LBL-12801 c.2

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Materials & Molecular Research Division

DOC

Invited paper to be presented at the Xth International Conference on Photochemistry, The University of Crete, Iraklion, Crete, Greece, September 6-12, 1981

REACTION OF OXYGEN ATOMS WITH ETHYLENE AND VINYLBROMIDE

Richard J. Buss, Robert J. Baseman, Guozhong He, and Y.T. Lee

May 1981

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 6782



Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

LBL-12801 c.2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

LBL-12801

REACTION OF OXYGEN ATOMS WITH ETHYLENE AND VINYLBROMIDE

Richard J. Buss, Robert J. Baseman,[a] Guozhong He
and Y. T. Lee

Materials and Molecular Research Division
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
and Department of Chemistry
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

MAY 1981

ABSTRACT

Identification of the primary products in the reaction of $O(^3P)$ atoms with ethylene and vinyl bromide has been accomplished by measurement of the angular and velocity distributions of the products in a crossed beam apparatus under single collision conditions. The atomic elimination processes are found to be the major channels while molecular elimination is not observed. The 1,2 migration of H atom in the intermediate complex is not observed to occur.

[a]Fannie and John Hertz Foundation Fellow.

INTRODUCTION

The reactions of oxygen atoms with unsaturated hydrocarbons have been investigated quite extensively in the past. Although the rate constants of many of these reactions have been accurately determined, reaction mechanisms are not very well understood due to the complication caused by secondary reactions of primary products and the problem associated with the identification of vibrationally excited radical products. Recently, many experiments carried out under single collision or near single collision conditions have avoided the complication of secondary reactions, but the mass spectrometric identification of primary products, even when photoionization with controlled photon energies was used, did not entirely solve the problem of excessive fragmentation during the ionization process. For example, the detection of CH_3 (m/e = 15) in the photoionization of reaction products from oxygen atoms with ethylene molecules using hydrogen Lyman-α (10.2 eV) will not necessarily prove the formation of CH_3, since the vinyloxy radical (C_2H_3O) will also give CH_3^+ at this photon energy. In the ionization of radical molecules, it is not uncommon to yield fragment ions at low energies and not to yield parent ions at all due to the lack of stability.

In a recent crossed molecular beam study of reactions of oxygen atoms with benzene molecules[1] carried out in our laboratory, it has been clearly demonstrated that the problem associated with the fragmentation of products in the mass spectrometric identification can be overcome, if the experiments are carried out with sufficiently high resolution, and product angular and velocity distributions are measured for all the mass peaks

which are detectable. By matching the angular and velocity distribution of products measured at various mass peaks and applying the requirements of energy and momentum conservation, it has been shown unambiguously that the phenoxy radical formed by the substitution of a hydrogen atom by an oxygen atom is the major primary product at low collision energies. The dominant signals of CO^+ (m/e = 28) and $C_5H_5^+$ (m/e = 65) are in fact daughter ions from the phenoxy radicals.

Previous studies of the reaction of $O(^3P)$ with ethylene, C_2H_4, carried out by Cvetanovic,[2] Gutman,[3] Blumenberg[4] and their coworkers using different methods have concluded that the formation of CH_3 and HCO, resulting from the 1,2 migration of H atom in the reaction intermediate and subsequent C-C bond rupture, provides 90% of products. The remaining 10% is the formation of ketene by the elimination of an H_2 molecule from the reaction intermediate. A similar mechanism, involving the migration of H or Br atoms followed by C-C bond rupture and the elimination of HBr, has been suggested by Gutman et al. for the reaction of $O(^3P)$ with vinyl bromide from their molecular beam photoionization experiments. But these mechanisms are not entirely convincing, because, the simple substitution of a H atom or Br atom by the $O(^3P)$ atom is exothermic and the exit potential energy barriers for C-H and C-Br bond ruptures are not expected to be higher than those of 1,2 hydrogen migration in the triplet intermediate and of the molecular elimination processes. As was seen in the reaction of $O(^3P)$ with benzene, the substitution reactions are likely to compete efficiently with any other possible channels in the reactions of oxygen atoms with ethylene and vinylbromide.

In this article, the results of crossed molecular beams studies of reactions of $O(^3P)$ with C_2H_4 and C_2H_3Br which are relevant to the elucidation of the reaction mechanisms will be described.

EXPERIMENTAL

The crossed beam apparatus used in these studies is a high resolution version of the apparatus which has been previously described.[5] Briefly, two beams which are doubly differentially pumped are crossed at 90° in a scattering chamber which has a pressure of ~1 x 10^{-7} torr. Angular and velocity distributions of the products are measured in the plane defined by the two colliding beams by a triply differentially pumped quadrupole mass spectrometer which rotates about the intersection point of the two beams. The ionizer of the mass spectrometer is operated with an electron energy of 200 eV.

