

c. 2

RESONANT APPROXIMATION FOR SINGLE-PARTICLE
RESONANCES IN THE RENORMALIZED RPA-PROBLEM

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
RADIATION LABORATORY
JUN 5 1970
LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

M. Weigel

April 1970

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545*

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA BERKELEY

UCRL-19578

44
2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

RESONANT APPROXIMATION FOR SINGLE-PARTICLE RESONANCES IN
THE RENORMALIZED RPA-PROBLEM*

M. Weigel[†]

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

April 1970

Abstract

An approximate version of the renormalized RPA-treatment for scattering of nucleons on a hole nucleus is given, in which the shape resonances are treated according to a method of V. V. Balashov et al. In order to make the results more transparent we take into account the additional influence of the non resonant part of the single-particle continuum with the help of a separable particle-hole force.

* Work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

[†] On leave from the Sektion Physik der Universität München, Munich, Germany.

1. Introduction

In a previous work¹⁾ we have given the equations for the renormalized RPA-problem with inclusion of the single-particle continuum by extending Migdal's quasi-particle approach²⁾ to the continuum³⁾. We designed a model in which the so called nuclear-structure problem (only bound single-particle orbits are included) was used as a zero-order solution. In this model one could first solve the nuclear-structure problem with the full effective particle-hole force. The influence of the continuum was taken into account by approximating all matrix elements containing continuum single-particle states with a separable force so avoiding the original complicated Fredholm problem. This is due to the fact that the Fredholm determinant degenerates for a separable force. The details as well as further references can be found in refs. ¹⁾ and ³⁾. The model implied the assumption that the solution for the nuclear-structure problem is already a good approximation for the corresponding "bound-state" solution with inclusion of the single-particle continuum. But in some cases it is well known that one has to include single-particle resonances--for instance the $1d_{3/2}$ -resonance in $^{16}_0\text{O}$ --in order to obtain a satisfactory solution for the nuclear-structure problem. We have been able to overcome this difficulty by applying the method of Garside and MacDonald^{4,5)}. But it turns out that the resulting equations are rather complicated, so one might try an approximate treatment by the use of a "resonant approximation" for the resonant single-particle states^{6,7)}. We will give in the second section the relevant definitions and approximations needed in such an attempt. The resulting equations are derived in the third section. In order to make the structure of the problem more transparent a solvable model is presented in the fourth section, in which all matrix elements containing non

resonant continuum single-particle states are approximated by a separable force. We will restrict ourselves to the case of one shape resonance only, since the generalization to several channels can be achieved easily by following the same road as in the one channel case.

2. General RPA-Formalism and the Definition of the Resonant Approximation

In ref. ¹⁾ the following equation for the particle-hole amplitude was obtained (A; I.12):*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,M} &= (n_\nu - n_\mu) \frac{1}{E_M + \epsilon_\mu - \epsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)} \{ \delta_{k_0\nu} \delta_{j_0\mu} (-i\eta) \delta_{M,S} \\ &- 2\pi \sum_{\sigma\lambda} \tilde{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda}(\omega) \tilde{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,M} \} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.1})$$

Here $\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,M}$ is the quasi-particle-hole amplitude:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,M} = (z_\nu z_\mu)^{-1/2} \langle 0 | \psi_\mu^\dagger \psi_\nu | M \rangle \quad (\text{II.2})$$

where ψ_μ^\dagger and ψ_μ are the Schroedinger creation--and annihilation operators, respectively, of a nucleon with the quantum number set μ defined by a suitable shell-model hamiltonian. For continuum wavefunctions we choose the standing wave boundary condition. The z_ν 's are Migdal's renormalization constants²⁾; $2\pi \tilde{I}$ is the renormalized particle-hole matrix element. By $|M\rangle$ we denote a scattering state as well as a bound state $|B\rangle$. Since we assume the target nucleus to be a closed shell nucleus plus one quasi-hole, we can specify the scattering state $|S\rangle$ by the quantum number set $k_0 (= \epsilon_{k_0}, j_{k_0}, \ell_{k_0}, m_{k_0}, t_{k_0})$ of the incoming particle plus the quantum number set j_0 of the corresponding quasihole. Hence $|S\rangle$ means more explicitly $|S; k_0, j_0\rangle$. With n_ν we denote the quasiparticle occupation number for the state ν with respect

* In this paper we are using the same notations and definitions as in ref. ¹⁾. We refer to ref. ¹⁾ as A, so that (A; I.1) means eq. (I.1) of ref. ¹⁾. $\sum_{\sigma\lambda}$ means summation over the discrete variables as well as integration over the continuum variables.

to the groundstate $|0\rangle$ of the compound-nucleus. The zeropoint of the energy is chosen to be ground state energy of the compound nucleus.

