UCRL 26
cy 10/A
c. 1

Special Review of
Declassified Reports
Authorized by USDOE JK Bratton
Unclassified TWX P182206Z May 79
UCRL-26 1947
REPORT PROPERLY DECLASSIFIED
3-25-80
Date

OF

IA

Laboratory

FERE
TO BE TAKEN FROM TH

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

ENG-48

INDEX NO. UCRL-26
This document contains 3 pgs,
and 0 plates of figures.
This is Copy 10 of 11. Series A

DECLASSIFIED

DO NOT REMOVE THIS PAGE

Issued to: Info. Div.

THIS IS A CLASSIFIED DOCUMENT

RESTRICTED

(Classification to be stamped here)

1. This document contains restricted data within the meaning of the Atomic Energy Act of 1946 and/or information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Act U. S. C. 31 & 32, as amended. Its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited and may result in severe criminal penalty.
2. Before this document can be given to a person to read, his name must be on the Reading List of those authorized to read material on this subject, or permission must be obtained from the Information Division or the Executive Office.
3. A SECRET or CONFIDENTIAL document is to be kept only in a guarded area. When stored, it must be kept in a locked safe or in a locked filing case with a tumbler lock.
4. A SECRET or CONFIDENTIAL document is not to be copied or otherwise duplicated without permission of the originating office. Extensive notes on the contents of a secret report may be taken only in a bound notebook with numbered pages, having the designation SECRET. This must be safeguarded in the same way as a Secret Report (See 1, 2 and 3). Other notes must be avoided, but if made must be done in a form meaningless to anyone but the writer and later destroyed.
5. The person or office to whom a SECRET or CONFIDENTIAL document is issued is accountable for the document at all times. A system of accountability by signed receipts or a signed record book must always be used. Permission for transmission to another office than that of the assignee must be obtained through the Information Division and recorded there.
6. After a document passes the stage of usefulness to its possessor, it should be returned to the Information Division, whereupon the individual will be relieved of the responsibility for its safe-keeping.
7. Initial and date the pages of this document which describe any work that you have witnessed, adding any pertinent information, such as references to original work records. This document, properly signed, dated and annotated, is important in patent preparation.
8. Each person who reads this document must sign this cover sheet below. Please sign and date the cover sheet after reading the report. Also state by Yes or No whether or not notes have been taken. See paragraph 4 above.

Route to	Read by	Notes?	Date	Route to	Read by	Notes?	Date

DECLASSIFIED

UCRL-26
This document contains
____pages and____figures.
Copy___of___, Series A.

CONSIDERATIONS ON THE EXISTENCE OF ELEMENT 61 IN NATURE

N. E. Ballou

Radiation Laboratory, University of California
Berkeley, California

December 22, 1947

In the light of the present controversy[1] over the possibility of existence in nature and the naming of element 61 some calculations on the stability toward beta-decay of isotopes of that element have been made by use of the Bohr-Wheeler theory.[2] This theory gives beta disintegration energies that agree fairly well in most cases with those obtained by direct observation.

The radioactive isotopes of element 61 which have been definitely assigned have the mass numbers 147, 148, 149.[3,4,5] They are all beta-radioactive with half-lives so short as to preclude their independent existence in nature, the longest lived one being of mass 147 and having a half-life of only about four years. Other isotopes which warrant consideration in an examination for possible stable or long-lived isotopes of element 61 are those of masses 143, 144, 145, 146, 150, and 151. Calculating the relative stabilities of these by means of the Bohr-Wheeler theory we find that all of these isotopes except 145 should be unstable by at least one Mev to beta-decay (or its equivalent). For mass 145 the theory indicates essentially no energy difference between Nd^{145} and 61^{145}. Consequently, if element 61 does exist in nature, it is probably the isotope of mass 145.

Pertinent to these considerations are the reported weak beta-rays found in neodymium by Libby.[6] In this work the radiation

was shown to be composed of negative beta-particles of about 11 Kev energy. No harder radiations were found with them.

Consequently, it is possible that Nd^{145} is beta-active and forms element 61 as its product. Then this latter isotope may be a fairly long-lived alpha-emitter, explaining the very low abundance or non-existence of element 61 in nature. Alpha-emission is not an unlikely occurrence here since samarium has been reported to be alpha-active;[6,7] this indicates that an event such as a marked change in nuclear radii is perhaps occurring in this region. Since tracer activity of element 61 was available, a verification of the assignment of the alpha-activity to samarium (and not to element 61) was made. The separations of samarium from four-year tracer 61 were performed using the sodium amalgam technique.[8] After each of three such separations the specific alpha-activity of samarium was measured and found to remain constant. (The half-life corresponding to the specific activity obtained is 1×10^{12} years.) Only ten percent of element 61 followed the samarium in each of the separations. Thus, the alpha-activity found in samarium samples has conclusively been shown to be due to samarium and not to element 61.

The existence of an unassigned alpha-ray with a range of 1.8 cm. of air should be pointed out. Henderson has examined and discussed its occurrence as a pleochroic halo in several micas.[9]

If the neodymium used by Libby had a few tenths percent of impurity of element 61, it is possible that his observed radiation could be due to element 61, the negative particles being Auger electrons or electrons from internal conversion of a low energy gamma-ray.

It should also be pointed out that there is a possibility of beta-emitting, long-lived independent isomers of element 61 at masses with known shorter lived periods. For example, the Bohr-Wheeler theory predicts essentially no energy difference between the ground states of the 61 and samarium isotopes of mass 147.

Experiments are in progress to prepare isotope 61^{145} and to examine its radiations. This is being done by irradiating highly purified samarium with slow neutrons. Sm^{145} is formed and decays to 61^{145}; this latter isotope, the only 61 isotope formed as a result of neutron capture in samarium, is obtained pure by removing all the samarium activities by the sodium amalgam method.

This paper is based on work performed under Contract Number W-7405-eng-48 with the Manhattan District in connection with the Radiation Laboratory of the University of California.

References

(1) Gould, R. F. Chem. Eng. News, 25, 2555 (1947); Staff Report, Chem. Eng. News, 25, 2889 (1947); Harris, J. H., L. F. Yntema, B. S. Hopkins, Nature, 117, 792 (1926).

(2) Bohr, N. and J. A. Wheeler, Phys. Rev., 56, 426 (1939).

(3) Plutonium Project, J. M. Siegel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 68, 2411 (1946).

(4) Parker, G. W., P. M. Lantz, M. G. Inghram, D. C. Hess, Jr., R. J. Hayden, Phys. Rev., 72, 85 (1947).

(5) Inghram, M. G., D. C. Hess, Jr., R. J. Hayden, G. W. Parker, Phys. Rev., 71, 743 (1947).

(6) Libby, W. F., Phys. Rev., 45, 845 (1934); ibid., 46, 196 (1934)

(7) Hevesy, G. and M. Pahl, Nature, 130, 846 (1932).

(8) Marsh, J. K., J. Chem. Soc., 523 (1942).

(9) Henderson, G. H., Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A145, 582 (1934); ibid., A173, 250 (1939).