UCRL 3630

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Radiation Laboratory

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

UCRL-3630

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

RELAXATION OF A SYSTEM OF PARTICLES WITH COULOMB INTERACTIONS

W. M. MacDonald, M. N. Rosenbluth, and Wong Chuck

January 1957

Printed for the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission

RELAXATION OF A SYSTEM OF PARTICLES WITH COULOMB INTERACTIONS

W. M. MacDonald, Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin*

M. N. Rosenbluth, University of California Radiation Laboratory, Berkeley, California†

and

Wong Chuck, University of California Radiation Laboratory, Livermore, California§

January 1957

ABSTRACT

The relaxation to a Maxwellian distribution of a system of particles interacting through inverse-square-law forces is investigated in the approximation of two-particle interactions resulting in small-angle deflections of particle trajectories. The time required for the relaxation of the distribution in the neighborhood of the average energy is found to agree with the self-collision time by L. Spitzer. The time required for the distribution to become Maxwellian throughout the range from zero energy to several times the average energy is found to be nearly ten times the self-collision time. Filling of the high-energy portion of the Maxwell distribution is also discussed.

---

*At University of California Radiation Laboratory, Berkeley, when this work was begun; present address: Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland.

†On leave from Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory at time work was begun; present address: General Atomic, San Diego, California.

§Present address: 1809 Bonita Avenue, Berkeley, California.

I. Introduction

The relaxation of the electron or ion component of an ionized gas to a Maxwellian distribution has been of some astrophysical interest. Spitzer[1] has analyzed various aspects of the relaxation phenomenon such as (1) removal of angular anisotropy, (2) energy exchange, (3) and loss of energy of a particle by "dynamical friction". Bohm and Aller[2] have presented a detailed analysis on the relative importance of electron-electron collisions in establishing the velocity distribution of electrons in gaseous nebulae and stellar atmospheres. Although the general conclusions reached by these authors is almost certainly correct, the discussions were based on the rates of change of the distribution function and not on an explicit solution of the time-dependent problem.

In this paper we present an equation for the effect of particle interactions on the one particle distribution function and the results of a numerical integration of the equation on an electronic digital computer for a distribution initially peaked about a particular energy. The filling of the high energy portion of the Maxwell distribution is treated approximately.

II. The Time Dependent Equation

In obtaining an equation which describes the effect of interactions between particles of charge e and mass m

upon the velocity distribution we assume that (1) all interactions are a superposition of two body interactions resulting in (2) small angle deflections of particle trajectories. Although the validity of these two approximations is not rigorously established, the work of Spitzer, Cohen, and McRoutly[3] and of Gasiorowiscz, Neuman, and Riddell[4] indicate their essential correctness for many phenomena. We shall use the Rutherford scattering law to determine the probability of deflections of a given magnitude. Restricting ourselves to isotropic angular distributions we can obtain an equation for the time rate of change of the distribution function, either from an expansion of the integrand of the Boltzmann collision integral in powers of the angle of deflection[5], or from the Fokker-Planck equation,[6]

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \frac{2\pi e^4}{m^2} \ln\Lambda \left\{ \frac{2}{3} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial v^2} \left[\int_v^\infty du\, f(u) u + \frac{1}{v^3} \int_0^v du\, f(u) u^4 \right] + \frac{4}{3v} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \left[\int_0^\infty du\, f(u) u - \int_0^v du\, f(u) u \left(1 - \frac{u}{v}\right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{u}{2v}\right) \right] + 2f^2 \right\} \quad (1)$$

$$\Lambda = (3/2e^3)(k^3 T^3/\pi n e)^{1/2}$$

The quantity f(v) is a distribution function in <u>magnitude</u> of velocities and has the normalization

$$n = \int_0^\infty du\, f(u) u^2 \quad (2)$$

where n is the number density of particles. The Maxwell distribution causes the right side of eq. (1) to vanish, showing that this distribution is indeed a solution of the static problem.

The eq. (1) can be put into dimensionless form by observing that the distribution function can always be

written

$$f(v,t) = (A/v_0^3)\, h(\xi,\tau) \qquad \xi = v/v_0 \tag{3}$$

where A is a normalization constant, v_0 is a "characteristic" velocity, and τ is a dimensionless time parameter

$$\tau = (2\pi e^4/m^2)(A/v_0^3)\ln\Lambda \cdot t \tag{4}$$

The equation satisfied by $h(\xi,\tau)$ is then dimensionless

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial h}{\partial \tau} = \frac{2}{3}\Big\{ & \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial \tau^2}\left[\int_\xi^\infty d\eta\, h(\eta)\eta + \frac{1}{\xi^3}\int_0^\xi d\eta\, h(\eta)\eta^4\right] \\ & + \frac{4}{3\xi}\frac{\partial h}{\partial \xi}\left[\int_0^\infty d\eta\, h(\eta)\eta - \int_0^\xi d\eta\, h(\eta)\eta\left(1-\frac{\eta}{\xi}\right)^2\left(1+\frac{\eta}{2\xi}\right)\right] \\ & + 2h^2\Big\}\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We can relate A and v_0 to the number density of particles and the average energy or kinetic temperature (kT) of the system by