One knows^{6,7}) that within the range of the single-particle potential the continuum wave function $|p\rangle$ in the resonance channel $\bar{\xi}$ can be represented in the vicinity of an isolated pole by

$$|p\rangle \approx C(\epsilon_p) |\xi\rangle \quad \text{for } \bar{p} = \bar{\xi}, \quad 0 \leq \epsilon_p \leq \epsilon_{\max}, \quad (\text{II.3})$$

with $\langle \xi | \xi \rangle = 1$. Here, with $\bar{p}, \bar{\xi}$ etc. we specify the channels--for instance $\bar{p} = j_p, l_p, t_p$. $C(\epsilon_p)$ has the following structure:

$$C(\epsilon_p) = \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon_p)/2\pi}{(\epsilon_p - \epsilon_{\text{res}} - \Delta)^2 + \Gamma^2/4} \right\}^{1/2}. \quad (\text{II.4})$$

The details for obtaining $|\xi\rangle$ and $C(\epsilon_p)$ can be found in ref. ⁷).

Our goal is to replace the continuum states $|p\rangle$ by the quasibound state $|\xi\rangle$ and furthermore to treat amplitudes with the index ξ similarly as amplitudes specified by true bound states. In the original problem we can distinguish three different particle-hole states:

$$(v,\mu) = \{ (r,i); (i,r) \mid (p,i); (i,p) \mid (c,i); (i,c) \}. \quad (\text{II.5})$$

Here i characterizes hole states, r true bound states and c continuum states not belonging to the class defined by (II.3). In the new problem we want to deal with a new classification given by:*

$$(v,\mu) = \{ (r,i); (i,r) \mid (\xi,i); (i,\xi) \mid (c,i); (i,c) \}. \quad (\text{II.6})$$

* One may also use modified continuum wave functions $|c\rangle$ constructed orthogonal to $|\xi\rangle$ ⁸).

In order to have a clear distinction we will label the particle-hole amplitudes, matrix elements etc. in the new classification by $\hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,M}$, \hat{I} etc. Since in the second term of (II.1) only the wave functions within the range of the particle-hole force are needed we can insert there the quantities according to the new classification. We obtain then for the relevant term ($(\nu\mu)$ according to (II.6)!))

$$\sum_{(\sigma\lambda)\in(p,i)} \tilde{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda} \tilde{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,M} \approx \sum_i N_{\xi}^{\bar{}} \{ \hat{I}_{\nu\mu\xi} \hat{\rho}_{\xi i,M} + \hat{I}_{\nu\xi\mu i} \hat{\rho}_{i\xi,M} \} , \quad (\text{II.7})$$

with⁹):

$$N_{\xi}^{\bar{}} = \int_0^{\epsilon_{\max}} c^2(\epsilon_p) d\epsilon_p \approx 1 . \quad (\text{II.8})$$

We have to be mindful of that eq. (II.1) does not apply a priori to $\hat{\rho}_{\xi\sigma,M}$ since $|\xi\rangle$ is not an eigenstate of the shell model hamiltonian. In the next section we are going to derive the equations for these quantities.

