LBL-20321
Preprint c.2

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY
NOV 15 1985
LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

Materials & Molecular Research Division

Submitted to Inorganic Chemistry

THE CHEMISTRY OF TRIVALENT URANIUM METALLOCENES: ELECTRON TRANSFER REACTIONS; SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF $[(MeC_5H_4)_3U]_2E$, E=S, Se, Te, AND THE CRYSTAL STRUCTURES OF $[(MeC_5H_4)_3U]_2S$ AND $(MeC_5H_4)_3U\text{-}OPPh_3$

J.G. Brennan, R.A. Andersen, and A. Zalkin

September 1985

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY
This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098

LBL-20321 c.2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Contribution from the Materials and Molecular Research Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory and Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720 USA

The Chemistry of Trivalent Uranium Metallocenes: Electron Transfer Reactions; Synthesis and Characterization of $[(MeC_5H_4)_3U]_2E$, E=S, Se, Te, and the Crystal Structures of $[(MeC_5H_4)_3U]_2S$ and $(MeC_5H_4)_3U\text{-}OPPh_3$.

by John G. Brennan, Richard A. Andersen* and Allan Zalkin

*Address all correspondence to this author at the Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley.

Abstract

The trivalent uranium metallocene $(MeC_5H_4)_3U$-thf reacts with COS, $SPPh_3$, $SeP(n\text{-}Bu)_3$ or $TeP(n\text{-}Bu)_3$ to form the bridging chalcogenide complexes $[(MeC_5H_4)_3U]_2E$, where E is S, Se, or Te. Crystals of $[(MeC_5H_4)_3U]_2S$ are monoclinic, $P2_1/c$, with a = 19.740(6), b= 8.302(3), c= 21.602(4) A and β = 97.28(3)° at 23°C; for Z = 4 the calculated density is 1.920 g/cm^3. The structure was refined by full-matrix least-squares to a conventional R factor of 0.053 using 2061 data with $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$. The average U-C distance is 2.77 ± 0.06 A , the U-S distance is 2.60(1)A and the U-S-U angle is 164.9(5)^o. Triphenylphosphineoxide does not behave in a similar fashion, the trivalent uranium coordination complex $(MeC_5H_4)_3U\text{-}OPPh_3$ is instead isolated. Crystals of $(MeC_5H_4)_3U\text{-}OPPh_3$ are monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, with a = 16.268(3), b = 17.948(3), c = 10.900(2) A, and β = 105.01(2)° at 23 °C. For Z = 4 the calculated density is 1.628 g/cm^3. The structure was refined by full-matrix least-squares to a conventional R factor of 0.028, [2427 data, $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$]. The average U-C distance is 2.82 ± 0.04 A, the U-O distance is 2.389(6) A, and the U-O-P angle is 162.8(4)^o.

Introduction.

The trivalent uranium metallocene $(MeC_5H_4)_3U$-thf has recently been shown capable of acting as a one or two electron transfer reagent toward unsaturated organic molecules[1]. In the previous paper, the reaction of trivalent uranium metallocenes with CS_2 to form the tetravalent uranium compounds $[(RC_5H_4)_3U]_2[CS_2]$ was discussed[2]. The geometry about the bridging CS_2 ligand was similar to that found in a number of transition metal CS_2 compounds, but the C-S distances were grossly altered, suggesting complete transfer of two electrons into a C-S antibonding orbital of the coordinated carbon disulfide molecule.

The reactivity of carbonyl sulfide toward transition metal complexes is different from that of carbon disulfide; the average CS bond dissociation energy in CS_2 is 107 kcal/mol, whereas in SCO, bond dissociation energies for CO (162 kcal/mol) and CS (72 kcal/mol) have been measured.[3] The dipolar resonance forms $\bar{S}$-$\overset{+}{C}$=O <-----> $\bar{S}$-C≡$\overset{+}{O}$ are clearly important in understanding why compounds as diverse as $Pt(PPh_3)_2$ and $(Me_5C_5)_2V$ cleave the CS bond in SCO to give $(Ph_3P)_2Pt(u\text{-}S)Pt(CO)(PPh_3)$[4] and $(Me_5C_5)_2V(S_2)$,[5] respectively.

The S-C bond in SCO is cleaved by $(MeC_5H_4)_3U$-thf, giving the bridging sulfido complex $[(MeC_5H_4)_3U]_2[\mu\text{-}S]$. In order to extend this class of molecules to the congeners, i.e. $[MeC_5H_4)_3U]_2[\mu\text{-}E]$, where E is selenium or tellurium, an alternative synthetic route had to be developed. The readily available compounds $E{=}PR_3$ seemed to be likely precursors; the P=O bond dissociation energy is estimated to be 137 kcal/mol[6], and the P=S bond energy decreases to 91 kcal/mol in $S{=}PR_3$[7]. Phosphine telluride complexes are generally unstable at room temperature with respect to formation of metallic tellurium[8], suggesting the phosphorus-tellurium bond is rather weak.

In this paper, we describe the reaction of $(MeC_5H_4)_3U$-thf with SCO, $SPPh_3$, $SeP(n\text{-}Bu)_3$, and $TeP(n\text{-}Bu)_3$ to form the bridging chalcogenide complexes $[(MeC_5H_4)_3U]_2E$[9]. In addition, we show that $OPPh_3$ does not react in a similar fashion, instead forming the trivalent uranium coordination complex $(MeC_5H_4)_3U\text{-}OPPh_3$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Addition of an excess of SCO or one molar equivalent of $SPPh_3$ to an ether solution of $(MeC_5H_4)_3U$-thf gives red needles of $[(MeC_5H_4)_3U]_2[\mu\text{-}S]$. The ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra contain only one type of MeC_5H_4 resonance, and the linewidth in the ^1H NMR spectrum suggest the molecule is based on tetravalent uranium (see Experimental Section for details)[2]. The related selenium and tellurium complexes $[(MeC_5H_4)_3U]_2[\mu\text{-}E]$, where E is Se or Te, can be prepared by the reaction of $SePBu^n_3$ or $TePBu^n_3$ with $(MeC_5H_4)_3U$-thf, and have similar NMR spectra.

