Submitted to Physical Review

UCRL-20070
Preprint

c. 2

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
RADIATION LABORATORY

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

INCLUSION OF INTERFERENCE TERMS IN THE ABFST MULTIPERIPHERAL MODEL

Dale R. Snider and Don M. Tow

August 19, 1970

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

UCRL-20070

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA BERKELEY

34a

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

INCLUSION OF INTERFERENCE TERMS IN THE ABFST MULTIPERIPHERAL MODEL*

Dale R. Snider† and Don M. Tow

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

August 19, 1970

ABSTRACT

An important class of interference terms is included in the ABFST multiperipheral model by adding an extra term to the inhomogeneous term and to the kernel of the integral equation. This additional term corresponds to the contribution to the 2-to-4 cross section from interchanging one or two pairs of particles from two different vertices. It is found that these interference terms change only slightly the position of the output vacuum pole, although they give a nonnegligible contribution to the four-particle production cross section.

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the popular approximations of multiperipheral models is to neglect interference terms arising from the overlap of amplitudes with different particle orderings along the multiperipheral chain. Supporting, but not proving, this assumption is the fact that the multiperipheral amplitude is largest when the longitudinal momenta are ordered according to the particles' position along the chain.

Recent calculations[1-3] with the ABFST multiperipheral model,[4] the only multiperipheral model without arbitrary normalization of the kernel, have found the kernel to be too weak by a factor of 2 to 5 to explain the intercepts of Regge trajectories. These calculations neglected all interference except that inherent in a 2-to-2 process.

Because of the basic assumption of the multiperipheral model we expect interference terms to be inversely ordered in importance according to their complexity. In this paper we study the effect of including in the model the "next order" interference. This is incorporated in the model by adding the interference terms from the 2-to-4 cross section to the kernel of the integral equation.

We find that including this interference has only a small effect on the position of the output vacuum pole; this, however, does not mean that for high multiplicity production cross sections interference effects are negligible.

Since interference terms in the ABFST multiperipheral model have their origin in Bose statistics of the final-state pions, we begin in Sec. II by studying the effects of symmetry on production amplitudes (ignoring isospin until Sec. III); we then derive the modified integral equation and a formula to measure the effect on the position of output Regge poles when a certain class of interference terms is included. Isospin is then properly taken into account in Sec. III. Section IV discusses the 2-to-2 π-π amplitudes that we use as input. We discuss the results in Sec. V. In the Appendix, we discuss four-particle phase space and the kinematic variables used in our calculation.

II. MODIFIED INTEGRAL EQUATION FOR ABSORPTIVE PART

In this section we consider pions to be isospinless. The basic assumption of the ABFST multiperipheral model is that the amplitude for two pions to go to n pions (n must be even because of G parity) is given by

$$T_n(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n; p_a, p_b) = \frac{1}{(q_1^2-\mu^2)(q_2^2-\mu^2)\cdots(q^2_{(n/2)-1}-\mu^2)}$$

$$\times T_2(p_1, p_2; p_a, q_1)\, T_2(p_3, p_4; -q_1, q_2) \cdots T_2(p_{n-1}, p_n; -q_{(n/2)-1}, p_b) \quad \text{(II.1)}$$

where $q_i = \sum_{j=1}^{2i} p_j - p_a$, μ is the pion mass, and $T_2(p_k, p_\ell; q_i, q_j)$ is the off-shell 2-to-2 π-π scattering amplitude. A diagram illustrating the variables and representing Eq. (II.1) is shown in Fig. 1.

If we neglect all interference terms due to different orderings of the final-state particles, then the amplitude in (II.1) may be successfully squared and integrated to get the 2-to-n cross section. However, since pions obey Bose statistics, (II.1) is not a proper amplitude. A properly symmetrized amplitude is

$$M_n(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n; p_a, p_b) = \sum_{i=1}^{n!} T_n(\mathbb{P}_i(p_j\text{'s}); p_a, p_b), \quad \text{(II.2)}$$

where $\mathbb{P}_i(p_j\text{'s})$ is the ith permutation of the set of n momenta $(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n)$. The 2-to-n cross section is

$$\sigma^{2\to n}(s) = \frac{1}{2\lambda(s, m_a^2, m_b^2)(2\pi)^{3n-4}} \times \frac{1}{n!} \int d\Phi_n$$

$$\times \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n!} T_n(\mathbb{P}_i(p_j\text{'s}); p_a, p_b)\right]^* \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n!} T_n(\mathbb{P}_k(p_j\text{'s}); p_a, p_b)\right], \quad \text{(II.3)}$$

where s is the c.m. energy squared and Φ_n is the n-particle phase space and is given by

$$\Phi_n = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[\int d^4p_i\, \delta^+(p_i^2 - m_i^2)\right] \times \delta^4(p_a + p_b - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i). \quad \text{(II.4)}$$

The factor $\frac{1}{n!}$ in (II.3) comes from normalizing the final state-vector, or equivalently from integrating only once over the distinguishable region of phase space. Since the p_j's are variables of integration, (II.3) may be rewritten in the unsymmetric form

$$\sigma^{2\to n}(s) = \frac{1}{2\lambda(s, m_a^2, m_b^2)(2\pi)^{3n-4}} \int d\Phi_n\, T_n^*(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n; p_a, p_b)$$

$$\times \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n!} T_n(\mathbb{P}_k(p_j\text{'s}); p_a, p_b)\right]. \quad \text{(II.5)}$$

The usual assumption of the ABFST model is that only those $2^{n/2}$ permutations corresponding to interchanging pions from the same vertex are kept in (II.5).[5] With this assumption, the 2-to-n

cross section becomes

$$\sigma^{2\to n}(s) = \frac{1}{2\lambda(s, m_a^2, m_b^2)\,(2\pi)^{3n-4}} \int d\Phi_n$$

$$\times\, T_2^*(p_1, p_2; p_a, q_1)\left[T_2(p_1, p_2; p_a, q_1) + T_2(p_2, p_1; p_a, q_1)\right] \frac{1}{\left(q_1^2 - \mu^2\right)^2}$$

$$\times\, T_2^*(p_3, p_4; -q_1, q_2)\left[T_2(p_3, p_4; -q_1, q_2) + T_2(p_4, p_3; -q_1, q_2)\right] \frac{1}{\left(q_2^2 - \mu^2\right)^2}$$

$$\times \cdots \times \frac{1}{\left(q_{(n/2)-1}^2 - \mu^2\right)^2} \times T_2^*(p_{n-1}, p_n; -q_{(n/2)-1}, p_b)$$

$$\times\left[T_2(p_{n-1}, p_n; -q_{(n/2)-1}, p_b) + T_2(p_n, p_{n-1}; -q_{(n/2)-1}, p_b)\right] \quad . \tag{II.6}$$

This leads to the usual integral equation[4] for the forward absorptive part of the elastic amplitude with the kernel being proportional to the 2-to-2 cross section. This 2-to-2 cross section is schematically represented in Fig. 2. For n = 2, (II.5) is identical to (II.6). But for n = 4, (II.5) has additional terms not contained in (II.6). It is these additional interference terms to the 2-to-4 cross section with which we are primarily concerned. For each production cross section a general class of interference terms will be included in the model when we add these 2-to-4 interference terms to the previous kernel.