The seeded, supersonic atomic oxygen beams used in these studies were generated by a high pressure, radio frequency discharge beam source which has been described in detail elsewhere.[6] For higher collision energy, a 5% O_2 in helium gas mixture discharged at 200 torr and with 250W of rf power gives a peak velocity of 2.7 x 10^5 cm/s and a FWHM velocity spread of 25%. For lower collision energies, 5% of O_2 in neon operated at 400 torr and with 250W of rf power gives peak velocity of 2.0 x 10^5 cm/s and a FWHM velocity spread of 20%.

The C_2H_4 and C_2H_3Br were run neat for these experiments with stagnation pressures of 250 torr and 180 torr at room temperature. The peak velocity and the FWHM velocity spreads are 8.3 x 10^4 cm/sec, 20% and 5.8 x 10^4 cm/s, 30% respectively. The collision energies under these beams conditions are 9.7 kcal/mole and 5.7 kcal/mole for O + C_2H_4 and are 12.4 kcal/mole and 7.4 kcal/mole for O + C_2H_3Br.

Laboratory angular distributions were obtained by taking several scans at each angle with time normalization. The molecular beams of C_2H_4 and C_2H_3Br were modulated at 150 Hz with a tuning fork chopper, and the number density data at each angle was obtained by subtracting the chopper closed count from the chopper open count. For O + C_2H_4, the angular distributions were measured at m/e = 43, 42, 29, 27 and 15. For O + C_2H_3Br, m/e = 123, 122, 121, 120, 109, 106, 95 and 42 were measured. These mass numbers are the ones which give a high enough signal to noise ratio to provide meaningful angular distributions in less than 60 minutes per angle. Product velocity distributions were measured by the cross correlation method at various angles. Counting times varied considerably depending upon the laboratory angle and product mass under investigation, with several hours being often necessary to obtain good velocity distributions.

RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The mass 43 angular distributions, Figs. 1A, 2A, are ca. 30° wide (FWHM) and are centered on and peaked at the canonical center of mass angle 52° (O_2/Ne) and 62° (O_2/He), as expected for the elimination of a light particle from a relatively heavy C_2H_4O adduct. In both experiments the mass 42 angular distribution is superimposible on the mass 43 angular distribution indicating that both ions have the same neutral parent species. The mass 42 count rate is much greater than the mass 43 count rate; taking care to avoid mass spectrometric crosstalk between 42 and 43, we find the intensity of the 43 ion to be 5 ± 1% (standard deviation) of the 42 intensity at the center of mass angle, which are 70 cps for O_2/He and 132 cps for O_2/Ne respectively. Were the mass 43 signal due to ^{13}C or ^{2}H isotopic impurity in a mass 42 primary product (C_2H_2O) the 43 intensity would be only 2% of the 42 intensity. Thus the mass 43 signal cannot arise solely from ^{13}C or ^{2}H impurities in mass 42 neutral product. Rather, the mass 42 must appear as an ionizer crack of a mass 43 primary product (C_2H_3O).[1]

In both He and Ne seeded experiments the mass 15 counting rate is highest of all reactively scattered fragments, 300 cps O_2/He. The mass 15 and 29 angular distribution show 2 major features, the first being an elastic contribution due to ionizer cracking of the elastically scattered ethylene. Another contribution is expected from fragmentation of the C_2H_3O product in the ionizer. This contribution would have an angular distribution identical to the mass 43 ion. Measuring the mass 27 to mass

15 ratio at the center of mass angle when the plasma is on and off gives the ratio of the mass 15 signal at the center of mass due to elastic and reactive scattering. This ratio is used to predict the shape of the angular distribution if only fragmentation of C_2H_4 and of C_2H_3O lead to mass 15 signal. Such a scheme is illustrated in the figures and since no 3rd contribution is required (re $O + C_2H_4 \rightarrow CH_3 + HCO$) to reproduce our data. Thus $O + C_2H_4 \rightarrow CH_3 + HCO$ must be of relatively little importance. There is no qualitative difference in the reaction products at the different collision energies.

For the $O + C_2H_3Br$ reaction the identification of product molecules by mass spectroscopy is also complicated by the primary product fragmentation in the ionization process. The angular distribution of 123, 122, 121, 120 were superimposable and strongly peaked at the center of mass angle, indicating that one primary reaction is the H atom elimination to give C_2H_2BrO which on ionization yields $C_2H_2BrO^+$ and C_2HBrO^+. It is expected that this product will fragment extensively to yield many other ions with identical angular distributions. In Fig. 3-A is shown the laboratory angular distribution of mass 120 from the $O + C_2H_3Br$ reaction. The signal at mass 95 is readily recognized as resulting from a distinct primary reaction because its angular distribution is quite different from the mass 120 signal. The mass 95 signal, shown in Fig. 3-B, is widely distributed from -25° to 130° in the laboratory reference frame.