Magnetic susceptibility data of the compounds $[(MeC_5H_4)_3U]_2[\mu\text{-}X]$, where X is S, Se, Te, CS_2, or PhNCO, are detailed in Table 1, and a representative curve is shown in Figure 1. The plots of $(\chi_m)^{-1}$ as a function of T(K) are similar in shape to those of other $Cp_3U(IV)X$ compounds, where X is an anionic ligand[9]. The apparent lack of coupling between the paramagnetic centers will be discussed below.

The synthesis of the series of chalcogenide bridged complexes allows us to assign the U-E-U stretching frequencies by inspection of the infrared spectra. Only one absorption appears to be different in the U-S and U-Se compounds at 355 cm^{-1} and 215 cm^{-1}, respectively. The U-Te-U stretch would be expected to be <200 cm^{-1}, outside our current spectroscopic capabilities. These frequencies are in agreement with the limited number of M-E-M assignments that have been made[10]. The observation of a single stretching

frequency in the IR spectrum is consistent with a linear or near linear U-E-U skeleton found for the sulfur compound as shown by X-ray crystallography.

An ORTEP diagram of $[(MeC_5H_4)_3U]_2[\mu\text{-}S]$ is shown in Figure II. Positional parameters are in Table II, some bond lengths and bond angles are in Table III, and crystal data are in Table IV. The averaged U-C(ring) distance of 2.71 ± 0.06 Å and the averaged ring centroid - uranium - ring centroid angle, 116(2)°, are in the range expected for $Cp_3U(IV)X$ compounds[1, 11]. The most important structural feature of the complex is the slightly bent U-S-U angle of 164.9(4)°, and the average U-S distance of 2.60(1)Å. The two independent U-S distances are statistically equivalent.

There are very few examples of compounds with a single sulfur atom bridging two transition metal centers. Within this group, there are two distinct structural classes. The first class contains nearly linear M-S-M angles (ranging from 159-180°) with M-S distances that are shorter than expected for a M-S single bond[12]. These trends are rationalized by suggesting that the sulfide group can act as a π donor and perhaps as a π acceptor ligand towards the transition metal center[13]. The compounds $(Ph_3P)_2Pt(S)Pt(PPh_3)CO$[14a], $[(salen)Fe]_2S$[14b], and $[CpMo(CO)_3]_2S$[14c] constitute the second class of M-S-M compound, with M-S-M angles of 73.1(4)°, 121.8(1)°, and 127.0(2)° respectively. The molybdenum compound is particularly important, as both $[CpCr(CO)_2]_2S$[12b,d] and $K_6[(CN)_6Mo]_2S$[12c] contain the nearly linear M-S-M angles and short M-S bonds, while the bent Mo compound has a Mo-S bond length typical of a single bond, implying that linearity and short M-S distances are manifestations of the same bonding mechanism.

The U-S bond distance in $[(MeC_5H_4)_3U]_2S$, 2.60(1)Å, is the shortest U-S bond reported. A number of mono and dithiocarbamate uranyl complexes,

binary and ternary sulfide complexes, and a uranyl η^2 disulfide complex are reported with U-S distances from 2.69 to 2.95 Å[15]. Only one other $(MeC_5H_4)_3$ U-S-R (R = $(\eta^2\text{-CS})U(MeC_5H_4)_3)^2$ compound has been structurally characterized, and the U-S distance (2.792(3)Å) and bent U-S-R angle (112.4(7)^o) support the π bonding explanation of the bridging sulfido geometry.

There are a number of structurally characterized U(IV) and U(V) compounds with alkoxo[16], amido[17], or imido[18] ligands containing nearly linear (alkoxo, imido) or planar (amido) geometries that have been attributed to some type of M-L π bonding (the difference between the U-S distance here and the U-O distance in the uranium alkoxide complex $(MeC_5H_4)_3$ U-O-R (R = $(MeC_5H_4)_3U)(n^2\text{-NC-})^1$, .49Å, is compares to the .4Å[19] predicted with radius summation arguments[11]), but extension of this concept to second row elements on the basis of one structurally characterized example is not warranted at this time. A more complete set of bond lengths is needed before we can address this question in a meaningful way.

An alternative interpretation of the near linear sulfido geometry is that, if the bonding in this complex is primarily electrostatic, the U-S-U angle may be due to the repulsive interaction between the two $(MeC_5H_4)_3U$ groups. From this model, a bent geometry containing stereochemically active lone pairs on the bridging atom would be predicted for the bridging Se or Te compounds, where the increased U-E distance reduces the repulsive interaction between the cyclopentadienyl groups on the uranium atoms. The model also predicts a linear U-O-U arrangement, since the radius of O is 0.4Å[19] shorter than S and the ligand-ligand repulsions must therefore increase. It has not yet been possible to grow acceptible single crystals of the Se or Te complex, but this is being pursued.

There is no observed magnetic interaction between the two uranium centers to 5K, Table 1. The χ_m^{-1} curves are of the same form as those found for Cp_3UX systems[9] and $[Cp_3U]_2[X]$ where x is CS_2 or PhNCO. The curves follow Curie-Weiss behaviour from ca. 100-300K. Below 100K the slope of the curve changes due to depopulation of excited crystal field states. Below ca. 10K, the susceptibility becomes temperature independent. The values for μ_{eff} are in the range of those reported for other Cp_3UX compounds, but without knowing the energies or wave functions of the ground or excited states, it is impossible to rigorously interpret the data.

