Submitted to Journal of
Engineering Fracture Mechanics

UCRL-18656
Preprint

ON A TRIGNOMETRIC EXPRESSION FOR THE SINGLE-EDGE-NOTCH CASE

W. W. Gerberich and Y. Katz

May 1969

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA BERKELEY

UCRL-18656

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

ON A TRIGONOMETRIC EXPRESSION FOR THE SINGLE-EDGE-NOTCH CASE

by

W. W. Gerberich* and Y. Katz*

Inorganic Materials Research Division, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Department of Materials Science and Engineering and the
College of Engineering
University of California, Berkeley, California

The value of having closed form solutions for stress-intensity relationships should not be underestimated. These are particularly useful when synthesizing crack growth rates obtained under fatigue or stress-corrosion conditions into failure times. Of course, the accuracy of the stress intensity factor should not be sacrificed for the sake of simplicity. Considering the centrally-notched plate, Fedderson[1] has shown that the secant relationship approximates Isida's [2] solution to within about 0.3 percent for $2a/_W$ less than 0.8. The stress intensity is given by

$$K = \sigma[\pi a \sec \frac{\pi a}{W}]^{1/2} \qquad (1)$$

where σ is the gross applied stress, a is the half-crack and W is the plate width. In his discussion, Fedderson[1] pointed out the importance of surveying numerical solutions of other configurations for equally concise relationships.

Since we were currently performing some stress-corrosion cracking experiments with single-edge-notch specimens, it was of some value to have a closed form solution for this configuration. The current expression proposed for this specimen is given by Brown and Srawley[3] to be

$$K = Y \frac{P}{BW} (a)^{1/2} \qquad (2)$$

$$Y = 1.99 - 0.41(a/W) + 18.70(a/W)^2 - 38.48(a/W)^3 + 53.85(a/W)^4$$

where a is the crack length, P is the applied load and B is the specimen thickness. Surprisingly enough, a trigonometric approximation to the polynomial was found in a very short time. This was accomplished in the following manner. First, it is known that the stress-intensity for single-edge-notch specimens rises much more rapidly with increasing crack length than a symetrically-notched specimen. Thus, only squared trigonometric terms were considered. Secondly, the trigonometric function in this case would involve $\pi a/2W$. Also, it was decided to use 2 as the leading term instead of 1.99. Taking three typical values of 0.2, 0.4 and 0.6 for the aspect ratio, a table of sine, tangent, cotangent and cosine was formulated. A cursory examination showed that $2[1 + \sin^2 + \tan^2]$ gave a good approximation to equation (2). An even simpler expression uses $\sin^2 + \sec^2$ so that Y in equation (2) may be replaced by

$$f(\theta) = 2\left[\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi a}{2W}\right) + \sec^2\left(\frac{\pi a}{2W}\right)\right] \qquad (3)$$

Values for Y and $f(\theta)$ were calculated at 0.05 intervals of the aspect ratio from 0 to 0.70. In Table 1, it is seen that the two expressions generally agree within about one percent for aspect ratios up to 0.65. More important, for the range of a/W from 0.3 to 0.6 where most experiments are evaluated, the two expressions coincide within 0.77 percent. As the deviation of the polynomial from the collocation solution may be as large as 0.4 percent,[3] a 0.77 percent difference does not seem much

larger. Also, the differences between equations (2) and (3) are seen to fluctuate about plus and minus as indicated in Table 1. Thus, it is possible that equation (3) might represent the single-edge-notch case as well as equation (2). Considering that a two-term trigonometric function is simpler than a five-term polynomial, it is suggested that the stress-intensity expression might be simplified to

$$K = \sigma(a)^{1/2} \cdot 2[\sin^2(\frac{\pi a}{W}) + \sec^2(\frac{\pi a}{W})] \qquad (4)$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the United States Atomic Energy Commission.

REFERENCES

1. C. E. Fedderson, discussion to Ref. 3, p. 77.

2. M. Isida, Proceedings, Fourth U.S. Cong. of Appl. Mech., 1962.

3. W. F. Brown, Jr. and J. E. Srawley, Plane Strain Crack Toughness Testing of High Strength Metallic Materials, ASTM STP 410, Philadelphia, 1966.

Table 1. Stress Intensity Coefficients for Various Aspect Ratios

a/w	$Y^{(a)}$	$\frac{\pi a}{w}$	$\sin^2(\frac{\pi a}{2w})$	$\sec^2(\frac{\pi a}{2w})$	$f(\theta)^{(b)}$	$\Delta^{(c)}$	Percent Difference
0	1.9900	0	0	1.0000	2.0000	-0.0100	-0.5%
0.05	2.0117	.07854	.00796	1.0081	2.0320	-0.0213	-1.05%
0.10	2.1029	.15708	.02446	1.0251	2.0990	+0.0039	+0.19%
0.15	2.2466	.23562	.05304	1.0560	2.2182	+0.0284	+1.26%
0.20	2.4344	.31416	.09548	1.1054	2.4018	+0.0326	+1.34%
0.25	2.6654	.39270	.14666	1.1718	2.6370	+0.0283	+1.06%
0.30	2.9472	.47124	.20590	1.2591	2.9300	+0.0172	+0.58%
0.35	3.2955	.54978	.27319	1.3756	3.2976	-0.0021	-0.06%
0.40	3.7338	.62832	.34517	1.5269	3.7441	-0.0103	-0.28%
0.45	4.2938	.70686	.42191	1.7298	4.3033	-0.0095	-0.22%
0.50	5.0156	.78540	.49959	1.9982	4.9955	+0.0201	+0.40%
0.55	5.9467	.86394	.57826	2.3710	5.8984	+0.0460	+0.64%
0.60	7.1432	.94248	.65451	2.8941	7.0972	+0.0545	+0.77%
0.65	8.6700	1.0210	.72693	3.6634	8.7806	-0.1106	-1.26%
0.70	10.596	1.0996	.79391	4.8514	11.291	-0.605	-5.36%

(a) Equation (2)

(b) Equation (3)

(c) Δ = (a) - (b)

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720