LBL-20731
Preprint c.2

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

FEB 18 1986

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

Materials & Molecular Research Division

Submitted to American Institute of Chemical Engineers Journal

MOLECULAR THERMODYNAMICS FOR FLUIDS AT LOW AND HIGH DENSITIES. PART 1. PURE FLUIDS CONTAINING SMALL OR LARGE MOLECULES

R.L. Cotterman, B.J. Schwarz, and J.M. Prausnitz

November 1985

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY
This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks

LBL-20731 c.2

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Molecular Thermodynamics for Fluids at Low and High Densities
Part 1. Pure Fluids Containing Small or Large Molecules

Ronald L. Cotterman, Barry J. Schwarz and John M. Prausnitz

Materials and Molecular Research Division
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
and
Chemical Engineering Department
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

Abstract

A correlation is presented for the thermodynamic properties of pure fluids containing small or large molecules. The residual Helmholtz energy is given in terms of perturbed-hard-chain(PHC) theory, extended to polar fluids with a multipolar expansion. The novel feature of this correlation is a separation of the Helmholtz energy into low-density and high-density contributions. The low-density contribution follows from a virial expansion and the high-density contribution from a perturbation expansion. For intermediate densities, a continuous function is used to interpolate between the two density limits. This modification of PHC theory improves agreement with experimental second virial coefficients, vapor pressures and saturated liquid densities. Since all molecular parameters used here have a well-defined physical significance, they can be reliably estimated for high-molecular-weight fluids where experimental data are scarce. More important, separation into low-density and high-density contributions allows separate mixing rules for each density region; this flexibility in mixing rules significantly improves representation of mixture properties, as discussed in Part 2.

Submitted to AIChE Journal

November 1985

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Number DE-AC03-76SF00098. Additional support was provided by the National Science Foundation, the Gas Reasearch Institute, the Fannie and John Hertz Foundation, the American Petroleum Institute, Texaco, Inc. and Chevron Research Company.

SCOPE

Molecular-thermodynamic correlations are widely used to represent thermodynamic properties of fluids for process design. While many correlations are available in the literature, few are applicable over a wide density range for fluids containing small molecules or large, structurally-complex molecules. However, a correlation must be applicable to a wide variety of fluids if it is to be useful for mixtures containing molecules that differ significantly in size or potential energy.

The first objective of this work is to correlate thermodynamic properties of pure fluids containing small or large molecules. Towards that end, a molecular-thermodynamic expression is here presented for the residual Helmholtz energy; this expression is derived from perturbed-hard-chain theory (Beret and Prausnitz, 1975a), extended to polar fluids with the multipolar expansions of Gubbins and Twu (1978).

The novel feature of this correlation is a separation of low-density and high-density contributions to the Helmholtz energy. A separate expression is written for each density limit; for intermediate densities, a continuous function is used to interpolate between these two limits. The expression for the residual Helmholtz energy combines a perturbation expansion valid at high densities, with a virial expansion valid at low densities, to represent simultaneously both density regions with high accuracy. Separation into two contributions is particularly advantageous for extension to mixtures, as discussed in Part 2.

The second objective of this work is to present a procedure for estimating molecular parameters for high-molecular-weight fluids where experimental data are scarce. Three characteristic molecular parameters are used for each pure component. Because these molecular parameters have a well-defined physical significance, they can be estimated with confidence for high-molecular-weight fluids within a homologous series.

CONCLUSIONS AND SIGNIFICANCE

A molecular-thermodynamic correlation is presented for representing thermodynamic properties of pure fluids containing small or large molecules. The novel feature of this correlation is a separation of high-density and low-density contributions to an expression for the residual Helmholtz energy. While this separation leads to accurate representation of pure-fluid properties, the primary advantage follows from extension to mixtures, as discussed in Part 2.

The applicability of the correlation is illustrated for fluids of interest in the petroleum and related industries. Thermodynamic properties are correlated for polar and nonpolar fluids ranging in molecular size from hydrogen to polyisobutylene. For each pure component, three characteristic molecular parameters are fit to experimental vapor-pressure and/or density data. Calculated and experimental results are in good agreement over wide ranges of temperature and pressure except in the critical region.

Molecular parameters are reported for a variety of pure fluids. For a homologous series, these parameters are simple functions of molecular weight. Parameters are correlated for four hydrocarbon series: normal paraffins, n-alkylbenzenes, n-alkylcyclohexanes and fused-ring aromatics. These correlations can be used to estimate molecular parameters for high-molecular-weight fluids where experimental data are scarce; they are also useful for continuous-thermodynamics calculations where parameters are expressed as continuous functions of molecular weight.

Introduction

Equations of state are commonly used to correlate thermodynamic properties for computer-aided design of chemical processes. For engineering purposes, most commonly-used equations of state are either semitheoretical or empirical; the equations contain adjustable parameters which are fit to experimental data. Empirical multiparameter equations, such as the Bennedict-Webb-Rubin equation(1940) and its

extensions (e.g. Starling and Han, 1972; Watanasiri et al.,1982), have been widely used for engineering calculations. Such equations are useful if sufficient data are available to determine the large number of parameters; however, extension to mixtures is often difficult because essentially arbitrary mixing rules must be applied to a relatively large number of equation-of-state constants.

Semitheoretical equations are based on simplified molecular models; the parameters of such equations usually have some physical significance. The most common class of semitheoretical equations are based on van der Waals theory (e.g. see Vera and Prausnitz, 1972; Sandler, 1984). The two main parameters are related to molecular size and to intermolecular potential energy; a third empirical parameter, e.g. the acentric factor, may be used to reflect the molecules' (small) deviation from spherical symmetry. Examples of this class are discussed in numerous references (e.g., Redlich and Kwong, 1949; Soave, 1972; Peng and Robinson, 1976). For a comprehensive review, see Martin (1979).

Extension of van der Waals theory to large molecules is based on the dense-fluid theories of Prigogine (1957) and Flory (1970) for chain molecules or on the theory of Boublík (1975) for elongated hard convex bodies. Examples of this class are the Perturbed-Hard-Chain(PHC) equation (Beret and Prausnitz, 1975a), the Chain-of-Rotators equation (Chien et al., 1983) and the hard-convex-body equations of Chen and Kreglewski (1977) and Chung et al.(1984). These equations use a third molecular parameter in addition to the two of the simple van der Waals theory; this third parameter is used to take into account the ellipticity or flexibility of high-molecular-weight molecules. Equations of this class are slightly more complex than the simple van der Waals equations but they may be used to represent simultaneously liquid densities and vapor pressures for fluids containing large molecules. Extension to mixtures has been reported by several authors, notably Donohue and Prausnitz (1978), Chien et al.(1983) and Kreglewski and Chen (1978).

The semitheoretical equations tend to give good results at high densities and advanced temperatures. Although these equations approach the correct ideal-gas limit, they are generally poor at moderate densities. This effect is seen in the poor agreement between experimental and calculated second virial coefficients. For example, Kaul and Donohue (1980) found it necessary to add to the PHC equation an empirical correction to give a good second virial coefficient. Similar modifications for simpler equations of state were proposed by El-Twaty (1980) and Brandani (1981).

In this work, both high-density and low-density regions are represented with a single equation of state; however, instead of adding a correction term for the low-density region, we separate the high-density and low-density regions as suggested by Dimitrelis and Prausnitz(1982). This separation improves results for pure fluids but, more important, it allows flexibility to select mixing rules for mixtures, as discussed in Part 2.

An Expression for the Residual Helmholtz Energy

We seek an expression for the molar residual Helmholtz energy $\mathbf{a}^r$; derivatives of that quantity give the equation of state, enthalpy and chemical potential. The residual Helmholtz energy represents the effect of intermolecular forces; it is the difference between the Helmholtz energy for a real fluid and that for an ideal gas at the same temperature and volume

$$\mathbf{a}^r(T,V) = \mathbf{a}(T,V) - \mathbf{a}^{IG}(T,V) \tag{1}$$

where superscript *IG* refers to ideal gas.

Following van der Waals, we separate $\mathbf{a}^r$ into reference and perturbation terms:

$$\mathbf{a}^r = \mathbf{a}^{ref} + \mathbf{a}^{pert} \tag{2}$$

The reference term includes repulsive intermolecular forces; the perturbation term includes attractive intermolecular forces.

The principal novelty of our work is separation of $\mathbf{a}^{pert}$ into high-density and low-density contributions

$$\mathbf{a}^{pert} = \mathbf{a}^{sv}(1-F) + \mathbf{a}^{df}F \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbf{a}^{sv}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{df}$ are the attractive contributions to the Helmholtz energy at the second-virial and the dense-fluid limits, respectively. To provide a smooth interpolation between the two density limits, we use a generalized interpolation function F which depends on density and temperature.

Perturbed-Soft-Chain Theory

As suggested by PHC theory, there are three characteristic molecular parameters for each pure fluid: $r\sigma^3$, $\varepsilon q/k$ and c; these parameters characterize soft-core volume, potential energy and the number of external degrees of freedom of the molecule, respectively.