In an attempt to synthesize the bridging oxide complex, we allowed $(MeC_5H_4)_3U$-thf to react with Ph_3PO, but instead the simple coordination complex $(MeC_5H_4)_3U$-$OPPh_3$ was isolated. This reactivity pattern was previously reported in the low valent f-metal complex $(C_5Me_5)_2Sm$-$OPPh_3$[20]. An ORTEP diagram is shown in Figure III, positional parameters are in Table V, some bond lengths and angles are in Table VI, and Crystal data is in Table IV. The complex is pseudo-tetrahedral, with the averaged (ring centroid)-uranium-(ring centroid) angle of 117°. The averaged U-C distance is 2.82 ± 0.04Å, the U-ring centroid distance is 2.56 ± 0.02 Å, the U-O distance is 2.389(6)Å, and the U-O-P angle is 162.8(4)°. The U-C distance is similar to those in other Cp_3U-L complexes[21], and the uranium oxygen distance, while slightly longer than the U-O distance found in U(IV)[22], U(V)[23], and U(VI)[24] $OPPh_3$ complexes, is reasonable considering the large coordination number (10) and low oxidation state (III) of the metal. The near linear U-O-P angle has been observed in all but one U-$OPPh_3$ complex[22a].

As to mechanism, using SPR_3 as an example, we would suggest as a working hypothesis, that coordination to the metal center followed by single

electron transfer gives $Cp_3U(IV)[-S-PR_3]^-$. Coordination of a second molecule of Cp_3U to the lone pair of electrons on sulfur followed by another single electron transfer gives $[Cp_3U]_2[S]$ and PR_3. This mechanistic speculation accounts for the inability of $OPPh_3$ to act as a source of O^{2-} since its first reduction potential is -2.5V[25a] and the oxidation potential of $(MeC_5H_4)_3U$ is estimated to be ca. -1.5V[25b]. Further, the irreversible reduction potential (two electron process) of $SPPh_3$ and $SePPh_3$ is -2.7 v and -2.7 v, respectively[25c]. All of the values are relative to SCE.

Experimental.

All operations were done under nitrogen. Micro analyses were performed by the microanalytical laboratory of this department. The ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra were measured at 89.56 MHz and 22.50 MHz, respectively, on a JEOL-FX90Q instrument. Chemical shifts are reported in δ-units relative to tetramethylsilane with positive values to high frequency. Infrared spectra were recorded as Nujol mulls on a Perkin-Elmer 580 instrument. Mass spectra were obtained on a AEI-MS-12 machine equipped with a direct inlet using electron ionization. Magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed as previously described.[26]

$[(MeC_5H_4)_3U]_2S$. (a) From SCO. A solution of $(CH_3C_5H_4)_3U$-thf[1] (1.0 g, 0.0018 mol) in ether (50 mL), was exposed to vacuum until the ether began to reflux. An atmosphere of carbonyl sulfide was then introduced, yielding an immediate red precipitate. After stirring for 10 min, the volatile material was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with a toluene/hexane mixture (20mL/10mL, 15mL/5mL, 15mL/5mL), and the combined extracts were filtered and the filtrate was cooled to -20°C. The dark red needles were collected, dried under reduced pressure in 23% yield (.40g),

m.p. 274.5-275.5°C. Anal. Calcd. for $C_{36}H_{42}U_2S$: C, 44.0; H, 4.31; S, 3.26. Found: C, 44.4; H, 4.41; S, 3.10. IR: 1240 w, 1170 w, 1047 w, 1035 m, 973 w, 930 w, 888 w, 847 m, 795 w, 768 s, 727 m, 693 w, 604 m, 470 w, 358 s, 330 w, 236 s cm^{-1}. ^1H NMR (C_6D_6, 32°C): -8.88(2H), -9.09(3H), -13.41(2H). The linewidth at half-height varies from 0.5 to 4 Hz in all the U(IV) species described in this paper. ^{13}C NMR (C_6D_6, 34°C): 203.82, 201.09, 199.73, -34.05. **(b) From $SPPh_3$.** A solution of$(CH_3C_5H_4)_3U$-thf (.91 g, 1.7 mmol) in diethyl ether (20 mL) was added to a suspension of $SP(C_6H_5)_3$ (.51g, 1.7 mmol) in diethyl ether (10 mL). The red mixture was stirred for 1 hr, and the diethyl ether was removed under reduced pressure. The red residue was extracted with toluene (25 mL), filtered, and the filtrate was cooled to -20°C. The dark red needles were shown to be the sulfide by mp, IR, and ^1H NMR spectroscopy.

$[(MeC_5H_4)_3U]_2Se$. Tri-n-butylphosphineselenide[27] (0.77g, 0.0027 mol) in toluene (10mL) was added to To $(CH_3C_5H_4)_3U$-thf (1.4g, 0.0026 mol) dissolved in toluene (40mL). The red suspension was stirred for 1h and the suspension was filtered. The residue was dissolved in toluene (7mL), the filtrates were combined, and cooling (-20°C), yielded red needles (.25g, 18%), m.p. 240-241°C. The mother liquor was concentrated to 20 ml, and cooling (-20° C), afforded a second crop of crystals (.27g, 20%). Anal. Calcd. for $C_{36}H_{42}U_2Se$: C 42.0; H 4.11. Found: C 41.3; H 3.90. The mass spectrum gave a M^+= 1030. IR: 1980w, br, 1490 w, 1240 w, 1045 w, 1035 m, 975 w, 928 w, 888 w, 845 m, 770 s, 725 m, 693 w, 605 m, 590 w, 470 w, 327 m, 238 m, 216 s cm^{-1}. ^1H NMR (C_6D_6, 31°C): -8.31(3H), -8.69(2H), -14.50(2H). ^{13}C NMR (C_6D_6, 32° C): 214.6, 207.6, 205.9, -33.34.

