Submitted to Physical Review

UCRL-19417
Preprint

c.2

THE 0(2, 1) DECOMPOSITION OF THE EQUAL-MASS MULTIPERIPHERAL EQUATION AT t=0

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
RADIATION LABORATORY

JAN 9 1970

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

Marcello Ciafaloni
and
Carleton DeTar

November 18, 1969

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

UCRL-19417
Cy. 2

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA BERKELEY

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

THE O(2,1) DECOMPOSITION OF THE EQUAL-MASS MULTIPERIPHERAL EQUATION AT $t = 0$*

Marcello Ciafaloni†

Department of Physics
University of California
Berkeley, California

and

Carleton DeTar

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California

November 18, 1969

ABSTRACT

We extend the results of a group-theoretical analysis of the $t < 0$ multiperipheral equation to the case $t = 0$ for pairwise equal masses. Using variables discussed in a previous paper, we diagonalize the equation in the BCP model with respect to the O(2,1) group and relate the solutions to the equation so obtained with the solutions obtained after diagonalization with respect to the O(3,1) group. Poles in the O(3,1) partial-wave amplitude give rise to the expected sequence of daughter poles in the O(2,1) partial-wave amplitude.

At general momentum transfer, we establish factorization at the O(1,1) poles in the decomposition of the BCP amplitude, and present further simplifications to the diagonalized equations based upon this model.

I. INTRODUCTION

The recent group-theoretical analysis[1-3] of the multiperipheral equation[4-6] with respect to the $O(3,1)$ and $O(2,1)$ groups has provided a natural framework in which to investigate the constraints that unitarity imposes upon the residues and trajectories of the Regge-daughter family near $t = 0$. In this paper, we shall examine some preliminary problems in this direction.

Since different sets of variables have been used to write the $t = 0$[6,1] and $t < 0$[2,3] equations, it is important to study first how they match in the limit $t = 0$. Moreover, if we take the BCP[7] model for the production amplitudes at $t = 0$ as CD did, it is essential to translate this model in the $t < 0$ variables by keeping the non-leading powers in the asymptotic expansion.

The BCP variables, used by CD and MM[1] at $t = 0$, are essentially the parameters of the $O(2,1)$ groups which preserve the momentum transfers in the multiperipheral chain. The $t < 0$ variables,[2,3] which we shall call "three-dimensional BCP variables," are instead the parameters of the little groups of the Lorentz three-vectors $\underset{\sim}{k}$, associated with each upper and lower momentum transfer $Q_{u,\ell}$ by the formula

$$Q_{u,\ell} = \left[\underset{\sim}{k}, w \pm \frac{1}{2}(-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] ,$$

valid in a Breit frame of the overall momentum transfer Q. Since the most important contribution to the phase space comes, for t small, from spacelike $\underset{\sim}{k}$'s,[3] we shall often refer to the three-dimensional

BCP variables as "O(1,1) variables" and to the poles in the respective Fourier transforms as "O(1,1) poles."

In this language, the purpose of this paper is (a) to establish the factorization at the O(1,1) poles in the O(1,1) decomposition of the BCP model at general momentum transfer, and (b) to use the three-dimensional BCP variables at $t = 0$, giving a relation between the O(2,1) and O(3,1) decompositions of the incomplete absorptive part of the scattering amplitude.

The latter relation, which is model-dependent, gives, so to speak, the eigenfunctions of the Regge daughter poles in terms of the ones of the Lorentz poles. It is therefore similar to the off-shell relation found[8] for the Bethe-Salpeter equation. As we mentioned before, that would be the natural starting point for the dynamical study of derivatives and residues of the daughter sequence near $t = 0$. However, we have not extended our analysis further in this direction.

The O(1,1) expansion of the BCP model for the production amplitudes has been given in MM[2]. We derive a simplified form of this expression and of the resulting multiperipheral equation in Sec. II, and we show that to each Regge pole in the BCP expansion there corresponds an infinity of integrally spaced O(1,1) poles with factorizable residues.

In Sec. III, we take the $t = 0$ limit of this equation for pairwise equal masses and relate the incomplete absorptive part in this limit with that of the $t = 0$ equation of CD. This relationship then implies a connection between the O(3,1) and O(2,1) decompositions

of the respective incomplete absorptive parts, from which we can derive the eigenfunctions of the Regge poles in the daughter sequence from that of a given Lorentz pole.

In Appendix B we also simplify the diagonalized $t = 0$ equation of MM[1], using a technique similar to that developed by CDM for the $t < 0$ equation. In Appendix D an AFS-type model is treated as an example.

II. THE $t < 0$ EQUATION FOR THE BCP MODEL

We begin with a review of the three-dimensional and four-dimensional BCP variables, which we have indicated schematically for an internal segment of the multiperipheral ladder in Fig. 1 and for the end of the ladder in Fig. 2. The three-dimensional BCP variables (cf. CDM and MM[2]), consisting of the x boosts q_i and y boosts ζ_i, build up the $O(2,1)$ transformations a_i, defined recursively,[9]

$$a_{i+1} = a_i q_i \zeta_{i+1} , \tag{2.1}$$

while the four-dimensional BCP variables, for the lower amplitude, consisting of the z boosts $q_{\ell i}$ and $O(2,1)$ transformation $g_{\ell i} = r_z(\mu_{\ell i})\, b_x(\zeta_{\ell i})\, r_z(\nu_{\ell i})$, build up the $O(3,1)$ transformations $a_{\ell i}$, defined recursively,

$$a_{\ell,i+1} = a_{\ell i} q_{\ell i} g_{\ell,i+1} . \tag{2.2}$$

An analogous set of four-dimensional BCP variables is defined for the upper part of the ladder, which we distinguish with the label u: q_{ui}, g_{ui}, etc. The initial transformations a_0 and $a_{\ell 0}$ are defined respectively in terms of the initial z rotation ϕ_a and initial rotation[10] $r_{\ell a} = r_z(\phi_a)\, r_y(\beta_{\ell a})$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= \phi_a , \\ a_{\ell 0} &= r_{\ell a} . \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

A similar set of variables defines transformations at the other end of the ladder, and we obtain the transformations b_b and $b_{\ell b}$ defined in CD, CDM, and MM[1] and MM[2]:

$$b_b = a_{n+1} q_{n+1} \phi_b ,$$
$$b_{\ell b} = a_{\ell,n+1} q_{\ell,n+1} r_{\ell b} , \tag{2.4}$$

where $r_{\ell b} = r_y(\beta_{\ell b}) r_z(\phi_b)$.

MM[2] have given the Lorentz transformation, which relates the three-dimensional BCP frames (i,r), in which

$$Q = Q_{ui} - Q_{\ell i} = [0,0,0,(-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}] ,$$
$$Q_{\ell i} = [0,k_i,0,w_i - \tfrac{1}{2}(-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}] , \tag{2.5}$$
$$Q_{ui} = [0,k_i,0,w_i + \tfrac{1}{2}(-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}] ,$$

and $Q_{\ell,i+1}$ and $Q_{u,i+1}$ lie in the xzt plane, to the four-dimensional BCP frame $(\ell i,r)$ in which

$$Q_{\ell i} = [0,0,0,(-t_{\ell i})^{\frac{1}{2}}] \tag{2.6}$$

and $Q_{\ell,i+1}$ lies in the tz plane. The transformation consists in a y rotation $\theta_{\ell,i}$, which brings $Q_{\ell,i}$ in (2.5) to the form (2.6), followed by an x boost[11] $h_{\ell,i}$, which removes the x component of $Q_{\ell,i+1}$.[12] Similarly, we can transform from the frames $(i+1,\ell)$ to

$(\ell\ i+1,\ell)$ by a y rotation $\Theta_{\ell,i+1}$ followed by an x boost[11] $f^{-1}_{\ell,i+1}$. The parameters of these Lorentz transformations may be calculated in terms of $k_i, w_i, k_{i+1}, w_{i+1}, M_i$, and t, or equivalently in terms of $t_{\ell i}, t_{ui}, t_{\ell,i+1}, t_{u,i+1}, M_i$, and t. The formula for $\Theta_{\ell i}$ is simply

$$\begin{aligned} \sin\Theta_{\ell i} &= k_i/(-t_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \cos\Theta_{\ell i} &= [w_i - \tfrac{1}{2}(-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}]/(-t_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} , \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

while $f_{\ell,i+1}$ and $h_{\ell,i}$ depend upon all of these variables.[13] Analogous variables are defined for the upper half of the ladder. The fact that the f's and h's adjacent to one rung of the ladder depend only upon the Lorentz scalars associated with the rung is crucial to the factorization condition.

At the ends of the ladder the above approach must be modified with Θ being replaced by the z boost $u_{\ell a}$ and h by the y rotation $\beta_{\ell a}$, as indicated in Fig. 2. From these two figures one can now read off the important identities relating the three- and four-dimensional BCP variables:[14]

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{(a)} \qquad g_{\ell i} = f_{\ell i}\,\zeta_i\,h_{\ell i} = \mu_{\ell i}\,\zeta_{\ell i}\,\nu_{\ell i} , \\ &\text{(b)} \quad \Theta_{\ell i}^{-1}\,q_i\,\Theta_{\ell,i+1} = h_{\ell i}\,q_{\ell i}\,f_{\ell,i+1} , \\ &\text{(c)} \qquad a_{\ell i} = u_{\ell a}^{-1}\,a_i\,\Theta_{\ell i}\,h_{\ell i} . \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

The Toller angle $\omega_{\ell i} = \nu_{\ell i} + \mu_{\ell,i+1}$ is fixed by formula (2.8a) in terms of ζ_i, ζ_{i+1}, and four sets of k_i and w_i; however, to leading order in $\exp|\zeta_i|$ and $\exp|\zeta_{i+1}|$ the dependence is reduced to the variables, $\operatorname{sgn}\zeta_i$, $\operatorname{sgn}\zeta_{i+1}$, k_i, w_i, and k_{i+1}, w_{i+1}. In the same approximation, it is proper to consider the dependence upon the Toller angle as residing in the multi-Regge vertex function, and the reduced kinematical dependence then forms the basis for a simple factorization of the residues as functions of the k's and w's. In general, however, the Toller angles are not convenient kinematical variables for $t < 0$. They have, in effect, been replaced by the extra set of momentum-transfer variables.

The procedure for the O(2,1) diagonalization of the $t < 0$ equation given by MM^2 and CDM begins with a decomposition of the unitarity integrand with respect to the O(1,1) group parameters ζ_i. We shall concentrate upon the O(1,1) decomposition of the lower BCP amplitude and later will combine lower and upper amplitudes to form the unitarity integrand. We begin with the BCP amplitude for the production of N particles:

$$M^{(N)}_{m_a m_0 \cdots m_{N+1} m_b} \sim \sum_{\gamma_i, \ell_i, p_i} D^{s_a}_{m_a \ell_0}(r_a)\, G^{a\gamma_1}_{\ell_0 m_0 p_1}(t_1) \tag{2.9}$$

$$\times\, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-\alpha_{\gamma 1}(t_1)-1}_{p_1 \ell_1}(g_1)\, G^{\gamma_1 \gamma_2}_{\ell_1 m_1 p_2}(t_1, t_2) \cdots G^{\gamma_{N+1} b}_{\ell_{N+1} m_{N+1} p_{N+2}}\, D^{s_b}_{p_{N+2} m_b}(r_b) \quad ,$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{a}}_{mm'}^{-\ell-1} \equiv U_m^{\ell}\, \mathcal{a}_{mm'}^{-\ell-1}\, U_{m'}^{-\ell-1} ,$$
$$U_m^{\ell} \equiv \Gamma(\ell + m + 1)/\Gamma(-\ell + m) , \tag{2.10}$$

and $\mathcal{a}$ is Toller's[15] O(2,1) representation function of the second kind. For the lower amplitude, m_i is the z component of the spin of particle i in the frame $(\ell\ i,r)$ for $i = 1,\cdots,N + 1$, and $s_a m_a$, $s_b m_b$ describe the spins of the initial particles. Conservation of helicity requires that

$$G_{\ell mp} = \delta_{m,\ell-p}\, G_{mp} . \tag{2.11}$$

If we use the formula

$$\tilde{\mathcal{a}}_{p\ell}^{-\alpha-1}(g) \sim \sum_{jk} D_{pj}^{\alpha}(f)\, \tilde{\mathcal{a}}_{jk}^{-\alpha-1}(\zeta)\, D_{k\ell}^{\alpha}(h) \tag{2.12}$$

for $g = f\zeta h$, which is valid term by term in an asymptotic expansion of both sides in $\exp|\zeta|$, and the O(1,1) decomposition of the $\mathcal{a}$ function, given by (A.51),[16]

