

Genome sequence of the moderately thermophilic, amino-acid-degrading and sulfur-reducing bacterium *Thermovirga lienii* type strain (Cas60314^T)

Markus Göker¹, Elisabeth Saunders^{2,3}, Alla Lapidus², Matt Nolan², Susan Lucas², Nancy Hammon², Shweta Deshpande², Jan-Fang Cheng², Cliff Han^{2,3}, Roxanne Tapia^{2,3}, Lynne A. Goodwin^{2,3}, Sam Pitluck², Konstantinos Liolios², Konstantinos Mavromatis², Ioanna Pagani², Natalia Ivanova², Natalia Mikhailova², Amrita Pati², Amy Chen⁴, Krishna Palaniappan⁴, Miriam Land^{2,5}, Yun-juan Chang^{2,5}, Cynthia D. Jeffries^{2,5}, Evelyne-Marie Brambilla¹, Manfred Rohde⁶, Stefan Spring¹, John C. Detter^{2,3}, Tanja Woyke², James Bristow², Jonathan A. Eisen^{2,7}, Victor Markowitz⁴, Philip Hugenholtz^{2,8}, Nikos C. Kyrpides^{2*}, Hans-Peter Klenk¹

¹ Leibniz Institute DSMZ - German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures, Braunschweig, Germany

² DOE Joint Genome Institute, Walnut Creek, California, USA

³ Los Alamos National Laboratory, Bioscience Division, Los Alamos, New Mexico, USA

⁴ Biological Data Management and Technology Center, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California, USA

⁵ Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA

⁶ HZI – Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research, Braunschweig, Germany

⁷ University of California Davis Genome Center, Davis, California, USA

⁸ Australian Centre for Ecogenomics, School of Chemistry and Molecular Biosciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

*Corresponding author: Nikos C. Kyrpides

Keywords

anaerobic, chemoorganotrophic, Gram-negative, motile, thermophilic, marine oil well, *Synergistaceae*, GEBA

Abstract

Thermovirga lienii Dahle and Birkeland 2006 is a member to the genomically so far uncharacterized genus *Thermovirga* in the phylum 'Synergistetes'. Members of the only recently (2007) proposed phylum 'Synergistetes' are of interest because of their isolated phylogenetic position and their diverse habitats, e.g. from man to oil well. The genome of *T. lienii* Cas60314^T is only the 5th genome sequence (3rd completed) from this phylum to be published. Here we describe the features of this organism, together with the complete genome sequence and annotation. The 1,999,646 bp long genome (including one plasmid) with its 1,914 protein-coding and 59 RNA genes is a part of the *Genomic Encyclopedia of Bacteria and Archaea* project.

Introduction

Strain Cas60314^T (= DSM 17291 = ATCC BA-1197) is the type strain of the species *Thermovirga lienii* [1] of the monotypic genus *Thermovirga* [1]. The strain was originally isolated from 68°C hot oil-well production water from an oil reservoir in the North Sea (Norway) [1]. The genus name *Thermovirga* was derived from the Greek word for *thermê*, heat, and the Latin word *virga*, rod, meaning the hot rod [1]; the species epithet was derived of Lien, in honour of the Norwegian microbiologist Professor Torleiv Lien, for his important contribution in the study of anaerobes from petroleum reservoirs [1]. An ingenious occurrence

of strain Cas60314^T in the oil reservoir is not absolutely clear, but likely, because the oil well was not injected with seawater (which eliminates a common source of contamination) [1]. Here we present a summary classification and a set of features for *T. lienii* Cas60314^T, together with the description of the genomic sequencing and annotation.