3. RPA-Equations in the Resonant Approximation

Using (II.7), (II.8) and (II.3) we can rewrite (II.1) as follows

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,M} = \frac{(n_\mu - n_\nu)}{E_M + \epsilon_\mu - \epsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)} \{ i\eta \delta_{k_0\nu} \delta_{j_0\mu} \delta_{M,S} + 2\pi D_{\nu\mu} \sum_{\sigma\lambda} \hat{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda} \hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,M} \} \quad (III.1)$$

with

$$D_{\nu\mu} = \begin{cases} 1 & (\nu,\mu) \notin (p,i); (i,p) \\ C(\epsilon_\nu) & \nu \in p \\ C(\epsilon_\mu) & \mu \in p \end{cases} \quad (III.2)$$

Here, the quantity $\hat{I} \hat{\rho}$ is labelled according to (II.6). We can distinguish three possibilities for $|M\rangle$:

a) $|M\rangle = |B\rangle$

From (II.3) we deduce the following relations:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,B} = \begin{cases} \hat{\rho}_{\nu\mu,B} & (\nu\mu) \notin (p,i); (i,p) \\ C(\epsilon_\nu) \hat{\rho}_{\xi\mu,B} & \nu \in p \\ C(\epsilon_\mu) \hat{\rho}_{\nu\xi,B} & \mu \in p \end{cases} \quad (III.3)$$

Insertion of (III.3) into (III.1) gives the following equation for $\hat{\rho}_{\nu\mu,B}$:

$$\hat{\rho}_{\nu\mu,B} = (n_\mu - n_\nu) \hat{f}_{\nu\mu}^{-1}(E_B) \sum_{\sigma\lambda} 2\pi \hat{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda} \hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,B} \quad (III.4)$$

If either ν or μ is equal to ξ this equation is obtained by multiplying (III.1) with $C(\epsilon_p)$ and integration over the energy. Hence we have the following definitions for $\hat{f}_{\nu\mu}^{-1}$ ($\Gamma = \eta$ below threshold)⁹):

$$\hat{f}_{\nu\mu}^{-1}(E_B) := \{E_B + \epsilon_\mu - \epsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)\}^{-1} \text{ for } (\nu, \mu) \neq (\xi, i); (i, \xi), \text{ (III.5)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_{\xi\mu}^{-1}(E_B) &= \int \frac{d\epsilon_p}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\epsilon_p)}{(\epsilon_p - \epsilon_{res} - \Delta)^2 + \Gamma^2/4} \frac{1}{E_B + \epsilon_\mu - \epsilon_p + i\eta} \right) \\ &\approx \{E_B + \epsilon_\mu - \epsilon_{res} - \Delta + \frac{i}{2} \Gamma(E_B + \epsilon_\mu)\}^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad \text{(III.6)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_{\nu\xi}^{-1}(E_B) &= \int \frac{d\epsilon_p}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\epsilon_p)}{(\epsilon_p - \epsilon_{res} - \Delta)^2 + \Gamma^2/4} \frac{1}{E_B + \epsilon_p - \epsilon_\nu - i\eta} \right) \\ &\approx \{E_B + \epsilon_{res} + \Delta - \epsilon_\nu - \frac{i}{2} \Gamma(E_B - \epsilon_\nu)\}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad \text{(III.7)}$$

If approximation (II.8) is not valid one has to replace $\hat{\rho}$ in (III.4) by $N\hat{\rho}$.

b) $|M\rangle = |S\rangle \equiv |S, k_o j_o\rangle$ with $k_o \notin p$.

The equation for these amplitudes can be derived in the same manner as in the preceding subsection. We get:

$$\hat{\rho}_{\nu\mu, S} = (n_\mu - n_\nu) \{ \delta_{k_o\nu} \delta_{j_o\mu} + \hat{f}_{\nu\mu}^{-1}(E_S) \sum_{\sigma\lambda} 2\pi \hat{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda} \hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma, S} \} \quad \text{(III.8)}$$

c) $|M\rangle = |S\rangle \equiv |S, k_o j_o\rangle$ with $k_o = p_o \in p$.

The scattering state was defined by³⁾

$$|S\rangle = (z_{j_0} z_{p_0})^{-1/2} \frac{i\eta}{E_S - H + i\eta} \psi_{p_0}^\dagger \psi_{j_0} |0\rangle \quad (\text{III.9})$$

therefore it seems reasonable according to our assumptions to approximate the scattering state in the interior (localized state) by

$$|S_L\rangle \approx c(\epsilon_{p_0}) |\hat{S}\rangle, \quad (\text{III.10})$$

with:

$$|\hat{S}\rangle := (z_{j_0} z_{\xi_0})^{-1/2} \frac{i\eta}{E_S - H + i\eta} \psi_{\xi_0}^\dagger \psi_{j_0} |0\rangle. \quad (\text{III.11})$$

