Submitted to
Applied Optics

LBL-1857
Preprint

c. 1

PERFORMANCE TESTS FOR AUTOMATIC ELLIPSOMETERS

R. H. Muller and H. J. Mathieu

August 1973

Prepared for the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

For Reference

Not to be taken from this room

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY

LBL-1857
c. 1

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

0 0 0 0 3 9 0 7 0 5 1

PERFORMANCE TESTS FOR AUTOMATIC ELLIPSOMETERS

R. H. Muller and H. J. Mathieu

Inorganic Materials Research Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
and Department of Chemical Engineering; University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

ABSTRACT

Methods to quantitatively determine the dynamic response of automatic ellipsometers to variations in the optical properties of a specimen have been developed and are illustrated by data from a newly-built ellipsometer. The methods are based on the use of rotating mirrors for the generation of well-defined, fast optical changes in the reflecting surface.

I. INTRODUCTION

Automatic ellipsometers of different designs have recently been constructed for the observation of temporal changes on a reflecting surface,[1-4] and the use of such instruments in increasing numbers can be expected in the near future. The critical evaluation of results obtained with automatic ellipsometers, such as the identification of artefacts, as well as a comparison of capabilities between instruments of different design,[5] requires a knowledge of quantitative performance characteristics.

Parameters which characterize the capabilities of an automatic ellipsometer are the maximum rate of change (slew rate) and the maximum range of change (dynamic range) that can be followed automatically, the accuracy and reproducibility of following, the smallest variation that can be resolved (resolution), the specimen area required for reflection and some of the multiple interactions between these quantities.

The determination of such performance characteristics should be simple, applicable to instruments operating on different working principles, accommodate a wide range of capabilities, and closely reproduce the practical use of ellipsometers.

In the present work, the above requirements have largely been satisfied by introducing known, fast changes in the optical properties of a specimen surface. The changes are produced by use of a reflecting disk which is rotated around an axis normal to its surface. During rotation, the reflecting surface element traces a ring on the disk, and any variations in optical properties along this ring simulate a reflecting surface of optical properties varying in time.

II. ELLIPSOMETER ARRANGEMENT

All measurements have been conducted with a newly-built compensating automatic ellipsometer[6] of design similar to that described by Layer.[4] The optical components are arranged for elliptic polarization incident on the specimen and a quarter-wave plate of fixed +45° azimuth is used.[7] Faraday cells, inserted between polarizer and compensator as well as between specimen and analyzer are employed to electrically rotate the plane of polarization over the dynamic range to either side of the mechanically established azimuths of polarizer and analyser prisms. Analyzer and polarizer azimuth readings a and p at compensation are obtained by adding the electrical "angle readings" A and P to the manually established azimuth angles a_m and p_m of analyzer and polarizer prisms.

$$a = A + a_m \tag{1}$$

$$p = P + p_m \tag{2}$$

With ideal optical components, the change in relative phase Δ and the amplitude parameter ψ of the reflection as determined in one zone are[8]

$$\Delta = 270° - 2p \tag{3}$$

$$\psi = a \tag{4}$$

The angle of incidence was 75°, the light beam was of rectangular cross-section, 1×3 mm, and resulted in an area of approximately 4×3 mm being sampled on the reflecting surface.

III. MIRROR PREPARATION

Different functional relations of relative phase and amplitude with time can be achieved by the use of rotating disk mirrors. Among these relations are irregular, gradual, saw-tooth, square-wave, single-step and sinusoidal variations. The latter two cases have been found most useful and are reported here. The mirrors have been prepared by vacuum deposition on optical-quality round glass plates.[9] Opaque metal substrate-films have been coated partially with a second thin film of uniform thickness.

Square-wave (or single-step) changes in optical properties have been produced by depositing a sharply delineated coating over half of a 76 mm diameter disk (Fig. 1a). A full rotation thus results in a downward and an upward step. Sinusoidal changes have been obtained with multiple segments of width comparable to the area sampled by the light beam (Fig. 1b). After deposition of an opaque substrate layer, the coating material has been evaporated through a mask with precisely cut multiple openings held in good contact with the substrate. Figure 2 shows one of the test mirrors in use. Data on substrate and coating deposits employed are given in Table I.

IV. (SINGLE) STEP RESPONSE

An important characteristic of an automatic ellipsometer is its response to a discontinuous (or very fast) change in the optical parameters to be measured. Properties that can be determined from the response include the slew-rate, any possible overshoot, the symmetry of response in upward and downward direction and the influence of step height on the response.