$$\tilde{\mathcal{a}}_{jk}^{-\alpha-1}(\zeta) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\tau=\pm} V_{j,n\tau}^{\alpha}\, e^{\tau\zeta(\alpha-n)}\, W_{n\tau,k}^{\alpha}\, \theta(\tau\zeta) , \tag{2.13}$$

we may write

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{p\ell}^{-\alpha-1}(g) \sim \sum_{n\tau} \tilde{D}^{\alpha}_{p,n\tau}(f)\, e^{\tau\zeta(\alpha-n)}\, \theta(\tau\zeta)\, \tilde{D}^{\alpha}_{n\tau,\ell}(h)\,, \tag{2.14}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}^{\alpha}_{p,n\tau}(f) &\equiv \sum_{j} D_{pj}^{\alpha}(f)\, V^{\alpha}_{j,n\tau}\,, \\ \tilde{D}^{\alpha}_{n\tau,\ell}(h) &\equiv \sum_{k} W^{\alpha}_{n\tau,k}\, D^{\alpha}_{k\ell}(h)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Equation (2.14) expresses the decomposition of an O(2,1) contribution in terms of a series of factorized O(1,1) contributions, and is valid as an asymptotic relation in $\exp|\zeta|$. If we substitute Eq. (2.14) into (2.9), we obtain the O(1,1) decomposition of the BCP amplitude, a simplification of an expression already given by MM[2]:

$$\begin{aligned} M^{(N)} \sim \sum_{n_i,\tau_i} & e^{-im_a\phi_a}\, U^{a,m_0}_{m_a;n_1,\tau_1}(E_a,w_a;\, k_1,w_1)\, e^{\tau_1\zeta_1(\alpha_1-n_1)}\, \theta(\tau_1\zeta_1) \\ & \times\; U^{m_1}_{n_1,\tau_1;n_2,\tau_2}(k_1,w_1;\, k_2,w_2)\, e^{\tau_2\zeta_2(\alpha_2-n_2)}\, \theta(\tau_2\zeta_2)\cdots \\ & \times\; U^{m_{N+1},b}_{n_{N+1},\tau_{N+1};m_b}(k_{n+1},w_{n+1};E_b,w_b)\, e^{-im_b\phi_b}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

where we have omitted the sum over γ for the sake of clarity. We have defined

$$U^{m}_{n,\tau;n'\tau'} = \sum_{\ell,p'} \tilde{D}^{\alpha}_{n\tau,\ell}(h)\, G_{\ell m p'}\, \tilde{D}^{\alpha'}_{p',n'\tau'}(f') \quad . \tag{2.17}$$

We shall now apply the above results for the decomposition of the production amplitude to the decomposition of the unitarity integrand. In writing the unitarity integrand with the BCP form (2.9) for the production amplitude, one must use care in summing over the intermediate particle helicities m_i. With the convention adopted above, which gives a simple form (2.10) for the conservation of helicity at the vertex, the helicity of particle i is measured with respect to different axes for the lower and upper amplitudes (see Fig. 1). For the lower amplitude, it is measured along the z axis in the frame $(p\ i,\ \ell)$, a rest frame of particle i, which is related to $(\ell\ i,\ r)$ by a z boost $v_{\ell,i}$. The corresponding frame for the upper amplitude $(p\ i,\ u)$ differs from the frame $(p\ i,\ \ell)$ by a y rotation,[17] which we designate by χ_i. (That only a y rotation is required is most easily seen by observing that the sequence of transformations $v_u^{-1}\, h_u^{-1}\, \theta_u^{-1}\, \theta_\ell\, h_\ell\, v_\ell$ does not affect the y component.) Naturally, this rotation is zero when $t = 0$, since in this limit the frames $(\ell\ i,\ r)$ and $(u\ i,\ r)$ are equivalent. The rotation χ_i depends upon the variables k_i, w_i, k_{i+1}, w_{i+1}, m_i^2, and t,[18] and therefore introduces no new complications for the factorization condition. To sum over the intermediate helicities, we

must therefore insert for each intermediate particle the function $D^s_{m_\ell m_u}(x)$, and sum over m_ℓ and m_u, where s is the spin of the intermediate particle.

If we now apply the decomposition (2.16) to the lower and upper amplitudes alike and combine the intermediate particle helicities as prescribed above, we obtain the O(1,1) decomposition of the unitarity integrand. To each pair of Regge trajectories $\alpha_{\ell i}$ and α_{ui}, there corresponds an infinite sequence of O(1,1) contributions, the first of which factorizes directly, the second of which is a sum of two factorizable terms, and so on. The degeneracy comes from the "cross terms" in the product of two series of the form (2.14). The meaning of this degeneracy becomes clear when it is understood that the product of two $\tilde{a}$ functions may be represented asymptotically as a sum of $\tilde{a}$ functions,

$$\left[\tilde{a}^{-\alpha_u-1}_{j_u k_u}(\zeta)\right]^* \left[\tilde{a}^{-\alpha_\ell-1}_{j_\ell k_\ell}(\zeta)\right] \sim \sum_\nu C'(\alpha_u,\alpha_\ell,\nu;\ j_u,j_\ell,j)$$

$$\times\ \tilde{a}^{-(\alpha_u+\alpha_\ell-\nu)-1}_{jk}(\zeta)\ C(\alpha_u,\alpha_\ell,\nu;\ k_u,k_\ell,k)\ , \qquad (2.18)$$

where

$$C(\alpha_u,\alpha_\ell,\nu;\ k_u,k_\ell,k) = \delta_{k,k_\ell-k_u}\ C(\alpha_u,\alpha_\ell,\nu;\ k_u,k_\ell)\ , \qquad (2.19)$$

and similarly for C'.[19] Each $\tilde{a}$ function in the series contributes in turn a single series of factorizable O(1,1) contributions via

Eq. (2.13), beginning with the term $\exp[|\zeta|(\alpha_u + \alpha_\ell - \nu)]$. Rather than working with Eq. (2.16) directly in the unitarity integrand, we adopt the following strategy, which makes the connection with the $t = 0$ formalism more transparent. We substitute Eq. (2.12) in Eq. (2.9), expressing the upper and lower BCP amplitude in terms of the $\tilde{a}(\zeta)$'s. Then we combine the upper and lower amplitudes to form the unitarity integrand. If we then apply formula (2.18) to the product of upper and lower $\tilde{a}$ functions at each link, the result is the following unitarity integrand:

$$M^{\ell(N)}\, M^{u(N)*} \sim \sum_{\substack{j_a, j_b \\ \gamma_i, j_i, k_i}} e^{-im_a \phi_a}\, U^{j_a, \gamma_1}_{m_a j_1}(E_a, k_a;\, w_1, k_1)$$

$$\times\ \tilde{a}^{-\alpha_{\gamma 1}-1}_{j_1 k_1}(\zeta)\, U^{\gamma_1 \gamma_2}_{k_1 j_2}(w_1, k_1;\, w_2, k_2)\, \tilde{a}^{-\alpha_{\gamma 2}-1}_{j_2 k_2}(\zeta_2) \cdots$$

$$\times\ U^{\gamma_{N+1} j_b}_{k_{N+1}, m_b}(w_{N+1}, k_{N+1};\, E_b, k_b)\, e^{-im_b \phi_b} \quad , \tag{2.20}$$

where we have lumped together into γ the sums over γ_u, γ_ℓ, and ν and have written at each link

$$\alpha_\gamma = \alpha_{\gamma u} + \alpha_{\gamma \ell} - \nu \ . \tag{2.21}$$

The vertex functions are (see Fig. 3)

$$U_{kj'}^{\gamma\gamma'}(w,k;\ w',k') = \sum_{j_u',j_\ell',k_u,k_\ell,m_u,m_\ell} C(\alpha_u,\alpha_\ell,\nu;\ k_u,k_\ell,k)$$

$$\times \left[\sum_{\ell_u,p_u'} D^{\alpha_u}_{k_u\ell_u}(h_u)\, G_{\ell_u m_u p_u'}\, D^{\alpha_u'}_{p_u' j_u'}(f_u')\right]^* D^s_{m_u m_\ell}(\chi)$$

$$\times \left[\sum_{\ell_\ell p_\ell'} D^{\alpha_\ell}_{k_\ell \ell_\ell}(h_\ell)\, G_{\ell_\ell m_\ell p_\ell'}\, D^{\alpha_\ell'}_{p_\ell' j_\ell'}(f_\ell')\right] C'(\alpha_u',\alpha_\ell',\nu';\ j_u',j_\ell',j')\ , \tag{2.22}$$

with similar expressions for U^{j_a,γ_1} and U^{γ_{N+1},j_b}. We have put $m_a = m_{\ell a} - m_{ua}$ and $m_b = m_{\ell b} - m_{ub}$, and the sum over j_a and j_b includes the usual channel spins at the ends of the ladder.

If we apply the O(1,1) decomposition (2.13) of the $\tilde{q}$ function to Eq. (2.20), we obtain the form of the unitarity integrand required by CDM for the O(2,1) diagonalization of the multiperipheral equation. We define, accordingly, the incomplete absorptive part $B^{\gamma}_{m_a,n\tau}(a)$ and its partial-wave projections $b^{\ell\gamma}_{m_a n\tau}$. For a discussion of the diagonalization of the integral equation, see CDM and MM[2]. After diagonalization the equation reads

$$\hat{b}^{\ell\gamma'}_{m'_a,n'\tau'}(k',w') = {}_{(0)}\hat{b}^{\ell\gamma'}_{m_a,n'\tau'}(k',w')$$

$$+ \sum_{\gamma,n,\tau} \pi \int dk\, dw\, \hat{b}^{\ell\gamma}_{m_a,n\tau}(k,w)\, U^{\gamma\gamma'}_{n\tau,n'\tau'}(k,w;\, k',w')$$

$$\times\ \hat{d}^{\ell}_{(\alpha_\gamma-n),\tau\tau'(\alpha_{\gamma'}-n')}(q^{-1}) , \qquad (2.23)$$

where the index n refers to the O(1,1) contributions resulting from a single α_γ. The function $\hat{d}$ is described in CDM. The vertex function U is defined through Eqs. (2.13) and (2.22):

$$U^{\gamma\gamma'}_{n\tau,n'\tau'} = \sum_{k,j'} W^{\alpha_\gamma}_{n\tau,k}\, U_{kj'}^{\gamma\gamma'}\, V^{\alpha_{\gamma'}}_{j',n'\tau'} . \qquad (2.24)$$

The functions $\hat{b}^{\ell\gamma}_{m_a,n\tau}$ are related to the functions $b^{\ell\gamma}_{m_a,n\tau+}$ appearing in the modified O(2,1) expansion (3.8b) and (A.45) by

$$b^{\ell\gamma}_{m_a,n\tau+} = \frac{\Gamma[\ell + 1 + \tau(\alpha_\gamma - n)]\, \Gamma[\ell + 1 - \tau(\alpha_\gamma - n)]}{\Gamma(2\ell + 2)}\, \hat{b}^{\ell\gamma}_{m_a,n\tau} , \qquad (2.25)$$

which follows from Eq. (4.14) of CDM.

III. O(2,1) AMPLITUDES AT $t = 0$

We have shown in Sec. II that the O(2,1) and O(1,1) expansions of the production amplitudes are equivalent as asymptotic series in the parameters $\exp|\zeta|$, connected with the subenergies. At $t < 0$ we have also defined, through the unitarity integral, the incomplete absorptive part $B^{\gamma}_{m_a,n\tau}(a;\, k,w)$, a function of the overall O(2,1) transformation a, for the nth O(1,1) "daughter" of a given angular momentum $\alpha_\gamma = \alpha_{\gamma u} + \alpha_{\gamma \ell} - \nu$, resulting from the addition of the upper and lower Regge pole contributions. At $t = 0$, the incomplete absorptive part can be defined either as a function of the O(2,1) transformation a, or in terms of the O(3,1) transformations $a_u = a_\ell \equiv \tilde{a}$. They are not the same function in different variables because they are constructed by splitting off different factors from the complete absorptive parts, depending upon whether they are derived from a factorized O(1,1) or O(2,1) expansion of the unitarity integrand. By using the explicit form of these expansions, we shall now derive a relation between the two incomplete absorptive parts, which eventually will give the relation between O(2,1) and O(3,1) partial-wave amplitudes.