Each molecule is considered to be made up of equisized segments; a molecule has r segments and an external surface area q. The soft-core volume, per mole of molecules, is

$$v^* = r\sigma^3 N_{av}/\sqrt{2} \tag{4}$$

where N_{av} is Avogadro's number and σ is the segment diameter.

The potential energy per molecule is given by $\varepsilon q/k$ where ε is potential energy per unit surface area and k is Boltzmann's constant. A characteristic temperature is defined by

$$T^* \equiv \varepsilon q/ck \tag{5}$$

Parameter $(c-1)$ accounts for the density dependence of external molecular vibrations and rotations (Prigogine, 1957); for a chain molecule, it is a measure of the flexibility. For simple molecules such as methane, $(c-1)$ is zero.

Reduction of pure-fluid data yields parameters c and the products $\varepsilon q / k$ and $r\sigma^3$. For correlation of mixture data, it is necessary to factor these products, as discussed in Part 2.

The residual Helmholtz energy $\mathbf{a}^r$ in Eq. 2 is defined per mole of molecules. Expressions for reference and perturbation contributions to $\mathbf{a}^r$ are derived for spherical molecules; those expressions are generalized to nonspherical molecules using parameter c and number of segments r. For simple molecules ($c=1$), the residual Helmholtz energy per mole of molecules may be written

$$\mathbf{a}^r = r\ \mathbf{a}^r_{seg} \tag{6}$$

where $\mathbf{a}^r_{seg}$ is the residual Helmholtz energy per mole of *segments*. By introducing parameter c per segment (c/r), Eq. 6 becomes:

$$\mathbf{a}^r = r\ (c/r)\ \mathbf{a}^r_{seg} = c\ \mathbf{a}^r_{seg} \tag{6a}$$

Equation 6a indicates that expressions for the residual Helmholtz energy derived for simple molecules (or molecular segments) can be generalized to chain molecules by multiplying by parameter c. Further, parameter c may be defined either per molecule or per molecular segment; the resulting expressions are numerically equivalent.

Reference Term

For the reference term $\mathbf{a}^{ref}$, we use a generalized form of the hard-sphere Carnahan-Starling(1972) equation

$$\frac{\mathbf{a}^{ref}}{RT} = c\,\frac{(3\tau/\tilde{v}-4)(\tau/\tilde{v})}{(1-\tau/\tilde{v})^2} \tag{7}$$

with

$$\tau = \pi\sqrt{2}/6 = 0.7405$$

The reduced volume $\tilde{v}$ may be defined per molecule or per segment; the two choices are equivalent. To illustrate, the reduced volume per segment is given by

$$\tilde{v}_{seg} = \frac{V}{n_{seg}\sigma^3/\sqrt{2}} \tag{8}$$

where V is total volume and n_{seg} is the number of segments. Since n_{seg} is equal to the product of number of segments per molecule (r) and number of molecules n, Eq. 8 becomes

$$\tilde{v}_{seg} = \frac{V}{nr\sigma^3/\sqrt{2}} = \tilde{v} \tag{8a}$$

where $\tilde{v}$ is the reduced volume defined per molecule (or mole of molecules).

To define the reduced volume, we allow for softness of the core volume; following Barker and Henderson (1967), we use a temperature-dependent core diameter d. This temperature dependence follows from the Lennard-Jones intermolecular potential. We define the hard-core (temperature-dependent) diameter d by relating it to the Lennard-Jones soft-core (temperature-independent) diameter σ:

$$\frac{d}{\sigma} = \int_0^1 (1 - exp[-u(z)/kT])dz \tag{9}$$

where $u(z)$ is the Lennard-Jones potential and z is the center-to-center distance between interacting (nonbonded) segments divided by σ. For spherical Lennard-Jones molecules ($c=1$), the integral in Eq. 9 depends on reduced temperature $kT/\varepsilon q$; for nonspherical molecules ($c>1$), the reduced temperature is generalized to $\tilde{T}=ckT/\varepsilon q$.

Figure 1 shows the ratio d/σ as a function of reduced temperature ($\tilde{T}=T/T^*$). The points in Figure 1 are calculated from Eq. 9 for several reduced temperatures; these points are fit as a function of reduced temperature $\tilde{T}$:

$$\frac{d}{\sigma} = \frac{1 + 0.29770\tilde{T}}{1 + 0.33163\tilde{T} + 0.0010477\tilde{T}^2} \tag{10}$$

for $0 < \tilde{T} < 15$. Equation 10 accurately represents d/σ values calculated from Eq. 9 and matches the theoretical limits $d/\sigma \to 1$ at $\tilde{T}=0$ and $d/\sigma \to 0$ at $\tilde{T}=\infty$.

A temperature-dependent hard-core volume $v^{\dagger}$ is defined by

$$v^{\dagger} = v^{*}(d/\sigma)^{3} \tag{11}$$

The reduced volume in Eq. 8 is given by $\tilde{v} = v/v^{\dagger}$.

For large temperature changes, the temperature dependence of the hard-core volume can be significant. For example, for a change in reduced temperature from $\tilde{T}=0.5$ to $\tilde{T}=5.0$, the hard-core volume $v^{\dagger}$ decreases almost 17 %.

Dense-Fluid Perturbation Term

The dense-fluid perturbation term is given by the sum of dispersion and polar contributions:

$$\mathbf{a}^{df} = \mathbf{a}^{df}(dispersion) + \mathbf{a}^{df}(polar) \tag{12}$$

Following Barker and Henderson(1967), we express the dispersion contribution as a perturbation expansion in reciprocal temperature for a Lennard-Jones intermolecular potential; we then generalize that result for nonspherical molecules using parameter c. We truncate the perturbation series following the second-order term:

$$\mathbf{a}^{df}(dispersion) = \frac{\mathbf{a}^{(1)}}{\tilde{T}} + \frac{\mathbf{a}^{(2)}}{\tilde{T}^{2}} \tag{13}$$

Functions $\mathbf{a}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{(2)}$ are determined from Monte-Carlo computer simulations for Lennard-Jones molecules (Henderson and Barker, 1971). For spherical molecules ($c=1$), these functions depend only on reduced volume; for nonspherical molecules ($c>1$), $\mathbf{a}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{(2)}$ depend also on parameter c, as shown in Appendix I.

Figure 2 shows Monte-Carlo results for a Lennard-Jones fluid as a function of reduced hard-core density. The first-order perturbation term $\mathbf{a}^{(1)}$ is nearly linear in density; this linearity is consistent with the density dependence of the perturbation term in the original van der Waals model. The magnitude of the second-order term is much smaller than that of the first-order term; the perturbation series converges rapidly. The simulation results in Figure 2 are fitted with polynomials in reduced

hard-core density. Appendix I gives coefficients for the polynomials.

Similar to the work of Vimalchand et al.(1985a,b), we have extended the PHC theory to fluids containing dipole and/or quadrupole moments using the multipolar expansions of Gubbins and Twu (1978). Polar contributions arise from dipolar, quadrupolar and dipolar-quadrupolar interactions. Reduced dipole and quadrupole moments are defined by

$$\tilde{\mu} = \frac{\mu}{(\varepsilon q)^{1/2}(\tau\sigma^3)^{1/2}} \tag{14}$$

$$\tilde{Q} = \frac{Q}{(\varepsilon q)^{1/2}(\tau\sigma^3)^{5/8}} \tag{15}$$

where μ and Q are dipole and quadrupole moments, respectively. The expressions given by Gubbins and Twu are derived for linear molecules. For nonlinear molecules, effective values of dipole and quadrupole moments are used in Eqs. 14 and 15.

Following Gubbins and Twu (1978), we express the polar contribution $\mathbf{a}^{df}(polar)$ as a multipole expansion

$$\mathbf{a}^{df}(polar) = \mathbf{a}^{\mu\mu} + \mathbf{a}^{QQ} + \mathbf{a}^{\mu Q} \tag{16}$$

where $\mathbf{a}^{\mu\mu}$,$\mathbf{a}^{QQ}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\mu Q}$ are contributions due to dipolar, quadrupolar and dipolar-quadrupolar forces. Equation 16 neglects induction effects. Appendix II gives details of the expressions for each term in Eq. 16.

Second-Virial Perturbation Term

At low densities, we use a virial expansion to derive an expression for $\mathbf{a}^{sv}$ in Eq. 3. We truncate the virial expansion after the second virial coefficient. The resulting expression for the low-density limit becomes

$$\mathbf{a}^{sv} = \frac{RT}{v}(B^{disp} + B^{\mu\mu} + B^{QQ}) \tag{17}$$

where B^{disp} is the contribution to the second virial coefficient from dispersion forces. B^{QQ} is the contribution from quadrupolar forces and $B^{\mu\mu}$ is the contribution from

dipolar forces. Only leading polar contributions are included in Eq. 17; induction forces and dipolar-quadrupolar forces are neglected.

The expression for the dispersion term is correlated as a function of $v^{\dagger}$, c and $\tilde{T}$ using experimental second virial coefficients for the normal alkane series. The polar contributions are fit to experimental second virial coefficients for quadrupolar and dipolar fluids. Appendices I and II give details for these contributions.