For n = 4, there are 24 permutations in (II.5). This set of 24 permutations may be separated into three convenient classes by defining the set of permutation operators $D = \{I, S_{12}, S_{34}, S_{12}S_{34}\}$, where I is the identity operator, and S_{ij} is the operator which interchanges the i and j indices. Then $\{\mathbb{P}_k\} = D + C + X$, where $C \equiv D\,S_{23}\,D$ and $X \equiv D\,S_{13}\,S_{24}$. The sets D, C, and X contain 4, 16, and 4 permutations respectively. Notice that applying any of the operators in D does not change the expression for $q_1 \equiv p_1 + p_2 - p_a \equiv p_b - p_3 - p_4$; this is why this particular decomposition of $\{\mathbb{P}_k\}$ is useful here.

The terms in $\sigma^{2\to 4}$ from the permutations of D are exactly those included in the ABFST model under the "standard assumption." These four terms are shown in Fig. 3. One of the 16 terms in C is shown in Fig. 4a; the other 15 terms are obtained by interchanging two final-state particles from one of the four vertices. We represent the sum of all these 16 terms of C by Fig. 4b. The sum of all four terms from the class X is represented by Fig. 5. These interference terms from classes C and X are the terms not included under the "standard assumption."

If we define

$$\left.\begin{aligned} q_D &\equiv p_1 + p_2 - p_a, \\ q_C &\equiv p_1 + p_3 - p_a, \\ q_X &\equiv p_3 + p_4 - p_a, \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{II.7}$$

and use the abbreviated notation $T_{12,aD} \equiv T_2(p_1, p_2; p_a, q_D)$, etc., then we may write

$$\sigma^{2\to 4} = \sigma_D^{2\to 4} + \sigma_C^{2\to 4} + \sigma_X^{2\to 4} \quad ,$$

where

$$\sigma_D^{2\to 4}(s) = \frac{1}{2\lambda(s, m_a^2, m_b^2)\,(2\pi)^8} \int d\Phi_4 \frac{1}{(q_D^2-\mu^2)^2}$$

$$\times T^*_{12,aD}\,(T_{12,aD} + T_{21,aD})\,T^*_{34,-Db}\,(T_{34,-Db} + T_{43,-Db})\,, \tag{II.8a}$$

$$\sigma_C^{2\to 4}(s) = \frac{1}{2\lambda(s, m_a^2, m_b^2)\,(2\pi)^8} \int d\Phi_4 \frac{1}{(q_D^2-\mu^2)\,(q_C^2-\mu^2)}$$

$$\times (T^*_{12,aD} + T^*_{21,aD})\,(T^*_{34,-Db} + T^*_{43,-Db})\,(T_{13,aC} + T_{31,aC})\,(T_{24,-Cb} + T_{42,-Cb}), \tag{II.8b}$$

$$\sigma_X^{2\to 4}(s) = \frac{1}{2\lambda(s, m_a^2, m_b^2)\,(2\pi)^8} \int d\Phi_4 \frac{1}{(q_D^2-\mu^2)\,(q_X^2-\mu^2)}$$

$$\times T^*_{12,aD}\,(T_{12,-Xb} + T_{21,-Xb})\,T^*_{34,-Db}\,(T_{34,aX} + T_{43,aX})\,. \tag{II.8c}$$

From these equations, we easily see that the antisymmetric part of the 2-to-2 amplitude gives zero contribution. Therefore, we can express (II.8) in terms of the symmetric 2-to-2 amplitudes, M_2, defined by Eq. (II.2). At this point it is also convenient to switch from momentum variables to kinematics invariants. Since each 2-to-2 amplitude has one particle off-shell, it is a function of three invariants. As in the Appendix, we define

$$\left.\begin{aligned} s_{ij} &\equiv (p_i+p_j)^2, \\ t_{ia} &\equiv (p_i-p_a)^2, \\ t_{ib} &\equiv (p_b-p_i)^2, \\ \tau_\nu &\equiv q_\nu^2, \text{ for } \nu = D,\ C,\ X\,. \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{II.9}$$

We may rewrite (II.8) as

$$\sigma_D^{2\to 4}(s) = \frac{1}{2\lambda(s, m_a^2, m_b^2)\,(2\pi)^8} \int d\Phi_4 \frac{|M_2(s_{12}, t_{1a}, \tau_D|^2\ |M_2(s_{34}, t_{4b}, \tau_D)|^2}{4(\tau_D - \mu^2)^2}\,, \tag{II.10a}$$

$$\sigma_C^{2\to 4}(s) = \frac{1}{2\lambda(s, m_a^2, m_b^2)\,(2\pi)^8} \int d\Phi_4 \frac{1}{(\tau_D-\mu^2)\,(\tau_C-\mu^2)}$$

$$\times\ M_2^*(s_{12}, t_{1a}, \tau_D)\,M_2^*(s_{34}, t_{4b}, \tau_D)\,M_2(s_{13}, t_{1a}, \tau_C)\,M_2(s_{24}, t_{4b}, \tau_C), \tag{II.10b}$$

$$\sigma_X^{2\to 4}(s) = \frac{1}{2\lambda(s, m_a^2, m_b^2)\,(2\pi)^8} \int d\Phi_4 \frac{1}{4(\tau_D-\mu^2)\,(\tau_X-\mu^2)}$$

$$\times M_2^*(s_{12}, t_{1a}, \tau_D)\,M_2^*(s_{34}, t_{4b}, \tau_D)\,M_2(s_{12}, t_{2b}, \tau_X)\,M_2(s_{34}, t_{3a}, \tau_X). \tag{II.10c}$$

In the Appendix, eight invariants are chosen as independent, and expressions for all the others are derived in terms of these eight. The four-particle phase space is also expressed in terms of these independent variables.