The source of this widely scattered product is CH_2Br from the reaction $O + C_2H_3Br \rightarrow CH_2Br + CHO$. Two features of the angular distribution are recognized as arising from other sources, the strong signal at the vinyl bromide beam arises from elastically scattered C_2H_3Br which yields CH_2Br^+ in the ionizer, and the signal peak at the center of mass which results from fragmentation of the C_2H_2BrO product. These contributions to the signal have been subtracted in Fig. 3-B to give the open circles which are then the angular distribution of CH_2Br product. While the elastic scattering can be subtracted accurately by knowing the angular distribution of C_2H_3Br, the fragmentation pattern of C_2H_2BrO is unknown. The intensity of CH_2Br product around the center of mass is thus quite uncertain. Further verification that the CH_2Br channel is present is seen in Fig. 4 in which the velocity spectra for mass 95 and mass 120 at the same angle are compared. The CH_2Br product is seen as a separate peak distinctly faster than the C_2H_2BrO.

The signal at mass 42 is shown in Fig. 3C and clearly results from a third primary reaction because its distribution extends broadly over the laboratory angular range. The peak at the center of mass results from fragmentation of the C_2H_2Br to give $C_2H_2O^+$. In Fig. 5 the velocity spectra of mass 42 is compared with that of 95 to show that the $C_2H_2O^+$ signal has a distinctly faster distribution. The primary reaction yielding $C_2H_2O^+$ signal could be either the Br atom elimination to give C_2H_3O or the three center elimination of HBr to give C_2H_2O. By analogy with the ethylene reaction we expect that the

Br atom channel is dominant because the barrier to the HBr emission should be quite large. Proof of this hypothesis would come from measurement of the mass 43 signal but its intensity was below our detection capabilities.

Three distinct major reaction channels were observed in this study:

$$O(^3P) + C_2H_3Br \longrightarrow H + C_2H_2BrO \qquad 2(a)$$

$$O(^3P) + C_2H_3Br \longrightarrow Br + C_2H_3O \qquad 2(b)$$

$$O(^3P) + C_2H_3Br \longrightarrow CH_2Br + CHO \qquad 2(c)$$

The first and the second channels are simple bond cleavage yielding hydrogen atom and bromine atom respectively and the third channel is C-C bond rupture after bromine migration. No direct evidence for CH_3 elimination from the collision complex to give COBr was found although our sensitivity to mass 109 product is reduced by severe elastic scattering of ^{13}C containing C_2H_3Br. The reaction products were the same at the two collision energies.

DISCUSSION

Ground state oxygen atoms approach the olefin reactant on a triplet surface correlating with a triplet biradical complex which is approximately 15 kcal/mole more stable than the reactants. The decay of this complex might occur by any of several routes including simple bond cleavage to generate an atom and a radical, three center elimination of molecular products, or atom migration followed by C-C bond breakage. In the present study of $O + C_2H_4$ under single collision conditions, the major channel is observed to be hydrogen atom elimination to give the C_2H_3O radical. Previous studies have suggested that the major products from this reaction are CHO and CH_3, a reaction which requires H atom migration in the complex.

Recently, several theoretical studies of the hydrogen atom migration process have found that a large barrier exists to the movement from one carbon to the next in a triplet species. Harding has reported[7] that in the reaction $O + C_2H_2$ the barrier to hydrogen migration is sufficiently large that the H atom elimination should exceed the migration process. Lester, Wendoloski and Dupuis[8] have recently calculated features of the potential energy surface for the reaction $O + C_2H_4$ and their results suggest that H atom migration should be insignificant on the triplet surface. Although the hydrogen migration would be rapid on the singlet electronic surface, intersystem crossing should be relatively slow in this small molecule. The experimental observation that C_2H_3O is the major product indicates that intersystem crossing is probably not significant.

The other channel which has been suggested for the $O + C_2H_4$ reaction is the three center elimination of H_2 to give C_2H_2O. Although these products are very stable energetically, the three center process is known to have a large barrier in several reactions. for example about 85 kcal in CH_2O. The absence of the molecular elimination channel in this reaction tells us that here again the barrier is too high to allow H_2 formation to become competitive.

Previous to this work it was thought to be firmly established that the reaction mechanism for $O + C_2H_4$ led to negligible formation of C_2H_3O product. Since the initial discussion of these results[9], presented here, C_2H_3O formed in this reaction has been observed by several groups; Inoue[10] using laser induced fluorescence Hunziker[11] with optical absorption spectroscopy and Luntz[12] applying laser induced fluorescence to a crossed beam reaction.