The top part of Figure 3 shows calculated and observed second virial coefficients for normal alkanes. Parameter c for each fluid is indicated in parentheses. Since the virial coefficients are reported in reduced units, the top diagram illustrates the influence of increasing values of c.

The bottom part of Figure 3 shows results for several polar fluids. These fluids have similar c values; differences in reduced second virial coefficients are due to different strengths of multipolar moments.

Interpolation Function

Function F in Eq. 3 interpolates between the two density limits. The interpolation function is not unique but must meet several boundary conditions: it must be zero at zero density, it must approach unity at high densities and it must approach zero at very high temperatures. Further, it must not contribute to the second virial coefficient. We choose an exponential function; the argument of the exponential is selected to meet the necessary boundary conditions:

$$F = 1 - \exp\left[-\left(\frac{6}{\tilde{T}}\right)\frac{(\varepsilon q / k)^{total}}{T\tilde{v}}\right] \tag{18}$$

where $(\varepsilon q / k)^{total}$ is the sum of the dispersion and polar contributions to the potential energy:

$$(\varepsilon q / k)^{total} = cT^{*}(1 + \tilde{\mu}^2 + \tilde{Q}^2 + 2\tilde{\mu}\tilde{Q}) \tag{19}$$

The coefficient $(6/\tilde{T})$ is determined empirically from experimental data for a large

number of fluids.

Figure 4 shows the interpolation function at two reduced temperatures corresponding to the reduced critical temperatures of methane and hexadecane. At the critical density of methane, there are nearly equal contributions to the residual Helmholtz energy from the low-density and the high-density expressions. At the critical density, the relative contribution from the high-density limit rises as molecular size increases.

Data Reduction

The method of data reduction is extremely important for evaluating the ability of a molecular model to represent experimental data. Regression methods should give not only reliable estimates of molecular parameters but also uncertainties in those parameters. If molecular parameters are fit to only one thermodynamic property (such as vapor pressure or density), they tend to be correlated to each other. The uniqueness of parameters is much improved if more than one property is used for the regression as suggested, for example, by Cox et al.(1971).

We fit parameters simultaneously to vapor-pressure and density data. For most fluids, we use liquid densities at saturation or atmospheric pressure; however, for low-molecular-weight compounds (H_2) and polymers, we use fluid densities in the single-phase region at high pressures.

A data-reduction method should take into account experimental uncertainties. We use a maximum-likelihood procedure described by Anderson et al.(1979) to account for errors in both dependent and independent variables. Anderson and Prausnitz(1980) discuss maximum-likelihood techniques for obtaining equation-of-state parameters.

We assume standard error estimates for each type of data. Typical expected errors are: temperatures ± 0.05 K and pressures ± 1.0% for vapor-pressure data; temperatures ± 0.05 K, densities ± 2.0%, and pressures ± 2.0% for density data. These

estimates suffice to account for typical experimental uncertainties. Since uncertainties for vapor-pressure data are lower than those for density data, vapor-pressure data are, in effect, given preferential weight in data reduction.

We use experimental second virial coefficients from Dymond and Smith(1980) to determine universal constants for each contribution to the virial coefficient in Eq. 17; expressions for these contributions are given in Eq. I-3 and Eqs. II-10 and II-12.

Gubbins and Gray (1984) give experimental dipole and quadrupole moments for a variety of fluids. While dipole moments can be measured accurately, experimental quadrupole moments have large uncertainties; therefore, for molecules with both dipole and quadrupole moments, we adjust the quadrupole moment slightly to give good agreement with vapor-pressure and density data.

Comparison of Calculated and Experimental Thermodynamic Properties

To illustrate applicability, Figure 5 shows the calculated and experimental pressure-density phase diagram for pentane. Agreement between calculated and experimental PVT and saturation properties is good, except in the critical region.

The dotted line in Figure 5 indicates behavior of the equation of state in the two-phase region. The equation gives a simple van der Waals loop inside the two-phase region, similar to that of cubic equations such as the Redlich Kwong equation or the Peng Robinson equation.

Figure 6 shows a pressure-density phase diagram for carbon dioxide. Due to the large quadrupole moment of carbon dioxide, the quadrupolar perturbation terms contribute significantly to the equation of state. The equation of state behaves smoothly throughout the phase diagram, producing a van-der-Waals loop (not shown) in the two-phase region. As with pentane, our equation of state overpredicts the critical pressure. This overprediction is a common feature of essentially all semi-theoretical equations of state.

Phase diagrams may also be calculated for strongly polar fluids such as water or ammonia. The qualitative behavior of the equation of state is similar to that for nonpolar fluids; however, agreement between calculated and experimental properties, while good, is somewhat less favorable. Tables 1 and 2 show typical comparisons between calculated and observed fluid densities and saturation properties for several fluids, including water.

Figure 7 shows calculated and experimental densities for hydrogen at three temperatures. To avoid quantum effects, only density data above 100K are used to determine hydrogen parameters. Above 100 K, hydrogen is supercritical; the parameters given here should not be used to calculate saturation properties for hydrogen.

Table 2 shows typical agreement between calculated and experimental saturation properties for several polar and nonpolar fluids. For each fluid, the range of reduced temperatures is indicated; deviations between calculated and observed results are reported as percent average absolute deviations.

Molecular Parameters for Pure Fluids

Molecular parameters have been fit for several polar and nonpolar fluids. Table 3 gives some typical parameters. With the exception of hydrogen, these parameters were fit simultaneously to vapor-pressure and density data. A more extensive list of parameters with data references is deposited as supplementary material.

Table 4 lists dipole and quadrupole moments for several polar fluids. The quadrupole moments for quadrupolar fluids are experimental values with the exception of carbon dioxide. The experimental quadrupole moment for carbon dioxide is 4.3 B (1 Buckingham=10^{-26} $esu-cm^2$); a slightly smaller value of 3.9 B improves agreement with experimental vapor-pressure and density data. Quadrupole moments for dipolar fluids are selected to agree with vapor-pressure and density data. However, all dipole moments are independently-reported experimental values.

Representation of Volumetric Data for Molten Polymers

Our modified PHC theory is useful for representing volumetric data for high-molecular-weight fluids such as molten polymers. Figure 8 shows calculated and experimental densities for two polymers: polyethylene (MW_n=25000) and polyisobutylene (MW_n=36000). As discussed, for example, by Liu and Prausnitz (1979), it is inconvenient to use molar quantities for polymeric fluids; therefore, we replace the molar quantities v^* and c with specific soft-core volume v_{sp}^* and characteristic parameter P^*, defined by

$$P^* = cRT^* / v^*$$

While both v^* and c are molar quantities, the ratio P^* is independent of molecular weight.

Table 5 gives pure-component molecular parameters for the two molten polymers shown in Figure 8. These parameters were fit to the polymer compressibility data of Beret (1975b).

Correlation of Molecular Parameters

As discussed by Wilhelm (1985), pure-fluid PHC parameters can be correlated as simple functions of molecular weight for various hydrocarbon classes. Such correlations are useful to estimate parameters for those fluids where experimental data are not available. These correlations are also useful for continuous-thermodynamics calculations (for example, see Cotterman and Prausnitz, 1985) where parameters are expressed as continuous functions of some characterizing property such as molecular weight.

We have correlated equation-of-state parameters for four hydrocarbon classes: normal paraffins, n-alkylbenzenes, n-alkylcyclohexanes and fused-ring aromatics. Appendix III gives correlation functions and constants. Figures 9 and 10 show correlation results as a function of molecular weight for normal alkanes and fused-ring aromatics, respectively. As these figures show, and as suggested by their physical

significance, the potential-energy parameter $\varepsilon q / k$ $(=cT^*)$ and soft-core volume v^* are linear functions of molecular weight. Since (for a given chemical series) parameters c and q are expected to be linear functions of molecular weight for intermediate and high molecular weights, we expect characteristic temperature T^* to approach a constant as molecular weight becomes large. Therefore, these parameter correlations may be extrapolated with confidence to higher molecular weights where experimental data are scarce.

To illustrate such extrapolation, we use the correlation shown in Figure 9 to determine molecular parameters for polyethylene. Extrapolating our parameter correlations to molecular weight 25000, we find an average deviation of 1.6% between calculated densities and experimental densities shown in Figure 8. This deviation is necessarily but not much higher than that using the fitted parameters in Table 5. This example suggests that the generalized correlations can give reasonable estimates of molecular parameters for large molecules.

While the results of this work may be useful for calculating thermodynamic properties of pure fluids, the most important application is for calculation of phase equilibria in mixtures, as described in Part 2.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division of the U.S. Department of Energy. Additional support was provided by the National Science Foundation, the Gas Research Institute, the Fannie and John Hertz Foundation, the American Petroleum Institute, Texaco, Inc. and Chevron Research Company.

The authors are grateful to Marc Donohue and to Dimitrios Dimitrelis for helpful discussions.