$$n = I_2 A \qquad\qquad I_2 \equiv \int_0^\infty d\xi\, h(\xi,\tau)\,\xi^2$$

$$E \equiv 3/2\ kT = 1/2\ m v_0^2 (I_4/I_2) \qquad I_4 \equiv \int_0^\infty d\xi\, h(\xi,\tau)\,\xi^4 \tag{6}$$

The integrals I_2 and I_4 are constants (as one can verify directly from eq.(5)) determined by the initial distribution. The dimensionless time parameter in terms of n, kT, I_2 and I_4

is

$$\tau = \frac{2\pi e^4 n \ln\Lambda}{m^{1/2}(3kT)^{3/2}} \left(\frac{I_4}{I_2}\right)^{3/2} \frac{1}{I_2} t \tag{7}$$

The usefulness of this formulation of the time dependent problem is that all solutions of eq.(7) which can be obtained by similarity transformations from a given solution are easily constructed using the solution of eq.(5). The solutions of the time-dependent eq.(7) are

$$f(v,t) = \frac{n}{I_2}\left(\frac{m}{3kT}\right)^{3/2}\left(\frac{I_4}{I_2}\right)^{3/2} h(\xi,\tau)$$

$$\xi = v\left(\frac{m}{3kT}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{I_4}{I_2}\right)^{1/2} \tag{8}$$

The variable τ is related to the time by eq.(7). The initial distribution is of course

$$f(v,0) = \frac{n}{I_2}\left(\frac{m}{3kT}\right)^{3/2}\left(\frac{I_4}{I_2}\right)^{3/2} h(\xi,0) \tag{9}$$

The quantities I_2 and I_4 are calculated from $h(\xi,0)$.

III. Numerical Integration

The numerical integration was carried out using the difference equation for eq.(5)

$$h^{n+1}_j = h^n_j + \Delta\tau\left\{\left[\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{h^n_{j+1} - 2h^n_j + h^n_{j-1}}{(\Delta\xi)^2}\right)S^n_j\right] + \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{4}{3\xi_j}\left(\frac{h^n_{j+1} - h^n_{j-1}}{2\Delta\xi}\right)(S^n - R^n_j) + 2(h^n_j)^2\right\} \tag{10}$$

where

$$S^n_j = \frac{1}{\xi_j^3}\int_0^j d\eta\, h^n \eta^4 + \int_j^J d\eta\, h^n \eta \qquad S^n = \int_0^J d\eta\, h^n \eta$$

$$R^n_j = \int_0^j d\eta\, h^n \eta - \frac{3}{2\xi_j}\int_0^j d\eta\, h^n \eta^2 + \frac{1}{2\xi_j^3}\int_0^j d\eta\, h^n \eta^4 \qquad (11)$$

Subscripts refer to space points, superscripts to time intervals. The condition for stability of the numerical integration of eqs.(10) and (11) is

$$\Delta\tau/(\Delta\xi)^2 < (3/4S^n) \qquad (12)$$

This condition was used to determine the interval in τ for each successive time step.

An initial distribution was chosen which represents the shape assumed by a delta function after a time sufficiently short to be neglected ($\tau \sim 10$), and yet one which has sufficient breadth to be treated simply in a machine calculation. The initial distribution was chosen to be a gaussian centered at $\xi = 0.3$

$$h(\xi,0) = 0.01 \exp\left\{-10\left[(\xi-0.3)/0.3\right]^2\right\} \qquad (13)$$

The distribution function $h(\xi,\tau)$ was computed for 24 values of ξ at intervals of $\Delta\xi = 0.03$ from $\tau = 0$ to $\tau = 484.17$. This initial distribution is a two-parameter function since the center and width of such a gaussian are variable. We did not consider variations of the initial distribution of eq.(13) with the consequence that all initial velocity distributions obtained

from $h(\xi,\tau)$ by eq.(9) have a half width in energy at half-maximum of approximately five-eighths the average energy.

IV. Relaxation to Maxwellian Distribution

A plot of $h(\xi,\tau)$ for a sequence of values of the dimensionless time parameter is given in Figure 1. For comparison the Maxwellian distribution $h_M(\xi)$ corresponding to the same average energy and number density is also plotted. The function $h_M(\xi)$ is the final steady state which should be approached for sufficiently long times. In Table I the numerical values of $h_M(\xi)$ are given for each of the 24 space points along with the values of $h(\xi,\tau)$ for $\tau = 484.17$. Although the latter distribution is rather close to Maxwellian, the low energy portion of the spectrum is overpopulated and the Maxwell "tail" at high energies is not yet full. An upward diffusion in energy must still occur before the Maxwell distribution is achieved.