$[(MeC_5H_4)_3U]_2Te$. Tri-n-butylphosphinetelluride[7] (0.27g, 0.00088 mol) in diethyl ether (30mL) was added to $(CH_3C_5H_4)_3U$-thf (1.02g, 0.00184 mol) in

ether (30mL). A precipitate formed immediately, and the solution turned dark green. The mixture was stirred for 1 hr, and the volatile material was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with toluene (30mL), filtered, and the filtrate concentrated to ca. 10mL. Cooling (-20° C) afforded dark green bars (.28g, 28%), m.p. 200-200.5^{o}C. Anal. Calcd. for $C_{36}H_{42}U_2Te$: C, 40.1; H, 3.93; Te, 11.8. Found: C, 42.1; H, 4.03; Te, 10.4. The mass spectrum showed a M^+ at = 1080 amu. IR: 1970 w br, 1941 w, 1240 w, 1023 s, 972 w, 930 w, 845 s, 770 s, 725 s, 692 m, 603 m, 467 m, 330 s, 240 s cm^{-1}. ^1H NMR (C_6D_6, 31°C): -8.03(3H), -9.73(2H), -11.83(3H). ^{13}C NMR (C_6D_6, 32°C): 236.0(s), 227.5(d, J=167.1Hz), 217.2(d, J=167.1), -36.39(q, J= 126.0).

$(MeC_5H_4)_3U$-$OPPh_3$ Triphenylphosphineoxide (0.17g, 0.00061 mol) in diethyl ether (30mL) was added to $(CH_3C_5H_4)_3U$-thf (.71 g, 0.0013 mol) in diethyl ether (30 mL). A red solid slowly formed on stirring for 2.5 hr. The diethyl ether was evaporated and the brown residue was extracted with toluene (50, 30 mL). The combined extracts were filtered, and cooling (-20° C), afforded red crystals (.24g, 52% based on OPR_3), m.p. 238-238.5^{o}C. Anal. Calcd. for $C_{36}H_{36}POU$: C, 57.4; H, 4.81; P, 4.11. Found: C, 57.80; H, 4.73; P, 3.48. The compound showed a M^+ at 753 amu. IR: 1585 w, 1435 s, 1310 w, 1185 w, 1150 s, 1120 s, 1088 s, 1070 w, 1043 w, 1031 m, 1022 w, 996 w, 992 w, 942 w, 847 m, 821 m, 813 m, 765 m, 750 s, 725 s, 690 s, 610 m, 544 s, 520 w, 478 m, 445 w, 412 w, 324 m, 308 w, 294 w, 210 m cm^{-1}. ^1H NMR (C_6D_6, 32 C): 5.58(3H), 4.90(6H), -2.60(6H), -11.42(9H), -12.35(6H), -15.01(6H).

X-ray Crystallography on $[(MeC_5H_4)_3U]_2[u\text{-}S]$

The crystals, because of their air sensitivity, were sealed inside thin walled quartz capillaries under argon and mounted on a modified Pikcker FACS-1 automatic diffractometer equipped with a MoKα X-ray tube and a graphite monochromator. Sets of θ-2θ scan data were collected and corrected for crystal decay, absorption (analytical method)[28] and Lorentz and polarization effects. The uranium atoms were located with the use of three dimensional Patterson maps, and subsequent least-squares and electron density maps revealed the locations of the other atoms. The structures were refined by full-matrix least-squares. Only the U and S atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal paramters. The methylcyclpentadienyl rings suffered extreme thermal motion, and Fourier maps through the planes of the rings showed the electron density spread about the ring with poor resolution of the atoms. The methyl atoms were not included; all attempts to refine any of the number of small peaks observed in the difference Fourier maps that might be methyl groups, even with severe distance restraints, resulted in large and unrealistic thermal parameters. The carbon atoms in the ring were refined with isotropic thermal parameters and restrained C-C distances of 1.39 ± 0.01 Å. Atomic scattering factors of Doyle and Turner[29] were used and anomalous dispersion corrections were applied.[30] With the exception of the ORTEP program all of the computer programs used are our own.

X-Ray Crystallography on $(MeC_5H_4)_3U\text{-}OPPh_3$

Crystals were sealed inside quartz capillaried because of their reactivity in the atmosphere. The structure was solved by Patterson and Fourier methods. Anisotropic thermal parameters were assigned to all non-hydrogen atoms. Non-methyl hydrogen atoms were included in their calculated

positions with isotropic temperature factors, but not refined. A full-matrix least-squares program was used. Atomic scattering factors for all the atoms were from International Tables[31].

References

1. Brennan, J.G.; Andersen, R.A.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1985**, 107, 514.
2. Brennan, J.G.; Andersen, R.A.; Zalkin, A. Inorg. Chem. previous paper in this issue.
3. See Table I in Ibers, J.A. Chem. Soc. Rev. **1982**, 11, 57.
4. (a) Baird, M.C.; Wilkinson, G. J. Chem. Soc. (A) **1967**, 865.
   (b) Skapski, A.C.; Troughton, P.G.H. Ibid, **1969**, 2772
5. (a) Pasquali, M.; Floriani, C.; Chiesi-Villa, A.; Guastini, C. Inorg. Chem. **1980**, 19, 3847. (b) Gambarotta, S.; Fiallo, M.L.; Floriani, C.; Chiesi-Villa, A.; Guastini, C. Ibid, **1984**, 23, 3532.
6. Chernick, C.L.; Skinner, H.A. J. Chem. Soc. **1956**, 1401.
7. Chernick, C.L.; Pedley, J.B.; Skinner, H.A. Ibid, **1957**, 1851.
8. (a) Zingaro, R.A.; Steeves, B.; Irgolic, K. J. Organomet. Chem. **1965**, 4, 320. (b) Zingaro, R. Ibid, **1963**, 1, 200.
9. The synthesis of $[Cp_3U]_2S$ has been mentioned, as resulting from the reaction of K_2S and Cp_3UBr or from thermal decomposition of Cp_3USH, but no details have ever been published. See Baumgartner, F.; Dornberger, E.; Kanellakopulos, B. unpublished results quoted by Kanellakopulos, B. in Marks, T.J.; Fischer, R.D. (eds.) "Organometallics of the f-Elements", Reidel (Holland), 1979, p.1.

