



# Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED  
LAWRENCE  
BERKELEY LABORATORY

OCT 9 1984

LIBRARY AND  
DOCUMENTS SECTION

## Physics Division

Mathematics Department

To be submitted for publication

LAGRANGE MULTIPLIERS AND GEOMETRIC  
MEASURE THEORY

M. Miranda and S. Roberts

December 1983

**TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY**

*This is a Library Circulating Copy  
which may be borrowed for two weeks.*



LBL-15692  
c.2

## DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

**LAGRANGE MULTIPLIERS AND GEOMETRIC MEASURE THEORY\***

Mario Miranda  
Dipartimento di Matematica  
Università degli Studi di Trento  
38050 - Povo (Trento), Italy

and

Stephen Roberts  
Department of Mathematics  
and  
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory  
University of California  
Berkeley, California 94720

December 1983

---

\*This work was done during a visit of the first author to the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory. This work was supported in part by the Applied Mathematical Sciences subprogram of the Office of Energy Research, U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.

## LAGRANGE MULTIPLIERS AND GEOMETRIC MEASURE THEORY

What we want to present here are some theoretical and numerical remarks about solutions to variational problems with a volume constraint. The first author is responsible for the theoretical work, the second for the computations.

We shall first recall some general facts about integrable functions minimizing an elliptic integrand, then we will pass to the consideration of measurable sets minimizing an integrand depending essentially on the sets' boundaries. Finally, we will consider the case where the sets are restricted to assume a fixed measure. For this case we present some recent results of other authors, which can be seen as an extension of the classical Lagrange Multiplier Rule. The numerical experiments are related to this new Lagrange Multiplier Rule.

It is a pleasure for us to thank Paul Concus for a number of helpful comments.

1. In the late fifties, E. De Giorgi and J. Nash, independently, proved the Hölder continuity of weak solutions  $u$  to the equation

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n D_i(\alpha_{ij}(x)D_j u) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega \subset R^n,$$

where the quadratic form  $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}(x)\lambda_i\lambda_j$  is bounded from above and below, with respect to  $\lambda$ , independently of  $x$ . The solution  $u$  is assumed to have first derivatives in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and the coefficients  $\alpha_{ij}(x)$  are simply Lebesgue-measurable.

This result implied to Hölder continuity of first derivatives of solutions to Hilbert-Haar problems, hence their analyticity in case of analytic data (cfr. Hilbert's 19<sup>th</sup> problem and A. Haar's paper in *Mathematische Annalen* of 1927).

The problems of regularity of solutions with unbounded gradients and solutions to systems of elliptic equations were successively considered and solved with similar methods as De Giorgi-Nash's. Some remarkable contemporary successes were obtained

in the study of regularity of solutions to parametric problems, say those variational problems dealing with more complicated objects than integrable functions. We must quote the results of De Giorgi and E.R. Reifenberg for the higher dimensional Plateau problem, together with their extensions to more general problems by F.J. Almgren.

The result of De Giorgi, limited to codimension one manifolds but much easier to be presented, is the following: "If the  $n$ -dimensional measure of the boundary of a set  $X \subset E^{n+1}$  is minimal in the open set  $\Omega \subset E^{n+1}$ , then  $\partial X \cap \Omega$  is an analytic  $n$ -dimensional manifold except for a closed set whose  $n$ -measure vanishes."

Later on H. Federer proved that the codimension of the singular set is not less than 8.

It must be said that the results for elliptic systems, which we indicated above, were made possible by the techniques introduced for the parametric problems.

2. In the early seventies U. Massari extended De Giorgi-Federer's result to sets  $X$  minimizing

$$H_n(\partial X) + \int_X A(x) dx$$

for a bounded  $A(x)$ . What comes out from Massari's paper is that De Giorgi technique works for sets  $X$  satisfying the following inequality

$$H_n(\partial X) \leq H_n(\partial Y) + C\rho^{n+\varepsilon}, \quad (*)$$

for all sets  $Y$  equal to  $X$  outside a ball of radius  $\rho$ . The constant  $\varepsilon$  must be positive. The boundaries of such sets  $X$  have  $C^{2\varepsilon}$ -continuous tangent plane except for a set of  $n$ -measure zero.