Classification and features

A representative genomic 16S rRNA sequence of *T. lienii* Cas60314^T was compared using NCBI BLAST [9,10] under default settings (e.g., considering only the high-scoring segment pairs (HSPs) from the best 250 hits) with the most recent release of the Greengenes database [11] and the relative frequencies of taxa and keywords (reduced to their stem [12]) were determined, weighted by BLAST scores. The most frequently occurring genera were *Dethiosulfovibrio* (45.6%), *Thermanaerovibrio* (30.2%), *Aminobacterium* (12.5%) and *Thermovirga* (11.7%) (16 hits in total). Regarding the single hit to sequences from members of the species, the average identity within HSPs was 100.0%, whereas the average coverage by HSPs was 92.2%. Among all other species, the one yielding the highest score was *Thermanaerovibrio velox* (FR733707), which corresponded to an identity of 90.1% and an HSP coverage of 85.5%. (Note that the Greengenes database uses the INSDC (= EMBL/NCBI/DDBJ) annotation, which is not an authoritative source for nomenclature or classification.) The highest-scoring environmental sequence was HM041945 ('aggregate-forming and crude-oil-adhering microbial biodegraded -temperature petroleum reservoir produced fluid Niiboli oilfield clone NRB28'), which showed an identity of 99.7% and an HSP coverage of 98.3%. The most frequently occurring keywords within the labels of all environmental samples which yielded hits were 'digest' (10.9%), 'anaerob' (7.4%), 'sludg' (5.8%), 'mesophil' (5.6%) and 'wastewat' (5.6%) (234 hits in total). The most frequently occurring keywords within the labels of those environmental samples which yielded hits of a higher score than the highest scoring species were 'microbi' (6.3%), 'temperatur' (4.4%), 'oil' (3.6%), 'water' (3.6%) and 'anaerob' (3.2%) (43 hits in total). These keywords are not in conflict with the habitat from which strain Cas60314^T was isolated, but also do not reveal new insights into the largely unknown natural habitat for members of the species.

Figure 1 shows the phylogenetic neighborhood of *T. lienii* in a 16S rRNA based tree. The sequences of the three 16S rRNA gene copies in the genome differ from each other by up to three nucleotides, and differ by up to three nucleotides from the previously published 16S rRNA sequence (DQ071273)




Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree highlighting the position of *T. lienii* relative to the type strains of the other species within the phylum '*Synergistetes*'. The tree was inferred from 1,385 aligned characters [13,14] of the 16S rRNA gene sequence under the maximum likelihood (ML) criterion [15]. Rooting was done initially using the midpoint method [8] and then checked for its agreement with the current classification (Table 1). The branches are scaled in terms of the expected number of substitutions per site. Numbers adjacent to the branches are support values from 1,000 ML bootstrap replicates [17] (left) and from 1,000 Maximum-Parsimony bootstrap replicates [18] (right) if larger than 60%. Lineages with type strain genome sequencing projects registered in GOLD [19] are labeled with one asterisk, those also listed as 'Complete and Published' with two asterisks [2,3]. *Dethiosulfovibrio peptidovorans* [4] and *Aminomonas paucivorans* [5] are without a second asterisk, because these publications were based on 'non-complete' permanent draft sequences.

Cells of *T. lienii* Cas60314^T are Gram-negative staining, motile (only in the early exponential growth phase), straight rods of 0.4 to 0.8 μm diameter and 2 to 3 μm length (Figure 2) [1]. Cell appeared to be singly, or in chains of 2 to 5 cells, but can also form aggregates of several hundred cells [1]. The growth range of strain Cas60314^T spans from 37-68°C, with an optimum at 58°C, and pH 6.2-8.5, with an optimum at 6.5-7 [1]. Strain Cas60314^T grow best in 2-3% NaCl containing medium [1]. Physiological characteristics such as growth substrates and products formed are described in great detail by Dahle and Birkeland [1]: the organism has a fermentative metabolisms and utilized amino acids, proteinous substrates and selected organic acids, but no sugars, fatty acids or alcohols [1]. Strain Cas60314^T reduces cysteine and elemental sulfur to sulfide, but not thiosulfate [1]. The strain could not grow in unreduced medium under an N₂/air (20:1, v/v) gas phase, but was able to grow with 100% H₂ in the headspace, with peptone as substrate [1].



Figure 2. Scanning electron micrograph of *T. lienii* Cas60314^T

Chemotaxonomy

No chemotaxonomical data are available for *T. lienii* Cas60314^T.

Table 1. Classification and general features of *T. lienii* Cas60314^T in accordance with the MIGS recommendations [7].

