LBL-27597
Preprint

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Submitted to Physical Review Letters

Scars in Billiards: The Phase Space Approach

M. Feingold, R.G. Littlejohn, S.B. Solina,
J.S. Pehling, and O. Piro

July 1989

NUCLEAR SCIENCE DIVISION

LOAN COPY
Circulates
for 2 weeks
LBL-27597
Copy 2
Bldg. 50 Library.

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Number DE-AC03-76SF00098.

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Scars in Billiards: The Phase Space Approach

Mario Feingold, Robert G. Littlejohn, Stephani B. Solina, J. S. Pehling,
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory and Department of Physics,
University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

and Oreste Piro,
Physics Dept., Bldg. 510A, Brookhaven National Laboratory,
Upton, New York 11973

Abstract

Although scars in the eigenfunctions of classically chaotic systems were originally observed in the configuration space representation, we show that these can be better visualized in phase space. On the quantitative side, a recent theory of scars is extended to billiards. For the stadium detailed agreement between theory and numerical experiment is found.

PACS numbers: 05.45.+b, 03.65.Sq, 03.65.-w

One of the few semiclassical results which applies in the case of classically chaotic motion is the trace formula of Gutzwiller,[1] which gives the density of states in terms of classical periodic orbits. Recently, this formalism has received considerable attention and has been shown to be in good agreement with numerical experiment for a variety of chaotic systems.[2] On the other hand, the eigenfunctions of strongly chaotic systems were believed for a long time to correspond to Wigner functions which are homogeneous over the energy shell.[3] In billiards, this conjecture leads to eigenfunctions which in turn are homogeneous over configuration space. This simple picture was undermined by the finding of high intensity patterns resembling periodic orbits ("scars") in the eigenfunctions of the stadium billiard.[4] Consequently, a theory of scars in configuration space was constructed by Bogomolny.[5] Latter on, Berry[6] derived a semiclassical phase space formula for the spectral Wigner function

$$W(\mathbf{z}, E, \epsilon) \equiv h^N \sum_n \delta_\epsilon(E - E_n)\, W_n(\mathbf{z}), \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{z} \equiv (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$, $W_n(\mathbf{z})$ is the Wigner function corresponding to the n-th eigenstate, N is the number of degrees of freedom and $\delta_\epsilon(E)$ is a normalized Lorentzian of width ϵ. As will be shown, Berry's formula is restricted to the case of smooth Hamiltonians. Accordingly, the purpose of this Letter is twofold. First we shall argue that phase space is a more natural environment for the study of scars. In order to support this statement, the Wigner and Husimi functions for the stadium are compared with the corresponding scarred eigenfunction, $\Psi_n(x, y)$. Second, a billiard formula for $W(\mathbf{z}, E, \epsilon)$ is derived and compared with the numerical results.

For two degrees of freedom systems the energy shell is three-dimensional while configuration space has only two dimensions. As a consequence, in phase space one has better resolution when attempting to distinguish between the contributions of different periodic orbits to a given state. The importance of phase space has been especially appreciated by Davis,[7] who studied Wigner and Husimi distributions numerically for systems with a mixture of regularity and chaos. Here, we shall illustrate this statement using the stadium billiard, a system which is globally chaotic. We set the radius of the two semicircular caps, r, to be equal to half the length of the straight segments, a. In Fig. 1(a), an eigenfunction is compared with periodic orbits numbers 2 (full line) and 26 (dashed line). (We order the periodic orbits in ascending sequence by their linear stability eigenvalue.) To each there corresponds a second symmetry-related periodic orbit obtained by a reflection with respect to the x-axis. Although the second orbit seems to better resemble the shape of the scar in the eigenfunction, the assignment is not compelling. In phase space however, the correspondence between periodic orbits and scars is rather transparent. In Fig. 1(b) the associated Husimi distribution in the surface of section at $x = r = 1$ is compared with the periodic orbits which neighbor its local maxima.[8] In this representation, two additional orbits, numbers 25 and 52, can be seen to contribute to this state. Notice that the inherent limitation of this procedure is due to the finite width of the individual contributions to the Husimi distribution. The major advantage of working in phase space is that the relationship between scars and orbits suggested in Fig. 1(b) can be verified by requiring consistency

with a different surface of section. Accordingly, in Fig. 1(c) the same comparison is performed in the $x = r/2$ section. We find that the assignment of periodic orbits to maxima in the corresponding Husimi distribution matches the one in Fig. 1(b).

In both Fig. 1(a) and Fig. 1(b) the stable and unstable manifolds of orbit number 2 are shown. Although the high intensity contours do follow the manifolds, the effect is not pronounced.[9] This phenomenon is strongly enhanced, however, if the Wigner function rather than the Husimi distribution is studied (see Fig. 1(d)). On the other hand, due to the very rich structure of the Wigner function it cannot be easily employed to visually identify the periodic orbits which scar the state.