10. (a) Nakamoto, K. 'Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds' 3rd. ed. 1978, Wiley, New York, p. 335. (b) Maslowsky, E. "Vibrational Spectra of Organometallic Compounds" 1977, Wiley, New York, p.423.

11. Raymond, K.N.; Eigenbrot, C.W. Acc. Chem. Res. **1980**, 13, 276.

12 (a) Mealli, C.; Midollini, S.; Sacconi, L. Inorg. Chem. **1978**, 17, 632. (b) Greenhough, T.J.; Kolthammer, B.W.S.; Legzdins, P.; Trotter, J. Ibid, **1979**, 18, 3543. (c) Drew, M.G.B.; Mitchell, P.C.H.; Pygall, C.F. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. **1979**, 1213. (d) Goh, L.Y.; Hambley, T.W.; Robertson, G.B. J.C.S. Chem. Comm. **1983**, 1458. (e) Goh, L.Y.; Wei, C.; Sinn, E. Ibid, **1985**, 462.

13. (a) Mealli, C.;Sacconi, L. Inorg. Chem. **1982**, 21, 2870. (b) Kostic, N.M.; Fenske, R.J. J. Organomet. Chem. **1982**, 233, 337. (c) Tatsumi, K.; Hoffman, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1981**, 103, 3328.

14. (a) Dorfman, J.R.; Girerd, J.-J.; Simhon, E.D.; Stack, T.D.P.; Holm, R.H. Inorg. Chem. **1984**, 23, 4407. (b) Kubas, G.J.; Wasserman, H.J.; Ryan, R.R. Organometallics **1985**, 4, 419.

15. (a) Bowman, K.; Dori, Z. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm. **1968**, 636. (b) Bombieri, G.; Croatto, U.; Forsellini, E.; Zarli, B.; Graziani, R. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. **1972**, 560. (c) Perry, D.L.; Templeton, D.H.; Zalkin, A. Inorg. Chem. **1978**, 17, 3699; **1979**, 18, 879. (d) Perry, D.L.; Zalkin, A.; Ruben, H.; Templeton, D.H. Ibid, **1982**, 21, 237. (e) Allbutt, M.; Dell, R.M. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **1968**, 30, 705. (f) Potel, M.; Brochu, R.; Padion, J.; Grandjean, D. Compt. Rend. **1972**, C275, 1419. (g) Noel, H.; Padion, J.; Prigent, J. Ibid, **1975**, C280, 123. (h) Noel, H.; Potel, ZM.; Padion, J. Acta Cryst. **1975**, 31B, 2634; **1980**, 36B, 2223.

16. (a) Brunelli, M.; Perego, G.; Lugli, G.; Mazzei, A. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1979, 861. (b) Cotton, F.A.; Marler, D.O.; Schwotzer, W. Inorg. Chem. Acta 1984, 95, 207. (c) Cotton, F.A.; Marler, D.O.; Schwotzer, W. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 4211. (d) Duttera, M.R.; Day, V.W.; Marks, T.J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 2709.

17. (a) Reynolds, J.G.; Zalkin, A.; Templeton, D.H.; Edelstein, N.M.; Templeton, L.K. Inorg. Chem. 1976, 15, 2498. (b) Reynolds, J.G.; Zalkin, A.; Templeton, D.H.; Edelstein, N.M. Ibid, 1977, 16, 599. (c) Reynolds, J.G.; Zalkin, A.; Templeton, D.H.; Edelstein, N.M. Ibid, 1977, 16, 1090. (d) Reynolds, J.G.;, Zalkin, A.; Templeton, D.H.; Edelstein, N.M. Ibid, 1977, 16, 1858.

18. Cramer, R.E.; Panchanatheswaran, K.; Gilje, J.W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 1853.

19. Pauling, L. "The Nature of the Chemical Bond" Cornell University Press, Ithica, New York, third ed. 1960, p. 246.

20. Evans, W.J.; Grate, J.W.; Bloom, I.; Hunter, W.E.; Atwood, J.E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 405.

21. (a) Wasserman, H.J.; Zozulin, A.J.; Moody, D.C.; Ryan, R.R.; Salazar, K.V. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 254, 305. (b) Brennan, J.G.; Zalkin, A. Acta Cryst. 1985, C41, 1038. (c) Zalkin, A.; Brennan, J.G. Acta Cryst. in press.

22. Bombieri, G.; Brown, D.; Graziani, R. J.C.S. Dalton Trans. 1975, 1873. (b) Bombieri, G.; DePaoli, G.; Forsellini, E.; Brown, D. J. Inorg. Nuc. Chem. 1979, 41, 1315. (c) Bombieri, G.; DePaoli, G.; Bagnall, K.W. Inorg. Nuc. Chem. Lett. 1978, 14, 359. (d) Bombieri, G.; Benetollo, F.; Bagnall, K.; Plews, M.; Brown, D. J.C.S. Dalton Trans. 1983, 343.