Having found the corrections to the 2-to-4 cross section, we proceed to investigate the correction to the total cross section due to including these effects in the integral equation. The integral equation for the forward absorptive part of the elastic amplitude under the "standard assumption" is schematically represented in Fig. 6. We modify this integral equation by adding the interference terms C and X to the kernel and to the inhomogeneous term. This modified integral equation is schematically represented in Fig. 7. At this point it should be mentioned that this new kernel cannot generate diagrams of the form shown in Fig. 8a. However, because these diagrams involve interchanging lines from nonneighboring vertices, they are expected to give a smaller contribution than the diagrams of Fig. 8b, which can be generated by this kernel. Our kernel also cannot generate diagrams of the form shown in Fig. 9a. This diagram may give a comparable contribution to the diagram of Fig. 9b, since both diagrams involve crossing four lines into their neighboring vertices. Diagrams of the form of Fig. 9a can be included by adding further terms to the kernel. If we want to include all interference terms, then the kernel is actually an infinite series. It is hoped that the magnitude of this first modification gives an indication of the rate of convergence of this series.

To study the effect on the position of the output poles from changing the kernel of the integral equation we examine the diagonalized integral equation, which can be written as[2]

$$F^J(\tau,\tau') = F_0^J(\tau,\tau') + \int_{-\infty}^{0} d\tau''\, F^J(\tau,\tau'')\, K^J(\tau'',\tau'), \tag{II.11}$$

where

$$K^J(\tau,\tau') = \frac{1}{16\pi^3} \times \frac{1}{(\tau-\mu^2)^2} \int_{4\mu^2}^{\infty} ds\, \frac{\lambda(s,\mu^2,\mu^2)\, e^{-(J+1)\eta(s,\tau,\tau')}\, \sigma(s)}{J+1}, \tag{II.12}$$

with

$$\cosh \eta(s,\tau,\tau') = \frac{s-\tau-\tau'}{2(\tau\tau')^{1/2}},$$

$$\lambda(a,b,c) = (a^2+b^2+c^2-2ab-2ac-2bc)^{1/2},$$

and $\sigma(s)$ is the π-π cross section to be included in the kernel. For our case, we have (see Fig. 7)

$$\sigma(s) = \sigma^{2\to 2}(s) + \sigma_C^{2\to 4}(s) + \sigma_X^{2\to 4}(s). \tag{II.13}$$

Because we are concerned with the relative effect of including a correction we will avoid all intricacies of solving the integral equation. For the Fredholm determinant, $D(J)$, whose vanishing determines the pole position, we use the trace approximation, i.e.,

$$D(J) \approx 1 - \int d\tau\, K^J(\tau,\tau). \tag{II.14}$$

By making certain approximations, Chew, Rogers, and Snider[2] found a particularly simple expression for the trace for J near one. It gives

$$D(J) = 1 - \frac{2R}{J(J+1)\,(J+2)}\,, \tag{II.15}$$

where

$$R = \frac{1}{16\,\pi^3} \int_{4\mu^2}^{s_{max}} ds\, \sigma(s)\,. \tag{II.16}$$

The approximations are (a) the trace approximation, (b) neglecting the high-energy tail of $\sigma^{e\ell}(s)$ (in our calculation we set $\sigma^{e\ell}(s) = 0$ for $s > 3\ \mathrm{GeV}^2$), and (c) neglecting the pion mass in doing the τ-integration. Besides these approximations, there was also the choice of off-shell prescription. As in Ref. 2, we let the off-shell continuation be completely contained in θ, the c.m. scattering angle, i.e.,

$$M_2(s,\theta)_{\text{off-shell}} = M_2(s, \theta(s,t,\tau,\tau'))\,. \tag{II.17}$$

This precription leads to a simple relation between the two-particle contribution to the kernel and the π-π elastic cross section. Other equally plausible prescriptions lead to less manageable relations. For the four-particle contribution to the kernel, we calculated its magnitude when the external lines are on-shell.[6]

Finally, from Eqs. (II.16) and (II.13) we see that a measure of the effect on the position of the output pole by including interference terms is

$$\frac{\Delta R}{R^{el}} \equiv \frac{\int ds\,[\sigma_C^{2\to 4}(s) + \sigma_X^{2\to 4}(s)]}{\int ds\,\sigma^{2\to 2}(s)}\,. \tag{II.18}$$

III. INCLUDING ISOSPIN

We now consider the problem of isospin alone. Later we will see how to take into account both isospin and statistics. The kinematic invariants for all three diagrams are shown in Fig. 10. We work out the procedure in some detail for the uncrossed diagram; the procedure is the same for the crossed diagrams. The isospin indices for the uncrossed diagram are shown in Fig. 11.

Following the notation of Chew and Mandelstam,[7] and defining T_i to be the 2-to-2 amplitude at the ith vertex, and for each i defining A_i, B_i, and C_i to be three independent amplitudes at the ith vertex, we have

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
T_I(s_{12}, t_{1a}, \tau_D) &= A_I \delta_{af}\,\delta_{ek} + B_I\,\delta_{ae}\,\delta_{fk} + C_I\,\delta_{ak}\,\delta_{ef}\,,\\
T_{II}(s_{34}, t_{4b}, \tau_D) &= A_{II}\,\delta_{bf}\,\delta_{gj} + B_{II}\,\delta_{bg}\,\delta_{fj} + C_{II}\,\delta_{bj}\,\delta_{fg}\,,\\
T_{III}(s_{34}, t_{4b}, \tau_D) &= A_{III}\delta_{ch}\,\delta_{gj} + B_{III}\,\delta_{cg}\,\delta_{hj} + C_{III}\,\delta_{cj}\,\delta_{gh}\,,\\
T_{IV}(s_{12}, t_{1a}, \tau_D) &= A_{IV}\,\delta_{dh}\,\delta_{ek} + B_{IV}\,\delta_{de}\,\delta_{hk} + C_{IV}\,\delta_{dk}\,\delta_{eh}\,,
\end{aligned}\right\} \tag{III.1}$$

where A_i's, B_i's, and C_i's have the same arguments as T_i's. The individual vertex amplitude of a definite isospin in the t channel is given by

$$\left.\begin{aligned} (T_i)_0 &= 3\,B_i + A_i + C_i\,, \\ (T_i)_1 &= A_i - C_i\,, \\ (T_i)_2 &= A_i + C_i\,, \end{aligned}\right\} \qquad \text{(III. 2)}$$

where the subscript outside the parenthesis refers to the t-channel isospin at the ith vertex.