MIGS ID	Property	Term	Evidence code
		Domain <i>Bacteria</i>	TAS [21]
		Phylum " <i>Synergistetes</i> "	TAS [20]
		Class <i>Synergistia</i>	TAS [23]
	Current classification	Order <i>Synergistales</i>	TAS [23]
		Family <i>Synergistaceae</i>	TAS [23]
		Genus <i>Thermovirga</i>	TAS [1]
		Species <i>Thermovirga lienii</i>	TAS [1]
		Type-strain Cas60314	TAS [1]
	Gram stain	negative	TAS [1]
	Cell shape	rod-shaped	TAS [1]
	Motility	motile	TAS [1]
	Sporulation	none	TAS [1]
	Temperature range	thermophile	TAS [1]
	Optimum temperature	58°C	TAS [1]
	Salinity	optimum 2-3% (w/v) NaCl	TAS [1]
MIGS-22	Oxygen requirement	obligate anaerobic	TAS [1]
	Carbon source	amino acids, proteinous substrates, organic acids	TAS [1]
	Energy metabolism	chemoorganotrophic	TAS [1]
MIGS-6	Habitat	fresh water, oil fields	TAS [1]
MIGS-15	Biotic relationship	free living	TAS [1]
MIGS-14	Pathogenicity	none	NAS
	Biosafety level	1	TAS [27]
MIGS-23.1	Isolation	production water from oil well	TAS [1]
MIGS-4	Geographic location	Troll C Reservoir, North Sea, Norway	TAS [1]
MIGS-5	Sample collection time	2005 or before	NAS

MIGS-4.1	Latitude – Longitude	60.886 – 3.612	TAS [1]
MIGS-4.2			
MIGS-4.3	Depth	not reported	
MIGS-4.4	Altitude	not reported	

Evidence codes - TAS: Traceable Author Statement (i.e., a direct report exists in the literature); NAS: Non-traceable Author Statement (i.e., not directly observed for the living, isolated sample, but based on a generally accepted property for the species, or anecdotal evidence). These evidence codes are from of the Gene Ontology project [28].

Genome sequencing and annotation

Genome project history

This organism was selected for sequencing on the basis of its phylogenetic position [6], and is part of the *Genomic Encyclopedia of Bacteria and Archaea* project [16]. The genome project is deposited in the Genomes On Line Database [19] and the complete genome sequence is deposited in GenBank. Sequencing, finishing and annotation were performed by the DOE Joint Genome Institute (JGI). A summary of the project information is shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Genome sequencing project information

MIGS ID	Property	Term
MIGS-31	Finishing quality	Finished
MIGS-28	Libraries used	Three genomic libraries: one 454 pyrosequence standard library, one 454 PE library (8 kb insert size), one Illumina library
MIGS-29	Sequencing platforms	Illumina GAii, 454 GS FLX Titanium
MIGS-31.2	Sequencing coverage	223.9 × Illumina; 78.8 × pyrosequence
MIGS-30	Assemblers	Newbler version 2.0.00.20-PostRelease-11-05-2008, Velvet version 1.0.13, phrap version SPS - 4.24
MIGS-32	Gene calling method	Prodigal
	INSDC ID	CP003096 (chromosome) CP003097 (plasmid)
	GenBank Date of Release	November 03, 2011
	GOLD ID	Gc02016
	NCBI project ID	33163
	Database: IMG	2505119043
MIGS-13	Source material identifier	DSM 17291
	Project relevance	Bioenergy and phylogenetic diversity

Growth conditions and DNA isolation

T. lienii strain Cas60314^T, DSM 17291, was grown anaerobically in DSMZ medium 383 (*Desulfobacterium* medium) [24] at 55°C. DNA was isolated from 0.5-1 g of cell paste using MasterPure Gram-positive DNA purification kit (Epicentre MGP04100) following the standard protocol as recommended by the manufacturer, with the following modification for cell lysis: 1 µl lysozyme and 5 µl mutanolysin added to the standard lysis solution for 40 min at 37°C; after the MPC-Step the lysis solution was incubated for one hour on ice. DNA is available through the DNA Bank Network [22].