These results are more easily interpreted after τ is related to the time. First we shall give the distributions derived from $h(\xi,0)$ and $h_M(\xi)$

$$f(v,0) = 62.93\,(n/v_0^3)\exp\left\{-10\left[v/v_0 - 0.3)/0.3\right]^2\right\}$$

$$f_M(v) = 110.51\,(n/v_0^3)\exp\left\{-13.285\,(v/v_0)^2\right\} \qquad (14)$$

From $f_M(v)$ we find that v_0 is related to the r. m. s. velocity by

$$v_0 = 2.976\,\langle v^2\rangle^{1/2} = 2.976\sqrt{3kT/m} \qquad (15)$$

The time is then given

$$t = 0.00218 \left[m^{1/2} (3kT)^{3/2} / \pi n e^4 \ln \Lambda \right] \tau \qquad (16)$$

We can also express t in terms of τ and the relaxation time called by Spitzer[1] "the self-collision time"

$$t_c = m^{1/2} (3kT)^{3/2} / 8 \times 0.714 \, \pi n e^4 \ln \Lambda \qquad (17)$$

We find $t = (0.0121\ \tau)\, t_c$. The relaxation time t_c is really the average time required for a particle having an energy equal to the average energy to suffer a 90° deflection of trajectory and a 100% change in energy. We define a corresponding relaxation time from our calculation as the time required for the distribution function to achieve the Maxwell value in the neighborhood of the maximum at $\xi = 0.3$. This occurs at $\tau \approx 60$ or $t = 0.73\ t_c$. The relaxation time defined in this way agrees quite well with the "self-collision time". We see from the distribution obtained at $\tau = 484.17$ or $t = 5.9\ t_c$, however, that the concept of a relaxation time can be misleading. While the distribution in the neighborhood of the average energy is Maxwellian within a few percent, at an energy six times the average energy only 75% of the Maxwell amplitude has been achieved. Certainly more time is required for the higher energy parts of the Maxwell distribution to be filled. This aspect of the problem was not treated in detail by the machine calculations although eq.(5) is approximated by a much simpler equation for large values of ξ.

V. Diffusion of Particles into the Maxwell Tail

For values of ξ above the main portion of the distribution an approximate equation can be obtained from eq.(5) by neglecting all integrals from ξ to infinity and extending the finite integrals to infinity.

$$\partial h/\partial \tau = (2I_4/3\xi^2)\,(\partial/\partial\xi)\left(\xi^{-1}\partial h/\partial\xi + 2\alpha h\right)$$

$$\alpha = 3I_2/2I_4 \tag{18}$$

The only solution of the static equation which vanishes at infinity is $h_M(\xi) = A\exp(-\alpha\xi^2)$. (This is also the static solution of eq.(5) if $A = 4aI_2\sqrt{a/\pi}$.) Introduce the function $g(\xi,\tau)$ defined from

$$h(\xi,\tau) = g(\xi,\tau)\,e^{-\alpha\xi^2} \tag{19}$$

into eq.(18)

$$\partial g/\partial\tau = (2I_4/3\xi^3)\left[\partial^2 g/\partial\xi^2 - (2\alpha\xi + \xi^{-1})\,\partial g/\partial\xi\right] \tag{20}$$

The function $g(\xi,\tau)$ becomes a constant as $h(\xi,\tau)$ approaches a Maxwell distribution. For a detailed analysis of the time dependent behavior of $h(\xi,\tau)$ at high energies one would use for an initial $g(\xi,\tau)$ the function reached after the lower energy portion of the distribution has reached nearly a gaussian dependence. The approach of $g(\xi,\tau)$ to the final constant value

at any energy then can be found from numerical integration of eq.(20).

We should expect g to have the behavior of a diffusion wave i.e. g is constant for small values of ξ and is zero for large values of ξ, with a transition region connecting the two asymptotic values of g. To examine the change in g(ξ, τ) with time we can look at the motion of the points on the curve g(ξ, τ).

$$(\partial \xi / \partial \tau)_g = (2I_4 / 3\xi^3)\left\{2\alpha\xi + \xi^{-1} + (\partial^2 \xi / \partial g^2)_\tau / (\partial \xi / \partial g)_\tau^2\right\} \tag{21}$$

Now let $\eta = \xi^3$ and find

$$(\partial \eta / \partial \tau)_g = 2I_4\left\{2\alpha - \eta^{-2/3} + 3\eta^{1/3}(\partial^2 \eta / \partial g^2)_\tau / (\partial \eta / \partial g)_\tau^2\right\} \tag{22}$$

