

UNIVERSITY OF
CALIFORNIA
Ernest O. Lawrence
Radiation
Laboratory

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545*

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

For Publ. in Phys. Rev.

$C^{12}(p, pn) C^{11}$ CROSS SECTION FROM 3 TO 6 Bev

Nahmin Horwitz and Joseph J. Murray

August, 1959

$C^{12}(p, pn)C^{11}$ CROSS SECTION FROM 3 TO 6 Bev

Nahmin Horwitz and Joseph J. Murray

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California

August, 1959

ABSTRACT

The $C^{12}(p, pn)C^{11}$ cross section has been measured at proton energies of 3.0, 4.5, and 6.0 Bev. The measured values are 29.8 ± 1.6 , 27.7 ± 1.7 , and 29.8 ± 1.6 mb respectively.

$C^{12}(p, pn)C^{11}$ CROSS SECTION FROM 3 TO 6 Bev

 Nahmin Horwitz[†] and Joseph J. Murray

 Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
 University of California
 Berkeley, California

August, 1959

I. INTRODUCTION

The $C^{12}(p, pn)C^{11}$ reaction can be used to monitor proton fluxes once its cross section is known. Measurements of this cross section have been made for proton energies from 0 to 3 Bev.^{1, 2, 3, 4} This experiment extends these measurements to 6 Bev.

II. PROCEDURE

 A. General Remarks

Targets consisting of three plastic scintillators mounted behind a nuclear emulsion (see Fig. 1) were exposed to a spatially dispersed internal proton beam at the Bevatron. They were bombarded by a time-integrated flux of approximately 2×10^6 protons/cm². The number of induced $C^{12}(p, pn)C^{11}$ reactions was measured by placing the scintillators on a photomultiplier and counting the positrons from the decay $C^{11} \rightarrow \beta^+ + B^{11} + \nu$. This technique of using a thick plastic scintillator to serve the dual purpose of both the carbon target and the scintillating medium in which to count the β activity was suggested to us by Dr. Walter Crandall.⁵ The proton flux was measured by counting tracks in the emulsions.

The $C^{12}(p, pn)C^{11}$ cross section is given by the formula

$$\sigma = \left[\frac{\text{number of } C^{11} \text{ atoms produced}}{\text{protons/cm}^2 \text{ incident on target}} \right]^{-1} \left[\frac{\text{number of } C^{12} \text{ nuclei in target}}{\text{cm}^2} \right]^{-1}$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{F} \cdot \frac{(C - bT_3)}{\epsilon \beta} \cdot \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} e^{-T_1/\tau}\right) \left(1 - e^{-T_3/\tau}\right) e^{-T_2/\tau} \right\} \right]^{-1} \left[\frac{m a_3 N_0}{12} \right]^{-1}$$

[†]Present address: Department of Physics, Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York.

where T_1 = time interval from start of proton bombardment to end of proton bombardment,

T_2 = time interval from end of proton bombardment to start of β^+ counting,

T_3 = time interval from start of β^+ counting to end of β^+ counting,

τ = mean life of C^{11} = 29.36 min,

C = number of C^{11} disintegrations counted during T_3 ,

b = background counting rate,

ϵ_β = efficiency of detecting a C^{11} disintegration with the scintillation counter,

a_1 = transition-effect correction factor,

F = correction factor for fluctuations in proton-bombardment intensity during T_1 ,

N = average number of protons/cm² counted in the emulsion,

a_2 = correction factor for nonuniformity of proton spatial distribution,

m = mass of plastic scintillator,

a_3 = fraction of plastic scintillator, by weight, which is C^{12} ,

N_0 = Avogadro's number.

Subsection B deals with the measurements of C , b , ϵ_β , a_1 , and F , which are needed to determine the number of $C^{12}(p, pn)C^{11}$ reactions. Subsection C deals with the measurements of N and a_2 , which are needed to determine the incident proton flux.

B. Measurements of C , b , ϵ_β , a_1 , and F

C , the number of detected C^{11} disintegrations, was obtained with conventional scintillation-counter techniques. To provide an internal consistency check, two similar but completely independent channels were set up. The same scintillator could then be counted with each of two different photomultipliers.

each feeding its own electronic circuit. The number of activated C^{11} atoms in a given scintillator as determined independently in each of these two channels agreed to $\pm 1\%$. In order to establish long-term stability, an artificial γ -ray source was used and the counting rate in each channel was monitored over a 1-week period. Each channel was stable to $\pm 2\%$ over a period comparable to that required for the experiment. It might be mentioned that the photomultiplier high-voltage plateaus for β^+ particles from C^{11} were flatter than the plateaus for γ rays from the artificial source. Therefore the stability for counting C^{11} activity was, if anything, better than $\pm 2\%$. The counting period T_3 was approximately 10 min, and C would typically be of the order of 10,000 counts.

To reduce the background counting rate, the scintillation counter was surrounded by a lead house with walls 8 in. thick. After each activation, the background counting rate b was measured by replacing the activated scintillator with an unactivated one of identical dimensions. These rates were of the order of 50 ± 5 cpm.

Since C^{11} is a positron emitter and the positron produces two γ rays when it annihilates, the counter efficiency ϵ_β can be determined by a β - γ coincidence technique. A NaI scintillation counter was placed near the β counter and the number of β - γ coincidences as well as the number of γ counts was measured simultaneously. If $N_{\beta\gamma}$ and N_γ are the number of β coincidences and γ counts respectively (suitably corrected for background and dead time), then

$$\epsilon_\beta = \frac{N_{\beta\gamma}}{N_\gamma} .$$

The efficiency ϵ_β was measured for each channel three times during the experiment. The values for the two channels were $0.95 \pm .02$ and $0.96 \pm .02$.