Genome sequencing and assembly

The genome was sequenced using a combination of Illumina and 454 sequencing platforms. All general aspects of library construction and sequencing can be found at the JGI website [29]. Pyrosequencing reads were assembled using the Newbler assembler (Roche). The initial Newbler assembly consisting of 127 contigs in one scaffold was converted into a phrap [30] assembly by making fake reads from the consensus, to collect the read pairs in the 454 paired end library. Illumina GAii sequencing data (379.0 Mb) was assembled with Velvet [31] and the consensus sequences were shredded into 1.5 kb overlapped fake reads and assembled together with the 454 data. The 454 draft assembly was based on 156.8 Mb 454 draft data and all of the 454 paired end data. Newbler parameters are -consed -a 50 -l 350 -g -m -ml 20. The Phred/Phrap/Consed software package [30] was used for sequence assembly and quality assessment in the subsequent finishing process. After the shotgun stage, reads were assembled with parallel phrap (High Performance Software, LLC). Possible mis-assemblies were corrected with gapResolution [29], Dupfinisher [26], or sequencing cloned bridging PCR fragments with subcloning. Gaps between contigs were closed by editing in Consed, by PCR and by Bubble PCR primer walks (J.-F. Chang, unpublished). A total of 811 additional reactions and 23 shatter libraries were necessary to close gaps and to raise the quality of the finished sequence. Illumina reads were also used to correct potential base errors and increase consensus quality using a software Polisher developed at JGI [25]. The error rate of the completed genome sequence is less than 1 in 100,000. Together, the combination of the Illumina and 454 sequencing platforms provided 302.7 x coverage of the genome. The final assembly contained 569,599 pyrosequence and 12,441,8 Illumina reads.

Genome annotation

Genes were identified using Prodigal [32] as part of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory genome annotation pipeline, followed by a round of manual curation using the JGI GenePRIMP pipeline [33]. The predicted CDSs were translated and used to search the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) non-redundant database, UniProt, TIGRFam, Pfam, PRIAM, KEGG, COG, and InterPro databases. These data sources were combined to assert a product description for each predicted protein. Non-coding genes and miscellaneous features were predicted using tRNAscan-SE [34], RNAMMer [35], Rfam [36], TMHMM [37], and signalP [38].

Genome properties

The genome consists of a 1,967,774 bp long chromosome and a 31,872 bp long circular plasmid with a 47.1% G+C content (Table 3 and Figure 3). Of the 1,973 genes predicted, 1,914 were protein-coding genes, and 59 RNAs; 38 pseudogenes were also identified. The majority of the protein-coding genes (79.0%) were assigned a putative function while the remaining ones were annotated as hypothetical proteins. The distribution of genes into COGs functional categories is presented in Table 4.

Table 3. Genome Statistics

Attribute	Value	% of Total
Genome size (bp)	1,999,646	100.00%
DNA coding region (bp)	1,838,210	91.93%
DNA G+C content (bp)	941,059	47.06%
Number of replicons	2	
Extrachromosomal elements	1	
Total genes	1,973	100.00%
RNA genes	59	2.99%

rRNA operons	3	
tRNA genes	47	2.38%
Protein-coding genes	1,914	97.01%
Pseudo genes	38	1.93%
Genes with function prediction (proteins)	1,558	78.97%
Genes in paralog clusters	833	42.22%
Genes assigned to COGs	1,685	85.40%
Genes assigned Pfam domains	1,695	85.91%
Genes with signal peptides	285	14.45%
Genes with transmembrane helices	486	24.63%
CRISPR repeats	0	




Figure 3. Graphical map of the chromosome (plasmid not shown. From outside to the center: Genes on forward strand (color by COG categories), Genes on reverse strand (color by COG categories), RNA genes (tRNAs green, rRNAs red, other RNAs black), GC content, GC skew.

Table 4. Number of genes associated with the general COG functional categories

Code	value	%age	Description
J	149	8.1	Translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis
A	0	0.0	RNA processing and modification
K	98	5.3	Transcription

L	147	7.9	Replication, recombination and repair
B	2	0.1	Chromatin structure and dynamics
D	31	1.7	Cell cycle control, cell division, chromosome partitioning
Y	0	0.0	Nuclear structure
V	14	0.8	Defense mechanisms
T	77	4.2	Signal transduction mechanisms
M	99	5.4	Cell wall/membrane biogenesis
N	61	3.3	Cell motility
Z	0	0.0	Cytoskeleton
W	0	0.0	Extracellular structures
U	50	2.7	Intracellular trafficking and secretion, and vesicular transport
O	60	3.2	Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones
C	160	8.6	Energy production and conversion
G	91	4.9	Carbohydrate transport and metabolism
E	229	12.4	Amino acid transport and metabolism
F	56	3.0	Nucleotide transport and metabolism
H	77	4.2	Coenzyme transport and metabolism
I	40	2.2	Lipid transport and metabolism
P	73	3.9	Inorganic ion transport and metabolism
Q	31	1.7	Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism
R	189	10.2	General function prediction only
S	117	6.3	Function unknown
-	288	14.6	Not in COGs