Although sharp boundaries on the mirror can relatively easily be produced by vapor deposition, the finite width of the light beam results in a more gradual change in the measurement. Limitations in the response of the instrument further decrease the slope of the step. For a given rate of rotation, the fastest change is obtained by orienting the step of the deposit in a radial direction and minimizing the extent of the sampled area normal to the step. Thus, at 300 rpm an ideally sharp step on a 32 mm radius moves through a 4 mm wide sampling area in 4 ms.

The test for response to step-wise changes is conducted by observing the output of the instrument at different rotation rates of the mirror. The accuracy of dynamic response for a given step-height is obtained by comparison with static measurements, (which agree with manual measurements) (Fig. 3). At increased rates of rotation, the slope of the step is limited by the capabilities of the instrument (Fig. 4) and deviates from the slope expected on the basis of static measurements. Thus, a limiting slew-rate (maximum rate of rotation of polarizer and analyzer azimuths) of 1.6°/ms is established in Fig. 5.

V. SINUSOIDAL RESPONSE

An alternate way to characterize an automatic ellipsometer consists in the frequency response of the instrument to sinusoidal variations in the properties of the reflecting surface.

A rotating mirror surface with optical properties varying in a sinusoidal way could be approximated by vapor deposition with diffuse edges. In order for the light beam to sample a resonably uniform reflecting surface, each oscillation period on the mirror would have to be several times as wide as the sampled area, and high-frequency oscillations would be difficult to achieve. Instead, we have employed a rotating mirror with sharply delineated alternate deposits, illustrated in Fig. 1b, which are quite easily produced and provide the desired frequencies with manageable mirror diameters and rotation rates. With the individual mirror segments just slightly wider than the area sampled by the light beam, the mixed polarization, that results from reflection on two different materials during most of a cycle, produces an approximately sinusoidal variation in analyzer and polarizer azimuth.[10] Accuracy of tracking for slow variations is again demonstrated by the agreement with static measurements (Fig. 6).

With increasing frequency of the sinusoidal signal, the amplitude response of the instrument decreases (Fig. 6). The frequency at which a partial response sets in depends on the magnitude of the amplitude, as illustrated in Fig. 7. Thus, a 3.6° peak to peak signal is fully recorded at frequencies up to 160Hz. Oscillations of amplitude greater than the dynamic range of the instrument (presently 51° for A and 55° for P) are tracked at higher frequencies with reduced amplitude.

VI. ACCURACY

In addition to closely reproducing static measurements, taken immediately before or after a dynamic measurement and demonstrated in Figs. 3, 4 and 6, an automatic ellipsometer should show no long-term drifts as may be due to variable conditions of the surroundings, warm up and aging of components, etc.

In our instrument, such drifts could easily be detected by comparing a manual with an automatic measurement on a specimen, that does not change its properties during the measurements. Drifts thus observed over a two-month period have been less than ±0.01° in azimuth readings of polarizer and analyzer. For other instruments it may be necessary to use a reflecting surface with long-term stability[11] to conduct such tests.

Another factor that determines accuracy is the cross-modulation between analyzer and polarizer channels. Automatic ellipsometers of different designs differ greatly in the susceptibility to this potential source of error. For our instrument, the cross-modulation could be determined with a stationary reflecting surface by observing the output of one channel (e.g., analyzer) while the output of the other channel (e.g., polarizer) was varied over the entire dynamic range by the mechanical rotation of one of the prisms (e.g., polarizer). Thus, azimuth changes of 5° and 50° in one channel have been found to affect the other channel by less than ±0.002° and ±0.02°, respectively.

VII. RESOLUTION

The smallest short-term variation in the optical properties of a reflecting surface that can be resolved is limited by noise in the electronic circuit. In our instrument, resolution can be increased at the expense of response time by increasing time constants from the normal value of about 1 ms. Figure 8 illustrates the effect of increased time constants on a step-wise signal. The gain in resolution due to slower operation is illustrated in Fig. 9. With a time constant of 0.1s, changes in analyzer and polarizer azimuth of 0.0007° can be resolved on a single step mirror rotating at less than 2 rpm. The slew-rate for response to a step-wise change is then 0.33°/s. The frequency response in different slow modes of operation is given in Fig. 10. With a time constant of 10^{-2}s, a signal of 0.22° peak to peak is fully recorded at frequencies up to 30 Hz. Below 0.01°, the resolution of the mechanical polarizer and analyzer circles, azimuth readings can only be interpreted as differences relative to other observations.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

The use of rotating mirrors offers a convenient way to characterize the response of an automatic ellipsometer to changes in relative phase and amplitude as a function of time. Since the changes originate in the reflecting surface, the measurements represent the response of the entire automatic system. Widely different response times and dynamic ranges can easily be accommodated.

