Submitted to Nature

LBL-2482 Rev.
Preprint c.2

RADIOACTIVITY OF NEVADA HOT-SPRING SYSTEMS

Harold A. Wollenberg

March 1974

Prepared for the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY

LBL-2482 Rev.
c.2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Radioactivity of Nevada Hot-Spring Systems*

Harold A. Wollenberg

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

March 1974

ABSTRACT

Field gamma radiometry and laboratory gamma-ray spectrometry of waters and spring deposits were accomplished for some hot-spring systems in northern Nevada. Gamma-ray dose rates measured on-site range from 2 to 500 μr/hr, and depend mainly on the amounts of the natural radioelements in the spring deposits. At several locations ^{222}Rn, emanating from the water causes recognizable gamma-ray anomalies. High radioactivities, primarily from ^{226}Ra, are associated with hot-spring systems dominated by $CaCO_3$, while silica-dominated systems are relatively low in radioactivity. Gamma spectrometry disclosed the enrichment of ^{226}Ra with respect to its parent U in $CaCO_3$-dominated systems. ^{226}Ra preferentially associates with Ca; therefore, where tufa and siliceous sinter are present in a deposit, the calcareous material is highest in radioactivity. Spring deposits at fast-flowing $CaCO_3$-dominated systems are generally less radioactive than calcareous deposits at slower flowing springs.

*Work done under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

I. INTRODUCTION

Radioactive anomalies associated with mineral - and hot - spring systems have been recognized and documented by many scientists. For example, Pöhl-Rüling and Scheminzky[1] described the radium- and radon-rich environment of Bad Gastein, an Austrian spa celebrated for decades for its healing hot radioactive air, waters, and muds. Earlier, Belin[2] described the occurrence of radon in New Zealand geothermal regions, and Mazor[3] related redium and radon in Israeli water sources with oil, gas, and brine reservoirs of the Rift Valley. Since the late 1040's several Japanese scientists, among them Kikkawa[4], Kimura[5], and Hitaye[6] have reported on the association of radioelements and hot- and mineral-spring systems. Scott and Barker[7] made a comprehensive tabulation of uranium and radium contents of ground waters of the United States.

Recently, we have visited hot-spring areas in northern Nevada to evaluate sites for a geothermal energy program (Hollander et al.[8]). A study of the radioactivity of the spring systems has begun, with the expectation that knowledge of the distribution and abundance of their radioelements will shed some light on the plumbing systems operating beneath the springs; equally importtant, an assessment of the environmental impact of a geothermal development project requires an understanding of its radioactive setting.

II. LOCATION AND MEASUREMENTS

The hot-spring areas examined to date are shown on the location map (Fig. 1) and are listed by name on Tables I and II. At the sites field gamma radioactivity was measured with a portable 3-in. by 3-in. NaI(Tℓ) scintillation detector coupled to a count-rate meter. Field radioactivites were measured over hot pools, sinter (SiO_2-rich), and tufa ($CaCO_3$-rich) deposits, and also away from the spring areas to obtain background values. Figure 2 illustrates the field gamma counter, and Fig. 3 shows typical measurement conditions at a hot spring in Ruby Valley. Samples of spring-deposit tufa, sinter, spring-wall muck, and water were collected at all sites, and on return to the laboratory, were analyzed for uranium-238, thorium-232, their daughter products, and potassium-40 by gamma-ray spectrometry (field and laboratory instrumentation and procedures have been described by Wollenberg and Smith[9].

III. FIELD MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Results of field measurements and laboratory gamma-ray spectrometric analyses are shown on Tables I and II. Table I summarizes the field radiometric data; radioactivities are expressed in microroentgens per hour (μr/hr), based on calibration of the field instrument (counts/sec to μr/hr) with a radium source of known strength. Immediately apparent is the association of high radioactivities, "anomalies," with $CaCO_3$-rich spring depositis; with one exception, Lee Hot Springs, silica-rich deposits have no anomalies. The greatest radioactivities, 250-500 μr/hr, were observed over hot pools (75-90° C) at Kyle Hot Springs, while the lowest values, two orders of magnitude lower than at Kyle, were measured over hot and boiling pools and sinter at Beowawe Hot Springs. In no case was there any apparent connection between surface spring temperature and radioactivity. Among the spring systems where $CaCO_3$ predominates there were no anomalies associated with blowing wells, nor with the fast-flowing spring at Fly Ranch. A strong radioactivity contrast exists at Darroughs Hot Springs where there is no apparent anomaly in the vicinity of a moderately blowing well, while approximately 200 meters away 75 μr/hr was observed over a still pool. Thus, radioactive anomalies in the hot-spring areas appear to be associated with low-flowing $CaCO_3$-rich systems. An inverse correlation of radioactivity with flow rate was observed by Vincenz[10] at a mineral spring in Jamaica.

