Submitted to Physical Review Letters

LBL-2699
Preprint

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
RADIATION LABORATORY

MAY 3 1974

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

NUCLEAR SIZE EFFECTS ON VACUUM POLARIZATION IN MUONIC Pb

M. Gyulassy

April 22, 1974

Prepared for the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY

LBL-2699 c.2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

NUCLEAR SIZE EFFECTS ON VACUUM POLARIZATION IN MUONIC Pb *

M. Gyulassy

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

April 22, 1974

ABSTRACT

The effect of finite nuclear size on the vacuum polarization charge density is studied. The results to third order, $\alpha(Z\alpha)^3$, and to all orders, $\alpha(Z\alpha)^{n\geq 3}$, are presented with special attention focused on the $5g_{9/2} - 4f_{7/2}$ transition in muonic Pb. In addition, the accuracy of analytic calculations exploiting the smallness of the electron mass and of the nuclear radius is discussed.

One of the major tests of quantum electrodynamics lies in the calculation of transition energies in high-Z muonic atoms. The most important radiative corrections to these transition energies come from vacuum polarization (VP). Even the higher-order, $\alpha(Z\alpha)^{n\geq 3}$, VP is important due to the high resolution of recent experiments. Since discrepancies between theory and experiment have been observed,[1] most notably in Ba_{56} and Pb_{82}, several workers[2,3,4] have looked at the higher-order VP in more detail. In particular, the effect of finite

nuclear size on the VP charge density has been studied. However, complete agreement on the size of this effect has not yet been reached. In the hope of eliminating this uncertainty, this letter presents the results of an independent numerical study of the problem.

In muonic Pb, a 42 ± 20 eV discrepancy[3] exists between theory and experiment for the $5g_{9/2} - 4f_{7/2}$ transition when the theoretical contribution to the transition energy due to higher-order VP is calculated for a point nucleus. For a finite size nucleus, characterized by a radius R, the VP charge density, $\rho(R,r)$, differs from its point nucleus form in such a way as to increase the transition energy. This has the effect of increasing the discrepancy between theory and experiment. For the 5g - 4f transition this increase was calculated numerically by Rinker and Wilets[2] to be 16 eV. On the other hand, the analytic calculations of Arfune[3] and Brown, et al.[4], using the approximations based on the smallness of m_e and the ratio of the nuclear radius to the muonic orbit, R/a_0, gave 5 eV.

The calculation reported in this letter gives 6 eV for the energy shift of the 5g - 4f transition. The central assumption in this calculation is that the finite nuclear size is felt only by the $j = \frac{1}{2}$ electrons in the VP density. It is shown that this assumption leads to an error of less than 0.5 eV for the 6 eV calculated. The third order, $\alpha(Z\alpha)^3$, contribution and the contribution to all orders, $\alpha(Z\alpha)^{n\geq 3}$, are studied separately. This provides a check on the internal consistency of the final results since the numerical techniques required to calculate each are quite distinct. As a further check, the

point nucleus limit, $R \to 0$, is examined and compared to the results of Wichmann and Kroll[5] and of Blomqvist[6]. Finally, setting $m_e = 0$ and expanding to lowest order in R/a_0 in our calculation, we recover the 5 eV result of Refs. 3 and 4.

Wichmann and Kroll[5] showed that $\rho(R,r)$ is proportional to a contour integral along the imaginary energy axis of the trace of the Green's function, $\mathrm{Tr}G(\tilde{r},\tilde{r};z)$, for the Dirac equation. Expanding G in terms of the radial Green's functions, G_k, for "angular momentum," $k = \pm(j + \frac{1}{2})$, we define the VP density, ρ_k, for a given k, through the contour integral of $\mathrm{Tr}G_k$. The radial Dirac equation for G_k may be converted to an integral equation from which a power series expansion of G_k in powers of $Z\alpha$ is obtained. In this way the Uehling term, ρ^1_k, and the third order density, ρ^3_k, may be isolated. Since the $k = \pm 1 (S_{1/2}, P_{1/2})$ states are most sensitive to nuclear size, a natural approximation for $\rho(R,r)$ is

$$\rho(R,r) \cong \rho_{|k|=1}(R,r) + \sum_{|k|\geq 2} \rho_{|k|}(0,r) \; ; \qquad (1)$$

i.e., the energy shift due to finite size effects on VP is assumed to come mainly from the $|k| = 1$ density. The accuracy of this approximation depends on how large the contribution from the $|k| \geq 2$ density is. The size of the $|k| \geq 2$ contribution to the total density can be estimated using the results of Ref. 5 for a point nucleus. The ratio, $Q_{k\geq 2}/Q_{k=1}$, of the VP charge accumulated at the origin for $|k| \geq 2$ and for $|k| = 1$ gives a measure of the relative size of $\rho_{k\geq 2}$ to

