesons. In this theory, of course, the $\Delta I = 1/2$ rule emerges dynamically through the current algebra. There exist theories with neutral W's, such as the "schizon" theory of T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang [Phys. Rev. 119, 1410, (1960)]. Although there are more graphs in such a theory, all our qualitative conclusions about degree of divergence remain unchanged. It should also be noted that, if the Fermi theory can be considered as the infinite mass limit of the W theory, our conclusions apply to it as well.

5. T. D. Lee, S. Weinberg and B. Zumino, Phys. Rev. Letters, 18, 1029 (1967).

6. The only model in which the leading divergence would be zero is one

for which the commutator in Eq. 3 vanished, which would appear to disagree with experiment.

7. Alternately, one can write spectral representations following Bjorken. Essentially, for each θ-function in the time-ordering, there is another energy denominator in the representation.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1 Two W meson exchange between hadrons.

Fig. 2 Other strong corrections to fourth order nucleon-nucleon scattering: H stands for hadronic intermediate states.



Fig. 1



Fig. 2

XBL677-3515

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.