3. The result of Massari was successfully applied by M. Emmer and I. Tamanini to the study of the equilibrium surfaces in capillary problems. But most of the interesting capillary problems, as the ones considered by E. Gonzalez, by Gonzalez-Massari-Tamanini, and

by S. Albano-González, are problems where the sets are restricted to having a prescribed measure. To these sets neither De Giorgi's nor Massari's techniques apply directly. A rule like Lagrange multiplier would put the solutions of variational problems with a volume constraint into the range of Massari's method. The validity of a rule of this type was shown by G. Congedo-Gonzalez, who proved that the volume constraint can be forgotten if one adds to the functional a term like  $K|H_{n+1}(X) - V_0|$ , where  $K$  is a constraint sufficiently large and  $V_0$  is the required volume.

4. Numerical experiments were made for the one-dimensional problem

$$\int_0^1 \sqrt{1+f^2(x)} dx + f(0) + f(1) + K \left| \int_0^1 f(x) dx - V_0 \right| = \min \quad (**)$$

in the class of non-negative real functions defined on the interval  $[0,1]$ .

Theoretical considerations show that for large values of  $K$  and  $V_0 \leq \frac{\pi}{8}$  the graph of the solution of Problem (\*\*) is an arc of the circle, symmetric with respect to the line  $x = \frac{1}{2}$  passing through the points  $(0,0), (1,0)$  and surrounding together with the  $x$ -axis an area equal to  $V_0$ . By the same arguments one could show that for  $V_0 > \frac{\pi}{8}$  the graph of the solution is the half circle of radius  $\frac{1}{2}$ , centered at  $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$  and translated upwards  $V_0 - \frac{\pi}{8}$ .

Our numerical method consisted of approximating the function  $f(x)$  as a piecewise linear spline, with 21 nodes in the interval  $[0,1]$ . We then minimized the functional (\*\*) over all such splines, using the routine E04JAF from the Numerical Algorithm Group (NAG) subroutine library. The tolerance parameter for the routine above was chosen so that our results were accurate to within  $10^{-3}$ . We restricted our runs to three specific values of  $V_0$ , namely  $\frac{\pi}{16}$ ,  $\frac{\pi}{8}$ , and  $\frac{\pi}{4}$ . For each of these volumes we let the value of  $K$  range from 1.6 to 2.6 (in increments of 0.05).

Below are shown the volume of the resultant minimizing spline solution vs. the value of  $K$ . As can be seen from the results, for each volume  $V_0$ , there exists a critical value  $K_0$ , such that for  $K > K_0$ , the volume  $V$  of the minimizing spline satisfies the volume constant  $V = V_0$ .

	$V_0$		
$K$	$\frac{\pi}{16} \approx .196$	$\frac{\pi}{8} \approx .393$	$\frac{\pi}{4} \approx .785$
1.6	.171	.171	.171
1.65	.180	.180	.180
1.7	.191	.191	.191
1.75	.196	.203	.202
1.8	.196	.216	.216
1.85	.196	.230	.230
1.9	.196	.248	.248
1.95	.196	.268	.268
2.0	.196	.294	.294
2.05	.196	.393	.785
2.1	.196	.393	.785
2.15	.196	.393	.785
2.2	.196	.393	.785
2.25	.196	.393	.785

Table 1.

Computed value of  $\int_0^1 f(x) dx$

5. The second problem we considered was the following:

$$\alpha H_1(\partial E) - \beta \int_E (x_1^2 + x_2^2) dx + K |H_2(E) - 1| = \min .$$

where  $E$  is a two dimensional set, with area  $H_2(E)$  and arc length  $H_1(\partial E)$ . This problem was intended to approximate a rotating water drop.

By considering Problem (\*\*) in terms of polar coordinates, we were able to approximate the boundary of  $E$  as a periodic piecewise linear spline, using 50 nodes. Once again

we used the NAG minimization routine to produce our minimizing spline solution.

