

UCRL 3046

UNIVERSITY OF  
CALIFORNIA

*Radiation  
Laboratory*

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

## **DISCLAIMER**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Radiation Laboratory  
Berkeley, California

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

INTERACTIONS OF NEGATIVE K PARTICLES AT REST

W. W. Chupp, Gerson Goldhaber, S. Goldhaber  
and F. H. Webb

June 14, 1955

## INTERACTIONS OF NEGATIVE K PARTICLES AT REST

W. W. Chupp, Gerson Goldhaber, S. Goldhaber  
and F. H. Webb

Radiation Laboratory, Department of Physics  
University of California, Berkeley, California

June 14, 1955

Stacks of Ilford G.5, 600- $\mu$  stripped emulsions were exposed to the focused  $K^-$  beam<sup>(1)</sup> at the Bevatron. The 6.1 Bev proton beam was incident on a copper target, and we observed  $K^-$  mesons produced at  $90^\circ$  to the beam direction. The  $K^-$  momentum channels of 350 Mev/c and 410 Mev/c were used. Both  $K^-$  and  $\pi^-$  of the same momentum were incident on the stack. The proper time of flight for the  $K^-$  meson was about  $10^{-8}$  second.

Along the track scanning was done from the incident edge, and all tracks of approximately twice minimum were followed to the end of their range. This scanning technique introduces no bias in size or type of stopping found.

The  $K_p^-$  events were identified by grain count versus residual range.

Table I gives a compilation of all  $K^-$  stoppings observed to date. For each prong the measured range, or  $g/g_0$ , and the corresponding energy is given. The total visible and binding energy per star is also given.

Among the 22  $K^-$  stars, we have observed 6 definite cases of  $\pi$  meson emission and 3 additional probable cases. One definite case of hyperon ( $\Sigma^+$ ) emission has been observed with 3 possible additional cases.

In the above compilation there are two events which deserved special attention. Events  $K_2^-$  and  $K_{20}^-$  each have two prongs emitted which are colinear to within our accuracy of measurement. In the work done at M. I. T. and Harvard<sup>(2)</sup> two additional events were observed. In the following discussion we will consider these four events. Each event has one lightly ionizing prong, presumably a  $\pi$  meson which leaves the stack, and a dark prong which ends. In two of the events ( $K_2$  and  $K_{MH 1}$ ) a fast L meson is emitted from the end of the dark prong, indicating that this prong is due to a  $\Sigma^+$  particle. In the other two events,  $K_2$  and  $K_{MH 2}$ , no visible secondary was emitted from the end of the dark prong, which could be due to a  $\Sigma^-$  giving a zero-prong star. Table II gives the measurements on these four events. We would like to suggest that these four events are due to  $\nu^-$  - meson capture in hydrogen by the following reaction:



From the observed range of the  $\Sigma^+$  and  $\Sigma^-$  (assumed) we consider two cases.

(A)  $K^-$  Mass from the  $\Sigma$  Mass

For events  $K_{20}$  and  $K_{MH 1}$  we take the measured  $\Sigma^+$  mass  $2327 \pm 3 m_e$ ,<sup>(3)</sup> and calculate the corresponding  $K^-$  mass by use of conservation of energy and momentum. This assumes that the  $\Sigma^+$  decays occurred at rest. As the prongs here involved are rather steep, we cannot prove this point and we have to consider the masses here obtained from  $K_{20}$  and  $K_{MH 1}$  as lower limits to the  $K^-$  mass.

If the  $\Sigma^+$  from  $K_{MH 1}$  has decayed in flight, the data would be more consistent. The total moderation time for the two  $\Sigma$  particles observed is  $5.5 \times 10^{-11}$  second and the  $\Sigma^+$  lifetime is  $< 5 \times 10^{-10}$  second.<sup>(4)</sup> A decay in flight is thus plausible. For events  $K_2$  and  $K_{MH 2}$  we assumed that  $M(\Sigma^+) = M(\Sigma^-)$  and we performed the same type of calculation obtaining the  $K^-$  mass. These two events cannot be decays in flight, as no visible secondaries are observed. Table III - A and Fig. 1 give the resulting  $K^-$  masses.

(B)  $\Sigma^+$  and  $\Sigma^-$  Masses from an Assumed  $K^-$  Mass

We assume a  $K^-$  mass,  $M(K^-) = M_\tau = 95.5 \pm .7 m_e$ <sup>(4)</sup> and analyze our data for the resulting  $\Sigma^+$  and  $\Sigma^-$  masses. Events  $K_{20}$  and  $K_{MH 1}$  give upper limits for the  $\Sigma^+$  mass, whereas events  $K_2$  and  $K_{MH 2}$  give the  $\Sigma^-$  mass on the present assumptions. Table III - B and Fig. 2 give the resulting  $\Sigma^+$  and  $\Sigma^-$  masses.