Acknowledgements

We would like to gratefully acknowledge the help of Maren Schröder (DSMZ) for growing *T. lieinii* cultures. This work was performed under the auspices of the US Department of Energy's Office of Science, Biological and Environmental Research Program, and by the University of California, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory under contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-AC52-07NA27344, and Los Alamos National Laboratory under contract No. DE-AC02-06NA25396, UT-Battelle and Oak Ridge National Laboratory under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725, as well as German Research Foundation (DFG) INST 599/1-2.

References

1. Dahle H, Birkeland NK. *Thermovirga lienii* gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel moderately thermophilic, anaerobic, amino-acid-degrading bacterium isolated from a North Sea oil well. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2006; **56**:1539-1545.
2. Chertkov O, Sikorski J, Brambilla E, Lapidus A, Copeland A, Glavina Del Rio T, Nolan M, Lucas S, Tice H, Cheng JF, *et al.* Complete genome sequence of *Aminobacterim colombiense* type strain (ALA-1^T). *Stand Genomic Sci* 2010; **2**:280-289.
3. Chovatia M, Sikorski J, Schröder M, Lapidus A, Nolan M, Tice H, Glavina Del Rio T, Copeland A, Cheng JF, Lucas S, *et al.* Complete genome sequence of *Thermanaerovibrio acidaminovorans* type strain (SU883^T). *Stand Genomic Sci* 2009; **1**:254-261.
4. Labutti K, Mayilraj S, Clum A, Lucas S, Glavina Del Rio T, Nolan M, Tice H, Cheng JF, Pitluck S, Liolios K, *et al.* Permanent draft genome sequence of *Dethiosulfovibrio peptidovorans* type strain (SEBR 4207^T). *Stand Genomic Sci* 2010; **3**:85-92.
5. Pitluck S, Yasawong M, Held B, Lapidus A, Nolan M, Copeland A, Lucas S, Glavina Del Rio T, Tice H, Cheng JF, *et al.* Non-contiguous finished genome sequence of *Aminomonas paucivorans* type strain (GLU-3^T). *Stand Genomic Sci* 2010; **3**:285-293.
6. Klenk H, Göker M. En route to a genome-based classification of *Archaea* and *Bacteria*? *Syst Appl Microbiol* 2010; **33**:175-182.
7. Field D, Garrity G, Gray T, Morrison N, Selengut J, Sterk P, Tatusova T, Thomson N, Allen MJ, Angiuoli SV, *et al.* The minimum information about a genome sequence (MIGS) specification. *Nat Biotechnol* 2008; **26**:541-547.
8. Hess PN, De Moraes Russo CA. An empirical test of the midpoint rooting method. *Biol J Linn Soc* 2007; **92**:669-674.
9. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol* 1990; **215**:403-410.
10. Korf I, Yandell M, Bedell J. BLAST, O'Reilly, Sebastopol, 2003.
11. DeSantis TZ, Hugenholtz P, Larsen N, Rojas M, Brodie EL, Keller K, *et al.* Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and workbench compatible with ARB. *Appl Env Microbiol* 2006; **72**:5069-5072.
12. Porter MF. An algorithm for suffix stripping. Program: *electronic library and information systems* 1980; **14**:130-137.
13. Lee C, Grasso C, Sharlow MF. Multiple sequence alignment using partial order graphs. *Bioinformatics* 2002; **18**:452-464.
14. Castresana J. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. *Mol Biol Evol* 2000; **17**:540-552.
15. Stamatakis A, Hoover P, Rougemont J. A rapid bootstrap algorithm for the RAxML web servers. *Syst Biol* 2008; **57**:758-771.
16. Wu D, Hugenholtz P, Mavromatis K, Pukall R, Dalin E, Ivanova NN, Kunin V, Goodwin L, Wu M, Tindall BJ, *et al.* A phylogeny-driven Genomic Encyclopaedia of *Bacteria* and *Archaea*. *Nature* 2009; **462**:1056-1060.
17. Pattengale ND, Alipour M, Bininda-Emonds ORP, Moret BME, Stamatakis A. How many bootstrap replicates are necessary? *Lect Notes Comput Sci* 2009; **5541**:184-200.
18. Swofford DL. PAUP*: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and Other Methods), Version 4.0 b10. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, 2002.

