



Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Physics, Computer Science & Mathematics Division

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

MAY 16 1983

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

Submitted for publication

GENTLE QUANTUM EVENTS AS THE SOURCE
OF EXPLICATE ORDER

G.F. Chew

March 1983



e-d
LBL-15811

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

LBL-15811

GENTLE QUANTUM EVENTS AS THE SOURCE OF EXPLICATE ORDER*

G. F. Chew

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

and

Department of Physics

University of California

Berkeley, California 94720

March 1983

Bohm⁽¹⁾ has introduced a notion of "implicate order" to complement the classical Newtonian-Cartesian real-world view of separable objects moving through a space-time continuum. In the present note this classical view will be characterized as "explicate order." Quantum-mechanical and relativistic considerations preclude a satisfactory overall world picture based on explicate order; at the same time explicate order is for many purposes accurate and useful -- being the underpinning of hard science. What is the source of such accuracy? We propose in this note that explicate order together with space-time is an approximation emerging from complex but coherent collections of "gentle" quantum events -- the emission and absorption of soft photons.

It is well known that order can emerge from complexity; the laws of thermodynamics and hydrodynamics constitute examples. We suggest that space-time and the attendant explicate order emerge from soft-photon complexity in a sense analogous to the emergence of temperature, pressure, heat content, etc., from the complexity of atomic collisions. The complexity responsible for objective reality within an apparently-continuous space-time we propose to associate with multitudes of coherent low-energy electromagnetic quanta. Immensely-large numbers, stemming from the combinatorics of soft-photon event patterns, are conjectured to be responsible for the notion of separately-moving objects. We shall identify special properties that endow the photon with unique capacity to generate explicate order.

Underlying our thinking is the Heisenberg matrix representation of quantum mechanics -- which associates a complex number S_{ab} with a discrete event $b \rightarrow a$ ⁽²⁾. The event might be a particle decay such as that of Fig. 1

*This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Division of High Energy Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.



Fig. 1

or it might be a collision between particles, such as that of Fig. 2.



Fig. 2

The probability that the event shall occur is given by the absolute-value squared of the complex number S_{ab} :

$$P_{ab} = |S_{ab}|^2. \quad (1)$$

One does not speak, in the matrix picture, of space or time but only of a sudden event. There is a "before" and an "after" but no continuous evolution therebetween. We start with the premise that our "world" is built from such discrete quantum events. We conjecture that our sense of continuous space-time is to be understood through collections of certain special "gentle" events in which an initial electrically-charged particle emits or absorbs a photon and a final charged particle appears with attributes almost the same as those of the initial particle. Fig. 3 gives an example.




Fig. 3

The events of Figs. 1 and 2 are, by contrast, "violent." The situation after the event is totally different from that before.

Violent events provide no basis for the approximate continuity essential to explicate order. What endows the photon with its unique capability for gentle (almost continuous) events? The zero photon rest mass is one essential. A photon may carry an arbitrarily small amount of energy and momentum and thereby, in its absorption or emission, disturb the charged particle to an arbitrarily slight extent. Particles with nonzero rest mass lack this capability; a minimum "kick" accompanies their emission or absorption. A second essential photon characteristic is its failure to carry any nonzero conserved quantum numbers. Photon emission or absorption by a charged particle leaves the type of charged particle unchanged (e.g. electrons are not changed into protons). A third necessary characteristic involves spin; the photon is able to avoid disturbing the spin of the charged particle with which it interacts. A "gentle event" -- synonymous with "soft-photon" emission or absorption -- leaves a charged particle unaffected except for an arbitrarily tiny momentum impulse.


The classical notion of electric field corresponds to a coherent superposition of large numbers of soft photons. The language of explicate order describes a charged particle moving through an electric field as subject to a force which continuously changes the particle's momentum. From the quantum point of view, however, momentum impulses are discrete -- associated with individual photons. The illusion of continuity stems from the very large number of gentle quantum events. What I am proposing in this note is that another consequence of a multitude of gentle events is the classical notion that the charged particle follows a trajectory in space-time.

There is no *a priori* continuous space-time in the matrix representation of quantum mechanics, but Feynman discovered that the superposition aspect of quantum mechanics allows the complex number S_{ab} , associated with a discrete event, to be evaluated through an infinite summation over terms, each of which associates

with a graph: (3)

$$S_{ab} = \sum_G S_G^{ab} \quad (2)$$

In this Feynman series, the symbol G stands for "graph." Each graph corresponds to a pattern of "intermediate events" that might intervene between a (after) and b (before). The violent event of Fig. 2, for example, admits the intermediate event patterns of Fig. 4, where the wiggly lines denote soft photons. Indefinitely-large numbers of inter-



mediate soft-photons can be emitted and reabsorbed by the charged-particle lines of a Feynman graph. Feynman's rules for the complex number S_{ab}^G belonging to a graph G are such that large collections of violent intermediate events associate with such small complex numbers S_{ab}^G as to be relatively unimportant; a multitude of gentle intermediate events dominates the Feynman graphical-series. (2) The problem of summing the gentle-event series has taxed the ingenuity of two generations of particle theorists.