The range-energy table of Barkas and Young<sup>(5)</sup> was used to perform these calculations. As more such events become available one should be able to distinguish between decays in flight and particles coming to rest.

It is interesting to note that if the above interpretations are correct, it implies that 4 out of 34  $K^-$ <sup>(6)</sup> mesons have stopped in hydrogen in the emulsion. If we take 35% of all stoppings<sup>(7)</sup> to occur in the light elements (C, O, N, H) and if we assume that the Z law for  $\pi^-$  and  $\mu^-$  stoppings<sup>(8)</sup> applies in this case, we get  $G_H = 0.35 F_H$  where

$$F_H = \frac{\frac{P_H}{A_H} Z_H}{\frac{P_C Z_C}{A_C} + \frac{P_O Z_O}{A_O} + \frac{P_N Z_N}{A_N}} \frac{P_H}{P_C + P_O + P_N} = 0.185$$

as the fraction of stoppings in hydrogen. Thus  $G_H = 0.064$  or 6.4%, which is consistent with the observed number (within the poor statistics.) This does imply, however, that the effect observed by Panofsky, Amodt and Hadley<sup>(9)</sup> for  $\pi^-$  mesons, in which a  $\pi^- + H$  mesic atom moves close to a carbon or lithium nucleus and then transfers the  $\pi^-$  to the heavier nucleus, does not apply to  $K^-$  mesons.

This work was carried out under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

Table I

Compilation of  $K^-$  stoppings

Event	Prong and Identity		Range	g/g <sub>0</sub>	Energy	Visible Energy + Binding Energy Mev	Comments
					μ		
$K_1^-$	1	p	174		5.1	13	
	2	p	600		10.5	18	
	3	p	275		6.7	15	
	4	p	670		11.2	19	
	5	p	18900		75.6	84	
	6	π		1.43 ± 7%	62	200	349
$K_2^-$	1	(Σ <sup>-</sup> )	695		12.7		(1) and (2) colinear - see discussion below
	2	π		1.31 ± 7%	75 ± 11		
$K_3^-$	1	p	2200		22.2	30	
	2	p	>11700		>57	>65	
	3	p	87		3.3	11	
	4	p	1000		14.2	22	
	5	p	3110		27	35	
	6	p	7920		46	54	>217
$K_4^-$	1	p	3350		28.2	36	
						36	
$K_5^-$	1	p	513		9.6	18	
	2	p	36		1.8	10	
	3	p	>794		>12.4	>20	
	4	π or (p)		1.44 ± 7%	60	200	248
						>248	
$K_6^-$	1	p	64		2.7	11	
	2	π <sup>-</sup>	18700		30	170	
	3	π	1		---	---	>181
$K_7^-$	1	p	>7280		44	52	
						52	

Table I (continued)

Event	Prong and Identity		Range	$g/g_0$	Energy	Visible Energy + Binding Energy Mev	Comments
			$\mu$		Mev		
$K_8^-$	1	p	8900		46.3	54	
	2	p	11		.8	9	
	3	p	25		1.5	9	
	4	p	1220		15.8	24	
	5	p	21000		80	88	
						<u>184</u>	
$K_9^-$	1	p	19		1.3	9	
	2	p	2750		25	33	
						<u>42</u>	
$K_{10}^-$	1	p	23		1.4	9	
	2	$\pi$		$1.31 \pm 7\%$	75	215	
						<u>224</u>	
$K_{11}^-$	1	$\pi$ or e		$1.13 \pm 7\%$	93	233	assuming $\pi$
					<u>233</u>		
$K_{12}^-$	1	$\pi$	2		.2		
	2	p	70		2.9	11	
	3	$\pi$ or (p)		$1.60 \pm 7\%$	50	190	If p, E = 175 Mev
						<u>&gt; 201</u>	
$K_{13}^-$	0					0	$K_p$ blob at end
					<u>0</u>		
$K_{14}^-$	1	p	84 $\mu$		3.2	11	
	2	$\pi$	2 $\mu$				
						<u>11</u>	
$K_{15}^-$	1	p or ( $\Sigma$ )	5630		38	46	Scatter (or decay) of prong
	2	p	216		5.7	14	1 by $49^0 K_{rec}$
	3	p	445		8.8	17	4000
						<u>77</u>	
$K_{16}^-$	1	$\pi^-$	3720		13.1	153	
	2	p	1850		20	28	
	3	p	326		7.7	15	
	4	p	203		5.5	14	
						<u>210</u>	
$K_{17}^-$	1	p	23.5		1.4	9	
	2	p	763		12.1	20	
	3	p	420		8.6	17	
	4	p	68		11.2	1	
						<u>57</u>	

Table I (continued)