The reaction of $O(^3P)$ with vinyl bromide is closely analagous to that with ethylene, the energetic relationship of reactants, intermediates, and products are similar, however the presence of the weakly bound halogen atom provides new information concerning the reaction mechanism. The experimental results show three major reactions are occurring. Reactions 2a and 2b are simple bond ruptures yielding H atoms and Br atoms. Although the C-Br bond is much weaker than the C-H bond, we do not observe exclusive production of Br atoms. IF H atom migrations in the complex are not facile, then oxygen attack on each carbon should lead to different products. $O(^3P)$ attachment to the bromine containing carbon should lead

almost solely to Br elimination while attack on the far carbon should yield mainly H atoms. Observation of products from reaction 2c demonstrates that Br atom migration can occur in the complex at a rate competitive with Br atom elimination.

As in the reaction of $O(^3P)$ with ethylene, the vinyl bromide data does not support the view that hydrogen atom migration is facile nor that there is three or four center elimination of molecular products as was previously thought to occur. The results of these experiments are in conflict with the interpretation of several earlier studies.[2,3,4] Although the mean collision energy in those other experiments was lower, we do not attribute the discrepancy to a change in branching ratio with collision energy. In these experiments we have found no qualitative effect in halving the collision energy. Further reduction in collision energy should not produce a large change in reaction mechanism for these exothermic reactions in which the source of the total energy of the complex is 75% from the release of chemical energy in formation of the C-O bond.

The identification of the correct primary products of these reactions requires the ability to distinguish species from different channels which may fragment severely during ionization. The measurement of angular and velocity distributions of products has been the key to elucidating the reaction mechanism. From these crossed molecular beam studies, a coherent picture of the oxygen atom-olefin reaction is obtained which will probably be extendable to similar systems. The simple cleavage of a hydrogen or halogen atom is favored over molecular eliminations, migration of hydrogen

atom does not occur to any appreciably extent and bromine atoms are capable of migration at a rate which may be competitive with C-Br bond breakage.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Number W-7405-Eng-48.

REFERENCES

1. S. J. Sibener, R. J. Buss, P. Casavecchia, T. Hirooka and Y. T. Lee, J. Chem. Phys 72(8), 4341 (1980).
2. R. J. Cvetanovic, Can. J. Chem. 33, 1684 (1955).
3. F. J. Pruss, I. R. Slagle, and D. Gutman, J. Phys. Chem. 78, 663 (1974).
4. B. Blumenberg, K. Hoyerman and R. Sievert, 16th Int. Symp. Combust. (The Combustion Institute, 1977), p.841.
5. R. K. Sparks, Ph.D. Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 1979.
6. S. J. Sibener, R. J. Buss, C. Y. Ng and Y. T. Lee, Rev. Sci. Instr. 51(2), 167 (1980).
7. L. Harding, private communication.
8. M. Dupuis, J. Wendoloski, and W. A. Lester, Jr., private communication.
9. Discussion at the 14th Information Conference on Photochemistry, Newport Beach, California, March 30, 1980.
10. G. Inoue and H. Akimoto, J. Chem. Phys. 74(1), 425 (1981).
11. H. R. Wendt, H. Kneppe and H. E. Hunziker, to be published.
12. K. Kleinermanns and A. C. Luntz, to be published.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Angular distributions from the reaction O + C_2H_4 at 9.7 kcal/mole collision energy. A. CH_2CHO product, B. Elastically scattered mass 27, C. Mass 15 subtraction of two contributions.

Fig. 2. Angular distributions from the reaction O + C_2H_4 at 5.7 kcal/mole collision energy. A. CH_2CHO product, B. Elastically scattered mass 27, C. Mass 15 subtraction of two contributions.

Fig. 3. Angular distributions of product from the reaction O + C_2H_3Br at 12.4 kcal/mole collision energy. A. C_2H_2BrO product, B. CH_2Br product showing subtraction of elastic scattering and fragmentation contributions. C. C_2H_3O product with subtraction of fragmentation effects.

Fig. 4. Time of flight spectra for mass 95 and mass 120 products of the reaction O + C_2H_3Br at 40°.

Fig. 5. Time of flight spectra for mass 42 and mass 95 products of the reaction O + C_2H_3Br at 70°.



XBL 815-9971

Fig. 1



XBL 815-9970

Fig. 2


MASS 120
A
O(3P)+C2H3Br
C2H2BrO
O(3P)
MASS 95
B
10⁴CM/S
C2H3Br
CH2Br
C2H2BrO
θ
C2H3Br
MASS 42
C
C2H2BrO
C2H3O
C2H3Br
C.M.
O(3P)
INTENSITY
1.0
.8
.6
.4
.2
0
-30
-10
10
30
50
70
90
110
130
LAB SCATTERING ANGLE Θ (DEG)
XBL 815-9972

Fig. 3



Fig. 4 XBL 815-9968



Fig. 5 XBL 815-9967

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

IA RCO OSTI CDL