Apart from the presently-used variation in relative phase and amplitude, the effect of other variables of a reflecting surface (e.g., reflectivity or surface roughness) on the response of the ellipsometer can be investigated. Similarly, rotating mirror surfaces may be useful for characterizing the dynamic response of reflection spectroscopic instruments, including spectroscopic ellipsometers.

As with any servo-system, the response of our automatic ellipsometer depends on all the factors that affect the gain in the electronic control-loop. The principal factors are photomultiplier voltage, light beam diameter and intensity, reflectivity and uniformity of the reflecting surface. Reasonable values for these parameters have been employed for the tests reported here.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The second author wishes to thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for financial support. This work was done under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. H. Takasaki, Appl. Opt. 5, 759 (1966).
2. J. L. Ord and B. L. Wills, Appl. Opt. 6, 1673 (1967).
3. B. D. Cahan and R. F. Spanier, Surf. Sci. 16, 166 (1969).
4. H. P. Layer, Surf. Aci. 16, 177 (1969).
5. R. H. Muller, in Advances in Electrochemistry and Electrochemical Engineering, Vol. 9, R. H. Muller, ed. (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1973), p. 208.
6. To be submitted to Rev. Sci. Instr. (also report LBL-1478, July 1973).
7. Reference 5, p. 200
8. Reference 5, p. 212.
9. Parallelo-plate, 9.5 mm thick, 76.2 and 190.5 mm diameter.
10. Due to the mixed (partial) polarization of the reflected light, only partial extinction can be obtained at compensation. It is a credit to the functioning of the instrument that its response is not affected by this factor: Based on a slew-rate of 1.6°/ms, one would expect a frequency response of 142 to 222 Hz for a 3.6° peak to peak signal, depending on whether the steepest or the average slope of a sinusoidal variation is considered limiting. The observed response was 160 Hz (Fig. 7).
11. Reference 5, p. 215.
12. Decreased signal amplitudes of mirror No. 4 were produced by increasing the diameter of the light beam. The reflecting areas sampled were 4×4 to 5×10 mm.
13. Additional external filtering of the ellipsometer output with time constant of 0.1 s was employed for curve d.

Table I. Properties of rotating mirrors.

No.	Diameter (mm)	Segments	Signal	Substrate Material	Substrate Thickness (nm)	Substrate ψ	Substrate Δ	With Coating Material	With Coating Thickness (nm)	With Coating ψ	With Coating Δ
1	76.2	2	Step	Cr	300	27.64	88.74	Al_2O_3(+Cr)	86	51.20	30.54
2	76.2	2	Step	Ag	200	45.60	86.20	Au(+Ag)	6.5	44.45	82.84
3	190.5	90	Sinusoid	Cr	300	25.28	88.40	Al_2O_3(+Cr)	70	74.08	339.00*
4	190.5	90	Sinusoid	Ag	200	44.85	81.16	Au(+Ag)	10	41.39	76.32
5	190.5	90	Sinusoid	Cr	300	24.47	89.92	Al_2O_3(+Cr)	20	34.12	62.64

Note: Δ and ψ determined with Eqs. (3) and (4) from measurements in one zone.

*$\Delta = 630° - 2p$

0 0 0 0 3 9 0 7 0 5 7

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Rotating disk mirrors for producing known variations in relative phase and amplitude of reflecting light.

A - substrate, B - coating, C - area sampled by light beam.

(a) Disk for step-wise variations.

(b) Disk for sinusoidal variations.

Fig. 2. Rotating disk mirror (No. 3) positioned in automatic ellipsometer.

A - Polarizer circle

B - Polarizer Faraday cell

C - Compensator circle

D - Disk mirror with drive motor

E - Analyzer Faraday cell

Light propagation from A to E.

Fig. 3. Dynamic and static response to a step-wise variation in polarizer and analyzer azimuth. Mirror No. 1, 300 rpm

$p_m = 105°$, $a_m = 35°$

Fig. 4. Response to an increasingly fast step-wise variation in polarizer azimuth. Mirror No. 1, $p_m = 108°$. Points indicate static measurements, lines indicate dynamic measurement at (a) 67 rpm, (b) 275 rpm, (c) 650 rpm.