to $\rho_{k=1}$. For order $(Z\alpha)^{n\geq 5}$, in Pb, Ref. 5 gives $Q^{5+}_{k\geq 2}/Q^{5+}_{k=1} \sim 0.008$ with $Q^{5+}_{k=1} = -6.83 \times 10^{-4}|e|$. From this we conclude that the $|k| \geq 2$ contribution to the density is less than 1% for these orders. For third order, Ref. 5 gives the charge summed over k: $Q^3_{WK} = -4.487 \times 10^{-3}|e|$. To calculate what fraction of Q^3_{WK} comes from $|k| = 1$, we calculated $\rho^3_{k=1}$ numerically using the integral equation for $G^3_{k=1}$ in which we set $m_e = 0$. The nuclear charge distribution used in the calculation was a shell of radius R. The $m_e = 0$ limit isolates the piece of ρ^3_1 which is only a function of r/R. It is precisely this piece that reduces to a delta function as $R \to 0$. (This assumes that the integral of ρ^3_1 over all space exists, which is the case here.) The third order charge due to $|k| = 1$ is then

$$Q^3_1 = \int_0^\infty dr\, 4\pi r^2\, \rho^3_1(R,r,m_e=0) \; . \qquad (2)$$

Note that Q^3_1 is in fact independent of R. This was checked numerically by calculating Q^3_1 for R = 6., 0.6, 0.06 F with the result in each case being $Q^3_1 = -4.177 \times 10^{-3}|e|$. Thus $Q^3_1/Q^3_{WK} = 0.93$; i.e., 7% of the third order density comes from $|k| \geq 2$. Summarizing these relations,

$$Q^3_{k=1} \cong 13.5\, Q^3_{k\geq 2} \cong 6.12\, Q^{5+}_{k=1} \cong 770\, Q^{5+}_{k\geq 2} \; . \qquad (3)$$

For the case of a point nucleus Blomqvist[6] has calculated the 5g - 4f energy shift in Pb due to third order VP to be $\Delta E^3(R=0) = -43$ eV. For a finite size nucleus we calculated $\rho^3_1(R,r)$ numerically with $m_e \neq 0$ using two different models of the nuclear

charge density: (I) a shell density, $\rho_{nuc} = \delta(r - R)/(4\pi R^2)$ and (II) a uniform density, $\rho_{nuc} = \theta(R - r)/(4\pi R^3/3)$. Tables I and II contain the results. The $R \to 0$ limit was examined by calculating the energy shifts for $R = 0.6$ and 0.06 F. Extrapolating to $R = 0$, we get $\Delta E^3_{k=1} = -40$ eV for the 5g - 4f transition. From Eq. (3) we estimate the $|k| \geqslant 2$ contribution to be $\Delta E^3_{k \geqslant 2} = -3$ eV. Thus, $\Delta E^3_{k \geqslant 1} = -43$ eV, in agreement with Ref. 6. For the calculation of the finite size effect, R was chosen in each model so that $\left[\langle r^2 \rangle_{nuc}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = 5.5$ F.[7] Dirac wave functions were used in the expectation values, although Schrodinger wave functions gave the same results to within 1 - 2%. (It should be noted that the uncertainty in the muon mass, $\pm$ 400 eV, alone generates a $\pm$ 2 eV uncertainty in the 5g - 4f X-ray.) Comparing the two model distributions in Table I, we see that the energy shifts are sensitive only to $\langle r^2 \rangle_{nuc}$ for these high angular momentum states. The result from Table II is $\Delta E^3_1 = -36$ eV for $\left[\langle r^2 \rangle_{nuc}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = 5.5$ F. Thus the finite nuclear size caused a 10% increase in the third order VP contribution for $|k| = 1$. Since the $|k| \geqslant 2$ electrons are less sensitive to nuclear size, we estimate $-3 \text{ eV} \lesssim \Delta E^3_{k \geqslant 2}(R) \lesssim 0.9 \times \Delta E^3_{k \geqslant 2}(0) \cong -2.7$ eV. Thus the total contribution to the 5g - 4f X-ray from third order VP is $\Delta E^3 = -39$ eV as compared to the point nucleus value of -43 eV.