Notation

Symbols

a	molar Helmholtz energy
$a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}$	coefficients for correlation in Eq. III-1
$b^{(1)}, b^{(2)}$	coefficients for correlation in Eq. III-2
B	second virial coefficient (attractive contribution)
$c^{(1)}, c^{(2)}, c^{(3)}$	coefficients for correlation in Eq. III-3
c	shape and flexibility parameter
d	hard-core (temperature-dependent) diameter
F	interpolation function
J	integral of two-body radial distribution function
K	integral of three-body radial distribution function
k	Boltzmann's constant
n	number of moles (or molecules)
n_{seg}	number of molecular segments
P	absolute pressure
P^*	characteristic pressure, $P^* = cRT^* / v^*$
q	external molecular surface area
Q	molecular quadrupole moment
$\tilde{Q}$	reduced quadrupole moment
r	number of segments per molecule
R	gas constant
T	absolute temperature
$\tilde{T}$	reduced temperature, $\tilde{T} = T / T^*$
T^*	characteristic temperature, $T^* = \varepsilon q / ck$
v	molar volume
$\tilde{v}$	reduced volume, $\tilde{v} = v / v^\dagger$
$\tilde{v}_{seg}$	reduced volume per segment
$\bar{v}$	reduced volume, $\bar{v} = v / v^*$
v^*	soft-core molar-volume parameter
v_{sp}^*	soft-core specific-volume parameter, $v_{sp}^* = v^* / MW$
$v^\dagger$	hard-core molar-volume parameter
V	total volume

Superscripts

df	dense-fluid contribution
disp	dispersion contribution
IG	ideal-gas property
pert	perturbation term
QQ	quadrupolar contribution
ref	reference term
sv	second-virial contribution
$\mu\mu$	dipolar contribution
μQ	dipolar-quadrupolar contribution

Greek Symbols

μ	molecular dipole moment
$\tilde{\mu}$	reduced dipole moment
ε	potential energy per unit surface area
σ	soft-core diameter (independent of temperature)
τ	hard-sphere packing factor (0.7405)
Ω	correction to second virial coefficients due to parameter c

Literature Cited

Anderson, T.F, D.S. Abrams, and E.A. Grens II, "Evaluation of Parameters for Nonlinear Thermodynamic Models," *AIChE J.*, **24**, 20 (1978).

Anderson, T.F., and J.M. Prausnitz, "Computational Methods for High-Pressure Phase Equilibria and Other Fluid-Phase Properties Using a Partition Function. 1. Pure Fluids," *Ind. Eng. Chem. Proc. Des. Dev.*, **19**, 1 (1980).

Barker, J.A., and D. Henderson, "Perturbation Theory and Equation of State for Fluids. II. A Successful Theory of Liquids," *J. Chem. Phys.*, **47**, 4714 (1967).

Bennedict, M., G.B. Webb, and L.C. Rubin, "An Empirical Equation for Thermodynamic Properties of Light Hydrocarbons and Their Mixtures. I. Methane, Ethane, Propane, and n-Butane," *J. Chem. Phys.*, **8**, 334 (1940).

Beret, S., and J.M. Prausnitz, "Perturbed Hard-Chain Theory: An Equation of State for Fluids Containing Small of Large Molecules," *AIChE J.*, **21**, 1123 (1975a).

Beret, S., and J.M. Prausnitz, "Densities of Liquid Polymers at High Pressure. Pressure-Volume-Temperature Measurements for Polyethylene, Polyisobutylene, Poly(vinyl acetate), and Poly(dimethylsiloxane) to 1 kbar," *Macromolecules*, **8**, 536 (1975b).

Boublík, T., "Hard Convex Body Equation of State," *J. Chem. Phys.*, **63**, 4084 (1975).

Brandani, V., and J.M. Prausnitz, "Empirical Corrections to the van der Waals Partition Function for Dense Fluids," *J. Phys. Chem.*, **85**, 3207 (1981).

Buckingham, A.D., and J.A. Pople, "The Statistical Mechanics of Imperfect Polar Gases," *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, **51**, 1173 (1955).

Carnahan, N.F., and K.E. Starling, "Intermolecular Repulsions and the Equation of State for Fluids," *AIChE J.*, **18**, 1184 (1972).

Chen, S.S., and A. Kreglewski, "Applications of the Augmented van der Waals Theory of Fluids. I. Pure Fluids," *Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem.*, **81**, 1049 (1977).

Chien, C.H., R.A. Greenkorn, and K.C. Chao, "Chain-of-Rotators Equation of State," *AIChE J.*, **29**, 560 (1983).

Chung, T.H., M.M. Khan, L.L. Lee, and K.E. Starling, "A New Equation of State for Polar and Nonpolar Pure Fluids," *Fluid Phase Equil.*, **17**, 351 (1984).

Cox, K.W., J.L. Bono, Y.C. Kwok, and K.E. Starling, "Multiproperty Analysis. Modified BWR Equation for Methane from PVT and Enthalpy Data," *Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam.*, **10**, 245 (1971).

Das, T.R., C.O. Reed, Jr., and P.T. Eubank, "PVT Surface and Thermodynamic Properties of n-Pentane," *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, **22**, 3 (1977).

Dimitrelis, D., and J.M. Prausnitz, "Thermodynamic Properties of Strongly Nonideal Fluid Mixtures From an Extended Quasi-Chemical theory," AIChE Annual Meeting, Los Angeles, November 1982.

Donohue, M.D., and J.M. Prausnitz, "Perturbed-Hard-Chain Theory for Fluid Mixtures: Thermodynamic Properties for Mixtures in Natural Gas and Petroleum Technology," *AIChE J.*, **24**, 849 (1978).

El-Twaty, A.I., and J.M. Prausnitz, "Generalized van der Waals Partition Function for Fluids. Modification to Yield Better Second Virial Coefficients," *Fluid Phase Equil.*, **5**, 191 (1980).

Flory, P.J., "Thermodynamics of Polymer Solutions," *Disc. Faraday Soc.*, **49**, *7 (1970).*

Dymond, J.H., and E.B. Smith, *The Virial Coefficients of Pure Gases and Mixtures: A Critical Compilation*, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1980).

Gray, C.G., and K.E. Gubbins, *Theory of Molecular Fluids, Volume 1: Fundamentals*, Claredon Press, Oxford (1984).

Gubbins, K.E., and C.H. Twu, "Thermodynamics of Polyatomic Fluid Mixtures--I Theory," *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, **33** 863 (1978).

Henderson, D., and J.A. Barker, "Perturbation Theories" in *Physical chemistry, an advanced treatise*, Vol. 8A, ed. by H. Eyring, D. Henderson, and W. Jost. Academic Press, New York (1971).

IUPAC, *International Tables of the Fluid State. 3: Carbon Dioxide*, Pergamon Press, Oxford (1976).

Kaul, B.K, M.D. Donohue, and J.M. Prausnitz, "Henry's Constants and Second Virial Coefficients from Perturbed-Hard-Chain Theory," *Fluid Phase Equil.*, **4**, 171 (1980).

Kreglewski, A., and S.S. Chen, "Applications of the Augmented van der Waals Theory of Fluids. II. Phase Equilibria in Mixtures," *J. Chem. Phys.*, **75**, 347 (1978).

Liu, D.D., and J.M. Prausnitz, "Thermodynamics of gas Solubilities in Molten Polymers," *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, **24**, 725 (1979).

Martin, J.J., "Cubic Equations of State-Which?" *Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam.*, **18**, 81 (1979).

McCarty, R.D., *Hydrogen: Its Technology and Implications*, Vol. III., CRC Press, Cleveland (1975).

Peng, D.Y., and D.B. Robinson, "A New Two-Constant Equation of State," *Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam.*, **15**, 59 (1976).

Prigogine, I., *The Molecular Theory of Solutions*, Interscience Publishers, New York (1957).

Redlich, O., and J.N.S. Kwong, "On the Thermodynamics of Solutions V," *Chem. Rev.*, **44**, 233 (1949).

Sage, B.H., and W.N. Lacey, "Phase Equilibria in Hydrocarbon Systems. Thermodynamic Properties of n-Pentane," *Ind. Eng. Chem.*, **34**, 730 (1942).

Sandler, S.I., "The Generalized van der Waals Partition Function. I. Basic Theory," *Fluid Phase Equil.*, **19**, 233 (1985).

Soave, G., "Equilibrium Constant from a Modified Redlich-Kwong Equation of State," *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, **37**, 1197 (1972).

Starling, K.E., and M.S. Han, "Thermo Data Refined for LPG. Part 14: Mixtures," *Hydrocarbon Proc.*, **51** (5), 129 (1972).

Stell, G., J.C. Rasaiah, and H. Narang, "Thermodynamic Perturbation Theory for Simple Polar Fluids. II," *Molecular Physics* , **27**, 1393 (1974).

Stockmayer, J., "Second Virial Coefficients of Polar Gases," *J. Chem. Phys.*, **9**, 398 (1941).

Vera, J.H., and J.M. Prausnitz, "Generalized van der Waals Theory for Dense Fluids," *Chem. Eng. J.*, **3**, 1 (1972).

Vimalchand, P., and M.D. Donohue, "Thermodynamics of Quadrupolar Molecules: The Perturbed-Anisotropic-Chain Theory," *Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam.* **24**, 246 (1985).