Where tufa and sinter are both present in a deposit, the calcareous material is highest in radioactivity. This is exemplified at Lee Hot Springs where sinter is the predominant spring deposit material; spotty zones of high radioactivity were observed over intermixed patches of tufa, while neighboring sinter was comparatively low. Similar conditions exist at Gerlach Hot Springs where siliceous and calcareous zones intermingle.

At Buffalo Valley and Kyle Hot Springs, $CaCO_3$-rich sites, sharp field-radiometric anomalies were detected down-wind from pools, indicating the emanation of ^{222}Rn from the waters and spring walls.

IV. LABORATORY MEASUREMENT RESULTS

A. Spring Deposits

Table II summarizes laboratory gamma-spectrometric analyses of spring-deposit materials. As with the field data, the high radioactivities, attributable primarily to "apparent U," are associated with the calcareous hot-spring deposits. Siliceous deposits are comparatively low in U and Th, and most have

Th/U ratios similar to those of ordinary siliceous rocks. Exceptions are the mixed tufa and sinter soil at Lee Hot Springs, where the tufa introduces relatively high apparent U, and the low-radioactivity sinter terrace at Steamboat Hot Springs.

For guidance in the following discussion the uranium decay series is shown in Fig. 4. The uranium values in Table II are listed as apparent because they are based on the gamma-ray peaks of ^{214}Bi, one of the radioactive decay products of ^{226}Ra. Radium-226, in some chemical environments, may be completely separated from its parent ^{238}U, transported in bicarbonate-rich waters, and deposited with $CaCO_3$ on spring walls in the upper portions of a spring system (Tanner [11]). Therefore, the high apparent U in samples of calcareous deposits actually indicates ^{226}Ra anomalies. Uranium-238 or its decay products higher in atomic mass number than ^{226}Ra are missing. This was disclosed by examining high-resolution gamma-ray spectra of the calcareous samples, counted on a Ge(Li) detector system. Figure 5 displays superimposed gamma-ray spectra, in the x-ray energy region, of a ^{226}Ra source, calcareous muck from Kyle Hot Springs, and an equlibrium ^{238}U standard. The muck and ^{226}Ra source spectra match peak for peak. The U-standard spectrum shows the characteristic Bi and Pb x-ray peaks, as well as peaks from precursors to Ra in the U decay series. Corroborative evidence for radon anomalies in the calcareous material is furnished by comparison of the intensities of peaks at 186 and 352 keV (not shown on Fig. 5) in the equilibrium and Ra spectra. In the U spectrum 62% of the 186-keV peak is from ^{226}Ra, 38% from ^{235}U, while all of the 352-keV peak is from ^{214}Po, a Ra daughter; the ratio of counts in the 352-keV peak to counts in the 186-keV peak is approximately 2. In samples of Ra-rich spring deposits the corresponding peak ratios are approximately 3, indicating the absence of the ^{235}U component in the 186-keV peak.

B. Waters

Samples of water, approximately 550 ml, were collected from all of the springs for subsequent laboratory gamma-ray spectrometry. Radon-222 was indicated by the presence of the 1.76-MeV peak of ^{214}Bi in the gamma spectra of seven of the water samples. Several days elapsed between collection and laboratory analyses of the samples. Therefore, it is expected that in some of the samples ^{222}Rn activity (a 3.8-day half-life) had decayed below detectability. Repeated gamma counting of the samples from Buffalo Valley, Kyle, and Gerlach Hot Springs showed that the ^{214}Bi activity decayed with the Rn

half-life, indicating that there was little or no ^{226}Ra in these waters. Otherwise, Ra would have resupplied Rn, eventually achieving radioactive equilibrium between these isotopes. The ^{214}Bi activities of the measureable water samples are listed in Table III; they should be considered in the relative sense, pending calibration experiments. There is no apparent correlation between the radioactivities of the waters and those of the calcareous hot-spring deposits. The comparatively high radioactivities of the waters from Pumpernickel and Lees Hot Springs, compared with the relatively low activities of corresponding spring deposit material, suggests that these waters may contain radon from sources other than the radium on near-surface spring walls. Future sampling of hot-spring waters shall include on-site radon analyses and chemical separation of radium, which, coupled with subsequent laboratory analyses, should determine the component of radon from radium in the waters and the component emanating from radium deposited near the surface.