Although Feynman-Heisenberg rules make no reference to space-time, they do attribute energy and momentum to particles. Through Fourier transformation, energy-momentum variables can be replaced by formal space-time variables, but there is no *a priori* physical significance for these latter. It was recently discovered by Stapp, (4) however, that summing the intermediate gentle-event Feynman series leads to a result interpretable through a notion of approximate space-time localization. Stapp shows that the coherent superposition of a multitude of soft intermediate photons can approximately place charged particles on trajectories and also approximately localize their violent events. ^{F1} Fourier transformation becomes physically relevant.

I propose that the space-time of explicate order arises from Stapp's mechanism. One should not accept a physical space-time continuum as an *a priori* notion but rather as an approximation emerging from large numbers of coherent but discrete gentle quantum events. My expectation is that such an understanding of space-time will allow the Copenhagen interpretation of quantum mechanics to be replaced by a quantum theory of measurement. The approximate isolation of observer from "observed entity" will be related to the gentleness of intervening soft-photon connections. Electric "screening" will be important -- related to the photon's coupling to a conserved charge and to the tendency of complex particle systems to be electrically neutral. One will not describe measurement as occurring "within space-time" but rather as generating an approximate meaning for space-time.

I close this note with some remarks about "implicate order" and "hidden variables" -- terms which Bohm has invoked. (1) The foregoing speculations about explicate order have arisen in connection with an attempt to understand particle properties through the consistency of their graphically-expressed relationships. (5) Analysis of consistency depends on classifying Feynman graphs according to complexity. Photons and electrons do not occur at the lowest level of complexity, even

though these particles dominate development of explicate order. At the lowest level of graphical complexity there occur "hidden variables"^{F2} which have disappeared at the photon-electron level but which are responsible for the existence and properties of elementary nuclear particles^{F3} which precede photons and electrons in the hierarchy of complexity.⁽⁶⁾ An example of a "hidden" variable is a feature of graphical order called "color". The property of "color" is not exhibited by any particles accessible to the hard-scientific measurements based on explicate order, but a full picture of graphical order demands recognition of "color" and other "hidden" variables. At the level where such variables function there has not yet developed the degree of complexity prerequisite to the space-time on which explicate order relies. Theorists who use graphical complexity to understand particles do not employ the term "implicate order," but where "color" and other "hidden" variables occur such a term may be appropriate.

It should be apparent from the foregoing that the author envisages areas of contact between graphical particle theory and the ideas of Bohm.⁽¹⁾ The advantage of graphs is their providing an unambiguous and unprejudiced language -- free from the semantic traps of ordinary language that stem from explicate-order roots. I anticipate graph language gradually to yield detailed understanding of how the continuity of explicate order, with the attendant space-time, relates to the discrete world of quantum events. It is furthermore not ruled out, in the author's opinion, that graphs with gentle links will illuminate the meaning of life and consciousness and also cosmology -- including gravitation. Supplemented by Feynman superposition and by distinction between violent and gentle vertices, graph language has immense untapped capacity.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Ralph Pred for a critical reading of this manuscript. This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Division of High Energy Physics of the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.

References

1. D. Bohm, Wholeness and the Implicate Order, Routledge and Kegan Paul, London (1981).
2. D. Jagolnitzer, The S Matrix, North Holland, Amsterdam (1978).
3. See, for example, J.D. Bjorken and S.D. Drell, Relativistic Quantum Fields, McGraw-Hill, New York (1965).
4. H.P. Stapp, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 467 (1983); preprint LBL-13651, Berkeley (1982).
5. Two recent reviews of topological particle theory have been written by F. Capra, preprint LBL-14858, Berkeley (1982) and by G.F. Chew, *Foundations of Physics* **13**, 273 (1983).
6. G.F. Chew, *Phys. Rev.* **D27**, 976 (1983); *Foundations of Physics* **13**, 217 (1983).

Footnotes

- F1** Stapp also makes a precise distinction between soft photons and "hard" photons -- that can change spin and deliver substantial increments of momentum in violent events.
- F2** In the literature of graphical particle theory the adjective "inaccessible" rather than "hidden" has been used.
- F3** Graphical-particle theory⁽⁵⁾ makes a distinction between "elementary particles" and particles accessible to hard-scientific observations interpreted through explicate order, but explanation of this distinction will not be attempted here. Suffice it to say that there is a connection with "hidden" variables.

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720