The 2-to-4 amplitude squared is given by

$$|T|^2 = \sum \frac{T_I^* \, T_{II}^* \, T_{III} \, T_{IV}}{(\tau_D - \mu^2)^2}\,,$$

where the sum is over all nonexternal isospin indices. The expression $|T|^2$ has 81 terms and can be written as

$$|T|^2 = \frac{1}{(\tau_D - \mu^2)^2} \left\{ A\,\delta_{ab}\,\delta_{cd} + B\,\delta_{ad}\,\delta_{bc} + C\,\delta_{ac}\,\delta_{bd} \right\}, \qquad \text{(III. 3)}$$

where A has 30 terms, B has 20 terms, and C has 31 terms. As we are mainly interested in the change in the position of the output vacuum pole, we consider only the case in which the isospin in the t channel is zero. This gives

$$|T|^2_{I_t=0} = \frac{1}{(\tau_D - \mu^2)^2} \left\{ 3B + A + C \right\}. \qquad \text{(III. 4)}$$

From isospin conservation we also know that

$$\begin{aligned} |T|^2_{I_t=0} = \frac{1}{(\tau_D - \mu^2)^2} \Big\{ & a\,(T_I)_0^* \, (T_{II})_0^* \, (T_{III})_0 \, (T_{IV})_0 \\ & + b\,(T_I)_0^* \, (T_{II})_2^* \, (T_{III})_2 \, (T_{IV})_0 \\ & + b\,(T_I)_2^* \, (T_{II})_0^* \, (T_{III})_0 \, (T_{IV})_2 \\ & + c\,(T_I)_1^* \, (T_{II})_0^* \, (T_{III})_0 \, (T_{IV})_1 \\ & + c\,(T_I)_0^* \, (T_{II})_1^* \, (T_{III})_1 \, (T_{IV})_0 \\ & + d\,(T_I)_1^* \, (T_{II})_1^* \, (T_{III})_1 \, (T_{IV})_1 \\ & + e\,(T_I)_2^* \, (T_{II})_1^* \, (T_{III})_1 \, (T_{IV})_2 \end{aligned} \qquad \text{(III. 5)}$$

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &+ e\,(T_I)^*_1\,(T_{II})^*_2\,(T_{III})_2\,(T_{IV})_1 \\ &+ f\,(T_I)^*_2\,(T_{II})^*_2\,(T_{III})_2\,(T_{IV})_2 \end{aligned}\right\}$$

Since (III. 4) and (III. 5) must be true for all A_i's, B_i's, and C_i's, we can equate the two expressions and thereby determine the unknown coefficients in (III. 5). We find[8]

$$a = 1/9,\ b = 5/9,\ c = 1/3,\ d = 1,\ e = 5/3,\ f = 25/9\ . \tag{III. 6}$$

Similarly, we can derive analogous equations for the two crossed diagrams. The single-cross diagram is given by

$$\begin{aligned} |T|^2_{I_t=0} = \frac{1}{(\tau_D - \mu^2)(\tau_C - \mu^2)} \Bigg\{ & \tfrac{1}{9}\,(T_I)^*_0\,(T_{II})^*_0\,(T_{III})_0\,(T_{IV})_0 \\ & + \tfrac{5}{9}\,(T_I)^*_0\,(T_{II})^*_2\,(T_{III})_2\,(T_{IV})_0 \\ & + \tfrac{5}{9}\,(T_I)^*_2\,(T_{II})^*_0\,(T_{III})_0\,(T_{IV})_2 \\ & - \tfrac{1}{3}\,(T_I)^*_1\,(T_{II})^*_0\,(T_{III})_0\,(T_{IV})_1 \\ & - \tfrac{1}{3}\,(T_I)^*_0\,(T_{II})^*_1\,(T_{III})_1\,(T_{IV})_0 \\ & + \tfrac{1}{2}\,(T_I)^*_1\,(T_{II})^*_1\,(T_{III})_1\,(T_{IV})_1 \\ & + \tfrac{5}{6}\,(T_I)^*_2\,(T_{II})^*_1\,(T_{III})_1\,(T_{IV})_2 \\ & + \tfrac{5}{6}\,T_I)^*_1\,(T_{II})^*_2\,(T_{III})_2\,(T_{IV})_1 \\ & + \tfrac{5}{18}\,(T_I)^*_2\,(T_{II})^*_2\,(T_{III})_2\,(T_{IV})_2 \Bigg\}, \end{aligned} \tag{III. 7}$$

where

$$\left.\begin{aligned} T_I &= T_I(s_{12}, t_{1a}, \tau_D)\ , \\ T_{II} &= T_{II}(s_{34}, t_{4b}, \tau_D)\ , \\ T_{III} &= T_{III}(s_{24}, t_{4b}, \tau_C)\ , \\ T_{IV} &= T_{IV}(s_{13}, t_{1a}, \tau_C)\ . \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{III. 8}$$

The double-cross diagram is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
|T|^2_{I_t=0} = \frac{1}{(\tau_D - \mu^2)(\tau_X - \mu^2)} \Big\{ & \tfrac{1}{9} (T_I)^{\overset{*}{0}} (T_{II})^{\overset{*}{0}} (T_{III})^0 (T_{IV})^0 \\
& + \tfrac{1}{3} (T_I)^{\overset{*}{0}} (T_{II})^{\overset{*}{1}} (T_{III})^0 (T_{IV})^1 \\
& + \tfrac{1}{3} (T_I)^{\overset{*}{1}} (T_{II})^{\overset{*}{0}} (T_{III})^1 (T_{IV})^0 \\
& + \tfrac{1}{2} (T_I)^{\overset{*}{1}} (T_{II})^{\overset{*}{1}} (T_{III})^1 (T_{IV})^1 \\
& + \tfrac{5}{9} (T_I)^{\overset{*}{0}} (T_{II})^{\overset{*}{2}} (T_{III})^0 (T_{IV})^2 \\
& + \tfrac{5}{9} (T_I)^{\overset{*}{2}} (T_{II})^{\overset{*}{0}} (T_{III})^2 (T_{IV})^0 \\
& - \tfrac{5}{6} (T_I)^{\overset{*}{1}} (T_{II})^{\overset{*}{2}} (T_{III})^1 (T_{IV})^2 \\
& - \tfrac{5}{6} (T_I)^{\overset{*}{2}} (T_{II})^{\overset{*}{1}} (T_{III})^2 (T_{IV})^1 \\
& + \tfrac{5}{18} (T_I)^{\overset{*}{2}} (T_{II})^{\overset{*}{2}} (T_{III})^2 (T_{IV})^2 \Big\} ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{III. 9}
$$