23. Bombieri, G.; Brown, D.; Mealli, C. Ibid, 1976, 2025.

24. (a) Panattoni, C. Graziani, R.; Bandolini, G.; Zarli, B.; Bombieri, G. Inorg. Chem. 1969, 8, 320. (b) Graziani, R.; Zarli, B.; Cassol, A.; Bombieri, G.; Forsellini, E.; Tondello, E. Ibid, 1970, 9, 2116. (c) Bombieri, G.; Forsellini, E.; Day, J.P.; Azeez, W. J.C.S. Dalton Trans. 1978, 677. (d) Taylor, J.C.; McLaren, A. Ibid, 1979, 460. (e) Bombieri, G.; Croatto, U.; Forsellini, E.; Zarli, B.; Graziani, R. Ibid, 1979, 560. (f) Alcock, N.W.; Roberts, M.M.; Brown, D. Ibid, 1982, 25. (g) Casselato, U.; Fregona, D.; Tamburini, S.; Vigato, P.A.; Graziani, R. Inorg. Chem. Acta 1985, 110, 41.

25. (a) Saveant, J.M.; Binh, S.K. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1978, 88, 27. (b) Gaughan, G. PhD Thesis, University of Oregon, 1983. (c) Matschiner, H.; Tzschach, A.; Steinert, A. Z. Anorg. Allgem. Chem. 1970, 373, 237.

26. Boncella, J.M.; Andersen, R.A. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 432.

27. Schmidpeter, A.; Brecht, H. Z. Naturforsch. 1969, 24b, 179.

28. Templeton, L. K.; Templeton, D. H., Abstract, American Crystallograhpic Association Proceedings, Storrs, Conn., 1973, Series 2, Vol.1, p.143.

29. Waser, J. Acta Crystallogr., 1963, 16, 1091.

30. Doyle, P. A.; Turner, P. S. Acta Crystallogr. 1968, A24, 390.

31. Cromer, D. T.; Liberman, D. J. Chem. Phys., 1970, 53, 1891.

Acknowledgement. This work is supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division of the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract Number DE-AC03-76SF00098. We thank Mr. G. Shalimoff and Mr. D. Berg for assistance with the magnetic susceptibility studies.

Supplementary Material Available. Listings of thermal parameters, observed and calculated structure factors, and additional distances (20 pages) for $[(MeC_5H_4)_3U]_2[u\text{-}S]$. Listing of thermal parameters, hydrogen isotropic thermal parameters, least squares planes, some additional distances and angles, and observed and calculated structure factors (41 pages) for $(MeC_5H_4)_3UOPPh_3$. Ordering information is given on any current masthead.,

TABLE I

Magnetic Susceptibility of $[(MeC_5H_4)_3U]_2[\mu\text{-}X]$

Compound	μ_{eff} [a,b,c]	T-range (K)	θ	χ_m	Reference
X = S	2.93	100-300	-84.5	2.15	This work
X = Se	2.85	110-300	-72.2	2.03	This work
X = Te	3.02	120-300	-11.8	2.29	This work
X = CS_2	3.01	120-300	-12.5	2.26	2
X = PhNCO	2.87	110-300	-89.5	2.06	1

(a) magnetic moment in Bohr magnetons, per molecule, as calculated from the slope of $[\chi_m(corr)]^{-1}$ vs. T(K) where $[\chi_m(corr)]^{-1} = [T-\theta][\chi_m]^{-1}$.

(b) The data were recorded at a magnetic field strength of 40 kgauss. No field dependence was observed when some data were recorded at 5 kgauss.

(c) per uranium.

Table II. Positional Paramters in $[(CH_3C_5H_4)_3U]_2S$

Atom	x	y	z
U(1)	0.34938(6)	-0.03890(17)	0.13625(5)
U(2)	0.18714(6)	0.04473(17)	0.30270(6)
S	0.2549(9)	-0.0064(12)	0.2084(5)
C(1)	0.4177(16)	0.227(4)	0.1874(14)
C(2)	0.4107(17)	0.113(4)	0.2333(5)
C(3)	0.4536(17)	-0.018(4)	0.2275(15)
C(4)	0.4853(19)	0.017(4)	0.1756(6)
C(5)	0.4638(18)	0.164(4)	0.1496(17)
C(7)	0.2487(17)	0.017(4)	0.0412(16)
C(8)	0.3013(17)	-0.034(5)	0.0099(17)
C(9)	0.3454(19)	0.093(4)	0.0209(17)
C(10)	0.3253(15)	0.221(4)	0.0558(14)
C(11)	0.2611(16)	0.170(4)	0.0683(17)
C(13)	0.4214(18)	-0.325(5)	0.1471(16)
C(14)	0.3671(18)	-0.343(5)	0.1824(17)
C(15)	0.3020(19)	-0.353(6)	0.1482(17)
C(16)	0.3172(17)	-0.341(4)	0.0866(16)
C(17)	0.3873(17)	-0.332(5)	0.0872(16)
C(19)	0.2485(19)	-0.001(5)	0.4269(18)
C(20)	0.2015(19)	-0.128(5)	0.4136(19)
C(21)	0.2418(20)	-0.206(5)	0.3741(19)
C(22)	0.3007(19)	-0.137(5)	0.3546(17)
C(23)	0.3047(19)	-0.003(5)	0.3945(18)
C(25)	0.0472(19)	0.045(5)	0.2737(16)
C(26)	0.0634(20)	-0.090(4)	0.3113(19)
C(27)	0.0967(19)	-0.212(4)	0.2831(17)
C(28)	0.0982(20)	-0.156(4)	0.2228(17)
C(29)	0.0680(18)	-0.003(4)	0.2184(16)
C(31)	0.2129(20)	0.334(5)	0.3612(19)
C(32)	0.2438(20)	0.343(5)	0.3073(19)
C(33)	0.1976(20)	0.356(6)	0.2540(17)
C(34)	0.1377(18)	0.356(5)	0.2828(17)
C(35)	0.1422(20)	0.348(5)	0.3475(18)

Table III. Distances(Å)