Since $g_\ell = g_u \equiv g$ at $t = 0$, the Clebsch-Gordan combination of upper and lower amplitudes is simple. Following CD, we assume

$$|M^{(N)}_{m_a m_b}|^2 \sim \sum_{m_i,\gamma_i} D^{j_a}_{m_a m_0}(r_a)\, R_{m_0}{}^{a\gamma_1}(t_1)\, \tilde{a}^{-\alpha_{\gamma_1}-1}_{m_0 m_1}(g_1)\, R_{m_1}{}^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}(t_1,t_2)\cdots$$

$$\times\; R_{m_{N+1}}{}^{\gamma_{N+1}b}(t_{N+1})\, D^{j_b}_{m_{N+1}m_b}(r_b) \quad , \qquad (3.1)$$

and we define the incomplete absorptive part $B_{m_a m}^{\gamma}(\tilde{a},t)$ as in CD, by removing the last factors RD in the unitarity integral. If we compare the above expansion of the unitarity integrand with that obtained directly from (2.9) using (2.18) we see, by matching terms in the asymptotic expansion, that

$$\delta_{\ell p'} R_{p'}^{\gamma\gamma'} = \sum_{\ell_u,\ell_\ell,p'_u,p'_\ell,m} C(\alpha_u,\alpha_\ell,\nu;\ \ell_u,\ell_\ell,\ell)$$

$$\times\ (G_{\ell_u m p'_u})^* G_{\ell_\ell m p'_\ell}\ C'(\alpha'_u,\alpha'_\ell,\nu';\ p'_u,p'_\ell,p') \quad , \tag{3.2}$$

where the factor $\delta_{\ell p'}$ follows from (2.11) and (2.19) (helicity conservation), α_u is short for $\alpha_{\gamma u}$, etc., and γ is short for $\{\gamma_u,\gamma_\ell,\nu\}$.

The O(1,1) expansion of (3.1) can be obtained from (2.12) and (2.13), and we get

$$|M^{(N)}_{m_a m_b}|^2 \sim \sum_{\gamma_i,n_i,\tau_i} e^{-im_a\phi_a}\ \bar{U}^{a\gamma_1}_{m_a,n_1\tau_1}(k_1,w_1)\ e^{\tau_1\zeta_1(\alpha_{\gamma 1}-n_1)}\ \theta(\tau_1\zeta_1)$$

$$\times\ \bar{U}^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}_{n_1\tau_1,n_2\tau_2}(k_1,w_1,k_2,w_2)\ e^{\tau_2\zeta_2(\alpha_{\gamma 2}-n_2)}\ \theta(\tau_2\zeta_2)\cdots$$

$$\times\ \bar{U}^{\gamma_{N+1}b}_{n_{N+1}\tau_{N+1},m_b}(k_{N+1}w_{N+1})\ e^{-im_b\phi_b} \quad , \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\bar{U}^{\gamma\gamma'}_{n\tau,n'\tau'} = \sum_{p} \tilde{D}^{\alpha\gamma}_{n\tau,p}(h)\, R_p^{\gamma\gamma'}\, \tilde{D}^{\alpha\gamma'}_{p,n'\tau'}(f') \quad . \tag{3.4}$$

Equation (3.4) after substitution of (3.2) is to be compared with the expression (2.24) after substitution of (2.22), in the limit $t = 0$. With the present procedure we first combine the upper and lower $\mathcal{Q}^{-\alpha-1}(f\zeta h)$ in the Clebsch-Gordan sequence and then factor the functions $\tilde{D}^{\alpha}(h)$ for asymptotic ζ's, whereas in Sec. II we had performed the same operations in opposite order. The equivalence of the two procedures and the equality of respective $t = 0$ residue functions and absorptive parts follow from the property of the coefficients C and C' schematically shown in Fig. 4. Hence we conclude that $\bar{U}_{n\tau,n'\tau'} = U_{n\tau,n'\tau'}$.

Looking at the expansions in Eqs. (3.1) and (3.3) with (3.4) in mind, we see that their equivalence implies that[20]

$$(\sin\Theta)\, \tilde{B}^{\gamma}_{m_a m}(a\Theta h) \sim \sum_{n\tau} B^{\gamma}_{m_a,n\tau}(a)\, \tilde{D}^{\alpha\gamma}_{n\tau,m}(h) \ , \tag{3.5}$$

where the factor $\sin\Theta_i = k_i/(-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ comes from the phase space,[21] and use has been made of the relation [Eq. (2.8c)]

$$\tilde{a} = u_a^{-1}\, a\, \Theta h \tag{3.6}$$

and of the fact that $u_a = 1$ at $t = 0$ for pairwise equal masses. Note that, if we parameterize

$$\tilde{a} = \tilde{r}\,\tilde{\eta}\,\tilde{g}\,, \qquad \tilde{r} \in O(3), \qquad \tilde{\eta} \equiv B_z(\tilde{\eta})\,, \qquad \tilde{g} \in O(2,1)\,,$$

$$a = \phi\,\eta\,\xi\,, \qquad \phi \equiv R_z(\phi), \qquad \eta \equiv B_x(\eta)\,, \qquad \xi \equiv B_y(\xi)\,, \tag{3.7}$$

Eq. (3.5) is valid as a relation between asymptotic series in $e^{|\xi|}$. This follows from Eqs. (2.12) and (2.13) on which (3.3) is based, which are valid in the same asymptotic sense.

Having derived the relation between incomplete absorptive parts, we now proceed to relate the partial-wave expansions. Consistently with the asymptotic meaning of (3.5) we shall perform some manipulations on the O(2,1) and O(3,1) decompositions in order (a) to express the l.h.s. and r.h.s. of (3.5) in terms of the residue functions $b_\alpha^{\lambda M}$ and $b^{\ell}_{\alpha,n\tau}$ which are the meaningful quantities in the asymptotic sense and which can be directly deduced from the diagonalized equations (B.15) and (2.23), and (b) to extract the h dependence of the l.h.s. consistently with the r.h.s.[22]

Problem (a) is solved in Appendix A, in which we prove that, for asymptotic $\tilde{g}$ and ξ,[23] we have[24]

$$\tilde{B}_{m_a m}(\tilde{a}) \sim \sum_{M,s} \int_0^{i\infty} d[\lambda]\; b_{\alpha s}^{\lambda M}\; \bar{D}^{\lambda M}_{j_a m_a,\alpha s m}(\tilde{a})\,, \tag{3.8a}$$

$$B_{m_a,n\tau}(a) \sim \sum_r \int d[\ell]\; b^{\ell}_{m_a,n\tau r}\; D^{\ell}_{m_a,\alpha_{n\tau} r}(\phi\eta)\; e^{-\xi\alpha_{n\tau}}\; \Theta(\tau\xi)\,, \tag{3.8b}$$

where we have dropped the index γ, we have defined $\alpha_{n\tau} \equiv -\tau(\alpha - n)$ and

$$\bar{D}^{\lambda M}_{j_a m_a,\alpha s m}(\tilde{a}) \equiv \sum_{m'} D^{\lambda M}_{j_a m_a;\ell s,m'}(\tilde{r}\ \tilde{\eta})\ U_{m'}{}^{\alpha}\ \mathcal{A}_{m'm}{}^{-\alpha-1}(\tilde{g})\ U_m{}^{-\alpha-1}\ , \tag{3.9}$$

and $s = \pm$ is the label of the two O(2,1)'s which occur in the reduction of the O(3,1) group.[25]

Problem (b) is solved by noting that with the parameterization (3.7) in (3.6), when $|\xi|$ is asymptotic, so is

$$\tilde{g} = \tilde{f}\ \xi h\ , \tag{3.10}$$

where $\tilde{f} \in O(2,1)$.[23] Then substituting Eqs. (2.12) and (2.13) into Eq. (3.9), we obtain the right h dependence in the form

$$\bar{D}^{\lambda M}_{j_a m_a,\alpha s m}(\tilde{a}) \sim \sum_{n,\tau} \tilde{D}^{\lambda M}_{j_a m_a;\alpha s,n\tau}(\phi\eta\theta)\ e^{-\xi\alpha_{n\tau}}\ \tilde{D}^{\alpha}_{n\tau,m}(h)\ \theta(\tau\xi)\ , \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$\tilde{D}^{\lambda M}_{jm;\alpha s,n\tau}(b) \equiv \sum_{m'} D^{\lambda M}_{jm,\alpha s,m'}(b)\ V^{\alpha}_{m',n\tau}$$

$$= 2\pi \lim_{\mu\to\alpha_{n\tau}} \left[(\mu - \alpha_{n\tau})\ D^{\lambda M}_{jm;\alpha s,\mu+}(b)\right], \tag{3.12}$$

and the last equality follows from the definition of $V^{\alpha}_{m',n\tau}$ in Eq. (A.50).

By making use of the group multiplication properties and noting that Θ, K_y,[24] and ζ commute, we obtain

$$D^{\lambda M}_{j_a m_a;\alpha s,\mu+}(a\Theta)$$

$$= \sum_{s',r} \int d[\ell]\, D^{\lambda M}_{j_a m_a;\ell s',m_a}(I)\, D^{\ell}_{m_a,\mu r}(a)\, D^{\lambda M}_{\ell s',\mu r;\alpha s,\mu+}(\Theta)\ , \tag{3.13}$$

and going to the residues at the poles $\mu = \alpha_{n\tau}$, we get

$$\tilde{D}^{\lambda M}_{j_a m_a;\alpha s,n\tau}(\emptyset\eta\Theta)$$

$$= \sum_{s',r} \int d[\ell][K_{m_a}(\ell s',j_a)]^*\, D^{\ell}_{m_a,\alpha_{n\tau} r}(\emptyset\eta)\, \tilde{D}^{\lambda M}_{\ell s',\alpha_{n\tau} r;\alpha s,n\tau}(\Theta)\ , \tag{3.14}$$

where the K function is written in Toller's[25] notation. We can now substitute (3.14) into (3.11), and then (3.11) and (3.8a,b) into (3.5) to get the final result

$$b^{\ell}_{m_a,n\tau}(k,w)$$

$$= (\sin\Theta) \sum_{M,s,s'} \int d[\lambda][K_{m_a}{}^{\lambda M}(\ell s',j_a)]^*\, b_{\alpha s}{}^{\lambda M}(t)\tilde{D}^{\lambda M}_{\ell s',\alpha_{n\tau+};\alpha s,n\tau}(\Theta), \tag{3.15}$$

where $b^{\ell}_{m_a,n\tau} \equiv b^{\ell}_{m_a,n\tau+}$, and the (-) amplitude can be obtained by the use of the conjugation properties of CDM [see Eq. (A.1)]. An expression for $\tilde{D}^{\lambda M}(\Theta)$ can be obtained from (3.12) and (C.6).

Equation (3.15) solves the problem of connecting the solutions of the $t = 0$ diagonalized equations with respect to O(2,1) [Eq. (2.23)] and to O(3,1) [Eq. (B.15)]. When $b_{\alpha s}^{\lambda M}$ has Lorentz poles at $\lambda = \pm\lambda_0$,[26] the singularities of $b^{\ell}_{m_a,n\tau}$ come from the pinchings of the λ contour, and it is evident from Fig. 5 that they may occur at $\ell = \lambda_0 - n - 1$ and at the symmetric positions $\ell = -\lambda_0 + n$. Actually, only the sequence $\ell = \lambda_0 - n - 1$ can occur in $b^{\ell}_{m_a,n\tau}$, because this amplitude is, according to CDM, analytic in the r.h. ℓ plane. It is possible to show that this is true for Eq. (3.15) by the methods of Appendix A, which are briefly summarized here, for the convenience of the reader.

Whenever we have a summation of the form

$$\sum_{s,M} \int d[\lambda]\ f^{\lambda M}_{\ell s,j}\ g^{\lambda M}_{j,\ell s}\ , \tag{3.16}$$

where $f^{\lambda M}_{\ell s,j}$ transforms contravariantly under conjugation with respect to O(3,1) [poles at $\lambda = -\ell + n$ and $\lambda = \ell + 1 + n$] and $g^{\lambda M}_{j,\ell s}$ covariantly [poles at $\lambda = \ell - n$ and $\lambda = -(\ell + 1 + n)$], we can replace the s summation above by

$$\beta_{\ell}^{\lambda M}\ g_{j,\ell+}^{\lambda M}\left[\beta_{\ell}^{-\lambda,-M}\ f^{\lambda M}_{\ell+,j} + \alpha_{\ell}^{-\lambda,-M}\ f^{-\lambda,-M}_{\ell+,j}\ U_j^{-\lambda,-M}\right]\ , \tag{3.17}$$

where $\alpha_{\ell}^{\lambda M}$, $\beta_{\ell}^{\lambda M}$, and $U_j^{\lambda M}$ are defined in Appendix A and $\beta_{\ell}^{\lambda M}$ has zeros in λ at the position of the poles of $g^{\lambda M}_{j,\ell s}$. It is clear, therefore, that the first term of (3.17) has no ℓ-dependent poles in λ, while the second has poles only at $\lambda = \ell - n$ and $\lambda = -(\ell + 1 + n)$.