where the superscript outside the parenthesis refers to the s-channel isospin at the ith vertex and

$$
\left.
\begin{aligned}
T_I &= T_I (s_{12}, t_{1a}, \tau_D) , \\
T_{II} &= T_{II} (s_{34}, t_{4b}, \tau_D) , \\
T_{III} &= T_{III} (s_{12}, t_{2b}, \tau_X) , \\
T_{IV} &= T_{IV} (s_{34}, t_{3a}, \tau_X) .
\end{aligned}
\right\}
\tag{III. 10}
$$

Combining the results of this section and the previous section, we see that to include both isospin and statistics just means that we should replace the numerators of Eqs. (II. 10a), (II. 10b), and (II. 10c) by the terms in the brackets in Eqs. (III. 5), (III. 7), and (III. 9), respectively. Of course, the t-channel isospin will now appear as an index in Eqs. (II. 11) - (II. 16) and Eq. (II. 18).

IV. π-π AMPLITUDES

From Eq. (II. 10) and the discussion in Sec. III, we see that we need as input the 2-to-2 π-π amplitude for all three isospins with one of the pions off-shell. As was discussed in Refs. 1-3, the low-energy contribution must be the dominant contribution in generating the output poles. Therefore, in this paper we input only S waves (both I = 0 and I = 2), P wave, and D wave (I = 0 only), and leave out the Pomeranchuk tail by setting the amplitudes equal to zero for $s > 3$ GeV^2.

For the P wave and D wave, we use Breit-Wigners with widths equal to 140 MeV and with m_ρ = 765 MeV and m_f = 1260 MeV as their resonance masses, respectively. Since these two partial-wave amplitudes must behave near threshold as $k^{2\ell+1}$, where k is the magnitude of the center-of-mass momentum, we multiply the Breit-Wigner by

$$\left(\frac{k^2(s)}{s}\right)^{\frac{2\ell+1}{2}} \quad \left(\frac{4\, s_R}{s_R - 4\mu^2}\right)^{\frac{2\ell+1}{2}} , \tag{IV. 1}$$

where $s_R = m_\rho^2$ and $\ell = 1$ for the P wave, and $s_R = m_f^2$ and $\ell = 2$ for the D wave. The factor (IV. 1) is chosen such that it is normalized to unity at the resonance mass and has constant asymptotic behavior.

For the S waves we use the phase shifts shown in Figs. 12 and 13.[9] Because of the uncertainty in the I = 0 S-wave phase shift, we have used two different forms. The form $(\delta_0^0)_I$ corresponds to a broad resonance at the mass of the ρ. The form $(\delta_0^0)_{II}$ does not correspond to a resonance, but rather it asymptotically approaches 90 deg.

All these S-wave amplitudes have scattering lengths near the current algebra values.[10] Since there is some indication[11-12] that the S-wave off-shell scattering lengths may be larger than the on-shell values, we also tried S-wave partial-wave amplitudes with scattering lengths increased by a factor of 3. We find that although for small s the 2-to-4 cross sections become larger, all essential features of our results remain unchanged.

V. RESULTS

Using Monte Carlo integration, we calculated the 2-to-4 cross sections for $I_t=0$ from the classes D, C, and X. The results for classes C and X are shown in Figs. 14a and 14b, respectively. As is expected, class C in general gives a smaller contribution than class X, since the former splits up the resonances. The result for class D and the sum of the results for classes C and X are shown in Fig. 15. We see that for low energy, the contribution of the interference terms is not negligible. But as the energy increases, the crossed terms drop off faster with s than the uncrossed term, because at high s the momenta of the final particles can be very different, and so interchanging two such momenta can greatly increase the momentum transfers. We also find that the two different forms of δ_0^0 do not give rise to different results until s gets large, when $(\delta_0^0)_I$ gives a small amplitude, whereas $(\delta_0^0)_{II}$ gives a large amplitude.

To calculate the effect on the position of the output vacuum pole, we calculated $\Delta R_0/R_0^{el}$ defined in Eq. (II. 18), where the subscript specifies the t-channel isospin. We find

$$\frac{\Delta R_0}{R_0^{el}} \approx \begin{cases} 0.06 \ , \ \text{if } (\delta_0^0)_I \text{ is used,} \\ 0.08 \ , \ \text{if } (\delta_0^0)_{II} \text{ is used.} \end{cases} \tag{V. I}$$

Using the numerical calculations of Ref. 1, we find[13] that corresponding to this 6% to 8% increase in the kernel strength, the output vacuum pole changes only from

$$\alpha_{I=0} = 0.30 \text{ to } \alpha_{I=0} = 0.33 \ . \tag{V. 2}$$

The smallness of this correction to the kernel indicates that the infinite series of the kernel (as discussed in Sec. II) most probably converges rapidly.

Our relative magnitude of the interference terms to the noninterference term in the 2-to-4 cross section is probably consistent with the recent result of Jurewicz et al.,[14] who found that the contribution to the cross section from the set of all interference terms for the reaction $\pi^+ p \to p\, 3\pi^+\, 2\pi^-$ at 8 GeV/c is about 80% of the contribution from the noninterference term. We expect their effect to be much larger than ours because there are more interference terms for n = 6 than for n = 4, and because they include all interference terms, whereas we include only a subset (although the important subset).

That interference terms are important in calculating n-particle production cross sections but not important in calculating the position of the output vacuum pole is understandable because

$$\sigma^{\text{Total}}(s) = \sum_n \sigma^n(s) \propto s^{(\alpha_{I=0}-1)}, \tag{V.3}$$

which shows that a small change in $\alpha_{I=0}$ can give rise to a large change in $\sigma^n(s)$ for large s.