As the proton beam passes through the 1-in. target, various reactions produce secondary protons and neutrons, which in turn produce $C^{12}(p, pn)C^{11}$ reactions. The factor a_1 is intended to correct for this transition effect. Its value is measured as follows. Stacks of $1 \times 1 \times 1/8$ -in. scintillators arranged as shown in Fig. 2 were exposed to the proton beam. From scintillator No. 1 to No. 8 there was a steady increase of 9.4% in. in the induced activity. The activity in scintillator No. 9 was 1% lower than in scintillator No. 1. On the basis of these data, the value $0.945 \pm .03$ was used for a_2 .

The factor F is needed, in principle, to compensate for any temporal fluctuations in the proton intensity during T_1 . In practice, T_1 was only of the order of 1 min, and under these conditions the most extreme fluctuations would require only a 1% correction. Therefore, F was set equal to 1.00.

C. Measurements of N and a_2

N was measured by counting proton tracks in the nuclear emulsion. A reticle was used to define a field of view. The area thus defined was measured by using a standard ruled grating. The magnification was such that a field of view was approximately $9000 \mu^2$. We believe that the area was measured to an accuracy of $\pm 2\%$. Approximately 12 fields of view, each containing on the order of 200 protons, were counted at each energy. In all cases the same fields of view were studied independently by two people. Not only was the number of proton tracks counted, but also each viewer made a sketch showing the location of each track. Comparison of the two sketches then made it possible to detect any discrepancies and to restudy the emulsion. The discrepancies generally involved four or five tracks per field of view; these could usually be resolved and it is therefore assumed that the proton-detection efficiency was 100%.

The fields of view were located symmetrically with respect to the center of each of the three scintillators. In general, unless the spatial variation of proton beam intensity is linear, the value of the proton flux as measured at a finite number of points does not equal the true average integrated over the entire emulsion. The factor a_2 corrects for any such effect. To obtain as uniform a distribution as possible, the internal beam was spatially dispersed by the arrangement shown in Fig. 3. The internal proton beam is first steered into a wedge-shaped target located one quadrant upstream of the emulsion-scintillator sandwich. Variations in energy loss in the dispersing target due to its wedge shape, plus multiple scattering, produce a spatially dispersed beam at the emulsion. The spatial distribution of the beam was measured in an auxiliary experiment by substituting a mosaic of $1/2 \times 1/2$ -in. scintillators for the emulsion. The spatial distribution of induced activity in these scintillators provides a "map" of the beam distribution. It was possible to produce a beam for which the maximum variation in intensity over the entire area of the emulsion was 14%. The correction a_2 for this beam was less than 1% and therefore the value $a_2 = 1.00$ was used.

III. RESULTS

Since three scintillators are exposed with each emulsion, and since the emulsion is in effect divided into three areas corresponding to the locations of the three scintillators, each exposure gives us data for three separate cross-section calculations.

In addition, at 4.5 and 6.0 Bev a second exposure was made and the center scintillator and center portion of the emulsion were counted. Thus, at each of these energies, four values of the cross section were calculated. The results are given in Table I, along with their statistical uncertainties. A weighted mean value has been calculated for each energy and is also listed in Table I. The uncertainty due to systematic errors is estimated to be $\pm 5\%$. This uncertainty has been vectorially added to the statistical uncertainty in the mean value to give the total uncertainty listed in the last column.

These results, along with the results obtained by Cumming et al.³ and the preliminary results from Horwitz et al.,⁵ are plotted in Fig. 4.

IV. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the help of Dr. Edward J. Lofgren and the Bevatron crew in making the exposures for this experiment.

REFERENCES

1. Crandall, Millburn, Pyle, and Birnbaum, *Phys. Rev.* 101, 329 (1956).
2. Rosenfeld, Swanson, and Warshaw, *Phys. Rev.* 103, 413 (1956).
3. Cumming, Friedlander, and Swartz, *Phys. Rev.* 111, 1386 (1958).
4. Bibliographies of earlier work can be found in References 1 and 2.
5. Horwitz, Murray, and Crandall, *Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. Ser. II*, 1, 225 (1956).

Table I

Cross sections obtained from the experimental data				
Proton energy (Bev)	Individual values of the $C^{12}(p, pn)C^{11}$ cross sections (mb)	Statistical uncertainty (mb)	Weighted mean value (mb)	Estimated total uncertainty in weighted mean value (mb)
3.0	29.8	1.8	29.8	1.6
	30.5	1.2		
	27.9	1.8		
4.5	30.1	1.8	27.7	1.7
	27.6	1.2		
	25.6	1.5		
	27.2	2.1		
6.0	29.3	2.1	29.8	1.6
	28.7	1.2		
	28.9	2.1		
	32.3	1.6		

FIGURE LEGENDS

- Fig. 1. Three plastic scintillators behind a nuclear emulsion were exposed to a spatially dispersed internal proton beam at the Bevatron.
- Fig. 2. Arrangement of scintillators used to determine transition-effect correction factor a_2 .
- Fig. 3. Arrangement for producing a beam of uniform spatial intensity at the emulsion-scintillator target.
- Fig. 4. Measured values of the $C^{12}(p, pn)C^{11}$ cross section.





Fig 1



Proton beam





Fig 3

$C_{12}^2(p, pn)C_{11}^2$ cross section (mb)



Proton energy (Bev)

This experiment
Reference 3
Reference 5