We now apply this result to the s' summation of Eq. (3.15), with $g^{\lambda M} \to K^{\lambda M}$ and $f^{\lambda M} \to b^{\lambda M} \tilde{D}^{\lambda M}$. We note that, in this case, $f^{-\lambda,-M}_{j,\ell+}$ has poles at $\lambda = \pm\lambda_0$ coming from $b_{\alpha s}{}^{\lambda M}$, and does not have the poles $\lambda = \ell - n$ in $\tilde{D}^{\lambda M}$ because of the $(+)$ character of the function $D^{\ell}_{M,\alpha+}$ occurring in its induction construction [see Eq. (C.6)]. The first term of Eq. (3.17), which has no ℓ-dependent poles in λ, cannot give rise to poles in ℓ in the l.h.s. of (3.15). The singularities in the λ plane of the second term of (3.17) are illustrated in Fig. 6. It is clear that the only possible pinchings are between $\lambda = -(\ell + 1 + n)$ and $\lambda = -\lambda_0$, and this implies the above stated result.

The Regge-pole eigenfunctions, which can be calculated by this method in terms of the Lorentz-pole eigenfunction, are useful, in principle, to obtain dynamical quantities such as derivatives of the Regge family at $t = 0$. Note finally that the relation between the total absorptive parts which follows from (3.15) is model-independent and is, of course, the same as the one obtained from the general group-theoretical analysis.[27]

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dale Snider for reading the manuscript.

We are particularly grateful to Michael Misheloff for his collaboration in the early stage of this work.

APPENDIX A

1. Conjugation Properties in a Noncompact Basis

It has been shown by CDM that the $(r = -)$ partial wave projection of $B_{0,\mu}{}^{\ell}$ is a linear combination of the $(r = +)$ function and the function with ℓ replaced by $-\ell - 1$, through the relation[28]

$$B^{\ell}_{0,\mu-} = \alpha_{\mu}{}^{\ell}\, B^{\ell}_{0,\mu+} + \beta_{\mu}{}^{\ell}\, B^{-\ell-1}_{0,\mu+}\, \Gamma(-\ell)/\Gamma(\ell + 1) \,, \tag{A.1}$$

where

$$\alpha_{\mu}{}^{\ell} = -\frac{\cos \pi\mu}{\cos \pi\ell} \,, \qquad \beta_{\mu}{}^{\ell} = \frac{\pi}{\Gamma(-\mu - \ell)\, \Gamma(\mu - \ell) \cos \pi\ell} \,. \tag{A.2}$$

Equation (A.1) is a consequence of the equivalence of the representations D^{ℓ} and $D^{-\ell-1}$, which, in the mixed basis, may be expressed as follows,

$$D^{-\ell-1}_{m,\mu r}(g) = \sum_{r'} (U_m{}^{\ell})^* \, D^{\ell}_{m,\mu r'}(g)\, \gamma^{\ell}_{r',\mu r} \,, \tag{A.3}$$

where, as in Sciarrino and Toller,[25]

$$U_m{}^{\ell} = \Gamma(\ell + 1 + m)/\Gamma(-\ell + m) \tag{A.4}$$

and γ is a unitary matrix in the r basis,

$$\gamma_{\mu}{}^{\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{1\mu}{}^{\ell} & \gamma_{2\mu}{}^{\ell} \\ \gamma_{2\mu}{}^{\ell} & \gamma_{1\mu}{}^{\ell} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{A.5}$$

By inspection, one finds

$$\alpha_\mu^{\ell} = (-\gamma_{1\mu}^{\ell}/\gamma_{2\mu}^{\ell})^* , \qquad \beta_\mu^{\ell} = (1/\gamma_{2\mu}^{\ell})^* . \tag{A.6}$$

With $g = I$ in (A.2) the expression reads

$$K_{m,\mu r}^{-\ell-1} = \sum_{r'} (U_m^{\ell})^* K_{m,\mu r'}^{\ell} \gamma_{r',\mu r}^{\ell} , \tag{A.7}$$

where

$$K_{m,\mu r}^{\ell} = (K_{\mu r,m}^{\ell})^* = D_{m,\mu r}^{\ell}(I) . \tag{A.8}$$

Analogous relations hold for the O(3,1) group in the noncompact O(2,1) basis, where the equivalence is between the representations (λ,M) and $(-\lambda,-M)$. We shall show that this equivalence leads to a simplification of the O(3,1) completeness relation in this basis. Instead of (A.2) we have

$$D_{jn;\ell sm}^{-\lambda,-M}(a) = \sum_{s'} (U_j^{\lambda M})^* D_{jn;\ell s'm}^{\lambda M}(a) \Gamma_{s'\ell s}^{\lambda M} , \tag{A.9}$$

where Toller has defined

$$U_j^{\lambda M} = \prod_{i=|M|}^{j} \frac{i-\lambda}{i+\lambda} \tag{A.10}$$

and Γ is unitary in the s basis.[29] Sciarrino and Toller[25] have discussed the function

$$K_m^{\lambda M}(\ell,s;\ j) \ = \ [D^{\lambda M}_{jm;\ell sm}(I)]^* \tag{A.11}$$

and have obtained the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{(a)} \quad K_{-m}^{\lambda,-M}(\ell,s;\ j) \ = \ (-)^{M-m}\ K_m^{\lambda M}(\ell,s;\ j)\ , \\
&\text{(b)} \quad K_m^{\lambda M}(\ell,-;\ j) \ = \ (-)^{j-m}\ K_m^{\lambda,-M}(\ell,+;\ j)\ , \\
&\text{(c)} \quad K_m^{\lambda M}(-\ell-1,s;\ j) \ = \ U_m^{-\ell-1}\ U_{sM}^{\ \ell}\ K_m^{\lambda M}(\ell,s;\ j)\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

which follow directly from the integral representation

$$K_m^{\lambda M}(\ell,\pm;\ j) \ = \ \tfrac{1}{2}(2s_a+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_1^\infty (\cosh\zeta)^{\lambda-1}\ r_{Mm}^{\ j}(\theta^\pm)\ d^{-\ell-1}_{\pm M,m}(\zeta)\ d\cosh\zeta\ , \tag{A.13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tan\theta^+/2 \ &= \ \tanh\zeta/2\ , \\
\cot\theta^-/2 \ &= \ \tanh\zeta/2\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

In addition the K function has orthogonality properties, implied by the identification

$$K_m^{\lambda M}(\ell,s;\ j) \ \longleftrightarrow \ \langle \ell,s,m|jm\rangle\ , \tag{A.15}$$

which follow from group multiplication properties of the D functions. We shall make use of the property

$$\sum_j K_m^{\lambda M}(\ell,s;\ j)[K_m^{\lambda M}(\ell';s';\ j)]^* = \delta_{ss'}\ \delta(\ell,\ell')\ . \tag{A.16}$$

Combining (A.9), (A.11), and (A.16), we obtain the following expression for Γ:

$$\delta(\ell,\ell')\ \Gamma^{\lambda M}_{s'\ell s} = \sum_j [K_m^{-\lambda,-M}(\ell,s;\ j)]^*\ K_m^{\lambda M}(\ell',s';\ j)\ U_j^{\lambda M}\ . \tag{A.17}$$

From (A.12a,b) it then follows that

$$\Gamma_\ell^{\lambda M} = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{1\ell}^{\lambda M} & (-)^{2\epsilon}\ \Gamma_{2\ell}^{\lambda M} \\ \Gamma_{2\ell}^{\lambda M} & (-)^{2\epsilon}\ \Gamma_{1\ell}^{\lambda M} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.18}$$

where, as in Sciarrino and Toller,

$$(-)^{2\epsilon} = (-)^{2M}\ .$$

Furthermore, from (A.12),

$$\Gamma_{a\ell}^{\lambda,-M} = (-)^{2\epsilon}\ \Gamma_{a\ell}^{\lambda M} \quad \text{for} \quad a = 1,2,$$

$$\Gamma^{\lambda M}_{1,-\ell-1} = (-)^{2\epsilon}\ \Gamma_{1\ell}^{\lambda M}\ , \tag{A.19}$$

$$\Gamma^{\lambda M}_{2,-\ell-1} = \Gamma_{2\ell}^{\lambda M}\ .$$

If we define

$$\alpha_\ell^{\lambda M} = -(\Gamma_{1\ell}^{\lambda M}/\Gamma_{2\ell}^{\lambda M})^* ,$$
$$\beta_\ell^{\lambda M} = (1/\Gamma_{2\ell}^{\lambda M})^* , \tag{A.20}$$

so that

$$[D^{\lambda M}_{jn;\ell-,m}(a)]^* = \alpha_\ell^{\lambda M}[D^{\lambda M}_{jn;\ell+,m}(a)]^* + \beta_\ell^{\lambda M}[D^{-\lambda,-M}_{jn;\ell+m}(a)]^*(U_j^{\lambda M})^* , \tag{A.21}$$

then from (A.19) we conclude that

$$\alpha_\ell^{\lambda,-M} = \alpha_\ell^{\lambda M} ,$$
$$\beta_\ell^{\lambda,-M} = (-)^{2\epsilon}\beta_\ell^{\lambda M} ,$$
$$\alpha^{\lambda M}_{-\ell-1} = (-)^{2\epsilon}\alpha_\ell^{\lambda M} , \tag{A.22}$$
$$\beta^{\lambda M}_{-\ell-1} = \beta_\ell^{\lambda M} .$$

In order to continue the foregoing relations to values of ℓ and λ corresponding to nonunitary representations, we make the replacement $\ell \to -\ell - 1$, $\lambda \to -\lambda$ in expressions involving the complex conjugation of the functions K, Γ, α, and β. Thus

$$\left(\alpha_\ell^{\lambda M}\right)^* = \alpha_{-\ell-1}^{-\lambda,M} ,$$
$$\left(\beta_\ell^{\lambda M}\right)^* = \beta_{-\ell-1}^{-\lambda,M} . \tag{A.23}$$

To conclude our summation of general properties of the functions α and β we observe that the unitarity of Γ implies the following important relations:[30]

$$\alpha_\ell^{-\lambda,M} = -\alpha_\ell^{\lambda M} ,$$
$$1 - (\alpha_\ell^{\lambda M})^2 = \beta_\ell^{\lambda M} \beta_{-\ell-1}^{-\lambda,M} . \tag{A.24}$$

We now propose to show that

$$1 - (\alpha_\ell^{\lambda M})^2 = \beta_\ell^{\lambda M} \beta_{-\ell-1}^{-\lambda M} = 0 \tag{A.25}$$

whenever $\lambda = \pm(\ell + 1 + n)$ or $\lambda = \pm(-\ell + n')$, i.e., at the "kinematical" poles of the representation functions. We show in part 2 of this Appendix that this property of α produces the necessary cancellation of the kinematical poles in the O(3,1) completeness relation. The proof of (A.25) makes use of the fact[25] that $K_m^{\lambda M}(\ell,s;\, j)$ has poles at $\lambda + \ell = n$ and $\lambda - \ell - 1 = n$ [see (A.13)] but no other poles which move in λ as a function of ℓ. Sciarrino and Toller have defined the residues at these poles

$$\lim_{\ell \to \lambda-n-1} (\ell - \lambda + n + 1)\, K_m^{\lambda M}(\ell,+;\, j) \equiv W_{jm}^{\lambda Mn}, \tag{A.26}$$

from which it follows [see (A.12c)] that

$$\lim_{\ell \to -\lambda+n} (\ell + \lambda - n)\, K_m^{\lambda M}(\ell,+;\, j) = -U_m^{-\lambda+n}\, U_M^{\lambda-n-1}\, W_{jm}^{\lambda Mn}. \tag{A.27}$$

From (A.9), (A.11), (A.12b), and (A.18) we obtain the relation

$$K_m^{-\lambda,-M}(\ell,+;\, j)$$

$$= U_j^{\lambda M}[K_m^{\lambda M}(\ell,+,j)\, \Gamma_{1,-\ell-1}^{-\lambda,M} + (-)^{j-m} K_m^{\lambda,-M}(\ell,+,j)\, \Gamma_{2,-\ell-1}^{-\lambda,M}]. \tag{A.28}$$

If we require that Eq. (A.28) be consistent with the left side having poles at $-\lambda - \ell - 1 = n$ and $-\lambda + \ell = n$ it follows that $\Gamma_{1,-\ell-1}^{-\lambda,M}$ or $\Gamma_{2,-\ell-1}^{-\lambda,M}$ or both must have poles at these points. Let us denote the residues by $r_{1n}^{-\lambda M}$ and $r_{2n}^{-\lambda M}$ in each case. With these definitions we write the residue of (A.28) for both signs of M at $-\lambda - \ell - 1 = n$:

$$W_{jm}^{-\lambda,-M,n}$$

$$= U_j^{\lambda M}[K_m^{\lambda M}(\ell,+,j)\, r_{1n}^{-\lambda,M} + (-)^{j-m} K_m^{\lambda,-M}(\ell,+,j)\, r_{2n}^{-\lambda,M}] \,, \tag{A.29}$$

$$W_{jm}^{-\lambda,M,n}$$

$$= (-)^{2\epsilon} U_j^{\lambda M}[K_m^{\lambda,-M}(\ell,+,j)\, r_{1n}^{-\lambda,M} + (-)^{j-m} K_m^{\lambda,M}(\ell,+,j)\, r_{2n}^{-\lambda,M}],$$

where we have used (A.10) and (A.19). Sciarrino and Toller have given the identity

$$W_{jm}^{\lambda,-M,n} = (-)^{j-m+n} W_{jm}^{\lambda Mn} \,. \tag{A.30}$$

With this identity and the orthogonality property (A.16) [with (A.12b)] we conclude that

$$r_{1n}^{-\lambda,M}/r_{2n}^{-\lambda,M} = (-)^n (-)^{2\epsilon} \,. \tag{A.31}$$

Consequently, from the definition (A.15),

$$\lim_{\ell\to\lambda+1+n} (\alpha_\ell^{\lambda M})^2 = \lim_{\ell\to\lambda+1+n} (\Gamma_{1,-\ell-1}^{-\lambda,M}/\Gamma_{2,-\ell-1}^{-\lambda,M})^2 = 1 \,. \tag{A.32}$$

By the same methods one may verify that (A.32) holds for $-\lambda + \ell = n$. From (A.23) and (A.24) it follows that (A.31) is also valid in all cases when $\lambda \to -\lambda$, which proves (A.25).