Since $|\xi\rangle$ is concentrated in the interior it should be sufficient to treat $|\hat{S}\rangle$. If neither ν or μ belongs to p one obtains,

$$\hat{\rho}_{\nu\mu, \hat{S}} = (n_\mu - n_\nu) \hat{f}_{\nu\mu}^{-1}(E_S) \sum_{\sigma\lambda} 2\pi \hat{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda} \hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma, \hat{S}}. \quad (\text{III.12})$$

If--for instance-- ν belongs to p we get from eq. (III.1):

$$\tilde{\rho}_{pi, S} = (n_i - n_p) \{\delta_{ij_0} \langle p|p_0\rangle + \frac{c(\epsilon_p)c(\epsilon_{p_0})}{E_S + \epsilon_i - \epsilon_p + i\eta} \sum_{\sigma\lambda} \hat{I}_{\xi\sigma i\lambda} \hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma, \hat{S}}\}. \quad (\text{III.13})$$

In the interior now the following replacement holds:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{pi, S} \approx c(\epsilon_p) \hat{\rho}_{\xi i, S} = c(\epsilon_p) c(\epsilon_{p_0}) \hat{\rho}_{\xi i, \hat{S}}. \quad (\text{III.14})$$

Furthermore, since we are only interested in the interior region we can insert instead of $\langle p|p_0 \rangle$ the following expression:

$$\langle p|p_0 \rangle_L \approx c(\epsilon_p) c(\epsilon_{p_0}) \delta_{\xi\xi_0}, \quad (\text{III.15})$$

The quantum number set $\xi(\xi_0)$ coincides with the quantum number set $p(p_0)$ if we exclude the energy ($\langle \xi|\xi \rangle = 1$). Use of (III.14) and (III.15) leads to:

$$\hat{\rho}_{\xi i, \hat{S}} = \delta_{ij_0} \delta_{\xi\xi_0} + \hat{f}_{\xi i}^{-1}(E_S) \sum_{\sigma\lambda} 2\pi \hat{I}_{\xi\sigma i\lambda} \hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma, \hat{S}}. \quad (\text{III.16})$$

Analogously we get:

$$\hat{\rho}_{i\xi, \hat{S}} = -2\pi \hat{f}_{i\xi}^{-1}(E_S) \sum_{\sigma\lambda} 2\pi \hat{I}_{i\sigma\xi\lambda} \hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma, \hat{S}}. \quad (\text{III.17})$$

Equations (III.4), (III.8), (III.12), (III.16) and (III.17) provide the system of equations necessary for the RPA-treatment with inclusion of the continuum. The transformation to the original problem is formally given by eq. (III.1).

In the next section we are going to derive a model in which all matrix elements containing single-particle states $|c \rangle$ are approximated by a separable interaction.

4. Model Equations

We can split off the sum over the quantum numbers in our equations in two terms, where the first sum contains only the summation over bound or quasibound states. In the second sum we replace the matrix elements by separable ones, i.e.:

$$2\pi \hat{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\alpha} = \lambda \hat{W}_{\nu\mu} \hat{W}_{\sigma\alpha} \quad , \quad (\text{IV.1})$$

so obtaining:

$$2\pi \sum_{\sigma\alpha} \hat{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\alpha} \hat{\rho}_{\alpha\sigma, M} = 2\pi \sum_{\sigma\alpha}' \hat{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\alpha} \hat{\rho}_{\alpha\sigma, M} + \lambda \hat{W}_{\nu\mu} \sum_{\sigma\alpha}'' \hat{W}_{\sigma\alpha} \hat{\rho}_{\alpha\sigma, M} \quad , \quad (\text{IV.2})$$

where the restricted sums are defined as follows ($U_{\nu\mu}$ is an arbitrary function of ν and μ):

$$\sum_{\nu\mu}' \hat{U}_{\nu\mu} = \sum_{ri} (\hat{U}_{ri} + \hat{U}_{ir}) + \sum_{i\xi_p} (\hat{U}_{\xi i} + \hat{U}_{i\xi}) \quad , \quad (\text{IV.3})$$

$$\sum_{\nu\mu}'' \hat{U}_{\nu\mu} = \sum_{ci} (\hat{U}_{ci} + \hat{U}_{ic}) \quad . \quad (\text{IV.4})$$