The first two terms in eq.(22) clearly represent an upward diffusion of particles to higher η. For large η we can neglect the second term. The last term in eq.(22) will tend to increase the width W of the transition region in η. If $\bar{\eta}$ is the value of η which corresponds to the midpoint of the transition region where $(\partial^2 \eta / \partial g^2)_\tau \approx 0$ the last term is clearly of order $\bar{\eta}^{1/3}/W$ and is positive on the left and negative on the right. We can write

$$\bar{\eta} = 4I_4 \alpha \tau \tag{23}$$

and by comparing $\partial \eta / \partial \tau$ at the left and right of $\bar{\eta}$ we also have

$$\partial w/\partial \tau \sim 10\, I_4 (\eta^{1/3}/W)$$

or

$$W \sim (2/\sqrt{\tau})\, \bar{\eta}^{2/3} \tag{24}$$

Although eq.(24) is only qualitative, it does indicate that the width of the transition region in w increases more slowly that $\bar{\eta}$, the upper edge of the Maxwell region in $g(\xi, \tau)$. Consequently $g(\xi, \tau)$ maintains its diffusion character. The eq.(23) can be used to estimate the time required to fill the Maxwell tail to velocity **v**.

$$t = m^2 v^3 / 12\pi e^4 n \ln \Lambda \tag{25}$$

This time is independent of the average temperature of the gal and is approximately equal to the self-collision time for particles of velocity v given by eq.(17).

In discussing the filling of the high energy portion of the Maxwell distribution we have not considered the effect of collisions which result in large angle deflections of particle trajectories. The quantity $\ln \Lambda$ defined in eq.(1) gives approximately

$$\ln \Lambda \sim \left(\frac{\text{Frequency of small energy exchanges}}{\text{Frequency of large energy exchanges}} \right)$$

For an electron gas $\ln \Lambda \sim 10 - 30$[1], and therefore according to eq.(25) the large angle collisions resulting in large energy exchanges are also unimportant for filling the Maxwell distribution at high energies.

Table I. A comparison of the latest distribution $h(\xi, 484.17)$ with the Maxwellian distribution

ξ	$h_M(\xi)$	$h(\xi, 484.17)$
0	1.76×10^{-2}	1.86×10^{-2}
0.03	1.73	1.86
0.06	1.67	1.79
0.09	1.58	1.68
0.12	1.45	1.54
0.15	1.30	1.37
0.18	1.14	1.20
0.21	9.77×10^{-3}	10.13×10^{-3}
0.24	8.17	8.38
0.27	6.67	6.76
0.30	5.31	5.32
0.33	4.13	4.08
0.36	3.14	3.05
0.39	2.33	2.29
0.42	1.69	1.59
0.45	1.19	1.10
0.48	8.23×10^{-4}	7.47×10^{-4}
0.51	5.52	4.93
0.54	3.66	3.17
0.57	2.34	1.99
0.60	1.47	1.21
0.63	9.98×10^{-5}	7.15×10^{-5}
0.65	5.37	4.09
0.69	3.13	2.26
0.72	1.79	1.21

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to thank Dr. David L. Judd of the University of California Radiation Laboratory at Berkeley for his interest in this problem and for the computing facilities which he made available.

This work was performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

1. L. Spitzer, Physics of Fully Ionized Gases. Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York (1956)

2. D. Bohm and L. Aller, Ap. J. 105, 131 (1947)

3. Cohen, Spitzer and McRoutly, Phys. Rev. 80, 230 (1950)

4. Gasiorowiscz, Neuman, and Riddell, Phys. Rev. 101, 922 (1956)

5. The development by this procedure was considered too lengthy to be given here since the equation given in reference 6 yields the same result much more easily.

6. Rosenbluth, MacDonald, and Judd, Phys. Rev. (to be published.)


RELAXATION TO MAXWELLIAN DISTRIBUTION
IN A GAS WITH INVERSE SQUARE LAW FORCES
$t=\left[0.00212\frac{m^{1/2}(3kT)^{3/2}}{\pi e^4 n \ln(3/2e^3\sqrt{k^3T^3/\pi n})}\right]\tau$
$h(\xi,0)=0.01e^{-10\left(\frac{\xi-0.3}{0.3}\right)^2}$
MAXWELLIAN DISTRIBUTION $h_M(\xi)$
τ=72.84
τ=484.17
τ=30.31
τ=10.39
τ=0.669
DIMENSIONLESS VELOCITY DISTRIBUTION-100xh(ξ,τ)
DIMENSIONLESS VELOCITY-ξ = Vx0.335$\sqrt{m/3kT}$
0
.2
.4
.6
.8
1.0
1.2
1.4
1.6
1.8
2.0
.06
.12
.18
.24
.30
.36
.42
.48
.54
.60
.66

Fig. 1