To solve for the energy shift to all orders, $\alpha(Z\alpha)^{n \geqslant 3}$, we construct the Green's function for the Dirac equation in the field of a finite size nucleus and remove the Uehling term. Since the third order calculation showed that the energy shift is sensitive only to $\langle r^2 \rangle_{nuc}$,

a shell distribution (model I) is used with $R = 5.5$ F. The shell distribution is most convenient since both the internal and external wave functions are simple. The Green's function is then constructed[5] with the regular and irregular solutions of the Dirac equation: for $r < R$ these are spherical Bessel functions and for $r > R$ they are Whittaker functions. Both types of functions are subject to rapid, high precision numerical computation.[8] The Uehling contribution is obtained from the integral equation for the radial Green's function and may be expressed in terms of elementary and exponential integral functions. The details of these and of all other calculations mentioned in this letter will be given in a subsequent paper.

The results of the calculations are listed in Tables I and II. In the $R \to 0$ limit we get for orders $n \geqslant 3$, $\Delta E^{3+}_{k=1} = -46$ eV. From Eq. (3), the contribution of $|k| \geqslant 2$ to these orders is estimated to be -3 eV from third order and < 0.1 eV from orders $n \geqslant 5$. The total shift for orders $n \geqslant 3$ is then $\Delta E^{3+}(R=0) = -49$ eV in agreement with Ref. 6. For finite radius we rewrite Eq. (1)

$$\Delta E^{3+}(R) \cong \Delta E^{3+}_{k=1}(R) + \Delta E^{3+}_{k\geqslant 2}(0) , \tag{4}$$

where the $|k| \geqslant 2$ term is estimated from $\Delta E^{3+}_{1}(0)$ using Eq. (3). The accuracy of Eq. (4) is then estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \Delta E^{3+}_{k\geqslant 2}(R) - \Delta E^{3+}_{k\geqslant 2}(0) \\ &\cong 0.074 \times (\Delta E^{3}_{1}(R) - \Delta E^{3}_{1}(0)) + 0.008 \times (\Delta E^{5+}_{1}(R) - \Delta E^{5+}_{1}(0)) , \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where Eq. (3) has again been used. For the $5g - 4f$ transition, the

error in the approximation in Eq. (4) is then estimated to be less than 0.5 eV with the result that $\Delta E^{3+}(R) = -43$ eV. Thus, the finite nuclear size effect on VP increases the energy of the X-ray by 6 eV.

The VP densities ρ^3_1 and ρ^{3+}_1 calculated here with the energy contour along the imaginary axis satisfy gauge invariance. Therefore a good check on the numerical accuracy of these densities is provided by the evaluation of their integral over all space. It was found that for $r \lesssim 60$ F the densities were negative, while for $r \gtrsim 60$ F, they were positive; the densities were calculated out to $8\,\lambda\!\!\!^{-}_e$. The amount of charge contained in the region $r \lesssim 60$ F was $\sim -4 \times 10^{-3}\ |e|$, while the total charge out to $r = 8\,\lambda\!\!\!^{-}_e$ was $\sim -10^{-8}\ |e|$. Thus, better than five place accuracy was achieved for these densities.

To study the accuracy of the $m_e = 0$ and lowest order in R/r approximation[4] in the calculation of $\Delta\rho = \rho(R,r) - \rho(0,r)$, for $r \geqslant R$, we note that $\Delta\rho$ is proportional to the energy contour integral of the difference, ΔG, between the Green's function for the Dirac equation for a finite radius and point nucleus. The difference ΔG can be expressed as $\Delta G = f(R,z,m_e)\, W(r,z,m_e)$, where z is the energy, W involves products of Whittaker functions and f depends on R through the ratio of internal and external wave functions evaluated at R. The approximation of neglecting the electron mass in comparison to $1/a_0$ is implemented by setting $m_e = 0$ in both f and W. The approximation based on $R/a_0 \ll 1$ is obtained by expanding $f(R,z,m_e) = 0$ in powers of R and retaining only the first term. We have made calculations with and without these approximations. The

results for $|k| = 1$ are presented in Table III for the following three cases: (1) no approximation, (2) $m_e = 0$ only and (3) both $m_e = 0$ and lowest order in R/r. The results for the third case are in good agreement with Refs. 3 and 4. Numerically, the comment in Ref. 3 that corrections to $\Delta\rho(m_e=0)$ appear to $O((m_e r)^2)$ is supported by our results, and the functional form of $\Delta\rho\left(m_e=0, O(R/r)\right)$ is in good agreement with the analytic formula of Ref. 4. A simple comparison of $\Delta\rho$ in the various approximations is indicated by the values of the two integrals

$$\Delta Q_1 = \int_R^{30R} dr(4\pi r^2)\,\Delta\rho\,,$$

$$\Delta Q_2 = \int_{30R}^{\infty} dr(4\pi r^2)\,\Delta\rho\,, \qquad (6)$$

listed in Table III. The error commited in the $m_e = 0, O(R/r)$ approximation is seen to be 1 eV for the 5g - 4f transition and 13 eV for the 4f - 3d transition. To this error, the uncertainty in the $|k| = 1$ approximation, Eq. (5), must also be added. For such high angular momentum states, the accuracy of these approximations is nevertheless found to be quite adequate. The Uehling contribution was calculated in the two nuclear models and found to be the same for $\left[\langle r^2 \rangle_{nuc}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = 5.5$ F. When this contribution is subtracted from results of order $n \geq 1$, the $n \geq 3$ energy shifts are in agreement with Table I, as they must be.