Insertion of (IV.2) into eq. (III.4) leads to the following eigenvalue problem:

$$\sum_{\lambda\sigma} \{ \hat{A}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda} + \hat{C}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda}^B - \delta_{\nu\lambda} \delta_{\sigma\mu} E_B \} \hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma, B} = 0 \quad \text{for } \nu\mu \notin (ci); (ic) \quad , \quad (\text{IV.5})$$

where we have introduced the following abbreviations:

$$U_B = \lambda \sum_{\nu\mu}'' |\hat{W}_{\nu\mu}|^2 (n_\mu - n_\nu) \frac{1}{E_B + \epsilon_\mu - \epsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)} \quad , \quad (\text{IV.6})$$

$$m_B = U_B \sum_{\alpha\beta}' (n_\alpha - n_\beta) |\hat{w}_{\beta\alpha}|^2, \quad (\text{IV.7})$$

$$\hat{A}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda} = (n_\mu - n_\nu) 2\pi \hat{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda} - \delta_{\lambda\nu} \delta_{\sigma\mu} (\hat{f}_{\nu\mu}(E_B) - E_B), \quad (\text{IV.8})$$

$$\hat{C}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda}^B = (n_\mu - n_\nu) \lambda \hat{w}_{\nu\mu} \frac{U_B \sum_{\alpha\beta}' \hat{w}_{\alpha\beta} \hat{A}_{\alpha\sigma\beta\lambda}}{E_B(1-U_B) - \lambda m_B}. \quad (\text{IV.9})$$

The amplitudes with one index belonging to the continuum are given by:

$$\hat{\rho}_{\nu\mu,B} = \frac{(n_\mu - n_\nu)}{E_B + \epsilon_\mu - \epsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)} \lambda \hat{w}_{\nu\mu} D_B \frac{1}{1-U_B}, \quad (\text{IV.10})$$

with

$$D_B = \sum_{\sigma\lambda}' \hat{w}_{\sigma\lambda} \hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,B}. \quad (\text{IV.11})$$

Equation (IV.5) provides a matrix equation which may be solved by iteration.

For $\Gamma \rightarrow 0$ and $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ we get the standard nuclear structure problem which might be used as the starting point of the iteration procedure. Above threshold one will obtain complex energy eigenvalues due to presence of U_B (even if $\Gamma \rightarrow 0$). Since we have removed the shape resonances it should be easy in a practical calculation to interpolate U_B as a function of E . When one has solved the eigenvalue problem (IV.5) the amplitudes containing continuum single-particle states $|c\rangle$ can be obtained from (IV.10).

The subcase b) can be treated in a similar manner. Due to the inhomogenous term in eq. (III.8) we get the following inhomogenous matrix equation:

$$\sum_{\sigma\lambda} \{ \hat{A}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda} + \hat{C}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda}^S - \delta_{\nu\lambda} \delta_{\sigma\mu} E_S \} \hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,S} = \hat{d}_{\nu\mu}^{k_o j_o, S} \quad \text{for } (\nu\mu) \notin (c,i); (i,c) . \quad (\text{IV.12})$$

with:

$$\hat{d}_{\nu\mu}^{k_o j_o, S} := -\lambda (n_\mu - n_\nu) (n_{j_o} - n_{k_o}) \hat{w}_{\nu\mu} \hat{w}_{k_o j_o} \frac{E_S}{E_S(1-U_S) - \lambda m_S} . \quad (\text{IV.13})$$

The amplitudes with one continuous index are given by:

$$\hat{\rho}_{\nu\mu,S} = (n_\mu - n_\nu) \left\{ \delta_{k_o \nu} \delta_{j_o \mu} + \frac{\lambda \hat{w}_{\nu\mu}}{E_S + \epsilon_\mu - \epsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)} \frac{1}{1-U_S} \right. \\ \left. \times [D_S + \hat{w}_{k_o j_o}] \right\} \quad \text{for } \nu\mu \in (c,i); (i,c) . \quad (\text{IV.14})$$

Since d is non zero one has in this case only to invert a matrix of finite dimension to obtain the solution. As expected these solutions have resonances for $E_S = \text{Re}E_B$.