2. Asymptotic O(3,1) Decomposition in a Noncompact Basis

In this part we derive an expansion of the incomplete absorptive part in terms of O(3,1) representation functions, which is asymptotic in the sense of Sec. II. From our final formula (A.41) one may also obtain a single expression for the leading O(3,1) pole contribution.

Into the completeness relation for O(3,1) partial waves,

$$\tilde{B}_{m_a m}(a) = \sum_{M,s} \int_0^{i\infty} d[\lambda] \int d[\ell]\, B^{\lambda M}_{\ell s,m}\, D^{\lambda M}_{j_a m_a;\ell s,m}(a) \quad , \tag{A.33}$$

we substitute the following identities, derived from Eq. (A.21) and the definition of $B^{\lambda M}$:

$$\begin{aligned} B^{\lambda M}_{\ell -,m} &= \alpha_\ell^{\lambda M} B^{\lambda M}_{\ell +,m} + \beta_\ell^{\lambda M} B^{-\lambda,-M}_{\ell +,m} (U_{j_a}^{\lambda M})^* \quad , \\ D^{\lambda M}_{j_a m_a;\ell -,m} &= (\alpha_\ell^{\lambda M})^* D^{\lambda M}_{j_a m_a;\ell +,m} + (\beta_\ell^{\lambda M})^* D^{-\lambda,-M}_{j_a m_a;\ell +,m} U_{j_a}^{\lambda M} \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{A.34}$$

The integrand then reads, schematically,

$$\begin{aligned} &\{B_{\ell +}^{\lambda M} D_{\ell +}^{\lambda M}[1 + \alpha_\ell^{\lambda M}(\alpha_\ell^{\lambda M})^*] + B_{\ell +}^{\lambda M} D_{\ell +}^{-\lambda,-M} U^{\lambda M} \alpha_\ell^{\lambda M}(\beta_\ell^{\lambda M})^*\} \\ &+ \{B_{\ell +}^{-\lambda,-M} D_{\ell +}^{-\lambda,-M} \beta_\ell^{\lambda M}(\beta_\ell^{\lambda M})^* + B_{\ell +}^{-\lambda,-M} D_{\ell +}^{\lambda,M}(U^{\lambda M})^*(\alpha_\ell^{\lambda M})^* \beta_\ell^{\lambda M}\} \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{A.35}$$

By making use of (A.22) and (A.23), one may readily verify that the terms grouped in the first set of braces are the same as those in the

second after replacing (λ,M) by $(-\lambda,-M)$. Consequently, we extend the limits of integration over λ, and keep only the first two terms in (A.35):

$$\widetilde{B}_{m_a m}(a) = \sum_M \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} d[\lambda] \left\{ \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} d[\ell] + \sum_{\ell=k^+} \right\} B^{\lambda M}_{\ell+,M}\, \beta_\ell^{-\lambda,M}$$

$$\times\ [\beta_\ell^{\lambda,M}\, D^{\lambda M}_{j_a m_a;\ell+,m}(a) + U_{j_a}^{\lambda M}\, D^{-\lambda,-M}_{j_a m_a;\ell+,m}(a)\, \alpha_\ell^{\lambda M}] \ . \qquad \text{(A.36)}$$

We now proceed to shift the contour of integration in ℓ in (A.36) so as to collect the residues at the input poles of $B^{\lambda M}_{\ell+,m}$ at $\ell = \alpha_\gamma$ and $\ell = -\alpha_\gamma - 1$. If we write $a = r\eta g$, where $r \in O(3)$, $g = R_z(\mu)\, B_x(\zeta)\, R_z(\nu) \in O(2,1)$ and η is a z boost, then

$$D^{\lambda M}_{j_a m_a;\ell s,m}(a) = \sum_{m'} D^{\lambda M}_{j_a m_a,\ell s m'}(r\eta)\, D_{m'm}^{\ell}(g) \ , \qquad \text{(A.37)}$$

and we seek an expansion of $\widetilde{B}_{m_a m}(r\eta g)$ as an asymptotic series in $\cosh\zeta$. Following Toller,[15,25] we first write

$$D_{m'm}^{\ell}(g) = \mathcal{G}_{m'm}^{\ell}(g) + U_{m'}^{\ell}\, \mathcal{G}_{m'm}^{-\ell-1}(g)\, U_m^{-\ell-1} \qquad \text{(A.38)}$$

and then substitute (A.37) into (A.36). By making use of identities for the reflection $\ell \to -\ell - 1$, we obtain

$$\tilde{B}_{m_a m}(a) = \sum_M \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} d[\lambda] \left\{ \int_{-\frac{1}{2}-i\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} d[\ell] + \sum_{\ell=k\pm} \right\} B^{\lambda M}_{\ell+,m} \beta_\ell^{-\lambda M}$$

$$\chi \left[\beta_\ell^{\lambda M} \bar{D}^{\lambda M}_{j_a m_a;\ell+,m}(a) + U_{j_a}^{\lambda M} \bar{D}^{-\lambda,-M}_{j_a m_a;\ell+,m}(a) \alpha_\ell^{\lambda M} \right] , \qquad (A.39)$$

where

$$\bar{D}^{\lambda M}_{jm;\ell s,m}(a) = \sum_{m'} D^{\lambda M}_{jm;\ell s,m'}(r,\eta) U_{m'}^{\ell} \mathcal{A}_{m'm}^{-\ell-1}(g) U_m^{-\ell-1} . \qquad (A.40)$$

The partial-wave amplitude $B^{\lambda M}_{\ell+,m}$ has a pole on the left at $\ell = \alpha$ in addition to λ-dependent kinematical poles. The $\bar{D}$ function contributes additional λ-dependent poles and also contains "nonsense" poles in ℓ arising from the a function in (A.40).[15] However, when the ℓ contour is shifted to the left, the first term in the braces in (A.39) contributes a residue only at $\ell = \alpha$. The kinematical poles are cancelled because of (A.25) and the nonsense poles are cancelled in the usual way by the contributions of the discrete series. The kinematical poles in the second term are not all cancelled. However the residues of these poles are regular in λ and, since $D_{\ell+}^{-\lambda,-M} B_{\ell+}^{\lambda M}$ vanishes exponentially as $\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda \to -\infty$,[31] they give vanishing contributions to the λ integral.

The upshot of this analysis is that, asymptotically in g, we may simply replace the integration over ℓ and summation over the discrete series by the pole contribution at $\ell = \alpha$, as follows:

$$\tilde{B}_{m_a m}(a) \sim \sum_{M,s} \int_0^{+i\infty} d[\lambda]\, b_{\alpha s}{}^{\lambda M}\, \bar{D}^{\lambda M}_{j_a m_a;\alpha s,m}(a)$$

$$= \sum_{M} \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} d[\lambda]\, b_{\alpha+}{}^{\lambda M}\, \beta_\alpha{}^{-\lambda M} \left[\beta_\alpha{}^{\lambda M}\, \bar{D}^{\lambda M}_{j_a m_a;\alpha+,m}(a) + \alpha_\alpha{}^{\lambda M} \bar{D}^{-\lambda,-M}_{j_a m_a;\alpha+,m} \right] . \tag{A.41}$$

Equation (A.41) is also suitable for obtaining, for η large, the asymptotic contributions coming from dynamical singularities in λ of $B^{\lambda M}$. Shifting the λ contour to the right[31] for the first term and to the left for the second, we see that the α-dependent poles in λ of the first term are cancelled as before, whereas the second term has no such poles on the left and no dynamical poles in $b_+{}^{\lambda M}$, either. Except for an additional complication one would replace the integral over λ by a sum over the residues at the Lorentz singularities of $b_{\alpha+}{}^{\lambda M}$ of the first term only. The complication is that in general it is possible that $\alpha^{\lambda M}$ has extra poles in λ[32] that are absent in $b_{\alpha s}{}^{\lambda M}$. It can be shown, from arguments based on the absence of such poles in $D_{\ell-}{}^{\lambda M}$ and $b_{\alpha-}{}^{\lambda M}$ in (A.34) that, should such extra poles occur, they must be cancelled by contributions from similar poles in $\beta_\alpha{}^{\lambda M}$ in the first term in the braces. This circumstance has a precedent in the Mandelstam-Sommerfeld-Watson transform.[32-34]

3. O(1,1) Decomposition of the O(2,1) Representation Functions

Note first that manipulations analogous to those of subsection 2 can be performed in the case of the O(2,1) expansion of $B_{m,n\tau}(a)$. If we parameterize

$$a \equiv \phi\eta\xi, \qquad \phi \equiv R_z(\phi)\ , \quad \eta \equiv B_x(\eta)\ , \quad \xi \equiv B_y(\xi)\ , \tag{A.42}$$

and substitute the conjugation relations (A.1) and (A.3) in the O(2,1) expansion

$$B_{m,n\tau}(a) = \sum_r \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} d[\ell] \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} (-i)d\mu\ B^{\ell}_{n\tau,\mu r}\ D^{\ell}_{m,\mu r}(a)\ , \tag{A.43}$$

we get

$$B_{m,n\tau}(a) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}-i\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} d[\ell] \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} (-i)d\mu\ B^{\ell}_{n\tau,\mu r}\ \beta_\mu^{\ -\ell-1}$$

$$\times \left[\beta_\mu^{\ \ell}\ D^{\ell}_{m,\mu+}(\phi\eta) + \alpha_\mu^{\ \ell}\ D^{-\ell-1}_{m,\mu+}(\phi\eta)\ U_m^{\ \ell}\right] e^{-\mu\xi}\ . \tag{A.44}$$

The first term in the integrand has the ℓ-dependent poles in the μ plane cancelled by the factor $\beta_\mu^{\ \ell}\ \beta_\mu^{\ -\ell-1}$, whereas the second term still has the poles $\mu = \pm(-\ell + n)$, but their residues are analytic and well-behaved in the r.h. ℓ plane, so they give vanishing contribution to the integral. Therefore, the asymptotic series of (A.44) in $e^{|\xi|}$ is simply obtained by picking up the contribution at the "dynamical"

pole $\mu = -\tau(\alpha - n) \equiv \alpha_{n\tau}$, which is nonvanishing only when $\tau\xi > 0$. We have finally

$$B_{m,n\tau}(a) \sim \sum_r \int d[\ell]\, b^{\ell}_{m,n\tau r}\, D^{\ell}_{m,\alpha_{n\tau}r}(\phi\eta)\, e^{-\alpha_{n\tau}\xi}\, \theta(\tau\xi)\,, \tag{A.45}$$

where $b^{\ell}_{m,n\tau r}$ are the residues of $B^{\ell}_{n\tau,\mu r}$ at the poles $\mu = \alpha_{n\tau}$.

We want now to obtain the O(1,1) decomposition of the function $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{mm'}{}^{-\alpha-1}(\zeta)$, which occurs in the production amplitudes (2.22) and (3.1) as single Regge-pole contribution. The main purpose is to prove factorization at the O(1,1) poles.