Event	Prong and Identity	Range	$g/g_0$	Energy	Visible Energy + Binding Energy	Comments
		P		Mev	Mev	
$K_{18}^-$	1 p o ( $\Sigma^-$ )	815		12.5	30	Prong (1) ... 1.1 and 4 M ha. two short p co- linear prongs its end. Range 9.5 and 17p co- be coincidence (or $\Sigma^-$ star).
	2 p	104		3.7	12	
	3 p	3360		28.2	36	
	4 p	218		5.7	14	
	5 p	315		7.3	15	
	6 p	108		3.8	12	
					109	
$K_{19}^-$	1 p	8250		47	>55	
	2 p	181		5.2	13	
	3 p	223		5.8	14	
	4 p	125		4.1	12	
					>74	
$K_{20}^-$	1 $\Sigma^+$	809		14		(1) and (2) colinear see discussion below
	2 $\pi$		~1.1	~85		
$K_{21}^-$	1 p	4000		31.2	39	
					39	
$K_{22}^-$	1 p	17		1.1	0	
	2 p	10		.8	0	
	3 p	2160		22	30	
					48	

\* Energies are computed on the assumptions that all prongs are protons unless otherwise stated.

Table II  
Measurements on Colinear\* Events

Event	Prong 1 ( $\Sigma$ )				Prong 2 ( $\pi$ )	
	Range $\mu$	Dip $\Delta z/100 \mu$	Moderation time in units of $10^{-11}$ sec.	Notes	$g/g_0$	Dip $\Delta z/100 \mu$
$K_{20}$	$899 \pm 40$	-41.4	2.5	( $\Sigma^+$ ) secondary	$\sim 1.1 \pm .2$	+41.3
$K_{MH-1}$	$579 \pm 25$		2.0	( $\Sigma^+$ ) secondary		
$K_2$	$695 \pm 25$	+24.3	2.3	$\Sigma^-$ No visible secondary	$1.31 \pm 7\%$	-24.5
$K_{MH-2}$	$691 \pm 45$		2.3	( $\Sigma^-$ ) No visible secondary		

\* The projected colinearity of prongs 1 and 2 in all four events is better than  $0.5^\circ$ .

Table III

 $K^-$  and (or)  $\Sigma^+$  and  $\Sigma^-$  Masses from the suggested reaction  $K^- + H \rightarrow \Sigma^\pm + \pi^\pm$ 

Event	Emitted Particle	Case A	Case B	
		$M(K^-)$ in $m_e$ $(M_{\Sigma^+} = 2327 \pm 3 m_e)^{(3)}$	Assume $M(K^-) = M(\tau^+) = 965.5 \pm .7^{(4)}$	
$K^-_{20}$	$\Sigma^+$	$\geq 966 \pm 6 m_e$	$M(\Sigma^+)$ $2324 \pm 4$	$M(\Sigma^-)$ _____
$K^-_{MH 1}$	$\Sigma^+$	$\geq 935 \pm 5 m_e$	$2355 \pm 4$	_____
		Assume $M_{\Sigma^-} = M_{\Sigma^+} = 2327 \pm 3 m_e$		
$K^-_2$	$(\Sigma^-)$	$= 952 \pm 5 m_e$	_____	$2338 \pm 4$
$K^-_{M-H 2}$	$(\Sigma^-)$	$= 951 \pm 7 m_e$	_____	$2338 \pm 6$

Figure Captions

Fig. 1 Mass of  $K^-$  particle versus range of  $\Sigma$  particle for the reaction  $K^- + H \rightarrow \Sigma^+ + \pi^-$ .

Assumption A:  $M(\Sigma^+) = M(\Sigma^-) = 2327 \pm 3 m_e$ . The 4 experimental  $\Sigma$  ranges and their corresponding  $K^-$  masses are shown.

Fig. 2 Mass of  $\Sigma^+$  and  $\Sigma^-$  versus range of  $\Sigma$  particle for the reaction  $K^- + H \rightarrow \Sigma^+ + \pi^-$ .

Assumption B:  $M(K^-) = M(\tau) = 965.5$ . The 4 experimental  $\Sigma$  ranges and the corresponding  $\Sigma^+$  and  $\Sigma^-$  masses are shown.

## References

1. Kerth, Stork, Birge, Haddock and Whitehead, *Bull. Am. Phys. Soc.* 30 41, (1955).
2. Pevsner, Ritson, and Widgoff (private communication). We are grateful to these authors for making their data available to us.
3. Astbury et al., Report of Charged Hyperons, *Nuovo cimento*, Suppl. XII, 458 (1954).
4. Amaldi et al., Report of Committee on  $\tau$ -mesons, *Nuovo cimento*, Suppl. XII, 419 (1954).
5. Barkas and Young, UCRL-2579 (Revised), unpublished.
6. Two Colinear events were found in 22 stars at Berkeley and 2 in 12 stars at Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Harvard.
7. R. E. Marshak, Meson Physics, p. 171 (McGraw-Hill 1952).
8. Fermi and Teller, *Phys. Rev.* 72 399 (1947).
9. Fanofsky, Aamodt and Hadley, *Phys. Rev.* 81 565 (1951).