UCRL -8216

Cy. 2

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

UCRL-8216 c2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

UCRL-8216

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

THE SPIN OF NEPTUNIUM-238

R. G. Albridge, J. C. Hubbs, and R. Marrus

March 18, 1958

Printed for the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission

UCRL-8216

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

THE SPIN OF NEPTUNIUM-239

R. G. Albridge, J. C. Hubbs, and R. Marrus

March 14, 1958

Printed for the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission

THE SPIN OF NEPTUNIUM-238

R. G. Albridge, J. C. Hubbs, and R. Marrus

Radiation Laboratory and Department of Physics and Chemistry
University of California, Berkeley, California

March 18, 1958

ABSTRACT

The atomic-beam magnetic-resonance method has been used to investigate 2.10-day Np^{238} in the low-field or Zeeman region of hyperfine structure. The spin of this nuclide is found to be 2.

THE SPIN OF NEPTUNIUM-238†

R. G. Albridge, J. C. Hubbs, and R. Marrus

Radiation Laboratory and Department of Physics and Chemistry
University of California, Berkeley, California

March 18, 1958

INTRODUCTION

The research reported here is part of a continuing program leading to nuclear spins and moments, and to the properties of low-lying electronic states in the heavy-element region. The spin of this nuclide is particularly interesting, for Np^{238} is an odd-odd isotope for which the states of the last proton and neutron are presumably known from Np^{239} and Pu^{239} respectively.[1] Therefore the observed spin is expected to yield information regarding the coupling of neutrons and protons in deformed nuclei.

Previous research[2] on Np^{239} has disclosed an electronic energy level with $J = 11/2$, $g_J = .6551 \pm .0006$ apparently arising from the configuration $(5f)^4\ (6d)^1$; the measurements reported here are made in this level.

TARGET PREPARATION, MATERIAL PRODUCTION, AND BEAM DETECTION

The sample is made by neutron activation of a mixture of 3 milligrams of Np^{237} and 10 mg of U^{238} oxides for 72 hr at a flux of 2×10^{13} neutrons/cm^2/sec. The target is prepared by co-precipitation of neptunium and uranium hydroxides and subsequent decomposition to the oxides by heating. The U^{238} is added for carrier purposes only; the thermal-activation cross sections[3] of U^{238} and Np^{239} are such that the product activity is about 95% 2.1-day Np^{238} and 5% 2.36-day Np^{239}.

The neptunium is detected by allowing the atomic beam to fall on small flamed platinum foils which are then counted in flow proportional counters with high detection efficiency for beta particles above 25 kev. Counter background is typically 6 counts per minute.

---

† Work done under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

METHOD

The spin, $\vec{I}$, of a nucleus interacting with an electronic system of known $\vec{J}$ and g_J, can be established in the low-field or Zeeman region of hfs providing that the g values, g_F, of the various states of total angular momentum, $F = I + J$, can be measured to sufficient precision. In the low-field limit F is a good quantum number and, if contributions from the nuclear magnetic moment are ignored, these g values are given by the product of the electronic g value, g_J, and the relative projection of the electronic angular momentum on the direction of total angular momentum,

$$g_F = g_J \frac{\vec{J} \cdot \vec{F}}{\vec{F}^2} = g_J \frac{F(F+1) + J(J+1) - I(I+1)}{2F(F+1)} \qquad (1)$$

Thus the observed transition frequencies, $g_F \mu_0 H$, will be a function of but one unknown, I.

Departures from this simple scheme arise from mixing by the external magnetic field of states of different F but the same projection M_F on the field direction. The term quadratic in the field which is, in general, the first to appear is in this case about $0.01 (g_J \mu_0 H)^2/A$, where A is the dipole hfs constant defined by $\mathcal{H} = A\, I \cdot J$. The J and g_J observed in neptunium suggest that the electronic energy level under consideration arises from the configuration $(5f)^4 (6d)^1$, with the 5f and 6d electrons independently coupling to the several Hund's rule ground states $^5I_4$ and $^2D_{3/2}$; such a situation would be the consequence of a mutual electrostatic interaction smaller than either fine-structure interaction. On the assumption that this is the case, a calculation has been made of A by using a simple extension of methods previously enumerated,[4] and 5f and 6d eletron-wave functions obtained from a Hartree relativistic calculation for uranium.[5] The result for the $(^5I_4 - {}^2D_{3/2})_{11/2}$ state is $A = 470\, g_I$ Mc/sec. Therefore with an apparatus resolution of 20 kc/sec one expects the Zeeman region of hfs to extend to at least 30 gauss ($g_I \geq 0.1$).

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The atomic-beam apparatus, which has been described elsewhere,[6] uses the Zacharias[7] or flop-in resonance system, requiring for a refocused beam a transition between magnetic substates having equal and opposite high-field magnetic moments, i.e., a transition between magnetic substates characterized by the

high-field quantum numbers m_J and $-m_J$; all such transitions for which ΔF is 0 are allowed in the Zeeman limit.[8] On the other hand the deflection in the magnet system is proportional to m_J, and the neptunium beam temperature and g_J value give rather smaller deflections than those for which the apparatus was designed. Therefore transition intensities generally decrease as the maximum value of Δm_J decreases, or in this case as F decreases (Fig. 1).