We now proceed to the second part of this Letter and to a quantitative discussion of scarring. We start by shortly summarizing the derivation which lead Berry to a trace formula for $W(\mathbf{z}, E, \epsilon)$. From Eq. (1) one can show that

$$W(\mathbf{z}, E, \epsilon) = \frac{2}{h} \mathrm{Re} \int_0^\infty dt\, e^{i(E+i\epsilon)t/\hbar} \int ds\, e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{s}/\hbar} G(\mathbf{q}_A, \mathbf{q}_B, t), \tag{2}$$

where G is the propagator, evaluated at $\mathbf{q}_A = \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{s}/2$, $\mathbf{q}_B = \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{s}/2$. Berry first replaces the propagator by its WKB approximation, the Van Vleck formula,[10] and then performs the $\mathbf{s}$ and t integrations by the stationary phase approximation. As a consequence of the $\mathbf{s}$ integration, it is found that trajectories which satisfy the midpoint rule, that is, $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{z}_A + \mathbf{z}_B)/2$, dominate the spectral Wigner function. The remaining integral over time has the form

$$W(\mathbf{z}, E, \epsilon) = \frac{2^N}{\pi\hbar} \sum_{\substack{\text{midpoint} \\ \text{orbits}}} \mathrm{Re} \int_0^\infty dt\, \frac{e^{\frac{it}{\hbar}[E+i\epsilon-H(\mathbf{z}_A)]+\frac{i}{\hbar}A(\mathbf{z},t)+i\gamma}}{[det(m_{AB}+I)]^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \tag{3}$$

where $A(\mathbf{z},t)$ is the symplectic area enclosed between the midpoint orbit and a straight segment connecting its endpoints (the chord area), and where $m_{AB} = d\mathbf{z}_B/d\mathbf{z}_A$. If we denote the phase in Eq. (3) by $\phi/\hbar$ then the stationary phase condition takes the form $\partial\phi/\partial t = E - H(\mathbf{z}_A) = 0$. Accordingly, the midpoint orbits which lie in the E-energy shell will dominate the time-integral of Eq. (3). As was shown by Berry,[6] a crucial point for scarring is that for periodic orbits $\partial^2\phi/\partial t^2 = 0$. Consequently, the periodic orbit contributions to $W(\mathbf{z},E,\epsilon)$ result from a degenerate stationary phase integral and therefore dominate the contributions from non-periodic orbits. However, trajectories which are almost periodic are also important because they determine the way in which the dominant contributions to $W(\mathbf{z},E,\epsilon)$ decay as a function of ξ, the displacement away from the periodic orbit in the surface of section. For these trajectories the correction to the chord area is

$$\Delta A = \tilde{\xi} J (M - I)(M + I)^{-1} \xi \tag{4}$$

where J is the unit symplectic matrix and $M = d\xi_B/d\xi_A$ is the linearized mapping about the periodic orbit in the surface of section. Finally, in order to perform the degenerate stationary phase integration the third derivative of the phase in Eq. (3) is needed, $\partial\phi/\partial t^3 = \tilde{\dot{\mathbf{z}}} J \dot{\mathbf{z}}$. The resulting contribution from an individual periodic orbit is

$$W_{po}(\mathbf{z},E,\epsilon) = \frac{2^N}{\sqrt{\det(M+I)}} e^{-\epsilon T/\hbar} \cos\left(\frac{1}{\hbar}S(E) + \frac{1}{\hbar}\tilde{\xi} J \frac{M-I}{M+I}\xi + \gamma\right) \times \frac{2}{(\hbar^2 \tilde{\dot{\mathbf{z}}} J \dot{\mathbf{z}})^{1/3}} \left\{ \frac{2[H(\mathbf{z}) - E]}{(\hbar^2 \tilde{\dot{\mathbf{z}}} J \dot{\mathbf{z}})^{1/3}} \right\}. \tag{5}$$

For billiard systems, $\dot{\mathbf{z}} = (\dot{\mathbf{p}}, \dot{\mathbf{p}}) = 0$ and accordingly W_{po} of Eq. (5) needs to be modified. This is most easily done when $\xi = 0, \epsilon = 0$ (point $\mathbf{z}$ on the periodic orbit, and no smoothing in energy). In this case, the breakdown of Berry's formula is a direct consequence of the absolute degeneracy of the phase appearing in the time-integral of Eq. (3), that is $\partial\phi/\partial t = E - H(\mathbf{z}_A) = E - H(\mathbf{z})$. Not only do the endpoints of the contributing midpoint trajectories lie on the periodic orbit itself but they are also required to be on the same straight segment as $\mathbf{z}$. Therefore, the time integral runs over the interval $(-2T_0, 2T_0)$ where T_0 is the time of flight between $\mathbf{z}$ and the nearest bounce. We obtain

$$W_{po}(\mathbf{z}, E, \xi = \epsilon = 0) = \frac{2^N}{\pi\hbar}\frac{1}{M_{21}}\left[(\mathrm{Tr}\, M + 2 + 2T_0 M_{21})^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\mathrm{Tr}\, M + 2 - 2T_0 M_{21})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]\cos(kL + \gamma), \tag{6}$$

where L is the length of the periodic orbit. Notice that in Eq. (6), $W_{po} = O(\hbar^{-1})$ while Berry's result of Eq. (5) is only $O(\hbar^{-2/3})$. Accordingly, phase space scars for billiards will more strongly diverge in the semiclassical limit.