We start from the relation

$$D^{\ell}_{mm'}(\zeta) = \sum_r \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} (-i)\, d\mu\, K^{\ell}_{m,\mu r}\, e^{-\mu\zeta}\, K^{\ell}_{\mu r,m}\,, \tag{A.46}$$

which follows from the definition (A.8) of the transformation functions $K^{\ell}_{m,\mu r}$. After substitution of the conjugation relation (A.7) in the form (A.1), the r.h.s. of Eq. (A.46) can be written in the form

$$\bar{a}_{mm'}{}^{\ell} + U_m{}^{\ell}\, \bar{a}_{mm'}{}^{-\ell-1}\, U_{m'}{}^{-\ell-1}\,, \tag{A.47}$$

where

$$\bar{a}_{mm'}{}^{-\ell-1} \equiv \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} (-i)d\mu\, (\beta_\mu{}^{-\ell-1}\, K^{-\ell-1}_{m,\mu+} - \alpha_\mu{}^{\ell}\, K^{\ell}_{m,\mu+}\, U_m{}^{-\ell-1})$$

$$\times\; e^{-\mu\zeta}\, \beta_\mu{}^{\ell}\, K^{-\ell-1}_{\mu+,m'}\,. \tag{A.48}$$

Note that the first term in the integrand has no ℓ-dependent poles in the μ plane, as usual. The second term has only the poles $\mu = \pm(\ell - n)$ coming from $K^{\ell}_{m,\mu+}$. By displacing the μ contour either to the right or to the left according to whether $\zeta \gtrless 0$, and neglecting the background integrals, we get

$$U_m^{\ell}\, \bar{a}_{mm'}^{-\ell-1}\, U_{m'}^{-\ell-1} \sim \sum_{n\tau} V^{\ell}_{m,n\tau}\, e^{\tau\zeta(\ell-n)}\, \Theta(\tau\zeta)\, W^{\ell}_{n\tau,m'} \,, \tag{A.49}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\ell}_{m,n\tau} &\equiv 2\pi \lim_{\mu\to -\tau(\ell-n)} [\mu + \tau(\ell - n)]\, K^{\ell}_{m,\mu+} \,, \\ W^{\ell}_{n\tau,m'} &\equiv [-\alpha_{\mu}^{\ell}\, B_{\mu}^{\ell}\, K^{-\ell-1}_{\mu+,m'}\, U_{m'}^{-\ell-1}]_{\mu=-\tau(\ell-n)} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{A.50}$$

Since the asymptotic expansion (A.49) contains only the powers $(\exp|\zeta|)^{\ell-n}$, we can identify $\bar{a}^{\ell}$ with Toller's $\mathcal{a}^{\ell}$, and we finally get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{a}}_{mm'}^{-\ell-1} &\equiv U_m^{\ell}\, \mathcal{a}_{mm'}^{-\ell-1}\, U_{m'}^{-\ell-1} \\ &= \sum_{n\tau} V^{\ell}_{m,n\tau}\, e^{\tau\zeta(\ell-n)}\, \Theta(\tau\zeta)\, W^{\ell}_{n\tau,m'} \,, \end{aligned} \tag{A.51}$$

which exhibits the factorization at the O(1,1) pole contributions.

For convenience of the reader we quote finally the result[35]

$$K^{\ell}_{m,\mu r} = \frac{\Gamma(-\ell + \mu)\,\Gamma(-\ell - \mu)}{2\pi\, 2^{\ell}\,\Gamma(-2\ell)}\, e^{i\pi(\ell+m-\mu)/2}$$

$$\times \quad F(-\ell + rm,\ -\ell + \mu;\ -2\ell;\ 2 + i0)\ . \qquad (A.52)$$

APPENDIX B

O(3,1) Diagonalization of the $t = 0$ Equation

We present here a simplified form for the diagonalized $t = 0$ equation of Mueller and Muzinich.[1] The simplification parallels the method of CDM for the $t < 0$ equation.

Rather than using the Andrews and Gunson E function[36] for the BCP amplitude, we prefer to use the $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ function (2.10) of the text. We require the O(2,1) decomposition of Toller's $\mathcal{A}$ function, which reads,

$$\mathcal{A}_{mm'}^{-\ell_1-1}(g) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} d[\ell_2]\, K_{mm'}(\ell_1,\ell_2)\, D_{mm'}^{-\ell_2-1}(g)$$

$$+ \sum_{k\pm} K_{mm'}(\ell_1,k\pm)\, D_{mm'}^{k\pm}(g), \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Re}\ell_1 = -\tfrac{1}{2} - \epsilon\,, \qquad \text{(B.1)}$$

where the $\mathcal{A}$ function and the D functions for the continuous and discrete series have been given by Toller.[15] From Andrews and Gunson's formulae (3.3), (2.1), and (7.12) we find that with $d[\ell] = \eta(\ell)\, d\ell$ for $m \geqslant m'$,

$$K_{mm'}(\ell_1,\ell_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i\, \eta(\ell_1)} \frac{\Gamma(\ell_1 + m' + 1)}{\Gamma(\ell_1 + m + 1)} \frac{\Gamma(m + \ell_2 + 1)}{\Gamma(m' + \ell_2 + 1)}$$

$$\times \frac{(2\ell_1 + 1)}{(\ell_2 - \ell_1)(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1)}\,, \qquad \text{(B.2)}$$

and for $m \leqslant m'$,

$$K_{mm'}(\ell_1,\ell_2) = K_{-m,-m'}(\ell_1,\ell_2) \quad .$$

A property of the K function which we will find useful may be deduced from the orthogonality relationship between two D functions and the expression given by Toller:

$$D_{mm'}{}^{\ell}(g) = \mathcal{A}_{mm'}{}^{\ell}(g) + U_m{}^{\ell}\,\mathcal{A}_{mm'}{}^{-\ell-1}(g)\,U_{m'}{}^{-\ell-1} \; ; \qquad (B.3)$$

where

$$U_m{}^{\ell} = \frac{\Gamma(\ell + m + 1)}{\Gamma(m - \ell)} \quad .$$

That property is

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0+} K_{mm'}(\ell_1 - \epsilon,\ell_2) + U_m{}^{-\ell_1-1}\,K_{mm'}(-\ell_1 - 1 - \epsilon,\ell_2)\,U_{m'}{}^{\ell_1}$$

$$= \delta(\ell_1,\ell_2) \; , \qquad (B.4)$$

where $\mathrm{Im}\,\ell_1 > 0$ and $\mathrm{Im}\,\ell_2 > 0$, and $\mathrm{Re}\,\ell_1 = \mathrm{Re}\,\ell_2 = -\frac{1}{2}$.

We have defined

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} d[\ell_1]\,\delta(\ell_1,\ell_2)\,f(\ell_1) = f(\ell_2) \quad .$$

With this form for the decomposition of the $\mathcal{G}$ function and the form (3.1) for the unitarity integrand, the $t = 0$ equation as diagonalized by MM^1 reads

$$b^{\lambda M}_{\ell' m' s'}(t') = {}_{(0)}b^{\lambda M}_{\ell' m' s'}(t') + \sum_{ms}\left[\int d[\ell] + \sum_{\ell = k\pm}\right]\int_{-\infty}^{0} dt \sinh q$$

$$\times\ b_{\ell ms}{}^{\lambda M}(t)\, R_{m'}(t,t')\, d^{\lambda M}_{\ell' s', \ell s, m'}(q^{-1})\, [-K_{mm'}(-\alpha - 1, -\ell - 1)]\ , \tag{B.5}$$

where

$$\tilde{b}^{\lambda M}_{\ell ms'}(t) = \sum_{n} b^{\lambda M}_{\ell' n' s'}(t)\, [-K_{nm}(-\alpha - 1, -\ell - 1)] \tag{B.6}$$

is the amplitude of MM^1, and we have suppressed the γ index for the moment. Recall that[37]

$$d^{\lambda M}_{\ell'+, \ell+, m}(q^{-1}) = \int_1^{\infty} d \cosh a\, [d^{\ell'}_{+M,m}(a)]^{*} (\cosh q + \sinh q \cosh a)^{\lambda - 1}$$

$$\times\ d^{\ell}_{+M,m}(a') \quad \text{for} \quad q > 0, \tag{B.7}$$

$$\cosh a' \equiv (\sinh q + \cosh q \cosh a)/(\cosh q + \sinh q \cosh a)\ ,$$

and similarly for the other representation functions (cf. MM^1).

Because q is always positive, the d function in Eq. (B.5) vanishes for $s' = +$ and $s = -$. As with the $t < 0$ equation, the system of equations in s reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_+^{\lambda M} &= {}_{(0)}b_+^{\lambda M} + b_+^{\lambda M} K_{++}^{\lambda M} \\
b_-^{\lambda M} &= {}_{(0)}b_-^{\lambda M} + b_+^{\lambda M} K_{-+}^{\lambda M} + b_-^{\lambda M} K_{--}^{\lambda M} .
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.8}
$$

As in Eq. (A.1), one can make use of the equivalence of the representations (λ,M) and $(-\lambda,-M)$ [Eq. (A.9)] to reduce the second equation to the form

$$
b_+^{-\lambda,-M} = {}_{(0)}b_+^{-\lambda,-M} + b_+^{-\lambda,-M} K_{++}^{-\lambda,-M} . \tag{B.9}
$$

Therefore only the first equation in (B.8) is needed to determine the locations of the Lorentz poles. We shall henceforth restrict our attention to this equation.

We now wish to present a scheme for shifting the ℓ contour in Eq. (B.5) so as to collect only those residues arising from the input Regge poles. As the equation now stands, we are prevented from doing this by the presence of λ-dependent "kinematical" poles in ℓ in the function b, which lie on both sides of the contour. They appear at the same locations as the poles of $d^{\lambda M}_{\ell'+,\ell+,m}$ in ℓ', which, from Eq. (B.7), appear at

$$\ell' = -\lambda + n ,$$
$$-\ell' - 1 = -\lambda + n , \quad \text{for} \quad n = 0,1,\cdots . \tag{B.10}$$

The two sets of poles are additive with respect to each other, as may be seen by substituting Eq. (B.3) into Eq. (B.7). This offers the possibility of writing b in Eq. (B.5) as a sum of two terms, each of which has only one set of singularities in ℓ. We define

$$\hat{b}_{\overline{\ell}m}^{\lambda M} = \left[\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} d\eta(\ell) + \sum_{\ell=k\pm} \right] b_{\ell m+}^{\lambda M} K_{Mm}(\overline{\ell},\ell)$$

$$\text{for} \quad \text{Re}\ \overline{\ell} = -\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon . \tag{B.11}$$

This function has kinematical poles at $\overline{\ell} + \lambda = n$ but none at $-\overline{\ell} - 1 + \lambda = n$. Moreover, because of Eq. (B.4),

$$b_{\ell m+}^{\lambda M} = \hat{b}_{\ell m}^{\lambda M} + U_M^{-\ell-1} \hat{b}_{-\ell-1,m}^{\lambda M} U_m^{\ell} . \tag{B.12}$$

Substituting Eq. (B.12) into (B.5) and the result into Eq. (B.11), we obtain

$$\hat{b}_{\ell' m'}^{\lambda M}(t') = {}_{(0)}\hat{b}_{\ell' m'}^{\lambda M}(t') + \sum_m \left[\int_{-\frac{1}{2}-i\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} d[\ell] + \sum_{\ell=k\pm} \right] \int_{-\infty}^{0} dt \sinh q$$

$$\times \quad \hat{b}_{\ell m}^{\lambda M}(t)[-K_{mm'}(-\alpha - 1, -\ell - 1)]\, R_{m'}(t,t')\, \hat{d}_{\ell' \ell m'}^{\lambda M}(q^{-1}) , \tag{B.13}$$

where

$$\hat{d}^{\lambda M}_{\ell'\ell m'}(q^{-1})$$

$$= \int_1^\infty d\cosh a\; a^{-\ell'-1}_{+M,m}(a)\; d^{\ell}_{+M,m}(a')(\cosh q + \sinh q \cosh a)^{\lambda-1} . \tag{B.14}$$