19. Liolios K, Chen IM, Mavromatis K, Tavernarakis N, Kyrpides NC. The genomes on line database (GOLD) in 2009: Status of genomic and metagenomic projects and their associated metadata. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2010; **38**:D346-D354.
20. Jumas-Bilak E, Carlier JP, Jean-Pierre H, Citron D, Bernard K, Damay A, Gay B, Teyssier C, Campos J, Marchandin H. *Jonquetella antropi* gen. nov., sp. nov., the first member of the candidate phylum 'Synergistetes' isolated from man. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2007; **57**:2743-2748.
21. Woese CR, Kandler O, Wheelis ML. Towards a natural system of organisms. Proposal for the domains *Archaea* and *Bacteria*. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 1990; **87**:4576-4579.
22. Gemeinholzer B, Dröge G, Zetzsche H, Haszprunar G, Klenk HP, Güntsch A, Berendsohn WG, Wägele JW. The DNA Bank Network: the start from a German initiative. *Biopreserv Biobank* 2011; **9**:51-55. [doi:10.1089/bio.2010.0029].
23. Jumas-Bilak E, Roudière L, Marchandin H. Description of '*Synergistetes*' phyl. nov. and emended description of the phylum '*Deferribacteres*' and of the family *Syntrophomonadaceae*, phylum '*Firmicutes*'. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*, 2009; **59**:1028-1035.
24. List of growth media used at DSMZ: <http://www.dsmz.de/catalogues/catalogue-microorganisms/culture-technology/list-of-media-for-microorganisms.html>.
25. Lapidus A, LaButti K, Foster B, Lowry S, Trong S, Goltsman E. POLISHER: An effective tool for using ultra short reads in microbial genome assembly and finishing. AGBT, Marco Island, FL, 2008.
26. Han C, Chain P. Finishing repeat regions automatically with Dupfinisher. *In*: Proceeding of the 2006 international conference on bioinformatics & computational biology. Arabnia HR, Valafar H (eds), CSREA Press. June 26-29, 2006: 141-146.
27. BAuA 2010, Classification of bacteria and archaea in risk groups. http://www.baua.de/TRBA_466, p. 237.
28. Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, Davis AP, Dolinski K, Dwight SS, Eppig JT, et al. Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. *Nat Genet* 2000; **25**:25-29.
29. The DOE Joint Genome Institute. www.jgi.doe.gov
30. Phrap and Phred for Windows, MacOS, Linux, and Unix. www.phrap.com
31. Zerbino DR, Birney E. Velvet: algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. *Genome Res* 2008; **18**:821-829.
32. Hyatt D, Chen GL, Locascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ. Prodigal Prokaryotic Dynamic Programming Genefinding Algorithm. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2010; **11**:119.
33. Pati A, Ivanova N, Mikhailova N, Ovchinnikova G, Hooper SD, Lykidis A, Kyrpides NC. GenePRIMP: A Gene Prediction Improvement Pipeline for microbial genomes. *Nat Methods* 2010; **7**:455-457.
34. Lowe TM, Eddy SR. tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1997; **25**:955-964.
35. Lagesen K, Hallin PF, Rødland E, Stærfeldt HH, Rognes T, Ussery DW. RNAmmer: consistent annotation of rRNA genes in genomic sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2007; **35**:3100-3108.

36. Griffiths-Jones S, Bateman A, Marshall M, Khanna A, Eddy SR. Rfam: an RNA family database. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2003; **31**:439-441.
37. Krogh A, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer ELL. Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: Application to complete genomes. *J Mol Biol* 2001; **305**:567-580.
38. Bendtsen JD, Nielsen H, von Heijne G, Brunak S. Improved prediction of signal peptides: SignalP 3.0. *J Mol Biol* 2004; **340**:783-795.

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.