When either ϵ or ξ are nonzero the formula for $W(\mathbf{z}, E, \epsilon)$ becomes rather complex and we shall postpone its derivation for a future publication. Nevertheless, it is worthwhile to mention that for small values of ξ the result of Eq. (6) is completely unchanged except for adding the correction to the chord area given in Eq. (4) to the argument of the cosine.

We now proceed to quantitatively check the predictions of Eq. (6) for the case of the stadium billiard. For this purpose we have numerically calculated the values

of the Wigner function at the point $P_3 = (x = 0.51, y = 0.745, k_x/k_y = -2)$ for the first 1000 odd-odd states and have taken the Fourier transform with respect to k

$$F(\mathbf{z}, L) = \left| \int dk e^{ikL}\, kW(\mathbf{z}, k) \right|. \tag{7}$$

The factor of k which appears in Eq. (7) was introduced in order to remove any k-dependence in the amplitude of Eq. (6). Since P_3 lies on the third periodic orbit we should obtain a peak in the Fourier transform at integer multiples of its length, $L_3 = 4.4721$. Indeed, in Fig. 2(a), among several other peaks the $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ multiples of L_3 can be located with a precision of 0.1%. The corresponding heights however, agree to the theoretical prediction of Eq. (6) only to within 17% and 12% error respectively. The additional peaks are due to other periodic orbits which are neighboring in phase space. Since for those contributions ξ is finite, the corresponding chord area must be adjusted by ΔA (see Eq. (4)). This correction is linear in k and therefore plays the role of a shift in the length of the source orbit. The assignment of these peaks to specific periodic orbits is neither unique nor especially enlightening. Moreover, due to the fact that in practice the Fourier transform is performed over a finite k-interval only, these peaks interfere strongly with each other. The effects of the interference can be observed by comparing the fringes associated with $L = 0$ with those at $L = L_3$. It is obvious that for the latter they are strongly perturbed by a nearby finite-ξ peak. This nearby peak is also the source of the relatively large errors which we found in the heights and locations of the nL_3 peaks. In Fig. 2(b), $F(\mathbf{z}, L)$ for $\mathbf{z} = P_2 = (x = 0.51, y = 0.49, k_x/k_y = -1)$ is shown. P_2 lies on the second periodic orbit for which $L_2 = 4.8482$. Clearly, the

$n = 1$ peak is quite well isolated from its neighbors. As a consequence, an error of only 0.03% in the position and 6% in the height is obtained.

In summary, we have shown that Berry's semiclassical theory for the Spectral Wigner function is not only more convenient because of being a phase space theory but also that it can be easily extended to hold for billiards. Moreover, we have quantitatively checked the modified theory against numerical results from the stadium. We feel that such checking is important, because many studies of the scarring phenomenon have been qualitative or semiquantitative at best.

We thank S.S. Creagh and W. Swiatecki for many useful discussions. This work was supported in part by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Division of Nuclear Physics, and Office of Basic Energy Sciences Research, Engineering and Geoscience Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098, by the Division of Materials Science, U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH00016 and by the NSF under Grant No. NSF-PYI-84-51276.

References

1. M.C. Gutzwiller, J. Math. Phys. **12**, 343 (1971).
2. D. Wintgen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 1589 (1987); M.L. Du and J.B. Delos, *ibid.* **58**, 1731 (1987); R. Aurich, M. Sieber, and F. Steiner, *ibid.* **61**, 483 (1988).
3. B. Eckhardt, Phys. Rep. **163**, 205 (1988).
4. E.J. Heller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 1515 (1984).
5. E.B. Bogomolny, Physica D**31**, 169 (1988).
6. M.V. Berry, Proc. Roy. Soc. A**423**, 219 (1989).
7. Michael J. Davis, J. Phys. Chem. **92**, 3124(1988).
8. P. Leboeuf, M. Saraceno, M. Baranger, J. Mahoney, and D. Provost (submitted to Phys. Rev. A, 1989).
9. R.L. Waterland *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2733 (1988).
10. M.V. Berry and K. E. Mount, Rep. Prog. Phys. **85**, 315(1972).

Figure Captions

1. Configuration versus phase space for the 744-th state. a) The eigenfunction, b) The Husimi distribution on the $x = r$ surface of section. The relevant periodic orbits are also shown: the second (■), the 25-th (○), the 26-th (●) and the 52-nd (×). The dotted curves which emerge from the second periodic orbit are its stable and unstable manifolds, c) As in b) only that here $x = r/2$, d) The Wigner function on the same SOS as in b) (see text) (dashed lines are negative contours).

2. The $F(\mathbf{z}, L)$ function: a) $\mathbf{z} = P_3$, b) $\mathbf{z} = P_2$ (see text).



Fig. 1 (a)



Fig. 1 (b-d)


(a)
4.4767
8.9529
(b)
4.8301
9.6610
F(z,L)
F(z,L)
.08
.06
.04
.02
.00
.06
.04
.02
.00
0
2
4
6
8
10
12
L

Fig. 2

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
1 CYCLOTRON ROAD
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720