We have constructed $\hat{b}_{\ell m}{}^{\lambda M}$ so that it has λ-dependent poles in ℓ at $-\lambda + n$ (i.e., in the r.h. ℓ plane) only. It also has poles and zeros contributed by $a_{Mm}{}^{-\ell-1}$ in the separation (B.12). These poles and zeros cancel poles and zeros in the weight function $\eta(\ell)$ in the usual way,[15] and the resultant ℓ-plane singularity structure of the integrand in Eq. (B.13) is indicated in Fig. 7. If we shift the contour to the left, we collect the residues at the nonsense poles at $\ell = -1,-2,\cdots,-N$.[38] These cancel the contributions of the discrete series, as usual, and we are left with the contribution from the "dynamical" pole at α. In terms of the values at the dynamical poles $\hat{b}_{\alpha_\gamma m}{}^{\lambda M}$ the equation reads

$$\hat{b}^{\lambda M}_{\alpha_\gamma, m'}(t') = {}_{(0)}\hat{b}^{\lambda M}_{\alpha_\gamma, m'}(t') + \sum_{m,\gamma} \int_{-\infty}^{0} dt \sinh \tilde{q}\; \hat{b}^{\lambda M}_{\alpha_\gamma m}(t)$$

$$\times\; R_{m'}{}^{\gamma\gamma'}(t,t')\; \hat{d}^{\lambda M}_{\alpha_\gamma, \alpha_\gamma m'}(\tilde{q}^{-1}) , \tag{B.15}$$

from which an m-independent equation for $\hat{b}_{\alpha_\gamma}{}^{\lambda M} = \sum_m b_{\alpha_\gamma m}{}^{\lambda M}$ can be obtained, having as the kernel

$$\sinh \tilde{q} \sum_m R_m^{\gamma\gamma'}(t,t') \, \hat{d}^{\lambda M}_{\alpha_{\gamma'}\alpha_\gamma m}(\tilde{q}^{-1}) \; . \tag{B.16}$$

Due to Eqs. (B.12) and (B.6) the residue functions $b_{\alpha s}{}^{\lambda M}$ appearing in the modified completeness relation (A.41) are given by[39]

$$b_{\alpha_{\gamma+}}{}^{\lambda M} = \sum_m \left[\hat{b}_{\alpha_\gamma m}{}^{\lambda M} + U_M{}^{-\alpha_\gamma - 1} \, \hat{b}^{\lambda M}_{-\alpha_\gamma - 1, m} \, U_m{}^{\alpha_\gamma} \right] \quad . \tag{B.17}$$

APPENDIX C

A Representation Function Needed in the Text

We derive here an integral representation for an O(3,1) representation function required in Eqs. (3.12) and (3.15) of the text. That function is the matrix element of a y rotation in the noncompact O(2,1) basis:

$$D^{\lambda M}_{\ell' s', \mu' r'; \ell s, \mu r}(\Theta) \equiv \langle \ell' s', \mu' r' | e^{-i\Theta J_y} | \ell s, \mu r \rangle$$

$$\equiv \delta(\mu' - \mu)\, d^{\lambda M}_{\ell' s', \ell s; \mu r' r}(\Theta) \quad . \qquad \text{(C.1)}$$

The index $s = +$ represents the required doubling of the O(2,1) basis and the index $r = \pm$ the analogous doubling of the O(1,1) basis for the representations of O(2,1).

The procedure for constructing matrix elements of the Lorentz group in the O(2,1) basis by the method of induced representations has been summarized nicely by MM[1], who give further references. We shall merely sketch those points which must be altered in their treatment for these special representations.

The parameterization of the O(2,1) elements appropriate to the basis required is

$$g_s = \exp(-i\phi J_z) \exp(-ia_s K_x) \exp(-i\lambda K_y) \, , \qquad \text{(C.2)}$$

where $0 < \phi < 4\pi$, $-\infty < a_s < +\infty$, and $-\infty < \lambda < +\infty$ spans the group. Note in particular that both signs of a_s are required here. The

mapping on g induced by the rotation θ leaves λ and ϕ unchanged. The mapping on a_s is

$$s' \exp(a'_{s'}) = \frac{s \cos \frac{1}{2}\theta \exp a_s - \sin \frac{1}{2}\theta}{s \sin \frac{1}{2}\theta \exp a_s + \cos \frac{1}{2}\theta} . \qquad (C.3)$$

The mapping on the elements in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}_2^{M} \oplus \mathcal{L}_2^{-M}$ is

$$U^{(\lambda,M)}(e^{-i\theta J_y})\{\phi_+(g_+),\phi_-(g_-)\}$$

$$= \left\{ \sum_s \chi_{+,s}^{(\lambda)}(\theta,a_s)\, \phi_s(g'_+),\ \sum_s \chi_{-,s}^{(\lambda)}(\theta,a_s)\, \phi_s(g'_-) \right\}, \qquad (C.4)$$

where $g'_{s'} = \exp(-i\phi J_z) \exp(-ia'_{s'}K_x) \exp(-i\lambda K_y)$ for $a'_{s'}$ as defined in (C.3). We have defined

$$\chi_{s's}^{(\lambda)}(\theta,a_s) = (s' \sin\theta \sinh a_s + s's \cos\theta)^{\lambda-1}$$

$$\times\ \theta(s' \sin\theta \sinh a_s + s's \cos\theta) . \qquad (C.5)$$

We use, as a basis for the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, the representations of $O(2,1)$ in the mixed $O(2) \times O(1,1)$ basis, described in MM^2 and CDM:

$$\langle g_+, g_- | \ell_+, \mu r \rangle = \{D^{\ell}_{M,\mu r}(g_+),\ 0\} ,$$

$$\langle g_+, g_- | \ell -, \mu r \rangle = \{0,\ D^{\ell}_{-M,\mu r}(g_-)\} .$$

In this basis we have, from (C.4), the final result

$$d^{\lambda M}_{\ell' s', \ell s; \mu r' r}(\Theta)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d \sinh a_s [d^{\ell'}_{s' M, \mu r'}(a_s)]^* \chi^{(\lambda)}_{s', s}(\Theta, a_s)\ d^{\ell}_{sM, \mu r}(a'_{s'}) . \quad (C.6)$$

APPENDIX D

AFS-Type Model as an Example

It has been shown in CDM that the unitarity model of Fubini and collaborators[40] (AFS-type model) can be described easily with the three-dimensional BCP variables. Analogous treatment holds in the $t = 0$ case. Since spinless particles are exchanged, the kernel of the multiperipheral equation is g-independent ($\alpha_\gamma = 0$ throughout, and no Clebsch-Gordan coefficients are needed); and it contains the off-shell π-π cross section $\tilde{A}_2(\mathrm{ch}\,\tilde{q}) = A_2(\mathrm{ch}\,q)^3$ as a factor replacing the δ function which appears in the single ladder approximation.

The $t = 0$ equation can be obtained from (B.15)[41] noting that, apart from the factor $\tilde{A}_2(\mathrm{ch}\,\tilde{q})$, we require

$$R_0^{\gamma\gamma'}\,\tilde{\mathcal{a}}_{00}^{-\alpha_{\gamma'}-1}(g') \xrightarrow[\alpha_\gamma,\alpha_{\gamma'}\to 0]{} (t' - \mu^2)^{-2}\,, \tag{D.1}$$

and since $\tilde{\mathcal{a}}_{00}^{-\alpha-1}(g) \to 1$ as $\alpha \to 0$, we have

$$R_0^{\gamma\gamma'}(t,t') \to (t' - \mu^2)^{-2}\,. \tag{D.2}$$

Substituting (D.2) in (B.15), and noting that

$$\hat{d}_{000}^{\lambda 0}(q^{-1}) = \int_1^\infty dx(\cosh q + x \sinh q)^{\lambda-1}$$

$$= e^{\lambda q}/\lambda \sinh q\,, \tag{D.3}$$

we get the equation

$$b^{\lambda}(t') = {}_{(0)}b^{\lambda}(t') + \int_{-\infty}^{0} t dt\, b^{\lambda}(t)\, V^{\lambda}(t,t')(t' - \mu^2)^{-2} , \qquad (D.4)$$

where

$$V^{\lambda}(t,t') \equiv \int_{\tilde{z}_0(t,t')}^{\infty} \sinh \tilde{q}\, d \cosh \tilde{q}\, \tilde{A}_2(\cosh \tilde{q})\, e^{\lambda \tilde{q}}/\lambda \sinh \tilde{q} ,$$

$$\tilde{z}_0 \equiv (4\mu^2 - t - t')/2(tt')^{\frac{1}{2}} ,$$

$$\sinh \tilde{q}_0 = (s - m^2 - t)/2m(-t')^{\frac{1}{2}} , \qquad (D.5)$$

$${}_{(0)}b^{\lambda}(t') \equiv \tilde{A}_2(\sinh \tilde{q}_0)(t' - \mu^2)^{-2} .$$

Note that $\hat{b}^{\lambda} = b^{\lambda}$, because $\hat{b}_{-1}{}^{\lambda} = 0$, since $a_{00}{}^{0} = 0$.

The O(3,1) expansion now reads

$$\tilde{B}(\tilde{a}) = \int_{0}^{i\infty} d[\lambda]\, b_s{}^{\lambda}\, D_s{}^{\lambda}(\tilde{a}) = \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} d[\lambda]\, b^{\lambda}\, D_+{}^{\lambda}(\tilde{a}) , \qquad (D.6)$$

where

$$b^{\lambda} \equiv b_+{}^{\lambda} ,$$

$$D_+{}^{\lambda}(a) \equiv D^{\lambda 0}_{00;0+,0}(a) = D_-{}^{-\lambda}(a) . \qquad (D.7)$$

Since h in Eq. (3.5) is an O(2,1) transformation and $\alpha = 0$, the relation between the two incomplete absorptive parts is rather trivial[42]

$$\tilde{B}(a\ \theta) = B(a) \quad , \tag{D.8}$$

and the indices m and $n\tau$ are not needed. [More precisely, $B(a) \equiv \sum_{\tau} B_{0\tau}(a)$[43] contains both (+) and (-) O(1,1) poles.] The partial-wave amplitude $b^{\ell} = b^{\ell}_{0+,+}$ is then easily obtained, either by direct application of group theory to (D.8), or from (3.15) in the limit $\alpha = 0$. We have

$$b^{\ell}(k,w) = \sum_{s,s'} \int d[\lambda](K^{\lambda 0}_{\ell s',00})^{*}\ b_{s}^{\lambda}(t)\ d^{\lambda}_{\ell s' s}(\theta) \quad , \tag{D.9}$$

where the relevant functions, according to (3.17), are[43]

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\ell ++}{}^{\lambda}(\theta) &= D^{\lambda 0}_{\ell +,0+;0+,0}(\theta) \ , \\ d_{\ell +-}{}^{\lambda}(\theta) &= d_{\ell ++}{}^{\lambda}(\pi - \theta) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{D.10}$$

From Eq. (C.6) we get the explicit expression[44]

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\ell ++}{}^{\lambda}(\theta) &= \pi^{-1} \int_{\cot\theta}^{\infty} dx\ \ i^{\ell+1}\ Q_{\ell}(ix)\ (\sin\theta\ x - \cos\theta)^{\lambda-1} \\ &= 2^{\ell}\ \frac{\Gamma(\lambda)\ \Gamma(\ell+1)}{\Gamma(\ell+\lambda+1)}\ \frac{(\sin\theta)^{\ell}}{\sin\pi(\lambda-\ell)}\ C^{\ell+1}_{\lambda-\ell-1}(\cos\theta) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{D.11}$$

where $C_n{}^{\nu}$ are the Gegenbauer functions.[45]

After the manipulations of the end of Sec. III we can explicitly calculate the Regge-pole eigenfunctions $f_K(t,\theta)$ of the $\underline{K}$th daughter $\ell_K = \lambda_0 - K - 1$ corresponding to a given Lorentz pole of eigenfunction $f_0(t)$. The result is, apart from inessential factors,

$$f_K(t,\theta) \propto f_0(t) \frac{\Gamma(\ell_K + 1) i^K}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{K}{2})\, \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{K}{2} + \lambda_0)} (\sin\theta)^{\ell_K+1} C_K^{\ell_K+1}(\cos\theta) . \tag{D.12}$$

Note that the odd daughters are absent because, due to (D.7) and (D.10), b^ℓ is even under $\theta \longleftrightarrow \pi - \theta$ $(w \longleftrightarrow -w)$. Note also that (D.11) gives a result similar to the Bethe-Salpeter calculation[8] when the initial particles are put on-shell. The latter circumstance explains why only amplitudes even in the relative energy w are obtained in this single case.

FOOTNOTES AND REFERENCES

* This work was supported in part by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission and in part by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Office of Aerospace Research, United States Air Force, under Grant No. AF-AFOSR-68-1471.

† On leave of absence from Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy, and I.N.F.N., Sezione di Pisa, Italy.