A beam of neptunium atoms is made by the same process as is used for Np^{239}; the neptunium and uranium oxides are mixed with a large excess of graphite powder, placed in a small tantalum oven, and heated in the apparatus. The oxides are first reduced to carbides at a temperature of about 1300° C and then the oven temperature is raised to about 2000° C, at which temperature a beam of neptunium is made by decomposition of the carbides.

An initial search was made at a field of 0.70 gauss at discrete frequencies given by Eq. (1); it indicated that the spin of Np^{238} is 2. This assignment has been verified by the observation of at least four of the five F states at a field of 13.4 gauss (Fig. 2), where the resolution in g_F is about 0.2%. Each state of total angular momentum has been observed at this higher field a minimum of three times. The resonance effect for the F = 7/2 state is no more than 0.1%. Observed and predicted resonance frequencies are given in Table I.

The beam material is identified as essentially pure Np^{238} by half-life analysis. The half-life of the gross sample (direct beam) is found to be 2.16 ± 0.15 days and that of the F = 15/2 state is found to be 2.1 ± 0.4 days.

DISCUSSION

The measured spin of Np^{238} is in agreement with all beta and gamma spectroscopic studies[9-12] that favor spins 2 or 3.

The last odd nucleons in Np^{238} would most reasonably be expected to be in the states (642+) 5/2+ for the proton[1] as in Np^{239} and (631-) 1/2+ for the neutron[1] as in Pu^{239}. Moskowski's[13] extension of the Nordheim[14] rules would then predict 2+ for the ground state of Np^{238}. There are, however, alternative assignments for both the neutron and the proton states which are not unreasonable, namely the proton state (523-) 5/2- which appears[15] only 60 kev above the ground state in Np^{237}, and the neutron state (501-) 1/2-, observed[16] as the ground state of U^{237}. There is, then, considerable uncertainty as to the parity of Np^{238}; in addition, the reasonable 2+ assignment is in conflict with one

Table I. Summary of observations

State	F = 15/2	F = 13/2	F = 11/2	F = 9/2	F = 7/2
Observed frequency	9.020 ± 0.025	9.912 ± 0.025	11.290 ± 0.035	13.562 ± 0.025	(17.790 ± 0.050)
Predicted frequency	9.025 Mc	9.908 Mc	11.274 Mc	13.550 Mc	17.776 Mc

beta-spectroscopic study.[11] A measurement of the magnetic moment would be of little assistance in regard to the parity, for in the limit of large deformation, which is a reasonable approximation in the neighborhood of Np^{238}, either neutron state in combination with a given proton state gives the same magnetic moment.

By use of the observed resonance frequencies in Np^{238} a recomputation of the g_J values of the observed levels can be made, yielding $g_J = 0.6553 \pm 0.0010$, in good agreement with the value obtained from the Np^{239} research. No change in the best value of g_J is indicated.

The authors wish to express their indebtedness to Jack M. Hollander for stimulating discussion, particularly in regard to state measurements in the vicinity of Np^{238}.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Jack M. Hollander, Phys. Rev. 105, 1518 (1957).
2. J. C. Hubbs and R. Marrus, Phys. Rev. 109, (1958).
3. D. J. Hughes and J. A. Harvey, Neutron Cross Sections, BNL-325, July 1955.
4. Hubbs, Marrus, Nierenberg, and Worcester, Phys. Rev. 109, 390 (1958).
5. S. Cohen (UCRL) private communication.
6. Brink, Hubbs, Nierenberg, and Worcester, Phys. Rev. 107, 189 (1957).
7. J. R. Zacharias, Phys. Rev. 60, 168 (1941).
8. E. Majorana, Nuovo cimento 9, 43 (1932).
9. Rasmussen, Slatis, and Passell, Phys. Rev. 99, 42 (1955).
10. Rasmussen, Stevens and Strominger, and Åström, Phys. Rev. 99, 47 (1955).
11. S. A. Baranov and K. N. Shlyagin, Atomnia Energia 1, 52 (1956).
12. R. G. Albridge and J. M. Hollander, The Decay of Neptunium-238, UCRL-8034, Nov. 1957.
13. S. Moskowski (UCLA) private communication.
14. L. A. Nordheim, Revs. Modern Phys. 23, 322 (1951).
15. Strominger and Rasmussen, Nuclear Phys. 3, 197 (1957).
16. Rasmussen, Canavan, and Hollander, Phys. Rev. 107, 141 (1957).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Energy-level diagram for the system. J = 11/2, I = 2 assuming normal hfs obeying the interval rule. (Not to scale.) Flop-in transitions are indicated by arrows.

Fig. 2. Observed transitions in Np^{238} at 13.4 gauss.