$[(CH_3C_5H_4)_3U]_2S$

U(1) - S(1)	2.59(1)	U(2) - S(2)	2.61(1)
U(1) - C(1)	2.75(4)	U(2) - C(19)	2.83(4)
U(1) - C(2)	2.61(4)	U(2) - C(20)	2.77(4)
U(1) - C(3)	2.67(4)	U(2) - C(21)	2.73(4)
U(1) - C(4)	2.75(4)	U(2) - C(22)	2.82(4)
U(1) - C(5)	2.81(4)	U(2) - C(23)	2.88(4)
U(1) - C(7)	2.71(4)	U(2) - C(25)	2.76(4)
U(1) - C(8)	2.77(4)	U(2) - C(26)	2.71(4)
U(1) - C(9)	2.71(4)	U(2) - C(27)	2.78(4)
U(1) - C(10)	2.77(3)	U(2) - C(28)	2.84(4)
U(1) - C(11)	2.75(4)	U(2) - C(29)	2.81(4)
U(1) - C(13)	2.76(4)	U(2) - C(31)	2.73(5)
U(1) - C(14)	2.72(4)	U(2) - C(32)	2.71(5)
U(1) - C(15)	2.79(5)	U(2) - C(33)	2.81(5)
U(1) - C(16)	2.77(4)	U(2) - C(34)	2.78(4)
U(1) - C(17)	2.79(4)	U(2) - C(35)	2.88(4)
U(1) - Cp(1)[a]	2.44	U(2) - Cp(4)	2.54
U(1) - Cp(2)	2.48	U(2) - Cp(5)	2.51
U(1) - Cp(3)	2.50	U(2) - Cp(6)	2.52
ave U - Cp	2.50(4)		
ave U - C(ring)	2.77(6)		

[a]Cp(1) through Cp(6) are cyclopentadienyl rings of atoms C(1)-C(5), C(7)-C(11), C(13)-C(17), C(19)-C(23), C(25)-C(29) and C(31)-C(35), respectively.

Table III cont. Angles(°)

$[(CH_3C_5H_4)_3U]_2S$

Cp(1) - U(1) - Cp(2)	116.5
Cp(1) - U(1) - Cp(3)	116.0
Cp(2) - U(1) - Cp(3)	115.1
Cp(4) - U(2) - Cp(5)	117.4
Cp(4) - U(2) - Cp(6)	114.2
Cp(5) - U(2) - Cp(6)	115.8
Cp(1) - U(1) - S	102.4
Cp(2) - U(1) - S	101.7
Cp(3) - U(1) - S	101.5
Cp(4) - U(2) - S	103.3
Cp(5) - U(2) - S	100.0
Cp(6) - U(2) - S	102.7
U(1) - S - U(2)	164.9(4)

Table IV. Crystallographic Experimental Details

	$[(CH_3C_5H_4)_3U]_2S$	$(CH_3C_5H_4)_3U\text{-}OPPh_3$
a(Å)	19.740(6)	16.268(3)
b(Å)	8.302(3)	17.948(3))
c(Å)	21.602(4)	10.900(2)
β(°)	97.28(3)	105.01(2)
cryst system,space group	monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	monoclinic, $P2_1/n$
vol($Å^3$)	3512	3074
calcd density(g/cm^3)	1.920	1.628
Z	4	4
temp(°C)	23	23
empirical formula	$C_{36}H_{42}SU_2$	$C_{36}H_{36}OPU$
mol wt	1014.9	753.69
color	red	brown
wave length (Å for $K\alpha_1$,$K\alpha_2$)	0.70930, 0.71359	
crystl size(mm)	0.12 x 0.16 x 0.31	0.13 x 0.16 x 0.26
mu(cm^{-1})	88.7	50.8
range absorption corr	1.62-2.06	1.71-2.17
crystal decay	3%	1%
limits 2θ(°)	4-45	4-45
scan width(°)	1.50 + 0.693 tanθ	1.80 + 0.693 tanθ
range hkl	-21,+21;0,8;-23,+23	-17,17; 0,19; -11,11
no. & freq of standards	3;250	3;250
no. reflections	9204	8075
no. unique reflections	4609	4048
R_{int}[b]	0.043	0.048
no. non-zero wtd data	2061($F^2>2\sigma$)	2427($F^2>2\sigma$)
p[c]	0.04	0.02
no. parameters	148	352
R[d] (non-zero wtd data)	0.053	0.028
R_w[e]	0.068	0.025
goodness of fit[f]	2.14	1.16
max shift/esd	0.08	0.03
max,min residuals($e/Å^3$)	3.4,-1.9	1.08,-1.06

[a]Unit cell parameters were derived by a least-squares fit to the setting angles of the unresolved MoKα components of 37 reflections ($20° < 2\theta < 33°$) for the $OPPh_3$ complex, and 23 reflections ($20° < 2\theta < 31°$) for the S complex.

[b]R_{int} is the agreement factor between equivalent or multiply measured reflections = $\sum[I(hkl)-I(hkl)_{ave}]/\sum I(hkl)_{ave}$.

[c]For the least-squares, the assigned weights on the data are $1.0/\sigma(F)^2$ were derived from $\sigma(F^2)=[S^2+pF^2]$, where S^2 is the variance due to counting statistics and p is assigned a value that adjusts the weights of the stronger reflections to be more in line with the rest of the data.

[d]$R = \sum(|Fobs|-|Fcal|)/\sum|Fobs|$

[e]$R_w=[\sum w(|Fobs|-|Fcal|)^2/\sum w|Fobs|^2]^{1/2}$

[f]Goodness of fit = $[\sum w(|Fobs|-|Fcal|)^2/(n_o-n_v)]^{1/2}$, where n_o is the number of observations and n_v is the number of variables.