If the incoming particle belongs to the class p the inhomogenous term occurs in the eq. (III.16) for $\hat{\rho}_{\xi_i, \hat{S}}$ and not in $\hat{\rho}_{c_i, \hat{S}}$ as in subcase b. For this reason we get a different inhomogenous term in comparison with (IV.12).

One obtains:

$$\sum_{\sigma\lambda} \{ \hat{A}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda}^{\hat{S}} + \hat{C}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda}^{\hat{S}} - \delta_{\nu\lambda} \delta_{\sigma\mu} E_S \} \hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma, \hat{S}} = \hat{d}_{\nu\mu}^{\xi_o j_o, \hat{S}} \quad \text{for } \nu\mu \notin (c,i); (i,c) . \quad (\text{IV.15})$$

with

$$\hat{d}_{\nu\mu}^{\xi_o j_o, \hat{S}} := (n_\nu - n_\mu) \hat{f}_{\xi_o j_o} (E_S) \frac{1}{1-U_S} \left\{ \delta_{j_o \mu} \delta_{\nu \xi_o} + \frac{\lambda U_S \hat{w}_{\nu\mu} \hat{w}_{\xi_o j_o}}{E_S(1-U_S) - \lambda m_S} \right\} . \quad (\text{IV.16})$$

The equivalent relation to (IV.14) turns out to be:

$$\hat{\rho}_{\nu\mu, \hat{S}} = \frac{n_\mu - n_\nu}{E_S + \epsilon_\mu - \epsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)} \lambda \hat{W}_{\nu\mu} \frac{D_{\hat{S}}}{1 - U_{\hat{S}}} \quad \text{for } (\nu\mu) \in (ci); (ic) \quad (IV.17)$$

Both solutions have as in the former case resonances for $E_S \rightarrow \text{Re}E_B$.

The final solution according to the classification (II.5) can be obtained for the bound states $|B\rangle$ with the help of the relations (III.3). In the scattering problem we get approximately for the case $k_o \notin p$:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu, S} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \hat{\rho}_{\nu\mu, S} & (\nu\mu) \in (r,i); (i,r) \\ C(\epsilon_\nu) \hat{\rho}_{\xi\mu, S} & \nu \in p \\ C(\epsilon_\mu) \hat{\rho}_{\nu\xi, S} & \mu \in p \\ \delta_{\nu k_o} \delta_{\mu j_o} + \frac{(n_\mu - n_\nu)}{E_S + \epsilon_\mu - \epsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)} \lambda W_{\nu\mu} \frac{1}{1 - U_S} [D_{S+W_{k_o j_o}}] & (\nu\mu) \in (ci); (ic) \end{array} \right. \quad (IV.18)$$

If $k_o = p_o \in p$ one can derive the following relations using (III.1), (III.10), (III.12), (III.16) and (III.17):

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu, S} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{n_\mu - n_\nu}{E_S + \epsilon_\mu - \epsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)} \frac{\lambda W_{\nu\mu} D_{\hat{S}} C(\epsilon_{p_o})}{1 - U_{\hat{S}}} & (\nu\mu) \in (c,i); (i,c) \\ C(\epsilon_{p_o}) \hat{\rho}_{\nu\mu, \hat{S}} & (\nu\mu) \in (r,i); (i,r) \\ C(\epsilon_{p_o}) C(\epsilon_p) \hat{\rho}_{i\xi, \hat{S}} & \nu=i \quad \mu=p \\ \delta_{pp_o} \delta_{ijo} + \frac{C(\epsilon_{p_o}) C(\epsilon_p)}{E_S + \epsilon_i - \epsilon_p + i\eta} [\hat{f}_{\xi i}(E_S) \hat{\rho}_{\xi i, \hat{S}} - \frac{\hat{f}_{\xi_o j_o}(E_S)}{1 - U_{\hat{S}}} \delta_{j_o i} \delta_{\xi\xi_o}] & \nu=p \quad \mu=i \end{array} \right. \quad (IV.19)$$

The second and third relations in (IV.18) and the third relation in (IV.19) are valid only in the interior.