Vimalchand, P, Donohue, M.D., and I.C. Celmins, "Thermodynamics of Multipolar Molecules: The Perturbed-Anisotropic-Chain Theory," Paper presented at the ACS Meeting, Miami Beach, 1985.

Watanasiri, S., Brulé, M.R., and K.E. Starling, "Correlation of Phase-Separation Data for Coal-Conversion Systems," *AIChE J.*, **28**, 627 (1982).

Wilhelm, A., and J.M. Prausnitz, "Vapor Pressures and Saturated-Liquid Volumes for Heavy Fossil Fuel Fractions from a Perturbed Hard Chain Equation of State," *Fuel*, **64**, 501 (1985).

Table 1. Experimental and calculated fluid densities for several nonpolar and polar fluids.

Fluid	Temperature Range(K)	Pressure Range(bar)	Density % AAD
Methane	200-600	1-500	0.19
Propane	390-700	1-700	0.70
Pentane	344-510	1-100	1.19
Hydrogen	100-700	1-500	0.04
Carbon Dioxide	220-720	1-200	0.14
Water	273-773	1-250	1.54

Table 2. Calculated and experimental saturation properties for several nonpolar and polar fluids.

Fluid	Temperature Range, T/T_c	% Average Absolute Deviation	
		Vapor Pressure	Liquid Density
Methane	0.48-0.95	0.62	0.81
Pentane	0.31-0.95	0.61	1.57
Decane	0.45-0.85	0.89	1.42
Eicosane	0.40-0.85	1.18	0.18
Isobutane	0.46-0.95	0.22	0.96
Cyclohexane	0.50-0.95	0.28	0.10
Benzene	0.50-0.95	0.20	0.24
Anthracene	0.56-0.78	0.23	0.21
Tetralin	0.40-0.94	1.25	1.85
Nitrogen	0.50-0.95	0.49	0.54
Carbon Dioxide	0.72-0.95	0.02	0.55
Water	0.42-0.95	1.32	1.74
Ammonia	0.49-0.95	0.14	3.00

Table 3. Molecular parameters for nonpolar and polar fluids.

Fluid	T^* (K)	v^* (cm^3/mol)	c
Normal Alkanes			
Methane	147.1	21.94	1.000
Propane	253.5	40.46	1.447
Decane	357.3	110.9	2.689
Tetratetracontane	434.9	463.2	7.773
Alkylnaphthalenes			
Cyclohexane	358.5	61.71	1.705
Decylcyclohexane	418.8	165.9	3.223
Alkylbenzenes			
Benzene	368.1	50.61	1.678
Decylbenzene	423.9	154.9	3.181
Fused-Ring Aromatics			
Tetralin	443.9	81.19	2.090
Triphenylene	626.2	128.2	2.325
Quadrupolar Fluids			
Carbon Dioxide	200.8	21.09	1.220
Nitrogen	95.84	20.06	1.000
Dipolar Fluids			
Water	401.1	12.27	1.052
Ammonia	274.8	15.99	1.051
Sulfur Dioxide	287.9	27.20	1.223
Hydrogen Sulfide	277.0	21.75	1.097
Light Gases			
Hydrogen	30.43	11.02	1.000

Table 4. Dipole and quadrupole moments for several fluids.

Fluid	Q (B)	$\tilde{Q}$	μ (D)	$\tilde{\mu}$
Quadrupolar Fluids				
Carbon Dioxide	3.90†	0.821	-	-
Nitrogen	1.47	0.516	-	-
Chlorine	3.23	0.470	-	-
Carbon Disulfide	4.30	0.413	-	-
Ethylene	2.00	0.333	-	-
Cyclopropane	1.60	0.185	-	-
Dipolar Fluids				
Water	1.25†	0.315	1.850	1.428
Ammonia	1.15†	0.281	1.470	1.201
Acetone	-	-	2.880	1.157
Sulfur Dioxide	3.00†	0.426	1.630	0.925
Hydrogen Sulfide	1.00†	0.184	0.974	0.665
Carbon Monoxide	1.00†	0.331	0.112	0.133

† Value of quadrupole moment adjusted to experimental vapor-pressure and density data.

Table 5. Molecular parameters for two molten polymers.

Polymer	T^*, K	v^*_{sp}, cm^3/g	P^*, bar
Polyethylene	511.0	0.7519	948.4
Polyisobutylene	517.6	0.6903	1250.



Figure 1. Temperature dependence of hard-core diameter for a Lennard-Jones fluid.



Figure 2. First-order and second-order perturbation terms in Helmholtz energy as a function of reduced density for a Lennard-Jones fluid.



Figure 3. Calculated and experimental second virial coefficients for several polar and nonpolar fluids.



Figure 4. Universal function for interpolation between low-density and high-density limits.



Figure 5. Calculated and experimental phase diagram for pentane showing equation-of-state behavior in two-phase region.



Figure 6. Calculated and experimental phase diagram for carbon dioxide.



Figure 7. Calculated and experimental supercritical densities for hydrogen at three temperatures.



Figure 8. Calculated and experimental densities for two molten polymers.



Figure 9. Correlation of equation-of-state parameters for normal alkanes as a function of molecular weight. Figure drawn to scale.



Figure 10. Correlation of equation-of-state parameters for fused-ring aromatics as a function of molecular weight. Figure drawn to scale.

APPENDIX I

Nonpolar Contributions to Perturbation Term of Residual Helmholtz Energy

This appendix gives expressions for the dispersion (nonpolar) contributions to the high-density and low-density perturbation terms. Dispersion contributions to the high-density term are determined from computer-simulation results; low-density contributions are determined empirically to represent experimental second virial coefficients.

Equation 13 gives an expression for the dispersion contributions to the dense-fluid term $\mathbf{a}^{df}$ as a truncated perturbation series. The first-order and second-order terms of this series, $\mathbf{a}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{(2)}$, are fitted to computer-simulation results for Lennard-Jones molecules

$$\frac{\mathbf{a}^{(1)}}{RT} = \frac{c}{\tilde{v}}(-8.5959 - 4.5424/\tilde{v} - 2.1268/\tilde{v}^2 + 10.285/\tilde{v}^3) \qquad \text{(I-1)}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{a}^{(2)}}{RT} = \frac{c}{\tilde{v}}(-1.9075 + 9.9724/\tilde{v} - 22.216/\tilde{v}^2 + 15.904/\tilde{v}^3) \qquad \text{(I-2)}$$

where $\tilde{v}$ is reduced hard-core volume. Parameter c in Eqs. I-1 and I-2 follows from the derivation of the PHC theory (see Beret and Prausnitz, 1975a). The polynomials in Eqs. I-1 and I-2 are plotted in Figure 2 as a function of reduced density for spherical molecules ($c=1$) at $\tilde{T}=1.0$.

The dispersion contribution to the second virial coefficient B^{disp} is fitted to second-virial-coefficient data for normal alkanes

$$B^{disp} = -cv^{*}(12.541 + \Omega(c)/\tilde{T}^{6})[exp(0.87372/\tilde{T}) - 0.98071] \qquad \text{(I-3)}$$

Universal constants for simple molecules ($c=1$) are fit to second virial coefficients for methane. Function $\Omega(c)$ extends Eq. I-3 to fluids with larger c values; it is fit to second-virial-coefficient data for alkanes from ethane to n-octane

$$\Omega = \frac{6.9261(c-1)^2}{1.0 + 0.87720(c-1)} \qquad \text{(I-4)}$$

Function Ω is zero for simple fluids and is linear in c for high-molecular-weight fluids.

Equations I-3 and I-4 contain molecular parameters T^{*}, v^{*} and c. These parameters are fit to vapor-pressure and density data. Since the second-virial term B^{disp} contributes to calculated vapor pressures and densities, universal constants in Eqs. I-3 and I-4 and molecular parameters must be determined by sequential and repeated

fitting of second virial coefficients and dense-fluid properties, until convergence is achieved. Therefore, the constants in Eqs. I-3 and I-4 are consistent with the molecular parameters reported in Table 3.

APPENDIX II

Perturbation Term for Polar Contributions to Residual Helmholtz Energy

This appendix gives expressions for multipolar contributions to the high-density and low-density perturbation terms. The high-density contributions follow from a multipole expansion for a Lennard-Jones (dispersion) reference system; low-density contributions are correlated to experimental second virial coefficients for several polar and quadrupolar fluids.

Polar and quadrupolar interactions are characterized using reduced dipole and quadrupole moments, defined by Eqs. 14 and 15. These equations may be written more conveniently in terms of molecular parameters T^*, v^* and c:

$$\tilde{\mu} = \frac{\mu}{(cT^* v^*)^{1/2}} \left[\frac{N_{av}}{k\sqrt{2}} \right]^{1/2} \tag{II-1a}$$

$$\tilde{Q} = \frac{Q}{(cT^*)^{1/2}(v^*)^{5/6}} k^{1/2} \left[\frac{N_{av}}{\sqrt{2}} \right]^{5/6} \tag{II-1b}$$

For T^* in K, v^* in cm^3/mol, μ in D (1 Debye = 10^{-18} $esu-cm$) and Q in B (1 Buckingham $=10^{-26}$ $esu-cm^2$), Eqs. II-1a and II-1b become:

$$\tilde{\mu} = 55.537 \frac{\mu}{(cT^* v^*)^{1/2}} \tag{II-2a}$$

$$\tilde{Q} = 41.783 \frac{Q}{(cT^*)^{1/2}(v^*)^{5/6}} \tag{II-2b}$$

High-Density Contributions

As suggested by several authors (for example, Gubbins and Twu, 1978; Stell et al.,1974), multipolar effects may be introduced into molecular-thermodynamic models as multipolar perturbation expansions to the Helmholtz energy. Since these series expansions converge slowly for strong multipole moments, they are replaced by a Padé approximant due to Stell et al.(1974).