In conclusion, although the inclusion of interference terms can greatly increase n-particle production cross sections for large n, it does not alter appreciably the positions of the output Regge poles. Therefore, another mechanism must be sought to strengthen the kernel of the ABFST multiperipheral integral equation. One possible mechanism is the inclusion of K-meson exchange.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank G. F. Chew and S.-S. Shei for discussions.

APPENDIX. PHASE SPACE AND KINEMATIC VARIABLES

Although n-body phase space has previously been worked out by others, for completeness we include a discussion of four-body phase space in this Appendix (most of the material in this Appendix was worked out by one of the authors (D. R. S.) in collaboration with Terence Rogers). We define n-body phase space as

$$\Phi_n = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[\int d^4 p_i \, \delta^+(p_i^2 - m_i^2) \right] \times \delta^4(p_a + p_b - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i) \quad . \tag{A. 1}$$

Two-body phase space may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_2 (s; m_a^2, m_b^2; m_1^2 \; m_2^2) &= \frac{\lambda(s, m_1^2 \; m_2^2)}{8 s} \int d\Omega \\ &= \frac{1}{4\lambda(s, m_a^2, m_b^2)} \int dt \, d\phi \; , \end{aligned} \tag{A. 2}$$

where $s = (p_a + p_b)^2$, $\lambda(a,b,c) = (a^2+b^2+c^2 - 2ab - 2ac - 2bc)^{1/2}$, $d\Omega = d\cos\theta \, d\phi$, and θ and ϕ are the scattering angles in the c.m. system.

We want to consider four-particle phase space as a nested set of two-particle phase spaces. The kinematic variables are shown in Fig. 16. For this purpose we define

$$P_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} p_j \; , \qquad v_i = P_i^2$$

and (A. 3)

$$q_i = P_i - p_a \; , \qquad t_i = q_i^2 \quad .$$

We will now show that the four-body phase space can be written as

$$\Phi_2 (p_a + p_1, \rightarrow p_4 + P_3) \, \Phi_2 (p_a + q_3 \rightarrow p_3 + P_2) \, \Phi_2 (p_a + q_2 \rightarrow p_1 + p_2) \; ,$$

with extra integrals over v_2 and v_3. We start at the p_4 end and lump the other three final-state particles together by using

$$\delta^4 (p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4 - p_a - p_b) = \int d^4 P_3 \, \delta^4 (P_3 + p_4 - p_a - p_b) \, \delta^4 (p_1 + p_2 + p_3 - P_3)$$

and also

$$\int d^4 P_3 = \int dv_3 \int d^4 P_3 \, \delta^+ (P_3^2 - v_3) \; .$$

Inserting these into Eq. (A. 1) for Φ_4, we obtain

$$\Phi_4 = \int dv_3 \left[\int d^4P_3\, \delta^+(P_3^2 - v_3) \int d^4p_4\, \delta^+(p_4^2 - m_4^2)\, \delta^4(P_3 + p_4 - p_a - p_b) \right]$$
$$\times \left[\int d^4p_1\, \delta^+(p_1^2 - m_1^2) \int d^4p_2\, \delta^+(p_2^2 - m_2^2) \int d^4p_3\, \delta^+(p_3^2 - m_3^2) \right.$$
$$\left. \times\ \delta^4(p_1 + p_2 + p_3 - q_3 - p_a) \right]$$
$$= \int dv_3\, \Phi_2(p_a + p_b \to P_3 + p_4)\, \Phi_3(p_a + q_3 \to p_1 + p_2 + p_3)\ .$$

Now for fixed P_3 (and hence q_3) we repeat this step for Φ_3. That is, we use

$$\delta^4(p_1 + p_2 + p_3 - p_a - q_3) = \int dv_2 \int d^4P_2\, \delta^+(P_2^2 - v_2)\, \delta^4(P_2 + p_3 - p_a - q_3)\, \delta^4(p_1 + p_2 - P_2)$$

to get

$$\Phi_3(p_a + q_3 \to p_1 + p_2 + p_3) = \int dv_2\, \Phi_2(p_a + q_3 \to P_2 + p_3)\, \Phi_2(p_a + q_2 \to p_1 + p_2)\ .$$

This gives for Φ_4 (when the Φ's are expressed in terms of invariants)

$$\Phi_4(s;\, m_a^2,\, m_b^2;\, m_i^2,\, m_2^2,\, m_3^2,\, m_4^2)$$
$$= \int dv_2 \int dv_3\ \Phi_2(s;\, m_a^2,\, m_b^2;\, v_3,\, m_4^2)\, \Phi_2(v_3;\, m_a^2,\, t_3;\, v_2,\, m_3^2)$$
$$\times\ \Phi_2(v_2;\, m_a^2,\, t_2;\, m_1^2,\, m_2^2).$$

We evaluate each Φ_2 in the c.m. of the system it describes to get

$$\Phi_4 = \int dv_3\, \frac{\lambda(s,\, v_3,\, m_4^2)}{8\, s} \int d\Omega_3 \int dv_2\, \frac{\lambda(v_3,\, v_2,\, m_3^2)}{8\, v_3} \int d\Omega_2$$
$$\times\ \frac{\lambda(v_2,\, m_1^2,\, m_2^2)}{8\, v_2} \int d\Omega_1 . \qquad \text{(A. 4)}$$

Here θ_i is the angle between P_i and p_a in the c.m. of q_{i+1} and p_a, and ϕ_i is the azimuthal angle between P_i and p_{i+2} in the same system; ϕ_3 is an irrelevant angle. For each $d\Omega$ the range of integration is 4π, and for the v's the range is where all the λ's are positive.

We could have used the other form of two-body phase space, Eq. (A.2), to get

$$\Phi_4 = \int \frac{dv_3\, dt_3\, d\phi_3}{4\lambda(s,\, m_a^2,\, m_b^2)} \int \frac{dv_2\, dt_2\, d\phi_2}{4\lambda(v_3,\, t_3,\, m_a^2)} \int \frac{dt_1\, d\phi_1}{4\lambda(v_2,\, t_2,\, m_a^2)}\ , \qquad \text{(A. 5)}$$

but now the range over the t's are more complicated. To calculate the range in t_i for fixed v_{i+1} v_i, and t_{i+1} we use the equation

$$\cos\theta_i = \frac{v_{i+1}(v_{i+1} + 2t_i - m_a^2 - t_{i+1} - v_i - m_{i+1}^2) + (m_a^2 - t_{i+1})(v_i - m_{i+1}^2)}{\lambda(v_{i+1}, m_a^2, t_{i+1})\,\lambda(v_{i+1}, v_i, m_{i+1}^2)}, \tag{A.6}$$

and set $\cos\theta_i = 1$ and -1.

