LBL-19716 c.2
Preprint

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY
OCT 9 1985
LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

Accelerator & Fusion Research Division

Submitted to Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology

LARGE AREA ION SOURCE FOR FUSION

K.N. Leung

August 1985

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY
This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.



LBL-19716 c.2

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

LBL-19716

Large Area Ion Source for Fusion*

K. N. Leung

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

August 1985

*This work was supported by U.S. DOE Contract # DE-AC03-76SF00098.

LBL-19716

Large Area Ion Source for Fusion*

K. N. Leung

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

University of California

Berkeley, CA 94720

Neutral beam injection has proved to be a successful heating method for tokamaks as well as for mirror confinement systems.[1,2] Major fusion devices such as the Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor (TFTR), Doublet III, and the Mirror Fusion Test Facility (MFTF-B) in the U. S.; the Joint European Tokamak (JET) in Europe; and the JAERI Torus (JT-60) in Japan will all use high power neutral beams with multisecond pulse durations to heat the reactor plasma. For this purpose, the ion source for the injector should be capable of producing a dense, uniform and quiescent plasma with a high percentage of atomic species. The permanent magnet plasma generator[3] (also known as the multicusp or bucket source) is able to fulfill most of these requirements, and it has been recently developed by various laboratories throughout the world to be used as ion sources for neutral beam injection systems.[4-7]

In this type of source, the surface magnetic field generated by the samarium-cobalt magnets can confine the primary ionizing electrons very efficiently.[8] As a result, the arc and gas efficiencies of these sources are high and hydrogen or dueterium ion beams with atomic species content 75% can be extracted from the source.

*This work was supported by U.S. DOE Contract # DE-AC03-76SF00098.

To increase plasma penetration by a neutral beam, a high percentage of H^+ or D^+ is desire. It has been found that atomic species higher than 90% can be obtained if a magnetic filter is incorporated into the multicusp ion source.[9-12] This filter, generated either by inserting small magnets into the source chamber or by installing a pair of dipole magnets on the external surface of the source chamber, provides a limited region of transverse B-field which is strong enough to prevent the primary electrons from reaching the extraction region but is weak enough to allow the plasma to leak through. The absence of energetic electrons will prevent the formation of H_2^+ or D_2^+ in the extraction region and thus enhance the atomic ion species percentage in the extracted beam.

In all fusion experiments, neutral beam injections at multimegawatt power levels will be employed. In order to meet this requirement, almost all the multicusp sources are operated with current densities greater than 200 mA/cm^2 at the extraction plane. To produce such dense plasmas, the tungsten filaments in these sources have limited hours of operation. For the purpose of long pulse or dc operations, new types of cathodes such as directly heated LaB_6 filaments are now being developed.[13] The use of rf plasma generators in advanced neutral beam injection systems is also being explored.[14]

In order to heat plasmas in future fusion reactors and for current drive in tokamaks, multiamperes of very high energy neutral beams will be required.[15] The high neutralization efficiency (60%) of H^- or D^- ions enables them to form atomic beams with energies in excess of 150 keV.[16]

There are different approaches for producing H^- or D^- ions. A large self-extraction H^- source based on surface conversion of positive ions has already been operated successfully to generate a steady-state H^- ion beam

current greater than 1 A.[17] Several experiments are now in progress to extract H^- ions directly from a hydrogen discharge plasma.[18-20] This technique of generating H^- ions has the advantage over surface production process in that it requires no cesium and it uses presently developed positive ion sources. Recent experimental results demonstrate that good quality H^- beams with current densities as high as 40 mA/cm^2 can be obtained from a magnetically filtered multicusp source.[21] Extraction systems are now being developed in various laboratories to achieve the best electron suppression with no degeneration of ion optics. Should this succeed, one could operate a large area plasma source to provide H^- ions in much the same manner as is now done to provide positive hydrogen ions for neutral beam systems.

References

1. F. H. Coensgen, W. F. Cummins, C. Gormezano, B. G. Logan, A. W. Molvik, W. E. Nexsen, T. C. Simonen, B. W. Stallard, and W. C. Turner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37, 143 (1976).
2. H. P. Eubank et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 270 (1979).
3. R. Limpaecher and K. R. MacKenzie, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 44, 726 (1973).
4. K. W, Ehlers and K. N. Leung, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 50, 1353 (1979).
5. Plasma Physics and Fusion Progress Report, Culham Lab. Rep. CLM-PR 20, 1978.
6. M. M. Menon et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum., 56, 242 (1985).
7. Hiroshi Horiike et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum., 55, 332 (1984).
8. K. N. Leung, T. K. Samec, and A. Lamm, Phys. Lett. A51, 490 (1975).
9. K. W. Ehlers and K. N. Leung, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 52, 1452 (1981).
10. P. A. Pincosy and K. W. Ehlers, Proceedings of the Third Neutral Beam Workshop, Gatlingburg, TN, Oct. 1981.

11. Yoshikazu Okumura, Hiroshi Horiiki, and Kiyoshi Mizuhashi, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 55, 1, (1984).

12. A. J. Holmes and T. S. Green (private communication).

13. K. N. Leung, P. A. Pincosy, and K. W. Ehlers, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 55, 1064 (1984).

14. M. C, Vella, K. W. Ehlers, D. Kippenhan, P. A. Pincosy, R. V. Pyle, W. F. DiVergilo, and V. V. Fosnight, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A3, 1218 (1985).

15. L. D. Stewart, A. H. Boozer, H. P. Eubank, R. J. Goldston, D. L. Jassby, D. R. Mikkelsen, D. E. Post, B. Prichard, J. A. Schmidt, C. E. Singer, Proceedings of the Second Int. Symp. on the Production and Neutralization of Negative Hydrogen Ions and Beams (Brookhaven National Lab., Upton, NY, 1980), p.321.

16. K. H. Berkner, R. V. Pyle, and J. W. Stearns, Nucl. Fusion 15, 249 (1975).

17. K. N. Leung and K. W. Ehlers, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 53, 803 (1982).

18. K. N. Leung, K. W. Ehlers, and M. Bacal, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 54, 56 (1983).

19. A. J. T. Holmes, G. Dammertz, T. S. Green, and A. R. Walker, Proceedings of the 7th Symp. of Ion Sources and Ion Assisted Technology, (Sept. 1983, Kyoto, Japan.)

20. Yoshikazu Okumura, Yoshihiro Ohara, Hiroshi Horiike, and Takemasa Shibata, JAERI Report M-84-098.

21. R. L. York, Ralph R. Stevens, Jr., K. N. Leung and K. W. Ehlers, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 55, 681 (1984).

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.