The multipolar contribution due to dipole-dipole forces is

$$a^{\mu\mu} = a^{(2)}_{\mu\mu}\left[1 - \frac{a^{(3)}_{\mu\mu}}{a^{(2)}_{\mu\mu}}\right]^{-1} \tag{II-3}$$

Since the multipolar expansion is a perturbation to a Lennard-Jones (nonpolar) reference system, first-order perturbation terms do not appear. The second-order and third-order perturbation terms are given by

$$\frac{a^{(2)}_{\mu\mu}}{RT} = -2.9619\frac{c\tilde{\mu}^4}{\tilde{T}^2\bar{v}}J^{\mu\mu}(\tilde{T},\bar{v}) \tag{II-4}$$

$$\frac{a^{(3)}_{\mu\mu}}{RT} = 43.596\frac{c\tilde{\mu}^6}{\tilde{T}^3\bar{v}^2}K^{\mu\mu\mu}(\tilde{T},\bar{v}) \tag{II-5}$$

where $J(\tilde{T},\bar{v})$ and $K(\tilde{T},\bar{v})$ are integrals based on two-body and three-body radial-distribution functions. Analytical expressions for these integrals, given by Gubbins and Twu (1978), are shown in the supplementary material. Reduced volumes $\bar{v}$ for polar perturbation terms are defined using the soft-core volume ($\bar{v}=v/v^*$).

The multipolar contribution due to quadrupole-quadrupole forces is

$$a^{QQ} = a^{(2)}_{QQ}\left[1 - \frac{a^{(3)}_{QQ}}{a^{(2)}_{QQ}}\right]^{-1} \tag{II-6}$$

The second-order and third-order perturbation terms are given by

$$\frac{a^{(2)}_{QQ}}{RT} = -12.440\frac{c\tilde{Q}^4}{\tilde{T}^2\bar{v}}J^{QQ}(\tilde{T},\bar{v}) \tag{II-7}$$

$$\frac{a^{(3)}_{QQ}}{RT} = 2.6113\frac{c\tilde{Q}^6}{\tilde{T}^3\bar{v}}J^{QQQ}(\tilde{T},\bar{v}) + 77.716\frac{c\tilde{Q}^6}{\tilde{T}^3\bar{v}^2}K^{QQQ}(\tilde{T},\bar{v}) \tag{II-8}$$

For dipole-quadrupole forces, the perturbation series is truncated after the second-order term since contributions from higher-order terms are expected to be small. The resulting expression for the dipole-quadrupole contribution is

$$\frac{a^{\mu Q}}{RT} = -8.8858\frac{c\tilde{\mu}^2\tilde{Q}^2}{\tilde{T}^2\bar{v}}J^{\mu Q}(\tilde{T},\bar{v}) \tag{II-9}$$

As used here, constants reported by Gubbins and Twu for each of the above integrals must be multiplied by $\sqrt{2}$ wherever reduced density appears because the reduced volume in this work is based on a close-packed molecular volume. Analytical expressions for the integrals are included in the supplementary material.

Low-Density Contributions

As discussed in Appendix I, low-density contributions to a^{pert} are fit to experimental second-virial-coefficient data. Contributions are included for quadrupole-quadrupole forces and for dipole-dipole forces; dipole-quadrupole contributions are neglected because the magnitude of dipole-quadrupole contributions is much smaller than that for dipole-dipole contributions.

Expressions for the multipolar contributions are fit initially to second virial coefficients for Lennard-Jones molecules with point dipoles (Stockmayer, 1941) or with point quadrupoles (Buckingham and Pople, 1955). Universal constants in these expressions are then adjusted using experimental second virial coefficients.

For dipole-dipole contributions,

$$B^{\mu\mu} = cv^{*}(7.6445-7.4678c-\frac{\Omega^{\mu\mu}}{\tilde{T}})[exp(1.6578\frac{\tilde{\mu}^{3/2}}{\tilde{T}})-1] \qquad \text{(II-10)}$$

where

$$\Omega^{\mu\mu} = 8.9110 - 9.8386c \qquad \text{(II-11)}$$

For quadrupole-quadrupole contributions,

$$B^{QQ} = cv^{*}(-0.090323+\frac{\Omega^{QQ}}{\tilde{T}})[exp(2.8285\frac{\tilde{Q}^{3/2}}{\tilde{T}})-1] \qquad \text{(II-12)}$$

where

$$\Omega^{QQ} = 0.85613 - 0.28108c \qquad \text{(II-13)}$$

As discussed in Appendix I, the final expressions for Eqs. II-10 to II-13 are determined by sequential and repeated fitting of universal constants and molecular parameters in those expressions to second-virial-coefficient data and to dense-fluid data.

APPENDIX III

Correlation of Equation-of-State Parameters for Various Hydrocarbon Classes

Figures 9 and 10 show that, for a given hydrocarbon class, molecular parameters can be correlated as simple functions of molecular weight. Parameters v^{*}, $\varepsilon q/k$ ($=cT^{*}$) and c are nearly linear in molecular weight; however, over a wide range of molecular weight, parameter c shows non-negligible deviations from linearity. Therefore, we correlate characteristic temperature T^{*} instead of c, since T^{*} approaches a

constant value at high molecular weights.

For a given hydrocarbon class, the functions chosen to correlate parameters v^*, cT^* and T^* are

$$v^* = a^{(1)} + a^{(2)}MW \tag{III-1}$$

$$cT^* = b^{(1)} + b^{(2)}MW \tag{III-2}$$

$$T^* = c^{(1)} - c^{(2)}exp(-c^{(3)}MW^{1/2}) \tag{III-3}$$

Constants $a^{(1)}$, $a^{(2)}$, $b^{(1)}$ and $b^{(2)}$ are fit directly to molecular parameters. Likewise, constants $c^{(1)}$, $c^{(2)}$ and $c^{(3)}$ are fit initially to molecular parameters; however, these constants are later adjusted by fitting, all at once, vapor-pressure and density data for the components in a hydrocarbon class.

Table III-1 lists ranges of molecular weight and comparisons between calculated and observed vapor pressures and densities for four hydrocarbon classes. Agreement between calculation and experiment is reported for each class as overall percent average absolute deviations.

Table III-2 gives constants for Eqs. III-1 to III-3 for four hydrocarbon classes. With the exception of the n-alkylcyclohexanes, these constants are used to calculate the deviations listed in Table III-1. For the n-alkylcyclohexanes, the value of cT^* for cyclohexane does not lie on the same straight line with the other compounds in that hydrocarbon class. Therefore, we add the term $[243.90/(MW-78)]$ to Eq. III-2 to include cyclohexane, it being understood that the correlation is valid only for $MW \geq 84$. This correction does not contribute significantly to the other compounds; for molecular weights above 90, this correction may be omitted.

Table III-1. Calculated and experimental vapor pressures and liquid densities for several hydrocarbon classes.

Hydrocarbon Class	Mol Wt Range	Average Absolute Deviation (%) Vapor Pressure	Liquid Density
paraffins (n-alkanes)	58-619	2.03	1.55
naphthenes (n-alkylcyclohexanes)	84-385	2.98	0.38
alkyl aromatics (n-alkylbenzenes)	78-359	2.26	0.79
fused-ring aromatics	78-228	2.77	1.27

Table III-2. Correlation of molecular parameters for several hydrocarbon classes. Constants for Eqs. III-1,2,3.

Hydrocarbon Class	v^*, cm^3/mol	
	$a^{(1)}$	$a^{(2)}$
paraffins	8.6855	0.71820
naphthenes	0.2703	0.73628
alkyl aromatics	-7.2913	0.74042
fused-ring aromatics	10.1030	0.51714

Hydrocarbon Class	cT^*, K	
	$b^{(1)}$	$b^{(2)}$
paraffins	120.72	5.8408
naphthenes	98.527	5.6016
alkyl aromatics	199.16	5.3269
fused-ring aromatics	180.04	5.6413

Hydrocarbon Class	T^*, K		
	$c^{(1)}$	$c^{(2)}$	$c^{(3)}$
paraffins	419.86	643.89	0.19945
naphthenes	445.04	554.67	0.20018
alkyl aromatics	474.58	269.62	0.10780
fused-ring aromatics	1351.4	1522.3	0.04939

APPENDIX IV

Physical Significance of Molecular Parameters for Polar Fluids

Pure-fluid molecular parameters v^*, $\varepsilon q/k$ $(=cT^*$, c have a well-defined physical significance; they characterize size, potential energy and flexibility of a molecule. This physical significance of parameters is important for two reasons. First, we correlate fitted parameters to estimate parameters for fluids where experimental data are scarce. These correlations require unique estimates of molecular parameters. More important, for extension to mixtures, physically-significant parameters are necessary

to determine mixture parameters using appropriate mixing rules.