Table V. Positional Paramters in $(CH_3C_5H_4)_3U\text{-}OP(C_6H_5)_3$

Atom	x	y	z
U	0.24958(2)	0.06736(2)	0.04502(3)
P	0.22138(14)	0.18911(14)	-0.25260(23)
O	0.2293(4)	0.1301(3)	-0.1539(6)
C(1)	0.1555(9)	0.0315(7)	0.3207(13)
C(2)	0.1463(8)	0.0880(6)	0.2115(13)
C(3)	0.0887(7)	0.0876(7)	0.0913(15)
C(4)	0.1024(8)	0.1533(9)	0.0273(11)
C(5)	0.1668(8)	0.1938(6)	0.1052(13)
C(6)	0.1928(7)	0.1544(8)	0.2181(11)
C(7)	0.2978(8)	-0.1323(6)	0.1892(11)
C(8)	0.2511(9)	-0.0920(5)	0.0627(11)
C(9)	0.1655(7)	-0.0712(7)	0.0248(10)
C(10)	0.1461(6)	-0.0427(5)	-0.0977(11)
C(11)	0.2205(8)	-0.0459(5)	-0.1412(10)
C(12)	0.2854(6)	-0.0759(6)	-0.0406(11)
C(13)	0.3904(8)	0.2392(7)	0.2266(12)
C(14)	0.3947(6)	0.1588(7)	0.1787(12)
C(15)	0.4119(6)	0.1380(8)	0.0640(13)
C(16)	0.4237(6)	0.0615(10)	0.0643(16)
C(17)	0.4139(8)	0.0314(8)	0.1786(18)
C(18)	0.3922(7)	0.0924(9)	0.2498(11)
C(19)	0.3139(5)	0.1898(5)	-0.3132(8)
C(20)	0.3430(6)	0.2521(5)	-0.3635(9)
C(21)	0.4147(6)	0.2481(6)	-0.4093(10)
C(22)	0.4563(6)	0.1827(7)	-0.4073(10)
C(23)	0.4291(7)	0.1196(6)	-0.3576(10)
C(24)	0.3570(6)	0.1232(6)	-0.3111(9)
C(25)	0.1312(5)	0.1712(5)	-0.3847(8)
C(26)	0.0738(6)	0.1163(6)	-0.3743(9)
C(27)	0.0035(7)	0.1028(6)	-0.4769(12)
C(28)	-0.0075(7)	0.1441(7)	-0.5887(11)
C(29)	0.0493(7)	0.1980(7)	-0.5984(10)
C(30)	0.1198(6)	0.2121(6)	-0.4946(10)
C(31)	0.2060(5)	0.2798(5)	-0.1926(8)
C(32)	0.1305(6)	0.3166(6)	-0.2221(9)
C(33)	0.1187(7)	0.3827(7)	-0.1655(11)

C(34)	0.1851(7)	0.4118(5)	-0.0736(10)
C(35)	0.2629(7)	0.3767(6)	-0.0419(10)
C(36)	0.2741(6)	0.3106(5)	-0.1015(9)

TABLE VI. Selected Distances and Angles in $(MeC_5H_4)_3U\text{-}OPPh_3$.

Atoms	d(Å)	Atoms	d(Å)
U - O	2.389(6)	U - C(15)	2.888(10)
U - C(2)	2.798(10)	U - C(16)	2.788(10)
U - C(3)	2.815(9)	U - C(17)	2.769(11)
U - C(4)	2.812(10)	U - C(18)	2.808(11)
U - C(5)	2.802(11)	U - Cp_1[a]	2.538(7)
U - C(6)	2.784(10)	U - Cp_2	2.564(6)
U - C(8)	2.867(8)	U - Cp_3	2.563(8)
U - C(9)	2.819(11)	P - O	1.492(6)
U - C(10)	2.793(9)	P - C(19)	1.794(9)
U - C(11)	2.824(9)	P - C(25)	1.799(8)
U - C(12)	2.847(10)	P - C(31)	1.796(9)
U - C(14)	2.935(9)	ave U - C	2.82±0.04

Atoms	angle(°)	Atoms	angle(°)
O - U - Cp_1	99.3	O - P - C(19)	110.3(4)
O - U - Cp_2	98.7	O - P - C(25)	110.5(4)
O - U - Cp_3	100.7	O - P - C(31)	112.0(4)
Cp_1 - U - Cp_2	117.4	C(19) - P - C(25)	107.2(4)
Cp_1 - U - Cp_3	117.6	C(19) - P - C(31)	109.7(4)
Cp_2 - U - Cp_3	116.9	C(25) - P - C(31)	107.0(4)
U - O - P	162.8(4)		

[a] Cp_1, Cp_2 and Cp_3 represents the centers of atom groups C(2)-C(6), C(8)-C(12) and C(14)-C(18) respectively.

Figure Captions

Figure I Plot of χ_m^{-1}(corr) vs. T(K) for $[(MeC_5H_4)_3U]_2[u\text{-}Te]$.

Figure II ORTEP Drawing of $[(CH_3C_5H_4)_3U]_2[u\text{-}S]$. Due to the severe thermal motion of the Cp rings the methyl groups were not found. The thermal ellipsoids are at the 50% probability level.

Figure III ORTEP Drawing of $(MeC_5H_4)_3U\text{-}OPPH_3$; The thermal ellipsoids are at the 50% probability level.


0.00
50.00
100.00
150.00
200.00
250.00
300.00
350.00
TEMP. (K)
0.00
50.00
100.00
150.00
200.00
250.00
300.00
1/CHI (MOL/EMU)


C8
C9
C17
C10
C16
C13
C5
C4
C7
C11
U1
C15
C14
C1
C3
C2
S
C33
C32
C22
C28
C29
U2
C21
C23
C34
C31
C25
C26
C35
C20
C19


C13
C14
C18
C15
C17
C16
C6
C5
C1
C2
U
C4
C3
C7
C8
C12
C9
C10
C11
C35
C34
C36
C33
C31
C32
O
P
C21
C20
C22
C19
C23
C24
C25
C30
C26
C29
C27
C28

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720