Fig. 5. Determination of the slew-rate as the limiting slope of the response to a step-wise variation (upward and downward) of polarizer and analyzer azimuth.

▲ Analyzer, step height 20°, mirror No. 1

△ Analyzer, step height 1.15°, mirror No. 2

■ Polarizer, step height 30°, mirror No. 1

□ Polarizer, step height 1.8°, mirror No. 2

Fig. 6. Response to an increasingly fast sinusoidal variation in analyzer azimuth. Mirror No. 3, $a_m = 45°$. Points indicate static measurements, lines indicate dynamic measurements at (a) 13 rpm, (b) 45 rpm, (c) 204 rpm.

Fig. 7. Peak-to-peak amplitude response to sinusoidal variations in polarizer and analyzer azimuth of different emplitude.

(a) Analyzer, mirror No. 3

(b) Analyzer, mirror No. 3

(c) Analyzer, mirror No. 3

(d) Polarizer, mirror No. 5

(e) Analyzer, mirror No. 5

(f) Analyzer, mirror No. 4

Fig. 8. Effect of time constant on the response to a step-wise variation in polarizer azimuth. Rotation rate 60 rpm, mirror No. 1, $p_m = 104°$. Time constants: (a) 1×10^{-3} s, (b) 2×10^{-3}s, (c) 5×10^{-3}s, (d) 1×10^{-2}s, (e) 5×10^{-2}s.

Fig. 9. Dependence of resolution and slew-rate for polarizer and analyzer azimuth on the time constant of the circuit. Mirror No. 1, 300 rpm and mirror No. 2, 1.3 rpm.

Fig. 10. Slow-mode peak-to-peak amplitude response to sinusoidal variations in analyzer azimuth of different frequency (mirror No. 4).[12] Time constants: (a) 10^{-3}s, (b) 10^{-2}s, (c) 10^{-1}s, (d) 10^{-1}s.[13]

0 0 0 0 3 9 0 7 0 5 8



XBL 737-3607

Fig. 1



CBB 737-4398

Fig. 2


Analyzer angle reading (A) (deg)
Polarizer angle reading (P) (deg)
Time (ms)
20
10
0
-10
-20
0
500
1000
1500
2000
A
P
P
A
•- Static measurement (Analyzer)
o- " " (Polarizer)
Dynamic measurements
XBL735-2967

Fig. 3


Mirror rotation (deg)
0
90
180
270
360
Polarizer angle reading P (deg)
10
0
-10
-20
Polarizer azimuth, p (deg)
120
110
100
90
a
b
c
0
200
400
600
800
1000 ms (a)
0
50
100
150
200
250 ms (b)
0
50
100 ms (c)
XBL 737-3610

Fig. 4


Rotation rate (r p m)
1
10
100
1000
(Mirror No.2)
1
10
100
1000
(Mirror No.1)
10
1.0
0.1
0.01
0.001
Observed slope (deg/ms)
0.001
0.01
0.1
1.0
10
True slope (deg/ms)
XBL 737-3609

Fig. 5


Analyzer angle reading A (deg)
20
10
0
-10
-20
a
b
c
60
50
40
30
Analyzer azimuth a (deg)
0
100
200 ms (a)
0
10
20
30
40
50 ms (b)
0
5
10 ms (c)
XBL737-3608

Fig. 6



XBL737-3436

Fig. 7


Polarizer angle reading (P) (deg)
20
10
0
-10
-20
Polarizer azimuth p (deg)
120
110
100
90
0
200
400
600
800
1000
Time (ms)
a
b
c
d
e
XBL736-2961

Fig. 8


10^{-1}
10^{-2}
10^{-3}
10^{-4}
Resolution (deg)
(1.6°/ms)
(11.3°/s)
(1.6°/s)
(0.82°/s)
(0.33°/s)
Parameter: slew rate (deg/time)
10^{-1}
10^{-2}
10^{-3}
Time constant (s)
XBL735-2960

Fig. 9


Rotation rate (rpm)
2
10
100
0.5
0.1
0.05
0.01
0.005
0.001
Peak to peak amplitude response (deg)
a
b
c
d
1
10
100
Frequency (Hz)
XBL737-3435

Fig. 10

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720