We need to be able to express any kinematic variables in terms of those chosen here. In particular we want to find expressions for the various Mandelstam invariants in terms of the set of v's, t's, and ϕ's. The first step in this is to express the two-particle "subenergies" s_{23} and s_{34} of Fig. 16 in terms of this set.

Consider the diagram in Fig. 17. Now, ϕ_{i-1} is the azimuthal angle for the two-body reaction $q_i + p_a \to p_i + P_{i-1}$. That is, it is the azimuthal angle between $\vec{P}_{i-1}$ and $\vec{p}_{i+1}$ in the frame where $\vec{P}_i = \vec{p}_a + \vec{q}_i = 0$ and where $\vec{p}_a$ is along the +z direction, as shown in Fig. 18. From an examination of this we see that the two-particle "subenergy" $s_{i,i+1} \equiv (p_i + p_{i+1})^2$ is a function of ϕ_i. To find this function we write

$$\begin{aligned} s_{i,i+1} &\equiv (p_i + p_{i+1})^2 = (P_{i+1} - P_{i-1})^2 \\ &= v_{i+1} + v_{i-1} - 2P_{i+1}^0 P_{i-1}^0 + 2|\vec{P}_{i+1}|\,|\vec{P}_{i-1}|(\cos\psi\cos\theta_{i-1} + \sin\psi\sin\theta_{i-1}\cos\theta_{i-1}), \end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

where we have introduced the angle ψ shown in Fig. 18. To find ψ we use

$$t_{i+1} = q_{i+1}^2 = (q_i + p_{i+1})^2 = t_i + m_{i+1}^2 + 2q_i^0 p_{i+1}^0 + 2|\vec{q}_i|\,|\vec{p}_{i+1}|\cos\psi. \tag{A.8}$$

For these two equations we need a number of energies and three-vector magnitudes in this frame of reference. In general if

$$k_1 \pm k_2 = k_3,$$

$$\vec{k}_3 = 0$$

and $k_i^2 = x_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$,

then

$$|\vec{k}_1| = |\vec{k}_2| = \frac{\lambda(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{2x_3^{1/2}},$$

$$k_1^0 = \frac{x_1 + x_3 - x_2}{2x_3^{1/2}},$$

and $k_2^0 = \pm\left(\frac{x_2 + x_3 - x_1}{2x_3^{1/2}}\right)$.

In our case we have

$$P_{i-1} + p_i = P_i \ ,$$

$$P_{i+1} - p_{i+1} = P_i \ ,$$

$$p_a + q_i = P_i \ ,$$

and $\vec{P}_i = 0$.

Since we know all the momentum squares, we have the needed energies and three-momenta to find $\cos\psi$, and therefore $s_{i,\,i+1}$ in terms of ϕ_{i-1}.[15] After some algebra, from Eq. (A. 7) we find

$$s_{i,\,i+1} = v_{i+1} + v_{i-1} + \left[\frac{-\,\mathrm{Det}\,(A) + 2\left(G(\theta_{i-1})\,G(\psi)\right)^{1/2} \cos\phi_{i-1}}{\lambda^2\,(v_i,\ m_a^2,\ t_i)} \right] ,$$

where

$$G(\theta_{i-1}) = G(v_i,\ t_{i-1},\ m_a^2,\ t_i,\ v_{i-1},\ m_i^2)$$

and $G(\psi) = G(v_i,\ t_{i+1},\ m_a^2,\ t_i,\ v_{i+1},\ m_{i+1}^2)$,

with G being the Kibble function, given by

$$\begin{aligned} G(s,t,a,b,c,d) = {} & st(-s-t+a+b+c+d) \\ & - s(a-c)(b-d) - t(a-b)(c-d) \\ & - (ad-bc)(a+d-b-c). \end{aligned}$$

The matrix A in Eq. (A. 9) is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2\,v_i & v_i + m_a^2 - t_i & v_i + v_{i+1} - m_{i+1}^2 \\ v_i + m_a^2 - t_i & m_a^2 & v_{i+1} + m_a^2 - t_{i+1} \\ v_i + v_{i-1} - m_i^2 & v_{i-1} + m_a^2 - t_{i-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Next we would like to express all other two-body invariants in terms of our basic set, now taken to be v_2, v_3, t_1, t_2, t_3, s_{23}, s_{34}, and of course s. Once we have found the 3-particle invariant $s_{234} \equiv (p_2 + p_3 + p_4)^2$, which is like v_3 but on the opposite side, the remaining two-particle invariants come simply. To find s_{234} we compress p_3 and p_4 together. Figure 19 shows this and s_{234} in relation to the known variables. From this figure we see that the calculation of s_{234} is completely analogous to the calculation of $s_{i,i+1}$. We immediately write the answer:

$$s_{234} = s + m_1^2 + \left[\frac{- \mathrm{Det}\, A' + 2(G_1 G_2)^{1/2} \cos \phi_1}{\lambda^2(v_2,\ m_a^2,\ t_2)} \right] , \tag{A. 10}$$

where

$$G_1 = G(v_2,\ t_1,\ m_a^2,\ t_2,\ m_1^2,\ m_2^2) \quad ,$$

$$G_2 = G(v_2,\ m_b^2,\ m_a^2,\ t_2,\ s,\ s_{34}) \quad ,$$

and

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} 2\, v_2 & v_2 + m_a^2 - t_2 & v_2 + s - s_{34} \\ v_2 + m_a^2 - t_2 & m_a^2 & s + m_a^2 - m_b^2 \\ v_2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2 & m_1^2 + m_a^2 - t_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