V. FIELD RADON DETECTION

Alpha-track detectors for ^{222}Rn, furnished by the General Electric Company's Vallecitos Nuclear Center, were placed in the ground near and away from radioactive pools at Buffalo Valley Hot Springs. (This application of track etching has been briefly described by Fleischer et al.[12]) Subsequent etching of the alpha tracks showed high track densities in detectors near the pools and a tenfold decrease in track densities in detectors away from the pools. We plan a systematic study of the Rn field in the vicinity of the springs, employing a large array of track detectors, to better define the randon emanation field and to compare it with other geophysical parameters.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

At this stage of the study there are some definite conclusions:

1) Radium preferentially associates with $CaCO_3$ in the Nevada hot-spring deposits.

2) Where sinter and tufa are mixed in a hot-spring deposit, the calcareous material has the highest radioactivity.

3) Low-flowing $CaCO_3$-dominated spring systems are the most radioactive.

Tentatively, it may be concluded that waters in some of the $CaCO_3$-dominated hot-spring systems deposit ^{226}Ra near the surface of low-flowing springs. Most of the ^{222}Rn observed in these waters is probably derived from decay of ^{226}Ra deposited on the spring walls.

Acknowledgement

I thank Richard Hose of the U. S. Geological Survey for guidance in the field, and Alan Smith of Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory for consultation and aid in laboratory gamma spectrometry. The help of members of the LBL geothermal studies group in field operations is greatly appreciated.

References

1. Pöhl-Rüling, J., and Scheminzky, E., presented at the Second Symposium on the Natural Radiation Environment, Houston, Texas (1972).
2. Belin, R. E., Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, 16, 181 (1959).
3. Mazor, E., Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, 26, 765 (1962).
4. Kikkawa, K., Japanese Jour. Geophys., 1, 1 (1954).
5. Kimura, K., Proc. Seventh Pacific Science Assoc. Congress, 2, 485 (1949).
6. Hitaye, I., Shizouka Univ. Liberal Arts and Sciences Faculty Reports, National Science Section 3, No. 3, 135 (1962).
7. Scott, R. C., and Barker, F. B., U.S. Geol. Surv. Professional Paper 426.
8. Hollander, J. M., Laird, A. D. K., Mirk, K. F., Wollenberg, H. A., Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report LBL-2034 (1973).
9. Wollenberg, H. A., and Smith, A. R., Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report LBL-914, presented at the Second Symposium on the Natural Radiation Environment, Houston, Texas (1972),
10. Vincenz, S. A., Geophys. Prospecting, 7, 422 (1959).
11. Tanner, A. B., in The Natural Radiation Environment edited by Adams, J. A. S., and Lowder, W. M. (Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1964).
12. Fleischer, R. L., Alter, H. W., Furman, S. C., Price, P. B., Walker, R. M., Science, 178, 255 (1972).

Table I. Field gamma radiometry of spring areas.

Location	Gamma dose rates (μr/hr) General background	Anomalously high radioactivity	Remarks
Spring systems where $CaCO_3$ is the predominant deposit			
Gerlach	6.25 - 7.5	60 - 65	Tufa, high rad. zone
Gerlach		20 - 25	Mixed sinter and tufa
Fly Ranch	6.25 - 8.75	None apparent	Travertine
Kyle	12.5 - 25	250 - 500	Over radioactive pools
Elko	7.5 - 10	19	Tufa at edge of pool
Buffalo Valley	6.25 - 7.5	30 - 38	Tufa mounds
Spencers	5 - 10	19	Tufa at edge of pools
Diana's Punchbowl	5 - 10	16	Springs at base of tufa mound
Wabuska	3.75 - 6.25	None apparent	Blowing wells
Darroughs	15 - 20	75	Edge of fenced pool
Darroughs	10 - 12.5	None apparent	Moderately blowing well
Golconda	12.5 - 17.5	37.5 - 175	Pools and interconnecting streams
Pumpernickel	7.5 - 10	17.5 - 22.5	Small pool
Pumpernickel	15	17.5	Outflow stream
Spring systems where SiO_2 is the predominant deposit			
Brady's	5 - 7.5	---	Sinter soil
Beowawe	2 - 2.5	---	Sinter apron
Beowawe	13.8 - 17.5	---	Andesite
Big Sulfur (Ruby V.)	2.5 - 5	---	Sinter
Leach	5 - 7.5	---	Sinter
Lee	5 - 7.5	20 - 25	Tufa and sinter
Lee		10	Edge of pool
Steamboat	2.5 - 4	---	Main terrace sinter
Steamboat	6.9 -	---	Altered granitics, west area, blowing well

