LBL-17197
c.2

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY
MAR 12 1984
LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

Accelerator & Fusion Research Division

To be presented at the 1984 Annual Meeting of the American Nuclear Society, New Orleans, LA, June 3-8, 1984; and to be published in the Transactions of the American Nuclear Society

HEAVY ION ACCELERATORS AS DRIVERS FOR INERTIAL CONFINEMENT FUSION

A.I. Warwick, D. Keefe, and W.B. Herrmannsfeldt

January 1984

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY
This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 6782.



LBL-17197
c.2

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

LBL-17197

HEAVY ION ACCELERATORS AS DRIVERS FOR INERTIAL CONFINEMENT FUSION*

A.I. Warwick, D. Keefe, and W.B. Herrmannsfeldt†

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

January 1984

* This work was supported by the Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

† Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford, CA 94305

LBL-17197

Heavy Ion Accelerators as Drivers for Inertial Confinement Fusion*

A.I. Warwick, D. Keefe, and W.B. Herrmannsfeldt†
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

An aspect of the inertial confinement approach to fusion is that the problems of a practical fusion power plant are simplified by the separation of the driver from the reactor vessel. Amongst the candidates for a power plant driver an accelerator of intense beams of heavy ions seems closest to meeting the engineering and economic requirements of power production.

Economic feasibility demands that the recirculating power supplied to the driver be less than about 30% of the total power produced. Assuming thermal to electric conversion at 33% efficiency this requires a product of driver efficiency times target gain of ten or more. If the driver efficiency is less than about 10%, complicated high-gain pellets and high driver beam energies are required. Ion accelerators however, can achieve efficiencies between 10 and 25%, satisfying this economy criterion with low gain pellets and allowing power plants to be smaller, involving drivers of energies around 3-4 MJ.

Accelerators meet a second essential requirement in that they are intrinsically high repetition rate devices. A 25% efficient driver delivering 4 MJ 25 times per second would produce 1-2 GWe of net power.

*This work was supported by the Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

† Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford, CA 94305

The engineering problems of a reactor are greatly alleviated by use of frequent smaller yield shots. It may be possible to use reactor vessels whose size and power density is comparable to that of a typical fission reactor.

Heavy ions have efficient and straightforward collisional mechanisms by which they give up their kinetic energy to the outer target shell. As the deposition layer becomes ionized there is no fundamental change in the beam-target coupling mechanism. The required ion range (≃ .2 mm) corresponds to high ion kinetic energies (10 GeV) for heavy ions (A = 200) so that smaller ion currents are required to carry the beam energy (≃ 4 MJ) compared, for example, with the high currents required from light ion diodes.

Table 1 shows typical heavy ion driver parameters. The flux of ions would be divided into about 20 beams for final focus. This also ensures uniform illumination of the pellet.

Table I TYPICAL BEAM PARAMETERS

Ion Mass	A ≃ 200
Kinetic Energy	10 GeV
Beam Energy	4 MJ
Peak Beam Power	200 TW
Pulse Length	40 ns
Beam Spot Radius	2-3 mm
Number of Beams	~ 20
Peak Particle Current per beam	~ 1 kA
Normalized Emittance (10 cm radius lens, 10 m away)	20 mm-mrad

U.S. sponsored research in pursuit of a heavy ion fusion driver[1] involves the development of linear induction accelerator technology for heavy ions. The ion beams will pass through a series of induction modules, in each of which the beam current behaves like a single turn secondary winding of a transformer, picking up energy from large currents switched into the primary windings as the beam passes. Suitably shaped accelerating voltages will accelerate the tail of the beam pulse more than the the head so that an initially long (50 μs), low current (≈ 6A) pulse becomes a short (40 ns), high current (≈ 20 kA) pulse at the pellet.

Induction linacs are well suited to accelerating intense beams in the multi-kilo-amp range. High current electron beams have been accelerated by this technique at LLNL, LBL and NBS in the U.S.A. and at other laboratories in the U.S.S.R. A heavy ion fusion induction linac will accelerate multiple beams of heavy ions simultaneously. The number of ion sources will be equal to the number of beams finally incident on the target. Acceleration of heavy ions (Cs^+) with an induction device was recently achieved at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory[2].

A second approach to heavy ion driver development involves the application of conventional RF accelerator and storage ring technology, which has been developed over the last twenty years for research in high energy and nuclear physics. A design study of such a driver forms part of the comprehensive HIBALL[3] heavy ion fusion power plant scenario. Beams of Bi^+ from 32 ion sources are accelerated and combined pairwise into a single RF linac for acceleration to 10 GeV, then split into storage rings for current amplification. The technology for such a machine is available today. The scheme may suffer from beam physics problems; the high beam

currents in the storage rings may cause growth of instabilities which would limit the storage time. Also, the many operations of beam joining and splitting may cause transverse emittance growth which could ultimately spoil the final focus.

The final focussing of heavy ion beams to the pellet is most easily achieved with conventional focussing magnets outside the reactor vessel. Assuming a liquid lithium first wall, one requires rapid re-condensation of the metal vapor between shots to allow the next beam pulse to focus correctly. This question has been addressed as part of the HIBALL study. Reactor vessels ten meters in diameter are expected to achieve a 5 Hz repetition rate, so that four such chambers would be served sequentially by a 20 Hz driver.

References:

1. "Heavy Ion Fusion Accelerator Research Program Plan for FY84 - FY89," Los Alamos National Lab. Rep., LA-UR-83-1717, compiled by R.O. Bangerter (May 1983).

2. A. Faltens, M. Firth, D. Keefe, and S. Rosenblum, "Long-Pulse Induction Acceleration of Heavy-Ions", IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., NS30 (1983) 3669.

3. B. Badger et al., "HIBALL - A Conceptual Heavy Ion Beam Driven Fusion Reactor Study", KfK 3202 and UWFDM-450 (1981) and I. Hofmann et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., NS30 (1983) 3070.

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720