The problem of vacuum polarization in superheavy electronic atoms, $Z \sim 170$, has also been investigated and will be reported elsewhere.

The author is very grateful to Dr. P. J. Mohr for many stimulating discussions on the theoretical and numerical aspects of this problem. Discussions with Dr. W. J. Swiatecki, Dr. E. Wichmann, Dr. R. N. Cahn, and Mr. L. D. McLerran are also gratefully acknowledged.

FOOTNOTES AND REFERENCES

* This work was supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

1. M. S. Dixit, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 27, 878 (1971); H. K. Walter, et al., Phys. Lett. 40B, 197 (1972).
2. G. A. Rinker, Jr. and L. Wilets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 31, 1559 (1973).
3. J. Arafune, Phys. Rev. Lett. 32, 560 (1974).
4. L. S. Brown, Robert N. Cahn, and Larry D. McLerran, Phys. Rev. Lett. 32, 562 (1974).
5. E. H. Wichmann and N. M. Kroll, Phys. Rev. 101, 843 (1956).
6. J. Blomqvist, Nucl. Phys. B48, 95 (1972).
7. J. Heisenberg, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 1402 (1969).
8. P. J. Mohr, Ph. D. Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, LBL-2011, May 14, 1973.

Table I. Absolute energy shifts (in eV) due to VP orders $(Z\alpha)^n$ in Pb using nuclear models I and II described in text. The $|k| \geq 1$ are calculated from Eqs. (4) and (5).

Order, $\|k\|$	Model R(F)	$5g_{9/2}$	$4f_{7/2}$	$3d_{5/2}$
$n = 3$, $\|k\| = 1$	I 5.5	43.39	79.24	151.9
	II 7.1	43.41	79.28	152.1
	I 0.6	45.16	85.10	177.0
	I 0.06	45.20	85.27	178.0
$n \geq 3$, $\|k\| = 1$	I 5.5	48.51	88.36	168.3
	I 0.6	51.34	97.12	202.6
	I 0.06	51.39	97.42	204.3
$n \geq 5$, $\|k\| = 1$	I 5.5	5.12	9.12	16.4
	I 0.06	6.19	12.15	26.3
$n \geq 3$, $\|k\| \geq 1$	I 5.5	51.9 ± 0.1	94.8 ± 0.5	181.7 ± 2

Table II. The VP contribution to $5g_{9/2} - 4f_{9/2}$ transition in Pb energy (in eV) for orders $(Z\alpha)^n$. The error in the contribution from $|k| \geqslant 2$ is less than 0.5 eV.

Order, $\|k\|$	$R_{rms} = 5.5$ F	$R = 0$
$n = 3$, $\|k\| = 1$	-36	-40
$\|k\| \geqslant 2$	- 3	- 3
$n \geqslant 5$, $\|k\| = 1$	- 4	- 6
$\|k\| \geqslant 2$	(< 0.1)	(< 0.1)
$n \geqslant 3$, $\|k\| \geqslant 1$	-43	-49

Table III. Perturbation of muonic levels (in eV) in Pb due to finite nuclear size effect on vacuum polarization, $|k| = 1$, orders $(Z\alpha)^n$. $\Delta Q_{1,2}$ are given by Eq. (6) in units of $-|e|$.

Order	Model	R(F)	Approx.	$5g_{9/2}$	$4f_{7/2}$	$3d_{5/2}$	ΔQ_1	ΔQ_2
$n \geq 1$	I	5.5	none	-5.48	-20.53	-116.1	7.020×10^{-2}	1.60×10^{-4}
			$m_e = 0$	-6.03	-21.43	-117.7	7.018×10^{-2}	1.95×10^{-4}
			$m_e = 0, O(R/r)$	-5.79	-19.99	-102.6	4.481×10^{-2}	1.91×10^{-4}
$n = 1$	I	5.5	none	-2.60	-11.46	- 80.02	6.598×10^{-2}	6.03×10^{-5}
	II	7.1	none	-2.60	-11.46	- 79.38		

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720