As suggested by Figures 9 and 10, parameters for a class of nonpolar fluids are simple functions of molecular weight. For polar fluids, by including dipolar and quadrupolar effects, values of fitted molecular parameters are consistent with those for nonpolar fluids. However, if polar effects are neglected, molecular parameters for polar fluids are not physically reasonable. For example, Table IV-1 lists molecular parameters for several polar fluids, neglecting polar effects. These parameters may be compared to the corresponding values for fluids in Table 3.

The parameters in Table IV-1 are fit to vapor-pressure and density data. The quality of fit is nearly the same with or without polar effects. However, the c parameters in Table IV-1 are much larger than those for the same fluids in Table 3. When polar effects are neglected, these polar-fluid c parameters are not consistent with parameters for nonpolar fluids with similar size and shape.

When polar effects are included, larger c parameters follow since the product cT^* now accounts for both dispersion and polar energetic contributions. While physically-unreasonable parameters may not be important for pure-fluid calculations, mixture calculations may be highly sensitive to unrealistic parameters. Use of physically-unreasonable parameters tends to produce temperature-dependent adjustable binary parameters.

Table IV-1. Molecular parameters for several polar fluids neglecting polar effects.

	T^* (K)	v^* (cm^3/mol)	c
Carbon Dioxide	198.08	18.214	1.7064
Water	433.14	10.516	1.8041
Ammonia	273.94	14.018	1.6526
Hydrogen Sulfide	284.01	20.345	1.2931

Supplementary Material

APPENDIX V

Two-Body and Three-Body Integral Expressions for Polar and Quadrupolar Perturbation Terms

This appendix gives analytical expressions for the integrals based on two-body and three-body radial-distributions functions, used in Appendix II. These expressions were fit to computer-simulation data by Gubbins and Twu (1978).
The constants reported by Gubbins and Twu are modified here since reduced volume in this work is based on a close-packed molecular volume.

Each integral is represented with an analytical function of the form

$$\ln X = d^{(1)}\frac{\ln \tilde{T}}{\tilde{v}^2} + d^{(2)}\frac{1}{\tilde{v}^2} + d^{(3)}\frac{\ln \tilde{T}}{\tilde{v}} + d^{(4)}\frac{1}{\tilde{v}} + d^{(5)}\ln \tilde{T} + d^{(6)} \qquad \text{(V-1)}$$

where X is the particular integral, $\tilde{T}$ is reduced temperature and $\tilde{v}$ is reduced soft-core volume ($\tilde{v}=v/v^*$). Table V-1 give coefficients for each integral.

Table V-1. Analytical expressions for integrals in polar perturbation terms. Coefficients for Eq. V-1.

Integral X	Coefficient in Eq. V-1 $d^{(1)}$	$d^{(2)}$	$d^{(3)}$	$d^{(4)}$	$d^{(5)}$	$d^{(6)}$
Two-body						
$J^{\mu\mu}$	-0.97670	1.72639	1.07670	-1.06078	-0.21856	-0.53846
$J^{\mu Q}$	-1.22259	2.20678	1.30300	-1.18625	-0.19800	-0.94071
J^{QQ}	-1.39776	2.55611	1.44335	-1.24455	-0.14075	-1.22273
J^{QQQ}	-1.71331	3.17591	1.85447	-1.31277	0.07485	-1.89885
Three-body						
$K^{\mu\mu\mu\mu}$	-2.10107	3.49495	2.47398	-2.82733	-0.86105	-3.02872
K^{QQQ}	-3.23277	5.76201	3.84528	-3.51431	-0.82860	-4.17559

Supplementary Material

APPENDIX VI

Pure-Fluid Molecular Parameters and Correlation Results

Table VI-1. Molecular parameters for nonpolar and polar pure fluids.

Fluid	T^* (K)	v^* (cm^3/mol)	c
Normal Alkanes			
Methane	147.1	21.94	1.000
Ethane	218.8	30.58	1.260
Propane	253.5	40.46	1.447
Butane	281.8	50.99	1.609
Pentane	303.4	60.41	1.778
Hexane	317.9	70.48	1.974
Heptane	330.4	80.02	2.158
Octane	342.1	90.28	2.318
Nonane	349.9	100.2	2.510
Decane	357.3	110.9	2.689
Undecane	366.8	120.9	2.814
Dodecane	372.0	129.0	3.008
Tridecane	373.9	140.8	3.239
Tetradecane	380.0	150.5	3.383
Pentadecane	384.1	163.6	3.542
Hexadecane	387.8	174.0	3.713
Heptadecane	395.0	181.9	3.785
Octadecane	397.0	191.1	3.971
Eicosane	397.1	214.2	4.485
Tetracosane	408.3	256.3	5.038
Octacosane	416.5	295.9	5.612
Dotriacontane	423.7	338.9	6.153
Hexatriacontane	428.7	378.8	6.694
Tetratetracontane	434.9	463.2	7.773

Table VI-1 (cont.). Molecular parameters for nonpolar and polar pure fluids.

Fluid	T^* (K)	v^* (cm^3/mol)	c
Alkylnaphthalenes			
Cyclohexane	358.5	61.71	1.705
Methylcyclohexane	367.9	73.12	1.769
Ethylcyclohexane	379.2	82.96	1.944
Propylcyclohexane	385.8	93.38	2.109
Butylcyclohexane	391.4	103.6	2.287
Hexylcyclohexane	402.8	124.3	2.604
Decylcyclohexane	418.9	165.9	3.223
Hexadecylcyclohexane	423.0	226.4	4.371
Eicosylcyclohexane	437.9	268.6	4.832
Alkylbenzenes			
Benzene	368.1	50.61	1.678
Toluene	376.4	60.79	1.857
Ethylbenzene	387.1	70.90	1.975
p-Xylene	391.7	71.81	1.946
Propylbenzene	391.6	81.87	2.128
Butylbenzene	399.4	92.47	2.269
Hexylbenzene	409.0	113.2	2.582
Decylbenzene	423.9	154.9	3.182
Hexadecylbenzene	415.4	214.3	4.677
Eicosylbenzene	434.5	256.9	4.943
Fused-Ring Aromatics			
Naphthalene	477.3	75.89	1.910
1-Methylnaphthalene	476.0	86.14	2.120
1-Ethylnaphthalene	464.5	95.26	2.338
Tetralin	443.9	81.19	2.090
Anthracene	572.6	103.3	2.058
Phenanthrene	562.5	101.7	2.129
Triphenylene	626.2	128.2	2.325

Table VI-1 (cont.). Molecular parameters for nonpolar and polar pure fluids.

Fluid	T^* (K)	v^* (cm^3/mol)	c
Quadrupolar Fluids			
Carbon Dioxide	200.7	21.08	1.220
Nitrogen	95.83	20.06	1.000
Ethylene	204.1	27.69	1.216
Cyclopropane	286.2	33.90	1.278
Carbon Disulfide	397.1	35.86	1.220
Chlorine	306.0	26.47	1.144
Dipolar Fluids			
Water	401.1	12.27	1.052
Ammonia	274.8	15.99	1.051
Sulfur Dioxide	287.9	27.20	1.223
Hydrogen Sulfide	277.0	21.75	1.097
Acetone	331.7	43.42	1.326
Carbon Monoxide	98.86	19.87	1.109
Other Fluids			
Hydrogen	30.43	11.02	1.000
Propylene	250.1	37.26	1.435
Isobutane	272.7	51.48	1.561
Neopentane	296.9	63.35	1.484
Cyclopentane	336.3	52.34	1.629

Table VI-2. Correlation results for pure-fluid thermodynamic properties.

Fluid	Vapor Pressure			Liquid Density		
	Temp Range, K	% AAD	Data Source	Temp Range, K	% AAD	Data Source
Normal Alkanes						
Methane	91-170	0.62	(1)	92-180	0.81	(2)
Ethane	100-290	0.65	(3)	100-288	0.59	(3)
Propane	90-348	0.56	(4)	93-343	0.80	(5)
Butane	135-401	0.42	(6)	135-378	0.56	(6)
Pentane	143-443	0.61	(5),(7),(8)	144-443	1.57	(5)
Hexane	210-473	0.96	(5),(7),(8)	183-473	1.74	(5)
Heptane	217-513	1.19	(5),(7),(8)	183-513	2.24	(5)
Octane	232-533	0.59	(5),(7),(8)	216-533	2.10	(5),(9)
Nonane	248-563	0.91	(5),(7)	219-533	2.05	(5)
Decane	264-523	0.89	(5),(7)	253-523	1.42	(5)
Undecane	348-499	0.27	(5),(7)	253-573	1.72	(5),(9)
Dodecane	318-520	0.52	(5),(7)	273-623	2.77	(5)
Tridecane	317-509	0.79	(5),(7)	273-593	1.31	(5)
Tetradecane	280-559	1.92	(5),(7)	283-533	1.92	(5),(8)
Pentadecane	362-544	0.39	(5),(7)	283-423	0.13	(5)
Hexadecane	316-594	0.56	(5),(7)	291-393	0.05	(5),(9)
Heptadecane	390-610	0.97	(5),(7)	298-613	1.43	(5)
Octadecane	318-625	4.88	(5),(10)	301-573	1.76	(5)
Eicosane	344-652	1.18	(5),(10)	310-423	0.18	(5),(9)
Tetracosane	449-664	2.43	(5)	273-372	0.17	(11)
Octacosane	481-705	2.44	(5)	335-573	0.60	(9),(11)
Dotriacontane	510-741	2.85	(5)	273-372	0.16	(11)
Hexatriacontane	535-771	2.51	(5)	353-573	0.48	(9),(11)
Tetratetracontane	577-821	2.03	(5)	372-408	0.11	(11)

Table VI-2 (cont.). Correlation results for pure-fluid thermodynamic properties.