1. A. H. Mueller and I. J. Muzinich, Diagonalization of the Integral Equation at Vanishing Values of the Momentum Transfer, Brookhaven National Laboratory Report BNL-13728, May 1969, hereafter referred to as MM^1.
2. A. H. Mueller and I. J. Muzinich, Multiperipheral Dynamics at Non-Vanishing Values of Momentum Transfer, Brookhaven National Laboratory Report BNL-13836, June 1969 (MM^2).
3. M. Ciafaloni, C. DeTar, and M. Misheloff, Multiperipheral Dynamics at General Momentum Transfer, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-19286, August 8, 1969 (CDM).
4. G. F. Chew, M. L. Goldberger, and F. Low, Phys. Rev. Letters 22, 208 (1969).
5. I. G. Halliday and L. M. Saunders, Nuovo Cimento 60A, 177 (1969).
6. G. F. Chew and C. DeTar, Phys. Rev. 180, 1577 (1969) (CD).
7. N. F. Bali, G. F. Chew and A. Pignotti, Phys. Rev. 163, 1572 (1967) (BCP).
8. D. Z. Freedman and J.M. Wang, Phys. Rev. 153, 1596 (1967).

9. For the sake of economy, we use the same label for a one-parameter transformation as for the parameter itself.

10. We have set to unity the arbitrary initial Lorentz transformations, mentioned in previous approaches.

11. Our notation differs from MM[2]. Our $h_{\ell i}$ is their $u^{i}_{i\ i+1}{}^{+}$ and our $f_{\ell i}$ is their $u^{i+1}_{k\ i+1}{}^{+}$.

12. Note that in this way we specify the frame $(\ell i, r)$ completely with no arbitrary z rotation left, as in CD.

13. We have, in terms of the lower variables,

$$\cosh h_{\ell i} = k_{i+1} \sinh q_i / [(-t_{\ell,i+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \sinh q_{\ell i}],$$

$$\cosh f_{\ell,i+1} = k_i \sinh q_i / [(-t_{\ell i})^{\frac{1}{2}} \sinh q_{\ell i}] .$$

14. It would appear that the first two identities, viewed as equations relating the various boost parameters, do not always have a solution. Indeed, when $\mu_{\ell i} \neq 0$, $\zeta_{\ell i} = 0$, $\nu_{\ell i} = 0$, the first equation cannot be solved. There are two reasons for these apparent difficulties. The first has to do with the assumptions about the sign of $t_{\ell i}$ and k_i^2. For $k_i^2 < 0$ (time-like three momentum) and $t_{\ell i} < 0$ we would replace ζ_i with a z rotation ϕ_i and $\theta_{\ell i}$ with a z boost. Equation (2.8a) would then read $f_{\ell i}\, \theta_i\, h_{\ell i} = \mu_{\ell i}\, \zeta_{\ell i}\, \nu_{\ell i}$, which spans the necessary remaining portion of the O(2,1) group. However, as discussed in CDM, space-like three-momentum transfers span the most important part of the phase space for small t, and the whole phase space in the limit

$t \to 0$ if one adheres to the definition (2.5) in this limit. The second reason for the apparent inadequacy of (2.8a) is that our prescription for going from the three-dimensional to the four-dimensional BCP frames does not leave room for an arbitrary z rotation in the four-dimensional BCP frames. This restricts the choice of the BCP O(2,1) transformation.

15. M. Toller, Nuovo Cimento 37, 631 (1965).

16. Note that, although formulas given in the text do not depend formally on the choice of the basis for the representation functions, the actual expression for $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ of course does. Formulas (A.3), (A.51), and (A.52) are written in Toller's conventions. Since the $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\zeta)$ is evaluated for a y boost, it differs from the expression given by Toller by a factor $i^{m-m'}$.

17. We are indebted to Michael Misheloff for assistance on this point.

18.
$$\cos \chi_i = \frac{2M_i^{\,2}(t - t_{\ell i} - t_{ui}) + (t_{\ell,i+1} - t_{\ell i} - M_i^{\,2})(t_{u,i+1} - t_{ui} - M_i^{\,2})}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(M_i^{\,2}, t_{\ell i}, t_{\ell,i+1})\, \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(M_i^{\,2}, t_{ui}, t_{u,i+1})}.$$

19. The coefficients C and C' are related to the vector addition coefficients for the representations of O(2,1). [See Kuo-hsiang Wang, The Clebsch-Gordan Series and the Clebsch-Gordan Coefficients of O(2,1) and SU(1,1), Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-19306, August 1969.] For practical applications involving a few leading terms, they may be obtained directly by comparing asymptotic expressions for $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$.

20. $\tilde{B}_{m_a m}(a\Theta h)$ is the same function of $\tilde{a} = a\Theta h$ as the CD incomplete absorptive part, but satisfies a different integral equation in which the variables q, Θ, ζ replace $\tilde{q}$, $\tilde{\mu}$, $\tilde{\zeta}$, and $\tilde{\nu}$. The reason is that in the CD integral equation the integration over $\tilde{\mu}$ replaces the summation over intermediate helicities, whereas here it has been performed explicitly. The equivalence of the two equations can be proved by noting that, due to helicity conservation, the equation satisfied by $\tilde{B}(a\Theta h)$ is invariant under the substitution $h \to h\beta$, $h' \to h'\beta'$, $f' \to \beta^{-1}f'$ where β and β' are z rotations, and that an extra integration over β can therefore be added. This invariance permitted an arbitrariness with respect to z rotations in the definition of the CD frames.

21. The volume elements $dt\ \mathrm{sh}\ \tilde{q}\ d^3q$ and $dk\ dw\ d\zeta$ are appropriate for the integral equations after the factors $(-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and k are removed from the respective incomplete absorptive parts that come directly from the BCP expansion. For a given a, B and $\tilde{B}$ are therefore normalized in a different way; hence, the factor $\sin\Theta$.

22. Since h depends on the variables k, w, k', w', this is needed in order to have a relation involving only one set of variables, k and w.

23. Equations (3.8a) and (3.8b) are valid as asymptotic expressions $\mathrm{ch}\ \tilde{\zeta}$ and $e^{|\xi|}$ respectively, where $\tilde{g} = R_z(\tilde{\mu})\ B_x(\tilde{\zeta})\ R_z(\tilde{\nu})$.

24. The notation is as follows (see Refs. 1-3): The O(3,1) representation functions are labeled by (λ,M), which specifies the unitary representation, by (j,m) for the O(3) basis, by $(\ell s, m)$ or

$(\ell s, \mu r)$ for the O(2,1) basis. In the last case, ℓ specifies the O(2,1) representation for each $(s = \pm)$ of the two classes of O(2,1) cosets which occur in the O(3,1) group, and $(\mu\pm)$ refers to the two classes of O(1,1) cosets in O(2,1), with a given eigenvalue $(-i\mu)$ of K_y.

25. A. Sciarrino and M. Toller, J. Math. Phys. 8, 1252 (1967).

26. We assume $\lambda_0 < 0$, so that the completeness relation is properly convergent [cf. (A.33)]. Note that, due to the conventions of Toller (Ref. 22) and MM[1] for the λ plane, $b_{\alpha+}^{\lambda M}$ has a pole at $\lambda = -\lambda_0$, and is well-behaved in the l.h. λ plane.

27. M. Toller, Nuovo Cimento 53A, 671 (1968).

28. The restriction $\eta > 0$ in CDM can be removed by choosing the appropriate sheet in continuing to $\eta < 0$.

29. That $\Gamma^{\lambda M}$ must be independent of m may be verified by putting $a \to ag$ in (A.4) and using the irreducibility of D^{ℓ}.

30. Explicit calculation from (A.9) gives, for $M = 0$,

$$\alpha_{\ell}^{\lambda 0} = \sin \pi\ell / \sin \pi\lambda ,$$

$$\beta_{\ell}^{\lambda 0} = -\Gamma(\lambda)\, \Gamma(\lambda + 1)/[\Gamma(\ell + 1 + \lambda)\, \Gamma(\lambda - \ell)] .$$

The properties (A.23-25) can be explicitly verified for these expressions.

31. We follow here the conventions of Sciarrino and Toller (Ref. 25) and MM[1] for the sign of λ in the induction construction of the representation in the mixed basis. This implies that $D_{\ell+}^{-\lambda,-M}$ is well-behaved in the l.h. λ plane. Note that this convention is opposite to the usual one for the ℓ plane.

32. The explicit expression given in footnote 30 has such poles at integer values of λ. They correspond to the half-integer values in the ℓ plane. At such values $b_{\alpha+}^{\lambda M}$ has a symmetry analogous to the Mandelstam (Ref. 34) symmetry, and called "gemel symmetry" by Gatto and Menotti (Ref. 35).

33. S. Mandelstam, Ann. Phys. 19, 254 (1962).

34. Gatto and P. Menotti [Phys. Letters 28B, 668 and 29B, 593 (1969)] have studied this symmetry in the case $\alpha = 0$, where $\alpha_0^{\lambda 0} = 0$, and therefore the poles at the integers do not appear in our expression. When $\alpha \neq 0$, the absence of such poles in $b_{\alpha-}^{\lambda}$ can be used in Eq. (A.34) to prove the gemel symmetry very easily.

35. Equation (A.52) is obtained from the complex conjugate of Eq. (A.20) of CDM after multiplication by the phase factor $\exp(i\frac{\pi}{2} m)$. The reason is that Mukunda's convention for the O(2) basis differs from Toller's. We are now using Toller's basis, whereas we used Mukunda's basis in Eqs. (A.19-20) of CDM. [See N. Mukunda, J. Math. Phys. 8, 2210 (1967).]

36. M. Andrews and J. Gunson, J. Math. Phys. 5, 1391 (1964).

37. We keep the conventions of Sciarrino and Toller and MM^1 for the sign of λ (see Ref. 26).

38. $N = \min(|m|,|M|)$ for $mM \geqslant 0$ and $N = 0$ for $mM \leqslant 0$ (see Ref. 15).

39. In the O(2,1) case, we were able to remove the kinematical poles from the incomplete absorptive part explicitly by factoring out a B function. Since we have not been able to do the same in the

O(3,1) case, we do not have an expression analogous to (2.25) relating $\hat{b}_{\alpha}^{\lambda M}$ to $b_{\alpha+}^{\lambda M}$. Hence in practice one must substitute (B.14) in (B.17) to relate $b_{\alpha+}^{\lambda M}$ to $\hat{b}_{\alpha}^{\lambda M}$, although we believe the relationship is not fundamentally a dynamical one.

40. D. Amati, A. Stanghellini, and S. Fubini, Nuovo Cimento 26, 896 (1962).

41. The $t = 0$ equation can be obtained directly in a much simpler way [see S. Nussinov and J. Rosner, J. Math. Phys. 7, 1670 (1966)]. Here we want simply to show how the $\alpha = 0$ limit is reached with our formalism.

42. The absence of the factor $\sin\theta$ of Eq. (3.5) is consistent with the form of Eq. (D.4) and of Eq. (4.12) of CDM.

43. From Eqs. (A.50) and (A.52) one can verify that $V^{0}_{0,n\tau} = W^{0}_{0,n\tau} = \delta_{n0}$.

44. Bateman Manuscript Project, edited by A. Erdelyi (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953), Vol. I, Eqs. 3.7 (31), 3.3 (13), and 3.15 (4).

45. Ref. 44, Sec. (3.15).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Connection between $t < 0$ and $t = 0$ frames in the middle of the chain. Only the lower $t = 0$ frames are shown. The $t < 0$ frames are shown halfway between upper and lower momenta. The notation is defined in the text.

Fig. 2. Connection between $t < 0$ and $t = 0$ frames at the left end of the chain.

Fig. 3. Index summation scheme for the expression of the residue in Eq. (2.22).

Fig. 4. The property of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients used in the text.

Fig. 5. Location of the poles in the λ plane for the integration of Eq. (3.15). We have shown with small circles the poles coming from $b_{\alpha s}{}^{\ell}$, and with crosses all other poles.

Fig. 6. Location of the poles in the λ plane of Eq. (3.15) after substitution of Eq. (3.17).

Fig. 7. Location of the poles in the ℓ plane in the integration of Eq. (B.13).


Q_{ui}
$Q_{u,i+1}$
$Q_{u,i+2}$
(pi,u)
a_i
q_i
ζ_{i+1}
(i,r)
$(i+1,\ell)$
$(i+1,r)$
P_i
P_{i+1}
x_i
$\theta_{\ell i}$
$\theta_{\ell,i+1}$
$\theta_{\ell,i+1}$
(pi,ℓ)
$v_{\ell i}$
$h_{\ell i}$
$f_{\ell,i+1}$
ζ_{i+1}
$h_{\ell,i+1}$
$Q_{\ell i}$
$(\ell i,r)$
$(\ell i+1,\ell)$
$Q_{\ell,i+1}$
$(\ell i+1,r)$
$Q_{\ell,i+2}$
$q_{\ell i}$
$a_{\ell i}$
$g_{\ell,i+1}$
XBL 6911-6269

Fig. 1



XBL 6911-6268

Fig. 2



XBL6911-6267

Fig. 3



XBL6911-6266

Fig. 4



XBL6911-6265

Fig. 5



XBL6911-6264

Fig. 6



XBL 6911-6263

Fig. 7

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720