Our numerical runs consisted of letting  $\alpha = 1$  and having  $\beta$  take the values 0, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, and 4.0, corresponding to increasing the angular velocity of the "water drop". Again we found critical values  $K_\beta$  (depending on  $\beta$ ). For runs with  $K < K_\beta$  we consistently obtained the zero function as our minimizing spline solution. On the other hand, for runs with  $K > K_\beta$  we always obtained a spline solution which satisfied the volume constraint,  $H_2(E) = 1.0$ .

Below are tabulated our computer results for the  $K$ 's close to  $K_\beta$  (one slightly smaller, the other slightly larger than  $K_\beta$ ), together with  $H_2(E)$ ,  $H_1(\partial E)$  and the angular momentum ( $\int_E (x_1^2 + x_2^2) dx$ ) of the resulting spline minimizing solution.

$\beta$	$\alpha$	$K$	$H_2(E)$	$H_1(\partial E)$	$\int_E (x_1^2 + x_2^2) dx$
0	1.0	7.26	0.0	0.0	0.0
		7.28	1.0	3.547	0.955
0.5	1.0	2.62	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2.64	1.0	3.597	0.727
1.0	1.0	3.06	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3.08	1.0	3.687	0.603
4.0	1.0	4.78	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4.8	1.0	4.265	0.332

**Table 2.**

In conclusion, these computations seem to confirm that the addition of the term  $K|V - V_0|$  solves the problem of transforming a conditioned variational problem into a free one. For more discussion of the numerical aspects of this method the reader is referred to the book *Practical Optimization* by Gill, Murray, and Wright.

## REFERENCES

- E. DE GIORGI: "Sulla differenziabilità e l'analiticità delle estremali degli integrali multipli regolari". Mem. Accad. Sci. Torino, Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. 3 (1957), 25-43.
- J. NASH: "Continuity of the solutions of parabolic and elliptic equations". Amer. J. Math. 80 (1958), 931-954.
- F. BROWDER: "Mathematical developments arising from Hilbert problems". Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 28 (1976), A.M.S.
- A. HAAR: "Über das Plateausche Problem". Math. Ann. 97 (1927), 127-158.
- E. DE GIORGI: "Frontiere orientate di misura minima". Sem. Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1960.
- E.R. REIFENBERG: "Solution of the Plateau problem for  $r$ -dimensional surfaces of varying topological type". Acta Math. 104 (1960), 1-92.
- F.J. ALMGREN, Jr.: "Existence and regularity almost everywhere of solutions to elliptic variational problems among surfaces of varying topological type and singularity structure". Ann. of Math. 87 (1968), 321-391.391.391.
- H. FEDERER: "The singular sets of area minimizing etc.". Bull. A.M.S. (1970), 767-771.
- U. MASSARI: "Esistenza e regolarità delle ipersuperficie di curvatura media assegnata in  $R^n$ ". Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 55 (1974), 357-382.
- M. EMMER: "Esistenza unicità e regolarità delle superfici di equilibrio nei capillari". Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII, 18 (1973), 79-94.
- I. TAMANINI: "Il problema della capillarità su domini non regolari". Rend. Sem. Univ. Padova, 56 (1977), 169-191.
- E. GONZALEZ: "Sul problema della goccia appoggiata". Rend. Sem. Mat. Padova, 55 (1976), 289-302.
- E. GONZALEZ - U. MASSARI - I. TAMANINI: "Existence and regularity for the problem of a pendant liquid drop". Pacific J. of Math. 88 (1980), 399-420.
- S. ALBANO - E. GONZALEZ: "Rotating drops". Indiana Univ. Math. J. 32 (1983), 687-702.
- G. CONGEDO - E. GONZALEZ: "Sul problema di Plateau con volume fissato". Quad. Univ. Lecce, 15 (1981).
- P.E. GILL - W. MURRAY - M.H. WRIGHT: "Practical Optimization". Academic Press, 1981.

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT  
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720