

BARYON NUMBER AND LEPTON NUMBER
CONJUGATION SYMMETRY

George W. Barry

August 21, 1972



AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

For Reference

Not to be taken from this room

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

BARYON NUMBER AND LEPTON NUMBER CONJUGATION SYMMETRY*

George W. Barry[†]Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

August 21, 1972

SUMMARY: Lepton number conjugation symmetry leads to e- μ universality and the Konopinski-Mahmoud classification scheme. A similar doubling of states might also occur for the baryons.

In our galaxy there is a large preponderance of baryons over antibaryons. But there is another, lesser-noted asymmetry, namely that all protons have the same baryon number, $B = 1$. Why do there not also exist positively-charged protons with $B = -1$? The protons in some other galaxy could all have $B = -1$, and everything would be identically the same, except they could annihilate with ordinary protons. They would not obey the usual Gell-Mann-Nishijima formula, but rather

$$(1) \quad Q = I_3 + \frac{1}{2} Y, \quad Y = S - B.$$

Apparently, these states do not exist, and so the symmetry must be broken. But how?

Let ψ be the proton field and

$$(2) \quad \hat{\psi} = C_B \psi C_B^{-1}$$

be the same field with just the baryon number reversed.

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 \phi^2 - \bar{\Psi} \gamma \cdot \partial \Psi - \frac{1}{2} (m + \hat{m}) \bar{\Psi} \Psi - \frac{1}{2} (m - \hat{m}) \lambda^{-1} \bar{\Psi} \tau_3 \Psi \phi$$

is the Lagrangian for

$$(4) \quad \bar{\Psi} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\psi} \\ \hat{\psi} \end{pmatrix}$$

interacting with a neutral scalar meson which couples to baryon number.

If ϕ has a nonzero vacuum expectation value

$$(5) \quad \langle 0 | \phi | 0 \rangle = \lambda,$$

we get the mass terms

$$(6) \quad -m \bar{\psi} \psi - \hat{m} \hat{\bar{\psi}} \hat{\psi}.$$

If one of the masses, say m , should turn out to be negative, we can define a new field, $\gamma_5 \psi$, which has opposite parity to ψ .

The following scheme emerges: For every fermion there is a conjugate fermion which is identical except that it has opposite B and L, and it can have a different mass and parity.

Conjugate baryons could decay strongly via

$$(7) \quad \hat{N} \rightarrow \bar{\Xi}, \quad \hat{\Lambda} \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}, \quad \hat{\Sigma} \rightarrow \bar{\Sigma},$$

which conserve Y and B but not S. Symbolically, we have assumed that \hat{N} and N have opposite parity, but they could also have the same parity. Possible candidates for $\hat{\Xi}$ are the $N^*(1470)$, (1535), (1700), and (1780). Also, the $Z_0^*(1830)$ might be the $\hat{\bar{\Lambda}}$.

The symmetry is more clearly realized by the leptons. We simply get the old Konopinski-Mahmoud ⁽¹⁾ scheme where ν_e , e^- , $\bar{\nu}_\mu$, μ^+ all have $L = 1$. The left-handed component of a massless four-component spinor is ν_e , while $\bar{\nu}_\mu$ is the right-handed component. This scheme is consistent with observation ⁽²⁾. The reactions

$$(8) \quad \Sigma^- \rightarrow p \mu^- e^-, \quad \nu_\mu p \rightarrow e^+ n$$

are allowed by L conservation, but they require $\Delta Q = 2$ and $V + A$ currents, respectively.

The scalar meson was introduced into the Lagrangian as an artifice for splitting the masses. By letting $\mu \rightarrow \infty$, it would have no other physical effects. On the other hand, $\mu = 0$ would give

us long-range fields coupled to B and L, so that these conservation laws would not be transcended in gravitational collapse. The experimental equivalence of gravitational and inertial mass puts severe limits on the coupling constant $\Delta m/\lambda$.

I thank M. Suzuki for a helpful suggestion.

FOOTNOTES AND REFERENCES

- * Supported in part by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.
- † Address after Sept. 1, 1972: Department of Physics, Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana 47907.
- 1. E. J. KONOPINSKI and H. M. MAHMOUD, Phys. Rev. **92**, 1045 (1953).
- 2. See S. P. ROSEN, Particles and Fields - 1971, Proceedings of the Rochester Meeting of APS/DPF, 1971, edited by A. C. MELISSINOS and P. F. Slattery (AIP, 1971), p. 226.

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720