From (IV.18) and (IV.19) the wanted expressions for the S-matrix and the T-matrix can now be read off. After reinserting the single-particle phase factors taken out by using standing wave boundary conditions, one obtains:

$$S_{ki, k_0 j_0}(E) = e^{2i\delta_{k_0}} \delta_{kk_0} \delta_{ij_0} - 2\pi i \delta(E_{k_0 j_0} - E) T_{ki, k_0 j_0}(E) \quad , \quad (IV.20)$$

with

$$T_{ki, k_0 j_0}(E) = \lambda W_{ki} \frac{1}{1-U_S} [D_S + W_{k_0 j_0}] e^{i(\delta_{k_0} + \delta_k)} \quad \text{for } k \notin p, k_0 \notin p \quad , \quad (IV.21)$$

$$T_{pi, k_0 j_0}(E) = C(\epsilon_p) \hat{\rho}_{\xi_i, S} e^{i(\delta_{k_0} + \delta_p)} \hat{f}_{\xi_i}(E) \quad \text{for } k = p, k_0 \notin p \quad (IV.22)$$

$$T_{ki, p_0 j_0}(E) = \lambda W_{ki} \frac{1}{1-U_S} D_S C(\epsilon_{p_0}) e^{i(\delta_k + \delta_{p_0})} \quad \text{for } k \notin p, k_0 = p_0 \in p, \quad (IV.23)$$

$$T_{pi, p_0 j_0}(E) = C(\epsilon_{p_0}) C(\epsilon_p) [\hat{f}_{\xi_i}(E) \hat{\rho}_{\xi_i, \hat{S}} - \frac{\delta_{j_0} i^{\delta_{\xi_i} \xi_0}}{1-U_S} \hat{f}_{\xi_0 j_0}(E)] e^{i(\delta_{p_0} + \delta_p)} \quad (IV.24)$$

for $k = p, k_0 = p_0 \in p$.

For $E_S = \epsilon_p - \epsilon_i$ and $\epsilon_p \rightarrow \epsilon_{res} + \Delta$ the S-matrix does not possess--as expected--single-particle resonance, since (IV.20) takes the following form

$$e^{i2\delta} \left\{ 1 + 2\pi i \frac{\Gamma/2\pi[(\epsilon_p - \epsilon_{res} - \Delta) + i/2\Gamma]}{(\epsilon_p - \epsilon_{res} - \Delta)^2 + \Gamma^2/4} (1 + \dots) \right\} \approx 1 \quad , \quad (IV.25)$$

where we have suppressed the δ -function of energy.

5. Summary

A model for calculating the nucleon-nucleus scattering on one-hole type nuclei has been settled down in the framework of the renormalized RPA-theory, in which the shape resonant shell model states have been treated approximately as bound states. With a separable ansatz for the remaining continuum matrix-elements we have been able to derive a system of equations, which can be solved up to integrations over known nonresonant functions by standard matrix methods.*

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Dr. L. Garside for helpful discussions and wishes to express his gratitude to the members of the theoretical nuclear physics group for their hospitality. The Deutsche Forschungs gemeinschaft, Germany, supported this work by a grant.

* It was shown recently that one can also use Weinberg's method for the treatment of the continuum-continuum interaction in the unrenormalized RPA-scattering problem¹⁰).

References

- 1) M. Weigel, Phys. Rev. (to be published); Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-18994
- 2) A. B. Migdal, "Theory of Finite Fermi Systems and Applications to Atomic Nuclei," (Interscience Publ., New York, 1967)
- 3) M. Weigel, Nucl. Phys. A137 (1967) 629
- 4) L. Garside and W. M. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. 138 (1965) B582
- 5) L. Garside and M. Weigel, Phys. Rev. (to be published); Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-19538
- 6) V. V. Balashov et al., Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. (Engl. Transl.) 2 (1966) 461
- 7) H. J. Unger, Nucl. Phys. A104 (1967) 564
- 8) H. L. Wang and C. M. Shakin, preprint (subm. to Phys. Letters)
- 9) C. Mahaux and H. A. Weidenmüller, "Shell-Model Approach to Nuclear Reactions," (Wiley, New York, 1969), p. 82
- 10) J. N. Ginocchio, T. H. Schucan and H. A. Weidenmüller, Phys. Rev. C1 (1970)

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or*
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.*

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720