The other two-body invariants can now be easily shown to be

$$s_{13} = v_3 - v_2 - s_{23} + m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2 \ ,$$

$$s_{24} = s_{234} - s_{23} - s_{34} + m_2^2 + m_3^2 + m_4^2 \ ,$$

$$t_{2b} = t_1 - t_2 - s_{234} + s_{34} + m_2^2 + m_b^2 \ ,$$

$$t_{3a} = t_3 - t_2 - s_{123} + v_2 + m_3^2 + m_a^2 \ ,$$

$$\tau_C = m_2^2 + m_3^2 + t_1 - t_2 + t_3 - s_{23} \ ,$$

$$\tau_X = m_a^2 + m_b^2 - t_2 + v_2 + s_{34} - s \ .$$

FOOTNOTES AND REFERENCES

*This work was supported in part by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

†Present address: Physics Department, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

1. D. M. Tow, Phys. Rev. D2, 154 (1970).

2. G. F. Chew, T. Rogers, and D. R. Snider, Phys. Rev. D2, 765 (1970).

3. G. F. Chew and D. R. Snider, Phys. Rev. D1, 3453 (1970).

4. L. Bertocchi, S. Fubini, and M. Tonin, Nuovo Cimento 25, 626 (1962); D. Amati, A. Stanghellini, and S. Fubini, Nuovo Cimento 26, 896 (1962).

5. Throughout the rest of this paper, we call this the "standard assumption."

6. This is an approximation, since using Eq. (II.17) for the 2-to-2 amplitude to calculate the 2-to-4 cross section does not lead to a 2-to-4 cross section that is independent of the mass of the external pions.

7. G. F. Chew and S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rev. 119, 467 (1960).

8. Equation (III.5) is also true with the same coefficients as those of Eq. (III.6) if the isospin indices are taken as s-channel isospins. This freedom of interchanging s-channel and t-channel isospin indices occurs only for the uncrossed diagram.

9. We thank Robert D. Mathews for suggestions on the phase shifts.

10. S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Letters 17, 616 (1966).

11. G. Wolf, Phys. Rev. 182, 1538 (1969).

12. F. Wagner, Nuovo Cimento 64A, 189 (1969).

13. We could have used Eq. (II.15) to calculate the position of the output pole. However, because of the approximations used in deriving (II.15), this method of calculating output pole position is not so accurate as the numerical calculation of Ref. 1.

14. A. Jurewicz, L. Michejda, J. Namyslewski, and J. Turnau, AFST Model with $\pi\pi$ and πp Phase Shifts and Durr-Pilkuhn Form-Factors Applied to the Reaction $\pi^+ p \to p\, 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$ at 8 GeV/c, Warsaw preprint, 1970.

15. Another method to find the expression for $\cos\psi$ is to use an analogy with a c.m. scattering angle, θ. In general, to find $\cos\theta$ in terms of s, t, and the four masses, one uses

$$k_a + k_b = K = k_c + k_d,$$
$$\vec{K} = 0,$$
$$s = K^2,$$
$$t = k_a - k_c,$$

and θ is the angle between $\vec{k}_a$ and $\vec{k}_c$. From Figs. 17 and 18 we have

$$p_a + q_i = P_i = P_{i+1} + (-p_{i+1})$$
$$\vec{P}_i = 0,$$
$$v_i = P_i^2,$$
$$t_{i+1} = (p_a - P_{i+1})^2,$$

and ψ is the angle between $\vec{p}_a$ and $\vec{P}_{i+1}$.
Therefore, if

$$\cos\theta = f(s, t, m_a^2, m_b^2, m_c^2, m_d^2),$$

then

$$\cos\psi = f(v_i, t_{i+1}, m_a^2, t_i, v_{i+1}, m_{i+1}^2).$$

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. A schematic representation of Eq. (II.1) for the 2-to-n amplitude, showing factorization and momentum assignment. Each blob is an off-shell 2-to-2 amplitude.

Fig. 2. A diagram representing the 2-to-2 cross section.

Fig. 3. The terms in $\sigma^{2\to 4}$ from the permutations of D. We call this sum the uncrossed diagram.

Fig. 4. Diagrams representing

(a) one of the terms from the class C; the other 15 terms are represented by interchanging two intermediate lines from one of the four vertices;

(b) The sum of all 16 terms of C. We call this the single-cross diagram.

Fig. 5. Diagram representing the sum of all four terms in X. We call this the double-cross diagram.

Fig. 6. Schematic representation of the integral equation for the forward absorptive part of the elastic amplitude under the "standard assumption."

Fig. 7. Schematic representation of modified integral equation when interference terms from classes C and X are included.

Fig. 8. (a) Diagrams not generated by the kernel of this paper.

(b) Diagrams generated by the kernel, which give a larger contribution than the diagrams in (a).

Fig. 9. (a) Diagram not generated by the kernel of this paper.

(b) Diagram generated by the kernel, which may give a contribution comparable to that in (a).

Fig. 10. Diagram showing various kinematic invariants.

Fig. 11. Diagram showing the isospin indices for the uncrossed diagram.

Fig. 12. $(\delta_0^0)_I$ and $(\delta_0^0)_{II}$ as a function of $s^{1/2}$.

Fig. 13. δ_0^2 as a function of $s^{1/2}$.

Fig. 14. $\sigma_C^{2\to 4}(s)$ and $\sigma_X^{2\to 4}(s)$ for $I_t = 0$. Solid curve uses $(\delta_0^0)_I$ as input, and dotted curve uses $(\delta_0^0)_{II}$.

(a) $\sigma_C^{2\to 4}(s)$.

(b) $\sigma_X^{2\to 4}(s)$.

Fig. 15. $\sigma_D^{2\to 4}(s)$ and $\sigma_C^{2\to 4}(s) + \sigma_X^{2\to 4}(s)$ for $I_t = 0$. Solid curves use $(\delta_0^0)_I$ as input, and dotted curves use $(\delta_0^0)_{II}$.

Fig. 16. Description of assignment of momenta and kinematic invariants.

Fig. 17. General kinematics.

Fig. 18. Definition of variables in frame where $\vec{P}_i = 0$.

Fig. 19. Diagram for calculating s_{234}.



Fig. 1

XBL708-3726



Fig. 5



XBL708-3725

Fig. 2



XBL708-3721

Fig. 6



XBL708-3724

Fig. 3



XBL708-3720

Fig. 7



XBL708-3723

Fig. 4



Fig. 8





Fig. 9



Fig. 10



Fig. 11



Fig. 12



Fig. 13





Fig. 14a, b



Fig. 15



Fig. 16



Fig. 19



Fig. 17



Fig. 18

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720