Fluid	Vapor Pressure			Liquid Density		
	Temp Range, K	% AAD	Data Source	Temp Range, K	% AAD	Data Source
Alkylnaphthalenes						
Cyclohexane	279-523	0.28	(5),(7)	283-353	0.10	(5)
Methylcyclohexane	298-375	0.04	(7)	153-373.	0.63	(5)
Ethylcyclohexane	294-433	0.13	(5)	253-383	0.26	(5)
Propylcyclohexane	346-431	0.03	(7)	253-383	0.17	(5)
Butylcyclohexane	367-455	0.02	(9)	253-383	0.16	(5)
Hexylcyclohexane	367-530	0.21	(5)	303-383	0.12	(5)
Decylcyclohexane	371-571	2.73	(7),(11)	273-383	0.07	(5),(11)
Hexadecylcyclohexane	497-689	0.56	(5)	313-383	0.03	(5)
Eicosylcyclohexane	471-538	1.04	(11)	273-372	0.14	(11)
Alkylbenzenes						
Benzene	288-533	0.41	(7),(9)	279-533	1.15	(5)
Toluene	309-556	1.56	(8),(9)	183-533	1.40	(5)
Ethylbenzene	275-583	0.34	(5),(8),(9)	183-573	1.27	(5)
p-Xylene	298-412	0.13	(7)	293-573	1.07	(5)
Propylbenzene	349-603	0.42	(5),(7)	183-473	0.50	(5)
Butylbenzene	369-457	0.05	(7)	193-453	0.37	(5),(9)
Hexylbenzene	369-531	0.33	(5)	253-453	0.30	(5)
Decylbenzene	371-571	1.90	(7),(11)	263-453	0.17	(5)
Hexadecylbenzene	500-688	0.54	(5)	303-453	0.16	(5)
Eicosylbenzene	471-538	1.56	(11)	333-372	0.03	(11)
Fused-Ring Aromatics						
Naphthalene	353-492	0.38	(7)	363-593	1.94	(12)
1-Methylnaphthalene	279-518	0.97	(7),(10)	273-423	0.05	(5)
1-Ethylnaphthalene	393-565	0.20	(5)	273-413	0.17	(5)
Tetralin	293-673	1.25	(13)	293-673	1.85	(13)
Anthracene	493-673	0.23	(14)	493-593	0.21	(14)
Phenanthrene	373-633	1.29	(14)	373-593	0.22	(14)
Triphenylene	533-693	7.95	(15)	471	3.46	(15)

Table VI-2 (cont.). Correlation results for pure-fluid thermodynamic properties.

Fluid	Vapor Pressure			Liquid Density		
	Temp Range, K	% AAD	Data Source	Temp Range, K	% AAD	Data Source
Quadrupolar Fluids						
Carbon Dioxide	218-288	0.02	(16)	218-288	0.55	(16)
Nitrogen	64-118	0.49	(17)	64-118	0.54	(17)
Ethylene	123-287	0.17	(18)	123-265	0.28	(18)
Cyclopropane	189-373	1.24	(9),(19)	293-373	0.35	(19)
Carbon Disulfide	277-522	1.61	(7),(20)	277-522	0.54	(20)
Chlorine	180-256	0.23	(5)	173-393	0.60	(5)
Dipolar Fluids						
Water	283-613	1.33	(21)	283-613	1.74	(21)
Ammonia	200-380	0.14	(22)	200-380	2.97	(22)
Sulfur Dioxide	283-408	0.44	(23)	323-408	1.86	(23)
Hydrogen Sulfide	188-352	0.88	(24),(25)	283-352	1.04	(25)
Acetone	259-481	0.53	(26)	179-323	1.14	(8)
Carbon Monoxide	68-126	0.13	(22)	68-126	0.36	(22)
Other Fluids						
Propylene	90-345	0.38	(27)	90-340	0.89	(27)
Isobutane	188-383	0.21	(5),(7)	193-383	0.96	(5)
Neopentane	231-398	2.47	(7),(9)	253-403	0.36	(5)
Cyclopentane	226-483	0.19	(5),(7)	253-323	0.13	(5)

Data References

(1) R. Prydz and R.D. Goodwin, *J. Chem. Therm.*, **4**, 127 (1972).

(2) IUPAC, *International Tables of the Fluid State, 5: Methane*, Pergamon Press, Oxford (1976).

(3) R.D. Goodwin, H.M. Roder, and G.C. Straty, *Thermophysical Properties of Ethane from 90 to 600 K and Pressures to 700 bar*, National Bureau of Standards Technical Note 687, Boulder, CO (1976).

(4) R.D. Goodwin and W.M. Haynes, *Thermophysical Properties of Propane from 85 to 700 K and Pressures to 70 MPa*, National Bureau of Standards Monograph 170, Boulder, CO (1982).

(5) Research Project 44 of the American Petroleum Institute and the Thermodynamic Research Center, *Selected Values of Properties of Hydrocarbons and Related Compounds*, Texas A & M University, College Station, TX, loose-leaf data supplements to 1980.

(6) W.M. Haynes and R.D. Goodwin, *Thermophysical Properties of Butane from 135 to 700 K and Pressures to 70 MPa*, National Bureau of Standards Monograph 169, Boulder, CO (1982).

(7) T. Boublík, V. Fried and E. Hála, *The Vapour Pressures of Pure Substances*, 2nd Ed., Elsevier, Amsterdam (1984).

(8) J. Timmermans, *Physico-Chemical Constants of Pure Organic Compounds*, vol. 1, Elsevier, Amsterdam (1950).

(9) J Timmermans, *Physico-Chemical Constants of Pure Organic Compounds*, vol. 2, Elsevier, Amsterdam (1965).

(10) A.B. Macknick and J.M. Prausnitz, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, **24**, 175 (1979).

(11) Research Project 42 of the American Petroleum Institute, *Properties of Hydrocarbons of High Molecular Weight*, American Petroleum Institute, New York (1968).

(12) N.B. Varagaftik, *Tables on the Thermophysical Properties of Liquids and Gases*, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1975).

(13) A.P. Kudchadker, S.A. Kudchadker and R.C. Wilhoit, *Tetralin*, American Petroleum Institute Monograph 705, Washington, DC (1978).

(14) A.P. Kudchadker, S.A. Kudchadker and R.C. Wilhoit, *Anthracene and Phenanthrene*, American Petroleum Institute Monograph 708, Washington, DC (1979).

(15) A.P. Kudchadker, S.A. Kudchadker and R.C. Wilhoit, *Four-Ring Condensed Aromatic Compounds*, American Petroleum Institute Monograph 709, Washington, DC (1979).

(16) IUPAC, *International Tables of the Fluid State, 3: Carbon Dioxide*, Pergamon Press, Oxford (1976).

(17) IUPAC, *International Tables of the Fluid State, 6: Nitrogen*, Pergamon Press, Oxford (1979).

(18) IUPAC, *International Tables of the Fluid State, 2: Ethylene*, Butterworths, London (1972).

(19) D.C. Lin, I.H. Silberberg and J.J. McKetta, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, **15**, 483 (1970).

(20) L.J. O'Brien and W.J. Alford, *Ind. Eng. Chem.*, **43**, 506 (1951).

(21) R.W. Bain, *Steam Tables*, National Engineering Laboratory, Edinburgh (1964).

(22) F. Din, *Thermodynamic Functions of Gases*, vol. 1, Butterworths, London (1956).

(23) T.L. Kang, L.J. Hirth, K.A. Kobe and J.J. McKetta, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, **6**, 220 (1961).

(24) W.F. Giauque and R.W. Blue, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **58**, 831 (1936).

(25) H.H. Reamer, B.H. Sage and W.N. Lacey, *Ind. Eng. Chem.*, **42**, 140 (1950).

(26) D. Ambrose, C.H.S. Sprake and R. Townsend, *J. Chem. Thermodynamics*, **6**, *693 (1974).*

(27) IUPAC, *International Tables of the Fluid State, 7: Propylene*, Pergamon Press, Oxford (1980).

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720