Table II. Laboratory gamma spectrometry of spring deposits.

Location	Description	Th (ppm)	Apparent U (ppm)	K (%)	$^{226}Ra^{a}$ (10^{-12} Ci/g)	Th/U
	Spring systems where $CaCO_3$ is the predominant deposit					
Gerlach	Tufa, high radioactivity zone	13.41	109.25	1.02	39	0.12
Gerlach	Pred. Si sinter, some tufa	2.38	33.3	0.41	12	0.07
Fly Ranch	Travertine	2.14	10.99	0.02	4	0.19
Kyle	Calcareous muck from spring walls	11.62	76.32	0.16	27	0.15
Kyle	Travertine away from active springs	0.19	4.06	0.09	1.5	0.05
Elko	Tufa	3.12	7.60	0.07	2.7	0.41
Buffalo Valley	Calcareous muck from a small mound	45.89	25.49	0.21	9.2	1.80
	Pred. tufa, some Si sinter	6.20	65.67	0.35	23.7	0.09
Spencers	Pred. calcareous mud	10.92	11.54	1.51	4.1	0.95
Golconda	Spring wall tufa	31.20	469.6	-	169	0.07
Pumpernickel	Calcareous muck from small pool	6.33	8.19	0.46	2.9	0.77
	Spring systems where SiO_2 is the predominant deposit					
Brady	Mud from hot vent	6.32	2.93	0.41		2.15
Beowawe	Andesite, escarpment above blowing wells	15.99	3.28	3.74		4.88
	Sinter soil, vicinity of hot pools	0.91	0.37	0.40		2.43
Big Sulfur (Ruby Valley)	Sinter	0.18	0.11	0.16		1.60
Leach	Sinter	1.08	0.72	0.35		1.50
Lee	Sinter	4.76	2.49	1.11		0.91
Lee	Tufa and sinter	3.71	11.67	0.51		0.31
Steamboat	Sinter, main terrace	0.30	1.42	0.13		0.21
Steamboat	Sinter and altered granitics, west area	8.10	4.90	1.13		1.65

[a]Calculated from activities ratio, $^{226}Ra/^{238}U = 2.78 \times 10^{6}$.

Table III. Radioactivity of hot-spring waters.

Location	Net radioactivity in 1.76-MeV peak of ^{214}Bi [(counts/min-g)$\times 10^{-2}$] [a]
Gerlach	1.17
Kyle	1.79
Buffalo Valley	0.34
Golconda	0.70
Pumpernickel:	
Small pool	3.62
Outflow	1.62
Lee	1.66

[a]Corrected for 3.8-day half-life decay of ^{222}Rn.



Fig. 1. Location map of hot springs visited in Nevada. Numbered springs: 1) Big Sulfur, 2) Elko, 3) Beowawe, 4) Spencer, 5) Diana's Punchbowl, 6) Darrough, 7) Buffalo Valley, 8) Golconda, 9) Pumpernickel, 10) Leach, 11) Fly Ranch, 12) Gerlach, 13) Brady, 14) Lee, 15) Wabuska, 16) Steamboat, 17) Kyle.

CBB741-134

Fig. 2. Field gamma counter. The 3-in. by 3-in. NaI(Tl) scintillation crystal and photomultiplier tube are encased by the steel cylinder, and are connected to the accompanying count-rate meter.

CBB739-5185

Fig. 3. Field gamma radioactivity and water-temperature measurements at Big Sulfur Hot Springs, Ruby Valley.



Fig. 4. The uranium-238 decay series, indicating half-lives.



Fig. 5. Gamma-ray spectra in the energy region 40 to 100 keV. The spectra were taken on a high-resolution system, utilizing a 10 cm^3 Ge(Li) detector.

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720